



GILL GROUP

NATIONWIDE VALUATION AND MARKET FEASIBILITY EXPERTS

Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.



GILL GROUP

**Need and Demand Analysis For
Lawrenceville Manor Apartments
501 Walnut Street
Lawrenceville, Virginia 23868**

**Prepared For
TM Associates Management Inc
1375 Piccard Drive #150
Rockville, Maryland 20850**

**Effective Date
February 21, 2024**

**Date of Report
March 13, 2024**



March 13, 2024

Mr. Donald Nuzzio
TM Associates Management Inc
1375 Piccard Drive #150
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Dear Mr. Nuzzio:

Following is a market study which was completed for TM Associates Management Inc, under the guidelines set forth by Virginia Housing. Lawrenceville Manor Apartments consists of an existing Rural Development property that is designated for families and consists of three two-story walk-up buildings containing a total of 24 units. The subject will be rehabilitated. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units as well as 100 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. The buildings have vinyl siding exteriors and asphalt shingle roofs.

The purpose of the following market study is to determine if the community has a need for the rehabilitated subject units. To do so, the analyst, Jonathan Richmond, utilized data from the U.S. Census Bureau; Ribbon Demographics, 2024 Data; and various other demographic resources. Community information and opinion was also utilized. This information was collected during a field survey conducted by Jonathan Richmond while visiting the subject on February 21, 2024. An attempt was made to survey 100 percent of all competitive housing in the area.

We certify that there is not now nor will there be an identity of interest between or among the applicant, contractor, architect, engineer, attorney, interim lender, subcontractors, material suppliers, equipment lessors or any of their members, directors, officers, stockholders, partners or beneficiaries without prior written identification to Virginia Housing and written consent to such identity of interest by Virginia Housing. All recommendations and conclusions are based solely on the professional opinion and best efforts of the analysts. This statement is given for the purpose of inducing the United States of America to make a loan as requested in the loan pre-application or application of which this statement is a part.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonathan Richmond'.

Jonathan Richmond
Market Analyst

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Janice F. Gill'.

Janice F. Gill, MAI
Market Analyst



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS 4

CERTIFICATION 6

IDENTITY OF INTEREST 7

NCHMA MEMBER CERTIFICATION 8

 Executive Summary 9

 Project Description 9

 Income Averaging 10

 Housing Profile 10

 Market Feasibility 10

 Evaluation of Project 11

 Positive/Negative Attributes 11

 Conclusions 11

 Introduction and Scope of Work 12

 Project Description 15

 Project Design 15

 Unit Features 15

 Common Amenities and Services 15

 Parking 15

 Utilities 16

 Unit Mix, Size and Rent Structure 16

 Tenant Services 17

 Scope of Work 17

 Location/Site Characteristics 18

 Project Location 18

 Location Map 19

 Vicinity Map 20

 Community and Site Information 21

 Site Characteristics 21

 Surrounding Land Uses 21

 Subject Photos 22

 Nearby Employment Opportunities 31

 Regional and Area Data 31

 Crime 31

 Community Services Legends and Maps 32

 Competing Properties Map 48

 Summary of Site Strengths and Weaknesses 49

 Delineation of Market Area 50

 Primary Market Area Map 52

 Employment and Economy 53

 Major Employers 55

 Place of Work Employment 57

 Future Employment Trends 58

 Wages 59

 Employment Outside the County 60

 Summary of Employment Trends in Market Area 60

 Population and Households Trends and Analysis 61

 Housing Market 65

 Tenure 65

 Rent Overburdened Households 67

 Substandard Households 67

 Income Eligibility Analysis 68

 Sources of Demand 72

 Required Unit Mix 72



| | |
|--|-----|
| Eligible Households | 72 |
| Penetration Rate | 74 |
| Demand Analysis - With Rental Assistance | 75 |
| Demand Analysis Summary - With Rental Assistance | 75 |
| Demand Analysis - Without Rental Assistance | 77 |
| Demand Analysis Summary - Without Rental Assistance | 78 |
| Capture Rate Analysis | 79 |
| Housing Profile | 80 |
| Market Area Overview | 80 |
| Housing Inventory | 80 |
| Projects Planned or Under Construction | 80 |
| Unit Condition | 80 |
| Housing Units | 81 |
| Age of Rental Units | 81 |
| Unit Types | 82 |
| Unit Size | 82 |
| Rental Vacancy Rates | 82 |
| Vacancy Analysis | 83 |
| Lease Terms and Concessions | 83 |
| Likely Impact of Rehabilitated Development on Rental Occupancy Rates | 83 |
| Comparable Profile Pages | 84 |
| Existing Comparables Map | 89 |
| Competing Properties Map | 90 |
| Additional Developments | 91 |
| Market Rent Analysis | 92 |
| HUD-Forms 92273 – As Stabilized | 93 |
| Evaluation of the Development | 101 |
| Project Design | 101 |
| Project Amenities | 101 |
| Parking | 101 |
| Unit Mix | 101 |
| Utilities | 101 |
| Unit Amenities | 101 |
| Tenant Services | 101 |
| Impact of Existing/Planned Rental Housing | 101 |
| Summary of Developments Strength and Weaknesses | 102 |
| Local Interviews | 103 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS | 104 |
| Project Description | 104 |
| Income Averaging | 104 |
| Housing Profile | 105 |
| Market Feasibility | 105 |
| Evaluation of Project | 106 |
| Positive/Negative Attributes | 106 |
| Conclusions | 106 |
| Qualifications of the Analyst | 107 |
| ADDENDUM A – DATA SOURCES | 114 |
| ADDENDUM B – MARKET STUDY TERMINOLOGY | 115 |
| ADDENDUM C – NCHMA INDEX | 125 |
| ADDENDUM D – SUBJECT INFO | 127 |
| ADDENDUM E – FLOOD DATA | 140 |



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a field visit was made by the market analyst or one of his associates and information was obtained from publications of federal, state and local agencies. Interviews were held with apartment owners or managers, local officials and others as appropriate who may be knowledgeable of the housing market in Lawrenceville.

In accordance with Virginia Housing, I hereby certify that the information provided in this Market Study was written according to Virginia Housing's market study requirements and is truthful and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. The estimates of demand for multifamily housing made by this report are based on the assumption of a free market situation, unencumbered by local mores, affirmative fair housing marketing or prejudice toward the site location.

This is to affirm that I will receive no fees which are contingent upon approval of the project by Virginia Housing, before or after the fact, and that I will have no interest in the housing project.

Jonathan Richmond
Market Analyst
Tax ID Number: 43-1352932

Janice F. Gill, MAI
Market Analyst

March 13, 2024



IDENTITY OF INTEREST

I understand and agree that Virginia Housing will consider an identity of interest to exist between the loan applicant as the party of the first part and general contractors, architects, engineers, attorneys, interim lenders, subcontractors, material suppliers or equipment lessors as parties of the second part under any of the following conditions:

1. When there is any financial interest of the party of the first part in the party of the second part;
2. When one or more of the officers, directors, stockholders or partners of the party of the first part is also an officer, director, stockholder or partner of the party of the second part;
3. When any officer, director, stockholder or partner of the party of the first part has any financial interest whatsoever in the party of the second part;
4. When the party of the second part advances any funds to the party of the first part other than an interim lender advancing funds to enable the applicant to pay for construction and other authorized and legally eligible expenses during the construction period;
5. When the party of the second part provides and pays on behalf of the party of the first part the cost of any legal services, architectural services or interim financing other than those of the survey, general superintendent or engineer employed by a general contractor in connection with obligations under the construction contract;
6. When the party of the second part takes stock or any interest in the party of the first part as part of the consideration to be paid them; and
7. When there exists or comes into being any side deals, agreements, contracts or undertakings entered into thereby altering, amending or canceling any of the required closing documents or approval conditions as approved by Virginia Housing.

I certify that there is not now nor will there be an identity of interest between or among the applicant, contractor, architect, interim lender, subcontractors, material suppliers, equipment lessors or any of their members, directors, officers, stockholders, partners or beneficiaries without prior written identification to Virginia Housing and written consent to such identity of interest by Virginia Housing. This statement is given for the purpose of inducing the United States of America to make a loan as requested in the loan pre-application or application of which this statement is a part.

There is no identity of interest between the loan applicant and the Market Analyst or Gill Group.

Jonathan Richmond
Market Analyst

Janice F. Gill, MAI
Market Analyst

March 13, 2024



NCHMA MEMBER CERTIFICATION



Formerly known as
National Council of Affordable
Housing Market Analysts

This market study has been prepared by Gill Group, Inc., a member in good standing of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). This study has been prepared in conformance with the standards adopted by NCHMA for the market analysts' industry. These standards include the *Standard Definitions of Key Terms Used in Market Studies*, and *Model Content Standards for the Content of Market Studies*. These Standards are designed to enhance the quality of market studies and to make them easier to prepare, understand, and use by market analysts and by the end users. These Standards are voluntary only, and no legal responsibility regarding their use is assumed by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts.

Gill Group, Inc. is duly qualified and experienced in providing market analysis for Affordable Housing. The company's principals participate in the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) educational and information sharing programs to maintain the highest professional standards and state-of-the-art knowledge. Gill Group, Inc. is an independent market analyst. No principal or employee of Gill Group, Inc. has any financial interest whatsoever in the development for which this analysis has been undertaken.

Jonathan Richmond
Market Analyst
Gill Group

March 13, 2024



Executive Summary

It is the opinion of the analyst that a market exists for the proposed rehabilitation of the existing 24-unit development designed for families and that there is no need for alterations of any kind. This recommendation is made based on the information included in this report and assuming that the project is completed as detailed in this report. Any changes to the proposed rehabilitation of the subject could alter the findings in this report.

Project Description

The subject, Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, is an existing Rural Development property designated for families that contains 24 units. The subject will undergo renovation. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units as well as 100 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the subject will also retain its Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes below \$38,160 will be eligible for the rehabilitated development. Without considering the Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes between \$13,611 and \$38,160 would be eligible for the rehabilitated development.

The following chart lists the subject's unit mix.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Total Square Footage |
|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | 5,200 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | 12,000 |
| | 24 | | 17,200 |

The following chart lists the subject's existing unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Current Rent | Utility Allowance |
|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | \$822 | \$115 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | \$911 | \$138 |
| | 24 | | | |

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | % of Median Income | Maximum LIHTC Rent | Gross Rent | Utility Allowance | Net Rent |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 30% | \$397 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 4 | 650 | 50% | \$662 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 60% | \$795 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 30% | \$477 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 8 | 750 | 50% | \$795 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 60% | \$954 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |

Since the subject will retain its Rental Assistance once rehabilitation is complete, the development's proposed gross rents are not tethered to the maximum allowable LIHTC rents. Due to the Rental Assistance, tenants will never be required to pay more than 30 percent of annual income toward rent and utilities. Furthermore, at no point will tenants be required to pay more than the maximum allowable LIHTC rent.



Income Averaging

The developer has not selected to use the Income-Averaging option.

Housing Profile

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes and market-rate and income-restricted apartment complexes. The market area has an overall rental vacancy rate of 1.9 percent. Of the 992 total units verified, 19 were vacant. The current vacancy rate in surveyed income-restricted apartment complexes is 4.7 percent. The current vacancy rate in market-rate apartment complexes surveyed is 0.8 percent. The current vacancy rate of the competitive properties in the market area is 4.9 percent.

The proposed rehabilitation of the existing Rural Development property will not have an adverse impact on the market area. As complete, the property will remain a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units, as well as be 100 percent LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. There were six income-restricted developments, including the subject properties, confirmed. All but one property, New Nottoway River Commons, was located within the in the market area. Of the confirmed income-restricted developments located in the market area, three developments directly compete with the subject. These properties maintain high occupancy rates, and maintain waiting lists from the subject could draw residents. Therefore, it does not appear the market area is saturated with affordable housing.

Market Feasibility

The following tables show the capture rates for the rehabilitated development both with and without considering Rental Assistance.

| CAPTURE RATE - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | --- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate (Months) | N/A |

| CAPTURE RATE - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | --- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate | 3-5 Months |

The market shows a net demand of 3,534 households for all units when considering the Rental Assistance and a net demand of 2,337 households for all units when not considering the Rental Assistance. The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four units vacant. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will retain its Rental Assistance as well as be 100 percent LIHTC with rent and income limits set at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the property will retain its Rental Assistance, all existing tenants will be income-eligible for the units once the rehabilitation is complete. If the property were to lose its Rental Assistance, none of the existing tenants would remain income-eligible; therefore, all of the subject's 24 units would need to be absorbed into the market. The capture rate for the subject, if entirely vacant, is 0.7 percent. When considering only the subject's vacant units, the capture rate is 0.1 percent. The capture rate, without considering the Rental Assistance, is 1.0 percent, which is also considered excellent due to the existing waiting lists and high occupancy rates of LIHTC properties in the market area as well as the current population and household growth and stable economic factors. Therefore, it is the belief of the analysts that the property will continue to be viable within the market area and would be so even if it were entirely



vacant. Consequently, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will continue to satisfy a portion of the demand for rental units within the market. It is believed that the property will meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.

Evaluation of Project

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is easily accessed via Walnut Street. Walnut Street connects to West 5th Avenue. West 5th Street provides access to South Hicks Street. South Hicks Street then provides access to U.S. Highway 58, a major thoroughfare in the area. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access. The subject's existing site plan and project design are similar to competing apartment developments. The subject's existing unit mix of one- and two-bedroom units is suitable in the market.

Positive/Negative Attributes

Strengths: The subject's location is comparable to other developments in the market area. Its current and proposed in-unit and common area amenities are competitive with the other developments in the market area. When these factors are taken into consideration, the subject's rehabilitated units will provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses: There are no apparent weaknesses.

Conclusions

The subject can retain its required share of the market area for the following reasons:

- The subject's rehabilitated design and amenities will be competitive with other existing projects. Therefore, no modifications to the subjects are necessary.
- Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will be superior in condition when compared to the majority of the current housing stock
- The market area's population and households are projected to increase through 2028, indicating a need for additional housing the market area. In addition, all restricted properties confirmed maintain high occupancy rates, and the majority maintain waiting lists, it appears the market is not oversaturated with affordable rental housing.
- The subject is an existing 24-unit Rural Development property that will be rehabilitated. Once rehabilitated is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units, as well as 100 percent LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. Therefore, the rehabilitated subject will continue to be a great asset to the area.
- The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. The property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units once rehabilitation is complete. Therefore, it is anticipated all current residents will remain income-qualified. Furthermore, the rehabilitation will not permanently displace any residents. Consequently, the subject will only need to absorb three units to attain a stabilized occupancy rate. After considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within one month. If the property was to lose its Rental Assistance, it is unlikely all residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, for the scenario that does not consider the property's Rental Assistance, the property was considered entirely vacant. After considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within three to five months.
- According to the past, present and future demographic and economic trends within the primary market area, it is the opinion of the analyst that the rehabilitated development will be suitable for the market area. Given the current low vacancy rates for income-restricted properties as well as the waiting lists at most properties, the development will not have an adverse effect on the existing rental comparables and the anticipated pipeline additions to the rental base.



Introduction and Scope of Work

The Scope of Work Rule requires us to gather and analyze information about those assignment elements that are necessary to properly identify the problem to be solved. According to the Standards Rule 1-2, identification of the problem to be solved requires us to identify the following assignment elements.

- Client and any other intended users: The client and developer for this market study assignment is TM Associates Management Inc. The intended users of the report are TM Associates Management Inc and Virginia Housing. No other users are intended.
- Intended use of the analyst's opinions and conclusions: The intended use of this market study is to apply for Low Income Housing Tax Credits through Virginia Housing.
- Effective date of the analyst's opinions and conclusions: The effective date of the rental estimate is February 21, 2024.
- Subject of the assignment and its relevant characteristics: The subject property is an existing 40-unit apartment complex known as Lawrenceville Manor Apartments. Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is located along the west side of Walnut Street, just south of West 5th Avenue. Its physical address is 501 Walnut Street.
- Assignment conditions: Assignment conditions include assumptions, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions, supplemental standards, jurisdictional exceptions, and other conditions that affect the scope of work. The following assumptions are used in this market study assignment: *The market study was written under the extraordinary assumption that the properties will be rehabilitated as indicated in the Scope of Work. The market rents in the need and demand analysis were determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject will be unrestricted or conventional and not subject to any rent restrictions.*
- An environmental audit was not provided. I am not qualified to complete an environmental audit. The stated opinion of rental rates is predicated on the assumption that no hazardous substances or conditions materially affect the subject property.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. No specific compliance survey or analysis of the identified property has been made to determine whether it is in conformity with the various detailed requirements of the ADA. It is possible that a compliance survey of the property together with a detailed analysis of the requirements of the ADA could reveal that the property will not comply with one or more of the requirements of the Act. If so, this fact could have an adverse impact upon the value of the property. Since there is no direct evidence relating to this issue, it is assumed that no substantial immediate outlays will be mandated by governing authorities to meet ADA requirements.
- Unemployment statistics are based on the information available from the U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. The data shown in this report is based on the data available as of the effective date of the analysis. The Department of Labor will periodically revise the data by incorporating additional information that was not available at the time of the initial publication of the estimates. The initial data is revised twice, first within two months of initial publication in order to incorporate additional sample data from respondents in the survey and recalculate seasonal adjustment factors, and second on an annual basis to incorporate a benchmark revision that estimates nearly complete employment counts available from unemployment insurance tax records.
- The U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS) uses a series of monthly samples to produce annually updated estimates for the same small areas (census tracts and block groups) formerly surveyed via the decennial census long-form sample. Initially, five years of samples were required to produce these small-area data. Once the U.S. Census Bureau released its first five-year estimates, new small-area statistics were produced annually. The Census Bureau also produces three-year and one-year data products for larger geographic areas.
- The American Housing Survey (AHS) is sponsored by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. The survey provides up-to-date information about the quality and cost of housing in the United States and major metropolitan areas. It also includes questions about the physical condition of homes and neighborhoods, the



cost of financing and maintaining homes and the characteristics of people who live in these homes. The survey is conducted every other year and covers all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The 2015 AHS underwent a major redesign. Prior to this survey, the same households were re-surveyed every two years. A new sample was redrawn in 2015 for the first time since 1985, and new households were asked to participate in the survey. Additionally, the questionnaire was redesigned with some variables added and others dropped or modified. Imputation methods were also streamlined, and the weighting methodology changed. Therefore, some estimates in 2015 are not comparable to previous years.

- The building improvements meet all governing codes, unless otherwise noted in this report.

An **extraordinary assumption**¹ is defined as:

An assignment-specific assumption as of the effective date regarding uncertain information used in an analysis, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. Uncertain information might include physical, legal or economic characteristics of the subject property; or conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends; or the integrity of data used in an analysis.

An extraordinary assumption may be used in an assignment only if:

- It is required to properly develop credible opinions and conclusions;
- The analyst has a reasonable basis for the extraordinary assumption;
- Use of the extraordinary assumption results in a credible analysis; and
- The analyst complies with the disclosure requirements set forth in USPAP for extraordinary assumptions.²

A **hypothetical condition**³ is defined as:

A condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results but is used for the purpose of analysis. Hypothetical conditions are contrary to known facts about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property, such as market condition or trends; or about the integrity of data used in an analysis.

A hypothetical condition may be used in an assignment only if:

- Use of the hypothetical condition is clearly required for legal purposes, for purposes of reasonable analysis, or for purposes of comparison;
- Use of the hypothetical condition results in a credible analysis; and
- The analyst complies with the disclosure requirements set forth in USPAP for hypothetical conditions.⁴

¹ Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, *2020-2021 Edition* (Effective January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023) (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 4

² Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, *2020-2021 Edition* (Effective January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023) (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 14

³ Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, *2020-2021 Edition* (Effective January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023) (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 4

⁴ Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, *2020-2021 Edition* (Effective January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2023) (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 14



The following extraordinary assumptions are used in this market study consultation assignment: *The market study was written under the extraordinary assumption that the properties will be rehabilitated as indicated in the Scope of Work.*

The following hypothetical condition are used in this market study consultation assignment: *The market rents in the need and demand analysis were determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject will be unrestricted or conventional and not subject to any rent restrictions.*

This market study was completed in accordance with the requirements set forth in Virginia Housing's 2023 Market Study Guidelines.

Janice F. Gill, MAI, State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser and Market Analyst, oversaw and supervised all data collection and analysis and performed the research. The following actions were taken to complete this market study.

- On February 21, 2024, Jonathan Richmond, a Market Analyst, conducted an interior and exterior inspection of the subject property to determine the property's physical and functional characteristics. Jonathan Richmond inspected all common areas and at least one unit of each varying type. He interviewed Adam Stewart to determine the rental rates, services and amenities offered to the tenants of the subject property.
- The purpose of this market study is to determine if the community has a need for the subject units. To do so, the analysts utilize data from the U.S. Census Bureau; Ribbon Demographics, 2024 Data; and various other demographic resources. Community information and opinion was also utilized. The research retrieved data from several of the following: internet sites, local newspapers and rental publications, town records, owners and managers of local apartment properties, local real estate brokers, fellow analysts and the analyst's office files.
- During the week of February 21, 2024, Jonathan Richmond inspected the exterior of each comparable property used in the analysis. During the site inspections or in separate telephone interviews, Jonathan Richmond, Janice F. Gill, MAI, or one of their associates, spoke with the managers of the comparable properties to confirm all data and to collect additional information about each comparable including size, age, amenities, occupancy rates and general market information. The property manager provided floor plans or other information describing the size of comparable units after it was explained that the interior size was needed.
- Jonathan Richmond and Janice F. Gill, MAI, the primary market analysts, completed the data and adjustments columns of the Rent Comparability Grids and determined the final estimate of rents. After completing the Rent Comparability Grids, Jonathan Richmond and Janice F. Gill, MAI, derived an estimated market rent and an estimated achievable rent for each unit type. Jonathan Richmond and Janice F. Gill, MAI, also completed the demand, penetration rate and capture rate conclusions through analysis of all aspects of the subject, market area and demographic data available to the analyst.



Project Description

Project Name: Lawrenceville Manor Apartments
Location: 501 Walnut Street
Lawrenceville, Virginia
Project Type: Family
Construction Type: Rehabilitated
Developer: TM Associates Management Inc
Area Median Family Income: \$62,300

The subject, Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, is an existing Rural Development property designated for families. The subject consists of three two-story walk-up buildings with 24 units. In addition, the complex contain an accessory building that houses the leasing office and maintenance area. The subject property will undergo renovation. Once renovation is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units. The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits for all units, which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning up to 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. Considering Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes below \$38,160 will be eligible for the rehabilitated development. Without considering Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes between \$13,611 and \$38,160 would be eligible for the rehabilitated development.

Project Design

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is comprised of three two-story walk-up buildings containing a total of 24 one- and two-bedroom units. The complex also contains an accessory building which houses the leasing office and maintenance area. The buildings have concrete slab foundations and wood framing, with vinyl siding exteriors and asphalt shingle roofs. The complex was constructed in 1985 and was renovated in 2006. The property will once again be rehabilitated. Landscaping is minimal and consist of grass, trees and shrubs. The property is not a scattered site development.

Unit Features

Each unit contains the following amenities: refrigerator, range/oven, carpet and vinyl flooring, blinds and ceiling fans. Upon completion of rehabilitation, the units will also contain a dishwasher and in-unit Wi-Fi.

Common Amenities and Services

The property provides the following project amenities: playground, laundry facility, on-site management and on-site maintenance.

Parking

The complex contains an open asphalt parking lot with a total of 39 parking spaces. Therefore, the subject has a parking ratio 1.63 parking spaces per unit.



Utilities

The following tables describe the subject property's utility combination.

| UTILITY SCHEDULE | | |
|------------------|------------------|----------|
| Utility | Type | Who Pays |
| Heat | Central Electric | Tenant |
| Air Conditioning | Central Electric | Tenant |
| Hot Water | Electric | Tenant |
| Cooking | Electric | Tenant |
| Other Electric | Electric | Tenant |
| Cold Water/Sewer | N/A | Tenant |
| Trash Collection | N/A | Landlord |

Unit Mix, Size and Rent Structure

The following chart lists the subject's unit mix.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Total Square Footage |
|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | 5,200 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | 12,000 |
| | 24 | | 17,200 |

The following chart lists the subject's existing unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Current Rent | Utility Allowance |
|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | \$822 | \$115 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | \$911 | \$138 |
| | 24 | | | |

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | % of Median Income | Maximum LIHTC Rent | Gross Rent | Utility Allowance | Net Rent |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 30% | \$397 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 4 | 650 | 50% | \$662 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 60% | \$795 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 30% | \$477 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 8 | 750 | 50% | \$795 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 60% | \$954 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |

Since the subject will retain its Rental Assistance once rehabilitation is complete, the development's proposed gross rents are not tethered to the maximum allowable LIHTC rents. Due to the Rental Assistance, tenants will never be required to pay more than 30 percent of annual income toward rent and utilities. Furthermore, at no point will tenants be required to pay more than the maximum allowable LIHTC rent.



The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits for all units, which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning up to 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the subject will also retain its Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes below \$38,160 will be eligible for the rehabilitated development. Without considering the Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes between \$13,611 and \$38,160 would be eligible for the rehabilitated development.

| LIHTC INCOME LIMITS | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Person in Households | 30% | 50% | 60% |
| 1 | \$14,850 | \$24,750 | \$29,700 |
| 2 | \$16,950 | \$28,250 | \$33,900 |
| 3 | \$19,080 | \$31,800 | \$38,160 |
| 4 | \$21,180 | \$35,300 | \$42,360 |
| 5 | \$22,890 | \$38,150 | \$45,780 |
| 6 | \$24,570 | \$40,950 | \$49,140 |

Source: HUD

Tenant Services

The subject does not offer any tenants services.

Scope of Work

The rehabilitation will begin in the summer of 2025 and is anticipated to be complete by the spring of 2026. The proposed scope of work includes replacement of appliances, kitchen cabinets, bath vanities and medicine cabinets, windows, interior and exterior doors, hot water heaters, air conditioning units, toilets and baths, flooring and structural elements such as exterior brick and wall supports, roofing and re-grading and striping of the parking lots. Once rehabilitation is complete, units will contain a dishwasher and in-unit Wi-Fi.



Location/Site Characteristics

The subject consists of one irregular-shaped tract of land that contains a total of 3.567 acres. The subject property is zoned R-3, Residential District. Therefore, the subject is a legal, conforming use. A zoning change is unlikely. The subject is not a scattered site development. The subject is located in Census Tract #9302.03.

The subject neighborhood is comprised primarily of commercial properties and is 50 percent built up. Approximately 30 percent of the land use is made up of single-family residences. About 15 percent is comprised of commercial properties. Another five percent of the land use is made up of multifamily dwellings. The remaining 50 percent of the land use is vacant land. Single-family residences and vacant land are located to the north, south and east of the subject. Oakwood Cemetery is located to the west of the property. The area is mostly rural.

Project Location


The subject properties, Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, is a Rural Development property with a total of 24 units and is located in Lawrenceville, Virginia. As complete, the property will continue to be a Rural Development property and will also be 100 percent LIHTC with income levels at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income.

The Town of Lawrenceville is located in Brunswick County which is located in the southern portion of Virginia. Nearby cities include Hopewell, Petersburg, Richmond, Lakeside, Chester, and Laurel. Lawrenceville has the following boundaries: North – Nottoway and Dinwiddie Counties; South – the State of North Carolina; East – Greenville County; and West – Lunenburg and Mecklenburg Counties.

Major highways in the County of Brunswick include Interstate 1; State Highways 46, 136, 137, and 378; and U.S. Highways 1 and 58.




Location Map



Lawrenceville Manor Apartments
501 Walnut Street
Lawrenceville, Virginia 23868



Vicinity Map





Community and Site Information

Site Characteristics

The subject property is located in a predominantly residential neighborhood in the northwestern portion of Lawrenceville. The subject is located along Walnut Street, just south of West 5th Avenue.

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is easily accessed via Walnut Street. Walnut Street connects to West 5th Avenue. West 5th Street provides access to South Hicks Street. South Hicks Street then provides access to U.S. Highway 58, a major thoroughfare in the area. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access.

The subject consists of one irregular-shaped tract of land that contains a total of 3.567 acres. The subject property is zoned R-3, Residential District. Therefore, the subject is a legal, conforming use. A zoning change is unlikely. The subject is not a scattered site development. The subject is located in Census Tract #9302.03.

Surrounding Land Uses

The subject neighborhood is comprised primarily of commercial properties and is 50 percent built up. Approximately 30 percent of the land use is made up of single-family residences. About 15 percent is comprised of commercial properties. Another five percent of the land use is made up of multifamily dwellings. The remaining 50 percent of the land use is vacant land. Single-family residences and vacant land are located to the north, south and east of the subject. Oakwood Cemetery is located to the west of the property. The area is mostly rural.





Subject Photos



View of Sign



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Exterior



View of Leasing Office



View of Laundry Facility



View of Mail Center



View of Maintenance Area



View of Typical Living Area



View of Typical Kitchen



View of Typical Bedroom



View of Typical Bath



View of Playground



View of Parking Lot



View of Street



View of Street



Nearby Employment Opportunities

Most market area tenants have white collar occupations. According to the Claritas and Ribbon Demographics, approximately 47.0 percent of the market area works in white collar industries; 31.3 percent work in blue collar industries; and 21.6 percent work in service and farming industries.

Regional and Area Data

Parks and Recreational Opportunities

Brunswick County Parks and Recreation Department offers several recreational opportunities including, but not limited to, the following: Brunswick Lake, which is a 157-acres impoundment that offers canoeing, kayaking and fishing; County Park at Great, which is located on the banks of Great Creek Reservoir, a 212-acre flood control lake, and offers a boat launching ramp, two fishing piers, playground, picnic shelters with grills, football/baseball field, volleyball court, horseshoe pit, and nature walking trail; and Lake Gaston, which offers fishing, hunting, camping, boating, swimming and water sports.

Government/Public Safety

The Town of Lawrenceville operates under a Council-Manager form of government. The residents of the town are served by the Lawrenceville Police Department and Lawrenceville Volunteer Fire Department.

Utilities

The Town of Lawrenceville provides water and sewer services to residents of the area. Dominion Energy provides electricity services to the area. Natural gas services are provided by Virginia Natural Gas. Basic telephone services are provided by AT&T and Verizon.

Health Services

Healthcare and medical facilities in the area include Lawrenceville Primary Care, Brunswick Family Practice, Hospitality Health Quick Care, and VCU Health Community Memorial Hospital.

Transportation


Major highways in the County of Brunswick include Interstate 1; State Highways 46, 136, 137, and 378; and U.S. Highways 1 and 58. Nearby airports include Emporia Greenville Regional Airport and Brunswick County Airport. The nearest international airport is Richmond International Airport in Richmond, VA. Public transportation services are provided by Brunswick Express.

Crime

According to AreaVibes, there are no defined neighborhoods in the Town of Lawrenceville. Therefore, data for the town was utilized. In the town, approximately 195 per 100,000 residents are victims of a violent crime annually, and approximately 976 per 100,000 residents are victims of a property crime each year. This equates to 12 reported crimes: two violent crimes and 10 property crimes. The crime rate for the town is 39.3 percent lower than for the state, and 49.6 percent lower than for the nation. The total number of crimes in the town has increased 42.0 percent within the past year, according to AreaVibes. In the town, there is a 1 in 513 chance of being the victim of a violent crime and a 1 in 103 chance of being the victim of a property crime. The life cycle is generally in the stability stage.




Community Services Legends and Maps




MEDICAL FACILITIES

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Intensive Community Outreach Services | 0.6 |
| Lawrenceville Primary Care | 0.6 |
| James River Cardiology | 0.8 |
| Safe Haven Counseling Services, LLC | 0.9 |
| Brunswick Family Practice Ltd | 1.1 |



| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Rite Aid Pharmacy | 1.4 |




SCHOOLS

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Country Mouse Nursery School | 0.6 |
| Brunswick High School | 2.1 |
| Brunswick County Tech Center | 2.2 |
| Totaro Elementary School | 2.3 |




GROCERY STORES/SUPERMARKETS

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Food Lion | 1.4 |




BANKS/LENDING INSTITUTIONS

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Benchmark Community Bank | 0.3 |
| First Citizens Bank | 0.7 |




| RESTAURANTS | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
| Isabella Mexican restaurant | 0.2 |
| Hardee's | 0.3 |
| Tae's Soul Food Restaurant | 0.6 |
| Pino's Pizza & Italian Restaurant | 0.6 |




SHOPPING

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Dollar General | 0.5 |
| E E Vaughan & Son Inc | 0.6 |
| The Butterfly Rose Florist & Gift Shop | 0.8 |
| Bea's Boutique | 0.9 |
| Family Dollar | 1.4 |



| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Brunswick Express Walnut Street & West 5th Avenue Bus Stop | 125 Ft |




LIBRARIES

Service

Distance From Site (in Miles)


Russell Memorial Library

0.9




PARKS/RECREATION


| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Tobacco Heritage Trail Trailhead | 0.5 |
| Gazebo Hiking Area | 0.5 |
| Peebles Memorial Park | 0.7 |
| Brunswick County Museum | 0.8 |
| Great Creek Park | 3.4 |




| | Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Quik Stop 6 | 0.3 |
| | Petrol | 0.5 |
| | Southside General | 0.5 |



| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Tabernacle Zion Church | 0.4 |
| Lawrenceville Baptist Church | 0.4 |
| Saint Pauls Memorial Chapel | 1.0 |
| St Andrews Episcopal Church | 1.1 |
| Lawrenceville United Methodist Church | 1.2 |




| | Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | United States Postal Service | 0.3 |




PUBLIC SAFETY/GOVERNMENT

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brunswick County | 0.7 |
| Brunswick County Sheriff's Office | 0.8 |
| Lawrenceville Fire House | 0.8 |
| Lawrenceville Police Department | 0.8 |
| Town of Lawrenceville | 0.8 |



SOCIAL SERVICES

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Brunswick County Social Services Department | 0.6 |
| Lawrenceville United Methodist Church-Food Distribution Center | 1.2 |
| Lawrenceville Health & Rehabilitation | 1.6 |




MAJOR EMPLOYERS

| Service | Distance From Site (in Miles) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Pecht Distributors | 0.6 |
| County of Brunswick | 0.7 |
| Parker Oil Company | 1.1 |
| Lawrenceville Correctional Center | 3.5 |
| Brunswick Academy | 4.0 |
| Scotts Hyponex Corporation | 5.4 |
| Redland Brick | 6.1 |
| Southside VA Community College | 7.7 |
| Meherrin River Regional Jail | 8.4 |
| Brunswick County School Board | 8.9 |



Competing Properties Map



As renovated, the subject will be a Rural Development and LIHTC property with rents and income limits set at 30, 50 and 60 percent AMI. Therefore, the above income-restricted units will directly compete with the subject's units.



Summary of Site Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths – The site has average visibility and access. The subject is close to employment centers, healthcare services, government and public services. In addition, the subject's location provides easy access to major thoroughfares and many recreation and shopping opportunities.

Weaknesses – None



Delineation of Market Area

Following is a list of considerations used when determining the market area:

- **Population and Households Counts:** The number of households in a market area is directly proportionate to its geographic size within an individual market. Total householders residing in a market area can greatly influence demand calculations.
- **General Demographics:** The socio-economic composition of a market area including income, household size and tenure can be largely influenced by the erroneous inclusion or exclusions of non-comparable areas.
- **Demand:** Too large of a market may result in overstating demand for a proposed development. Conversely, too conservative of a market area may discount the demand for new product, especially if a lack of quality housing currently exists.
- **Supply Analysis:** While a larger market area generally includes higher household totals, it likewise may include a higher number of directly comparable units. If using demand methodologies that net out recently constructed and comparable rental units from the demand estimate, the increase in the number of comparable units can outweigh the increase in demand.
- **Competitive Stock:** The existing rental stock surveyed for a market study is dependent on the number of rental properties in a given market and therefore the boundaries of a primary market area. If existing developments are going to be used as “comparables”, they should be located in the primary market area, if possible.
- **Attainable Rents:** If the market area includes non-comparable areas, the analysis may use comparables projects that suggest that a project can achieve rents that area significantly higher or lower than the rents its micro location will support.
- **Location of Competitive Properties:** A primary consideration in defining a market area is identifying those locations that offer alternative opportunities to a potential renter for a subject site. The managers of existing comparable properties near the site are excellent sources in determining those competitive locations.
- **Accessibility:** Available transportation linkages including both traffic arteries and mass transit options can influence the size of the market. Mass transit can have a significant impact on projects addressing very low income households for which transportation options may be limited.
- **Natural Boundaries:** Natural boundaries including rivers and mountains can restrict the mover-ship within a market due to a lack of accessibility.
- **Housing Project Characteristics:** The availability of a unique structure type such as a high rise may alter the typical draw of a potential project.
- **Market Perceptions:** Whether grounded in reality or not, market perceptions can be a significant determinant of market area boundaries. Social stigmas often exist within sub-markets, with residents from one side of a submarket may not move to a close by neighborhood, regardless of housing opportunities. The construction of a new, attractive rental community may not necessarily relieve this perception.
- **Commuting Patterns:** The time spent commuting and employment destination could often reveal distinct patterns. High percentages of workers with long commutes or working in neighboring counties are often indicators of a lack of affordable housing options near employment centers.
- **Target Market:** Proposed developments targeting a special needs population such as seniors generally draw from a larger geographic region. Given the smaller pool of qualified householders, the location and quantity of comparable stock is of additional importance. An acceptable market area for a family oriented rental community will often be too conservative for a rental community targeting senior rental householders.
- **Jurisdictional Boundaries:** Differences in the quality and services provided by school districts, municipalities, or counties often influence consumer location choices.
- **Local Agency Service Boundaries:** The geographic areas covered by local agencies, such as housing authorities, senior citizen centers, community-based organizations, or churches can be a strong indicator of market area boundaries, especially when the project has a community-based sponsor or co-sponsor.




-
- Non-Geographic Factors: Employees who might be expected to reside in a development as a result of planned or existing job opportunities and special needs households who are served by a multi-jurisdictional agency that covers communities that are clearly distinct market areas.

The determination of the market area is based on the boundaries between governmental units. In such a method, county, division or town boundaries become the boundaries of the market area. The primary market area for the subject consists of Mecklenburg County, Lunenburg County, Brunswick County, Greensville County and Emporia City. The market area has the following boundaries: North – Prince Edward, Nottoway and Dinwiddie Counties; South – State of North Carolina; East – Halifax and Charlotte Counties; and West – Sussex and Southampton Counties. The analysis was conducted using only the demographics data from the primary market area. However, according to the market study guidelines for Virginia Housing, a secondary or tertiary market area was also determined. The secondary market area is defined as Mecklenburg County, Lunenburg County, Brunswick County, Greensville County, Emporia City, Prince Edward County, Nottoway County and Dinwiddie County.



Primary Market Area Map





Employment and Economy

The economy of the market area is based on accommodation and food services; construction; educational services; health care and social assistance services; manufacturing; public administration; retail trade; and transportation, warehousing and utilities sectors. Each of these categories has experienced reasonable growth within the past few years.

Unemployment in Brunswick County reached a high of 11.5 percent in 2010 and was at its lowest in 2022 with 4.7 percent. The rate for Brunswick County in December 2023 was 4.3 percent. The number of employees employed has decreased 0.7 percent per year since 2010. The following table shows the number of employees per industry in Brunswick County since 2012:

| AT-PLACE EMPLOYMENT TRENDS | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| INDUSTRY | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022** |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Mining | 279 | 286 | 286 | 285 | 293 | 295 | 295 | 258 | 262 | 261 | 265 |
| Construction | 195 | 238 | 211 | 200 | 219 | 206 | 200 | 207 | 165 | 147 | 143 |
| Manufacturing | 241 | 244 | 231 | 233 | 236 | 250 | 242 | 249 | 205 | 215 | 233 |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 276 | 267 | 232 | 210 | 191 | 187 | 113 | 109 | 114 | 105 | 118 |
| Utilities | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Wholesale Trade | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Retail Trade | 314 | 339 | 341 | 343 | 309 | 283 | 271 | 276 | 260 | 256 | 294 |
| Leisure and Hospitality | 244 | 220 | 199 | 192 | 174 | 176 | 163 | 156 | 127 | 122 | 118 |
| Education and Health Services | 532 | 501 | 495 | 560 | 545 | 487 | 432 | 497 | 473 | 452 | 430 |
| Professional and Business Services | 593 | 772 | 747 | 721 | 768 | 642 | 631 | 620 | 609 | 496 | 467 |
| Financial Activities | 70 | 72 | 72 | 81 | 72 | 72 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 74 | 83 |
| Information | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | 21 | 19 | 18 | N/A* | N/A* | 16 |
| Other Services | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* | 82 | 156 | 182 | 74 | 73 | 68 | 63 |
| Public Administration (Local Government) | 213 | 122 | 118 | 119 | 123 | 125 | 129 | 136 | 138 | 139 | 144 |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

*Data was not available.

**Preliminary

| LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS FOR BRUNSWICK COUNTY | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| ANNUALS | CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE* | EMPLOYMENT | | UNEMPLOYMENT | |
| | | TOTAL | % | TOTAL | % |
| 2010 | 6,968 | 6,165 | 88.5% | 803 | 11.5% |
| 2011 | 6,806 | 6,089 | 89.5% | 717 | 10.5% |
| 2012 | 6,717 | 6,051 | 90.1% | 666 | 9.9% |
| 2013 | 6,656 | 6,046 | 90.8% | 610 | 9.2% |
| 2014 | 6,485 | 5,971 | 92.1% | 514 | 7.9% |
| 2015 | 6,355 | 5,928 | 93.3% | 427 | 6.7% |
| 2016 | 6,216 | 5,852 | 94.1% | 364 | 5.9% |
| 2017 | 6,176 | 5,852 | 94.8% | 324 | 5.2% |
| 2018 | 6,023 | 5,724 | 95.0% | 299 | 5.0% |
| 2019 | 6,060 | 5,778 | 95.3% | 282 | 4.7% |
| 2020 | 5,921 | 5,434 | 91.8% | 487 | 8.2% |
| 2021 | 5,777 | 5,420 | 93.8% | 357 | 6.2% |
| 2022 | 5,887 | 5,619 | 95.4% | 268 | 4.6% |
| 2023** | 5,992 | 5,737 | 95.7% | 255 | 4.3% |

* Data based on place of residence.

**Preliminary - based on monthly data through December 2023

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics



The State of Virginia reached a high of 7.3 percent in 2010 and was at its lowest point in 2019 with 2.8 percent. The rate for the State of Virginia in December 2023 was 2.7 percent. The number employed has increased 0.9 percent per year since 2010.

| LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS FOR VIRGINIA | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|-------|--------------|------|
| ANNUALS | CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE* | EMPLOYMENT | | UNEMPLOYMENT | |
| | | TOTAL | % | TOTAL | % |
| 2010 | 4,163,293 | 3,858,466 | 92.7% | 304,827 | 7.3% |
| 2011 | 4,205,184 | 3,929,008 | 93.4% | 276,176 | 6.6% |
| 2012 | 4,217,529 | 3,967,151 | 94.1% | 250,378 | 5.9% |
| 2013 | 4,238,377 | 4,002,057 | 94.4% | 236,320 | 5.6% |
| 2014 | 4,258,856 | 4,040,908 | 94.9% | 217,948 | 5.1% |
| 2015 | 4,233,981 | 4,048,081 | 95.6% | 185,900 | 4.4% |
| 2016 | 4,254,348 | 4,084,822 | 96.0% | 169,526 | 4.0% |
| 2017 | 4,352,977 | 4,193,290 | 96.3% | 159,687 | 3.7% |
| 2018 | 4,359,053 | 4,228,274 | 97.0% | 130,779 | 3.0% |
| 2019 | 4,414,972 | 4,292,501 | 97.2% | 122,471 | 2.8% |
| 2020 | 4,342,950 | 4,062,417 | 93.5% | 280,533 | 6.5% |
| 2021 | 4,331,297 | 4,162,555 | 96.1% | 168,742 | 3.9% |
| 2022 | 4,435,858 | 4,308,805 | 97.1% | 127,053 | 2.9% |
| 2023** | 4,547,751 | 4,424,565 | 97.3% | 123,186 | 2.7% |

* Data based on place of residence.

**Preliminary - based on monthly data through December 2023

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, unemployment trends for Brunswick County are slightly higher than to the unemployment trends for the State of Virginia.

| CHANGE IN TOTAL EMPLOYMENT FOR BRUNSWICK COUNTY | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| PERIOD | NUMBER | | PERCENT | |
| | TOTAL | ANNUAL | TOTAL | ANNUAL |
| 2010-2015 | (237) | (47) | -3.8% | -0.8% |
| 2015-2020 | (309) | (62) | -5.2% | -1.0% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The changes in employment since 2010 by time period are listed in the above table. The data shows that the number of persons employed in Brunswick County has decreased an average of 0.9 percent between 2010 and 2020.

| RECENT CHANGES IN EMPLOYMENT FOR BRUNSWICK COUNTY | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| YEAR | NUMBER EMPLOYED | ANNUAL CHANGE | % OF LABOR FORCE UNEMPLOYED |
| 2015 | 5,928 | (43) | 6.7% |
| 2016 | 5,852 | (76) | 5.9% |
| 2017 | 5,852 | 0 | 5.2% |
| 2018 | 5,724 | (128) | 5.0% |
| 2019 | 5,778 | 54 | 4.7% |
| 2020 | 5,434 | (344) | 8.2% |
| 2021 | 5,420 | (14) | 6.2% |
| 2022 | 5,619 | 199 | 4.6% |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The previous tables show the changes in employment and percent unemployed for the past six years. The unemployment rate for Brunswick County has fluctuated from 4.6 percent to 8.2 percent since 2015.




Major Employers

Major employers for Brunswick County and the industry are shown in the following table:

| MAJOR EMPLOYERS | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Name | Product/Service | Total Employees |
| Lawrenceville Correctional Center | Correctional Facility | 250-499 |
| Brunswick County School Board | Education | 250-499 |
| Southside VA Community College | Education | 250-499 |
| Meherrin River Regional Jail | Correctional Facility | 100-249 |
| County of Brunswick | Government | 100-249 |
| Davis Oil Company | Oil/Natural Gas | 50-99 |
| Scotts Hyponex Corporation | Mulch Supplier | 50-99 |
| Redland Brick | Manufacturing | 50-99 |
| Virginia Carolina Forest Products | Manufacturing | 50-99 |
| Brunswick Academy | Education | 50-99 |
| Pecht Distributors | Distributor | 20-49 |
| The Club Lake Gaston Resort | Recreation | 20-49 |


Source: Brunswick County IDA

The majority of the civilian population within the market area are employed in white collar jobs though a significant portion are also employed in service and farming and blue collar positions. The following pie chart shows the breakdown within the market area.






The majority of the civilian population within the town are employed in white collar jobs though a significant portion are also employed in service and farming and blue collar positions. The following pie chart shows the breakdown within the town.



The majority of the civilian population within the county are employed in white collar jobs though a significant portion are also employed in service and farming and blue collar positions. The following pie chart shows the breakdown within the county.





Place of Work Employment

The following chart shows the number of people employed in different sectors of the market area economy in 2024.

| Market Area Employed Civilian Population Aged 16+ Years by Industry Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Industry | Number Employed | Percent Employed |
| Accommodation/Food Services | 1,951 | 6.6% |
| Administrative/Support/Waste Management | 1,294 | 4.4% |
| Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting/Mining | 818 | 2.8% |
| Arts/Entertainment/Recreation | 122 | 0.4% |
| Construction | 2,056 | 7.0% |
| Educational Services | 2,744 | 9.3% |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate/Rent/Lease | 1,039 | 3.5% |
| Health Care/Social Assistance | 3,992 | 13.6% |
| Information | 494 | 1.7% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 39 | 0.1% |
| Manufacturing | 3,258 | 11.1% |
| Other Services Except Public Administration | 869 | 3.0% |
| Professional/Scientific/Technical Services | 1,057 | 3.6% |
| Public Administration | 2,626 | 8.9% |
| Retail Trade | 3,821 | 13.0% |
| Transportation/Warehousing/Utilities | 2,453 | 8.4% |
| Wholesale Trade | 735 | 2.5% |
| Total: | 29,368 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas

The following chart shows the number of people employed in different sectors of the town economy in 2024.

| Town of Lawrenceville Employed Civilian Population Aged 16+ Years by Industry Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Industry | Number Employed | Percent Employed |
| Accommodation/Food Services | 65 | 13.3% |
| Administrative/Support/Waste Management | 13 | 2.7% |
| Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting/Mining | 6 | 1.2% |
| Arts/Entertainment/Recreation | 0 | 0.0% |
| Construction | 12 | 2.4% |
| Educational Services | 47 | 9.6% |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate/Rent/Lease | 13 | 2.7% |
| Health Care/Social Assistance | 102 | 20.8% |
| Information | 2 | 0.4% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 0 | 0.0% |
| Manufacturing | 61 | 12.4% |
| Other Services Except Public Administration | 10 | 2.0% |
| Professional/Scientific/Technical Services | 12 | 2.4% |
| Public Administration | 29 | 5.9% |
| Retail Trade | 71 | 14.5% |
| Transportation/Warehousing/Utilities | 36 | 7.3% |
| Wholesale Trade | 11 | 2.2% |
| Total: | 490 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas



The following chart shows the number of people employed in different sectors of the county economy in 2024.

| Brunswick County Employed Civilian Population Aged 16+ Years by Industry Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| Industry | Number Employed | Percent Employed |
| Accommodation/Food Services | 476 | 7.5% |
| Administrative/Support/Waste Management | 206 | 3.2% |
| Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting/Mining | 247 | 3.9% |
| Arts/Entertainment/Recreation | 2 | 0.0% |
| Construction | 289 | 4.5% |
| Educational Services | 675 | 10.6% |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate/Rent/Lease | 237 | 3.7% |
| Health Care/Social Assistance | 780 | 12.3% |
| Information | 51 | 0.8% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 0 | 0.0% |
| Manufacturing | 550 | 8.7% |
| Other Services Except Public Administration | 173 | 2.7% |
| Professional/Scientific/Technical Services | 154 | 2.4% |
| Public Administration | 559 | 8.8% |
| Retail Trade | 992 | 15.6% |
| Transportation/Warehousing/Utilities | 841 | 13.2% |
| Wholesale Trade | 120 | 1.9% |
| Total: | 6,352 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas

The above charts show the number of people employed in different sectors of the market area, the Town of Lawrenceville and Brunswick County economy in 2024. According to interviews conducted by the analyst, employers in the area indicate that the area economy should remain stable in the next two to five years.

Future Employment Trends

W.A.R.N. notices require employers with 100 or more employees to provide at least 60 calendar days advance written notice of plant closings and mass layoffs affecting 50 or more employees at a single site of employment. Exceptions to giving notice may be allowed in an economic crisis which is considered to be an unforeseen business circumstance. According to the Virginia Employment Commission, there have not been any Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notifications (W.A.R.N.) notices were issued in Brunswick County within the past two years.

According to Shanette Back, Community & Economic Development Director with the Virginia's Growth Alliance (formerly, the TransTech Alliance), the organization is a regional organization of six counties and one city that have come together to facilitate investment attraction and economic growth in the region. The localities includes the counties of Brunswick, Charlotte, Greensville, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, and Nottoway; and the City of Lawrenceville. Although the initial major focus is on business recruitment, the organization is also creating strategies for entrepreneurship development, asset development, and leadership development. According to VGA, the area has seen a few new and expanding business over the last two years, including ,but not limited to the following:

Heyco Werk USA Inc., a subsidiary of Germany-based automotive parts manufacturer Heyco Group, plans to invest \$5.4 million to expand its operations in Greenville County, adding 21 jobs. In addition, Rosie's Gaming Emporium, a new casino, recently celebrated its grand opening in Emporia City. The company plans to hire over 125 employees with average salaries of \$47,000 per year within the first two years of operations.



Old Dominion Organic Farms announced plans to construct a new product processing and packing facility at the Business Center Park, located in Brunswick County. Over the next three years, 40 new jobs will be created and the facility is expected to process nearly \$24 million Virginia-grown vegetables, approximately 80 percent of which will be certified organic. The new facility will support more than 22 farmers located in Amelia, Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, Prince George, and Surry Counties during its first season.

Coast 2 Coast Trucking, Inc., a sister company to ABC Recycling, will invest \$3.765 million to establish its new company in Lunenburg County, which will create 21 new jobs including full time truck drivers, mechanics and office personnel.

FyberX Holdings, a developer of technology to process raw agricultural biomass into refined natural fibers, will establish its U.S. headquarters and production operation in Mecklenburg County, Virginia. The \$17.5 million project is expected to create 45 jobs. The new facility, located in the former Kinderton Distribution Center building, will process hemp and other agricultural products, producing fibers to supply the textile industry.

Due to the new and expanding businesses, decreasing unemployment and lack of layoffs and closures in the area, it is believed that the economic outlook for the area will remain stable for the next two to five years.

Wages

The average annual wage of employees in Brunswick County was \$55,099 in 2022. Wages have been increasing 26.1 percent per year.

| AVERAGE ANNUAL WAGE BY SECTOR | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| INDUSTRY | 2021 | 2022 | % INCREASE |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Mining | \$54,634 | \$58,954 | 7.9% |
| Construction | \$42,963 | \$46,362 | 7.9% |
| Manufacturing | \$58,459 | \$56,620 | -3.1% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | \$41,364 | \$45,686 | 10.4% |
| Utilities | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Wholesale Trade | N/A* | N/A* | N/A* |
| Retail Trade | \$28,391 | \$28,934 | 1.9% |
| Leisure and Hospitality | \$17,387 | \$20,293 | 16.7% |
| Education and Health Services | \$25,516 | \$31,150 | 22.1% |
| Professional and Business Services | \$48,041 | \$55,617 | 15.8% |
| Financial Activities | \$76,860 | \$89,251 | 16.1% |
| Information | N/A* | \$127,583 | N/A* |
| Other Services | \$38,120 | \$50,449 | 32.3% |
| Public Administration (Local Government) | \$48,702 | \$50,285 | 3.3% |


Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

*Data was not available.



Employment Outside the County

In 2024, for residents employed in market area, the travel time to work from the site is less than 15 minutes. For the majority of those employed in other parts of the town, the travel time would be within 30 minutes. According to the chart below, 31.9 percent have a travel time of less than 15 minutes; 30.7 percent have a travel time of 15 to 29 minutes; and 37.4 percent have a travel time of over 30 minutes. This relatively low travel time indicates that the subject site is in an area in close proximity to employment centers.



Summary of Employment Trends in Market Area

The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits for all units, which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning up to 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the subject will also retain its Rental Assistance for all units, households with one to three persons and incomes below \$38,160 will be eligible for the rehabilitated development. The income restriction is suitable for the average annual wages of the area, as indicated in the table on Page 61. The available employment is well-suited to the targeted population of the proposed rehabilitated Rural Development and LIHTC property.




Population and Households Trends and Analysis

The housing Market Area for the rehabilitated units is an area in which people could locate their residences and have fairly equal access to the same job market, community services and amenities and be in the socio-economic community they choose.

The primary market area for the subject consists of Mecklenburg County, Lunenburg County, Brunswick County, Greensville County and Emporia City.

| Market Area | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Population by Age & Sex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Census 2020</i> | | | | <i>Current Year Estimates - 2024</i> | | | | <i>Five-Year Projections - 2029</i> | | | | |
| Age | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total | |
| 0 to 4 Years | 1,728 | 1,650 | 3,378 | 0 to 4 Years | 1,678 | 1,606 | 3,284 | 0 to 4 Years | 1,702 | 1,629 | 3,331 | |
| 5 to 9 Years | 1,783 | 1,778 | 3,561 | 5 to 9 Years | 1,706 | 1,637 | 3,343 | 5 to 9 Years | 1,698 | 1,623 | 3,321 | |
| 10 to 14 Years | 2,078 | 1,957 | 4,035 | 10 to 14 Years | 1,817 | 1,784 | 3,601 | 10 to 14 Years | 1,727 | 1,655 | 3,382 | |
| 15 to 17 Years | 1,292 | 1,262 | 2,554 | 15 to 17 Years | 1,234 | 1,146 | 2,380 | 15 to 17 Years | 1,129 | 1,096 | 2,225 | |
| 18 to 20 Years | 1,164 | 1,045 | 2,209 | 18 to 20 Years | 1,299 | 1,151 | 2,450 | 18 to 20 Years | 1,262 | 1,122 | 2,384 | |
| 21 to 24 Years | 1,650 | 1,266 | 2,916 | 21 to 24 Years | 1,847 | 1,442 | 3,289 | 21 to 24 Years | 2,002 | 1,541 | 3,543 | |
| 25 to 34 Years | 5,209 | 3,577 | 8,786 | 25 to 34 Years | 5,056 | 3,313 | 8,369 | 25 to 34 Years | 5,393 | 3,480 | 8,873 | |
| 35 to 44 Years | 5,227 | 3,632 | 8,859 | 35 to 44 Years | 5,179 | 3,576 | 8,755 | 35 to 44 Years | 5,155 | 3,535 | 8,690 | |
| 45 to 54 Years | 5,083 | 4,302 | 9,385 | 45 to 54 Years | 4,598 | 3,858 | 8,456 | 45 to 54 Years | 4,398 | 3,599 | 7,997 | |
| 55 to 64 Years | 5,950 | 5,898 | 11,848 | 55 to 64 Years | 5,464 | 5,392 | 10,856 | 55 to 64 Years | 4,667 | 4,616 | 9,283 | |
| 65 to 74 Years | 4,969 | 5,449 | 10,418 | 65 to 74 Years | 5,417 | 5,953 | 11,370 | 65 to 74 Years | 5,872 | 6,510 | 12,382 | |
| 75 to 84 Years | 2,352 | 3,009 | 5,361 | 75 to 84 Years | 2,736 | 3,521 | 6,257 | 75 to 84 Years | 3,190 | 4,114 | 7,304 | |
| 85 Years and Up | <u>648</u> | <u>1,303</u> | <u>1,951</u> | 85 Years and Up | <u>683</u> | <u>1,390</u> | <u>2,073</u> | 85 Years and Up | <u>730</u> | <u>1,472</u> | <u>2,202</u> | |
| Total | 39,133 | 36,128 | 75,261 | Total | 38,714 | 35,769 | 74,483 | Total | 38,925 | 35,992 | 74,917 | |
| 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 21,361 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 23,179 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 24,879 | |
| Median Age: | | | 46 | Median Age: | | | 47.1 | Median Age: | | | 47.1 | |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics


In 2010, this geographic market area contained an estimated population of 81,246. The population in 2020 in the market area decreased 7.4 percent to 75,261. In 2027, the population in this market area decreased 1.0 percent to 74,483. It is projected that between 2024 and 2029, population in the market area will increase 0.6 percent to 74,917. Population estimates and projections are based on the most



recent data from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics. The following tables show the population change by age in the market area.

| Market Area | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Changes in Population by Age & Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Change - 2020 to 2024 | | | | | Projected Change - 2024 to 2029 | | | | |
| Age | Male | Female | Total Change | Percent Change | Age | Male | Female | Total Change | Percent Change |
| 0 to 4 Years | -50 | -44 | -94 | -2.8% | 0 to 4 Years | 24 | 23 | 47 | 1.4% |
| 5 to 9 Years | -77 | -141 | -218 | -6.1% | 5 to 9 Years | -8 | -14 | -22 | -0.7% |
| 10 to 14 Years | -261 | -173 | -434 | -10.8% | 10 to 14 Years | -90 | -129 | -219 | -6.1% |
| 15 to 17 Years | -58 | -116 | -174 | -6.8% | 15 to 17 Years | -105 | -50 | -155 | -6.5% |
| 18 to 20 Years | 135 | 106 | 241 | 10.9% | 18 to 20 Years | -37 | -29 | -66 | -2.7% |
| 21 to 24 Years | 197 | 176 | 373 | 12.8% | 21 to 24 Years | 155 | 99 | 254 | 7.7% |
| 25 to 34 Years | -153 | -264 | -417 | -4.7% | 25 to 34 Years | 337 | 167 | 504 | 6.0% |
| 35 to 44 Years | -48 | -56 | -104 | -1.2% | 35 to 44 Years | -24 | -41 | -65 | -0.7% |
| 45 to 54 Years | -485 | -444 | -929 | -9.9% | 45 to 54 Years | -200 | -259 | -459 | -5.4% |
| 55 to 64 Years | -486 | -506 | -992 | -8.4% | 55 to 64 Years | -797 | -776 | -1,573 | -14.5% |
| 65 to 74 Years | 448 | 504 | 952 | 9.1% | 65 to 74 Years | 455 | 557 | 1,012 | 8.9% |
| 75 to 84 Years | 384 | 512 | 896 | 16.7% | 75 to 84 Years | 454 | 593 | 1,047 | 16.7% |
| 85 Years and Up | 35 | 87 | 122 | 6.3% | 85 Years and Up | 47 | 82 | 129 | 6.2% |
| Total | -419 | -359 | -778 | -1.0% | Total | 211 | 223 | 434 | 0.6% |
| 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 1,818 | 8.5% | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 1,700 | 7.3% |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics




Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



The total population in the market area has been increasing at a higher rate than for the population for the Town of Lawrenceville and Brunswick County. The following tables show the changes in population for the town and county.

| Town of Lawrenceville Population by Age & Sex | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|--|
| Age | Census 2020 | | | Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | | | Five-Year Projections - 2029 | | | | |
| | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total | |
| 0 to 4 Years | 25 | 20 | 45 | 0 to 4 Years | 28 | 25 | 53 | 0 to 4 Years | 31 | 29 | 60 | |
| 5 to 9 Years | 28 | 33 | 61 | 5 to 9 Years | 32 | 28 | 60 | 5 to 9 Years | 32 | 29 | 61 | |
| 10 to 14 Years | 33 | 31 | 64 | 10 to 14 Years | 35 | 39 | 74 | 10 to 14 Years | 34 | 30 | 64 | |
| 15 to 17 Years | 24 | 21 | 45 | 15 to 17 Years | 27 | 21 | 48 | 15 to 17 Years | 23 | 25 | 48 | |
| 18 to 20 Years | 21 | 17 | 38 | 18 to 20 Years | 28 | 23 | 51 | 18 to 20 Years | 26 | 25 | 51 | |
| 21 to 24 Years | 19 | 21 | 40 | 21 to 24 Years | 33 | 27 | 60 | 21 to 24 Years | 39 | 32 | 71 | |
| 25 to 34 Years | 57 | 65 | 122 | 25 to 34 Years | 65 | 75 | 140 | 25 to 34 Years | 81 | 79 | 160 | |
| 35 to 44 Years | 51 | 67 | 118 | 35 to 44 Years | 64 | 74 | 138 | 35 to 44 Years | 76 | 80 | 156 | |
| 45 to 54 Years | 39 | 54 | 93 | 45 to 54 Years | 52 | 67 | 119 | 45 to 54 Years | 62 | 85 | 147 | |
| 55 to 64 Years | 60 | 84 | 144 | 55 to 64 Years | 54 | 92 | 146 | 55 to 64 Years | 44 | 83 | 127 | |
| 65 to 74 Years | 56 | 73 | 129 | 65 to 74 Years | 71 | 98 | 169 | 65 to 74 Years | 77 | 115 | 192 | |
| 75 to 84 Years | 36 | 45 | 81 | 75 to 84 Years | 50 | 60 | 110 | 75 to 84 Years | 62 | 77 | 139 | |
| 85 Years and Up | 9 | 25 | 34 | 85 Years and Up | 11 | 28 | 39 | 85 Years and Up | 12 | 35 | 47 | |
| Total | 458 | 556 | 1,014 | Total | 550 | 657 | 1,207 | Total | 599 | 724 | 1,323 | |
| 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 303 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 372 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 420 | |
| Median Age: | | | 43 | Median Age: | | | 43.5 | Median Age: | | | 44.4 | |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics




Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



| Brunswick County Population by Age & Sex | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Census 2020 | | | | Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | | | Five-Year Projections - 2029 | | | |
| Age | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total | Age | Male | Female | Total |
| 0 to 4 Years | 310 | 298 | 608 | 0 to 4 Years | 297 | 285 | 582 | 0 to 4 Years | 297 | 284 | 581 |
| 5 to 9 Years | 323 | 323 | 646 | 5 to 9 Years | 306 | 297 | 603 | 5 to 9 Years | 302 | 289 | 591 |
| 10 to 14 Years | 382 | 368 | 750 | 10 to 14 Years | 330 | 328 | 658 | 10 to 14 Years | 310 | 302 | 612 |
| 15 to 17 Years | 267 | 241 | 508 | 15 to 17 Years | 235 | 217 | 452 | 15 to 17 Years | 213 | 202 | 415 |
| 18 to 20 Years | 255 | 248 | 503 | 18 to 20 Years | 263 | 229 | 492 | 18 to 20 Years | 244 | 212 | 456 |
| 21 to 24 Years | 381 | 262 | 643 | 21 to 24 Years | 415 | 301 | 716 | 21 to 24 Years | 421 | 299 | 720 |
| 25 to 34 Years | 1,295 | 698 | 1,993 | 25 to 34 Years | 1,288 | 683 | 1,971 | 25 to 34 Years | 1,347 | 743 | 2,090 |
| 35 to 44 Years | 1,266 | 769 | 2,035 | 35 to 44 Years | 1,252 | 727 | 1,979 | 35 to 44 Years | 1,267 | 695 | 1,962 |
| 45 to 54 Years | 1,108 | 882 | 1,990 | 45 to 54 Years | 1,025 | 790 | 1,815 | 45 to 54 Years | 989 | 754 | 1,743 |
| 55 to 64 Years | 1,287 | 1,273 | 2,560 | 55 to 64 Years | 1,179 | 1,194 | 2,373 | 55 to 64 Years | 1,019 | 1,039 | 2,058 |
| 65 to 74 Years | 1,017 | 1,105 | 2,122 | 65 to 74 Years | 1,101 | 1,206 | 2,307 | 65 to 74 Years | 1,198 | 1,325 | 2,523 |
| 75 to 84 Years | 517 | 595 | 1,112 | 75 to 84 Years | 602 | 696 | 1,298 | 75 to 84 Years | 698 | 819 | 1,517 |
| 85 Years and Up | 139 | 240 | 379 | 85 Years and Up | 150 | 256 | 406 | 85 Years and Up | 161 | 273 | 434 |
| Total | 8,547 | 7,302 | 15,849 | Total | 8,443 | 7,209 | 15,652 | Total | 8,466 | 7,236 | 15,702 |
| 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 4,390 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 4,782 | 62+ Years | n/a | n/a | 5,175 |
| Median Age: | | | 46 | Median Age: | | | 47.1 | Median Age: | | | 47.4 |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



Housing Market

The demand for additional housing in a market area is a function of population growth, household formations and, also, a replacement of units lost through demolition and extreme obsolescence. Also, within the overall demand are segments of the market asking for more or less expense (related to income) and for ownership or rental.

Some of the demand has been, or will be, satisfied by units which have been built, or will be built, by the time the project is renting. The difference between demand and supply, the residual, is the total market of which the project's market will be a share.

The "tenure" of existing housing will be examined first as a guide to the future proportion of ownership and rentals; then characteristics of the housing stock will be noted.

The most important analysis is that of demand, supply and residual demand which follows. Its product is the number of type rental units which will be demanded.


Tenure

The percentage of renters in the market area in 2024 is 31.6 percent, the percentage of renters in the Town of Lawrenceville in 2024 is 51.4 percent, and the percentage of renters in 2024 for Brunswick County is 28.5 percent. Household estimates and projections are based on the most recent data from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

Market Area

Total Households

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Census 2010 | 30,700 | |
| Census 2020 | 29,952 | |
| Current Year Estimates 2024 | 29,910 | |
| Five-Year Projections 2029 | 30,335 | |
| <i>Change 2010 - 2020</i> | -748 | -2.4% |
| <i>Estimated Change 2020 - 2024</i> | -42 | -0.1% |
| <i>Projected Change 2024 - 2029</i> | 425 | 1.4% |
| Average Household Size 2010 | 2.36 | |
| Average Household Size 2020 | 2.27 | |
| Average Household Size 2024 | 2.24 | |
| Average Household Size 2029 | 2.22 | |



Households by Tenure


| | | |
|-------------|--------|-------|
| 2020 Owner | 20,456 | 68.3% |
| 2020 Renter | 9,496 | 31.7% |
| 2024 Owner | 20,449 | 68.4% |
| 2024 Renter | 9,461 | 31.6% |
| 2029 Owner | 20,749 | 68.4% |
| 2029 Renter | 9,586 | 31.6% |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



Town of Lawrenceville
Total Households

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--------|
| Census 2010 | 119 | |
| Census 2020 | 432 | |
| Current Year Estimates 2024 | 494 | |
| Five-Year Projections 2029 | 533 | |
| <i>Change 2010 - 2020</i> | 313 | 263.0% |
| <i>Estimated Change 2020 - 2024</i> | 62 | 14.4% |
| <i>Projected Change 2024 - 2029</i> | 39 | 7.9% |
| Average Household Size 2010 | 2.39 | |
| Average Household Size 2020 | 2.23 | |
| Average Household Size 2024 | 2.32 | |
| Average Household Size 2029 | 2.37 | |




Households by Tenure

| | | |
|-------------|-----|-------|
| 2020 Owner | 209 | 48.4% |
| 2020 Renter | 223 | 51.6% |
| 2024 Owner | 240 | 48.6% |
| 2024 Renter | 254 | 51.4% |
| 2029 Owner | 259 | 48.6% |
| 2029 Renter | 274 | 51.4% |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics

Brunswick County
Total Households

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Census 2010 | 6,366 | |
| Census 2020 | 6,191 | |
| Current Year Estimates 2024 | 6,209 | |
| Five-Year Projections 2029 | 6,316 | |
| <i>Change 2010 - 2020</i> | -175 | -2.7% |
| <i>Estimated Change 2020 - 2024</i> | 18 | 0.3% |
| <i>Projected Change 2024 - 2029</i> | 107 | 1.7% |
| Average Household Size 2010 | 2.40 | |
| Average Household Size 2020 | 2.23 | |
| Average Household Size 2024 | 2.20 | |
| Average Household Size 2029 | 2.16 | |



Households by Tenure

| | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|
| 2020 Owner | 4,432 | 71.6% |
| 2020 Renter | 1,759 | 28.4% |
| 2024 Owner | 4,439 | 71.5% |
| 2024 Renter | 1,770 | 28.5% |
| 2029 Owner | 4,505 | 71.3% |
| 2029 Renter | 1,811 | 28.7% |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



Rent Overburdened Households

According to the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) published by the Office of Policy Development and Research, in 2020 (the most recent data available), there were 1,540 renter-occupied households in the market area with incomes greater than 30 percent and less than or equal to 50 percent that are considered rent overburdened. The rent overburdened households represent 85.3 percent of the households in the market area with incomes greater than 30 percent and less than or equal to 50 percent. The percentage of rent-overburdened households was used in the demand analysis to calculate the number of households within the subject's income range that are rent-overburdened.

| Income by Cost Burden (Renters only) | Cost burden > 30% | Cost burden > 50% | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Household Income <= 30% HAMFI | 1,245 | 915 | 2,075 |
| Household Income >30% to <=50% HAMFI | 1,540 | 595 | 1,805 |
| Household Income >50% to <=80% HAMFI | 549 | 44 | 1,465 |
| Household Income >80% to <=100% HAMFI | 104 | 0 | 900 |
| Household Income >100% HAMFI | 0 | 0 | 1,855 |
| Total | 3,338 | 1,554 | 8,115 |

Source: CHAS 2016-2020 American Community Survey

Substandard Households

According to the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) published by the Office of Policy Development and Research, in 2020 (the most recent data available), there were 3,540 renter-occupied households in the market area with at least one of the four following housing problems: incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing facilities, more than one person per room and cost burden greater than 30 percent. This data includes rent-overburdened households which have already been addressed. Therefore, it is necessary to remove the rent-overburdened households from the data to determine the remaining substandard households. The previous table indicates there are 1,850 total rent overburdened households with incomes greater than 30 percent and less than or equal to 50 percent. These 1,850 households that were rent-overburdened were subtracted from the 3,540 households that are substandard. The result of 1,735 households represents 21.4 percent of the total renter households in the market area. The percentage of substandard households was used in the demand analysis to calculate the number of households within the subject's income range that are considered substandard.

| Housing Problems Overview | Owner | Renter | Total |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Household Has At Least 1 of 4 Housing Problems | 3,480 | 3,540 | 7,020 |
| Household Has None of 4 Housing Problems or Cost Burden Not Available, No Other Problems | 12,845 | 4,570 | 17,415 |
| Total | 16,320 | 8,115 | 24,430 |

Source: CHAS 2016-2020 American Community Survey



Income Eligibility Analysis

| Renter Households | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| All Age Groups | | | | | | |
| Year 2024 Estimates | | | | | | |
| | 1-Person | 2-Person | 3-Person | 4-Person | 5+-Person | |
| | Household | Household | Household | Household | Household | Total |
| \$0-10,000 | 844 | 104 | 96 | 25 | 48 | 1,117 |
| \$10,000-20,000 | 935 | 394 | 99 | 28 | 108 | 1,564 |
| \$20,000-30,000 | 739 | 309 | 90 | 146 | 94 | 1,378 |
| \$30,000-40,000 | 413 | 285 | 201 | 69 | 190 | 1,158 |
| \$40,000-50,000 | 184 | 198 | 95 | 100 | 72 | 649 |
| \$50,000-60,000 | 247 | 141 | 56 | 39 | 50 | 533 |
| \$60,000-75,000 | 128 | 112 | 287 | 62 | 60 | 649 |
| \$75,000-100,000 | 178 | 259 | 78 | 192 | 130 | 837 |
| \$100,000-125,000 | 146 | 135 | 96 | 43 | 83 | 503 |
| \$125,000-150,000 | 112 | 122 | 52 | 44 | 55 | 385 |
| \$150,000-200,000 | 124 | 78 | 43 | 48 | 48 | 341 |
| \$200,000+ | <u>140</u> | <u>74</u> | <u>83</u> | <u>24</u> | <u>26</u> | <u>347</u> |
| Total | 4,190 | 2,211 | 1,276 | 820 | 964 | 9,461 |

Source: Claritas and Ribbon Demographics
 *Estimates based on household size ratios; not cross tabulated data

| Owner Households | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| All Age Groups | | | | | | |
| Year 2024 Estimates | | | | | | |
| | 1-Person | 2-Person | 3-Person | 4-Person | 5+-Person | |
| | Household | Household | Household | Household | Household | Total |
| \$0-10,000 | 642 | 111 | 49 | 14 | 12 | 828 |
| \$10,000-20,000 | 1,165 | 333 | 108 | 65 | 78 | 1,749 |
| \$20,000-30,000 | 901 | 510 | 129 | 61 | 43 | 1,644 |
| \$30,000-40,000 | 700 | 963 | 102 | 67 | 168 | 2,000 |
| \$40,000-50,000 | 540 | 664 | 211 | 79 | 131 | 1,625 |
| \$50,000-60,000 | 440 | 727 | 307 | 171 | 73 | 1,718 |
| \$60,000-75,000 | 368 | 1,296 | 331 | 111 | 70 | 2,176 |
| \$75,000-100,000 | 370 | 1,260 | 536 | 250 | 379 | 2,795 |
| \$100,000-125,000 | 255 | 791 | 491 | 476 | 118 | 2,131 |
| \$125,000-150,000 | 245 | 620 | 275 | 145 | 104 | 1,389 |
| \$150,000-200,000 | 233 | 468 | 157 | 187 | 111 | 1,156 |
| \$200,000+ | <u>278</u> | <u>598</u> | <u>128</u> | <u>211</u> | <u>23</u> | <u>1,238</u> |
| Total | 6,137 | 8,341 | 2,824 | 1,837 | 1,310 | 20,449 |

Source: Claritas and Ribbon Demographics
 *Estimates based on household size ratios; not cross tabulated data



| Renter Households | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| All Age Groups | | | | | | |
| Year 2029 Projections | | | | | | |
| | 1-Person | 2-Person | 3-Person | 4-Person | 5+-Person | Total |
| | Household | Household | Household | Household | Household | |
| \$0-10,000 | 802 | 82 | 93 | 18 | 35 | 1,030 |
| \$10,000-20,000 | 872 | 358 | 84 | 17 | 74 | 1,405 |
| \$20,000-30,000 | 768 | 266 | 79 | 139 | 93 | 1,345 |
| \$30,000-40,000 | 413 | 267 | 185 | 76 | 152 | 1,093 |
| \$40,000-50,000 | 205 | 211 | 101 | 123 | 63 | 703 |
| \$50,000-60,000 | 262 | 122 | 48 | 26 | 45 | 503 |
| \$60,000-75,000 | 122 | 107 | 285 | 64 | 82 | 660 |
| \$75,000-100,000 | 191 | 243 | 84 | 214 | 140 | 872 |
| \$100,000-125,000 | 176 | 135 | 112 | 47 | 91 | 561 |
| \$125,000-150,000 | 173 | 142 | 63 | 52 | 70 | 500 |
| \$150,000-200,000 | 185 | 112 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 449 |
| \$200,000+ | <u>210</u> | <u>83</u> | <u>95</u> | <u>44</u> | <u>33</u> | 465 |
| Total | 4,379 | 2,128 | 1,279 | 870 | 930 | 9,586 |

Source: Claritas and Ribbon Demographics
 *Estimates based on household size ratios; not cross tabulated data

| Owner Households | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| All Age Groups | | | | | | |
| Year 2029 Projections | | | | | | |
| | 1-Person | 2-Person | 3-Person | 4-Person | 5+-Person | Total |
| | Household | Household | Household | Household | Household | |
| \$0-10,000 | 617 | 95 | 51 | 13 | 11 | 787 |
| \$10,000-20,000 | 1,047 | 242 | 99 | 44 | 55 | 1,487 |
| \$20,000-30,000 | 933 | 473 | 105 | 58 | 37 | 1,606 |
| \$30,000-40,000 | 677 | 877 | 86 | 61 | 166 | 1,867 |
| \$40,000-50,000 | 583 | 655 | 183 | 65 | 125 | 1,611 |
| \$50,000-60,000 | 411 | 662 | 266 | 123 | 74 | 1,536 |
| \$60,000-75,000 | 402 | 1,273 | 314 | 104 | 71 | 2,164 |
| \$75,000-100,000 | 427 | 1,243 | 538 | 206 | 374 | 2,788 |
| \$100,000-125,000 | 301 | 833 | 507 | 481 | 121 | 2,243 |
| \$125,000-150,000 | 284 | 612 | 310 | 174 | 121 | 1,501 |
| \$150,000-200,000 | 283 | 629 | 215 | 227 | 148 | 1,502 |
| \$200,000+ | <u>386</u> | <u>824</u> | <u>170</u> | <u>241</u> | <u>36</u> | 1,657 |
| Total | 6,351 | 8,418 | 2,844 | 1,797 | 1,339 | 20,749 |

Source: Claritas and Ribbon Demographics
 *Estimates based on household size ratios; not cross tabulated data



The subject's units are most suitable for households with one to three persons below \$38,160 when considering subsidies and between \$13,611 and \$38,160 without considering subsidies. Income is a key characteristic in analyzing housing markets. The previous pages show household incomes for the Market Area for all renter and owner households in 2024 and 2029. However, this analysis is primarily concerned with target incomes of renters as shown in the following tables:

| INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gross Rent | Lower Range | Upper Range | % Income Qualified | Income-Eligible Households |
| All Unit Types (All) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 52.9% | 5,004 |
| All Unit Types (30%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$19,080 | 26.8% | 2,537 |
| All Unit Types (50%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$31,800 | 45.1% | 4,267 |
| All Unit Types (60%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 52.9% | 5,004 |
| 1 BR (All) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$33,900 | 56.2% | 3,597 |
| 1 BR (30%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$16,950 | 29.2% | 1,872 |
| 1 BR (50%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$28,250 | 49.1% | 3,142 |
| 1 BR (60%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$33,900 | 56.2% | 3,597 |
| 2 BR (All) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 42.7% | 1,489 |
| 2 BR (30%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$19,080 | 18.6% | 648 |
| 2 BR (50%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$31,800 | 33.8% | 1,179 |
| 2 BR (60%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 42.7% | 1,489 |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics and HUD

| INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gross Rent | Lower Range | Upper Range | % Income Qualified | Income-Eligible Households |
| All Unit Types (All) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$38,160 | 35.1% | 3,322 |
| All Unit Types (30%) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$19,080 | 24.1% | 2,275 |
| All Unit Types (50%) | \$662 | \$22,697 | \$31,800 | 12.8% | 1,215 |
| All Unit Types (60%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$38,160 | 14.0% | 1,323 |
| 1 BR (All) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$33,900 | 33.9% | 2,169 |
| 1 BR (30%) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$16,950 | 21.4% | 1,367 |
| 1 BR (50%) | \$662 | \$22,697 | \$28,250 | 9.1% | 582 |
| 1 BR (60%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$33,900 | 8.7% | 560 |
| 2 BR (All) | \$477 | \$16,354 | \$38,160 | 28.0% | 975 |
| 2 BR (30%) | \$477 | \$16,354 | \$19,080 | 16.7% | 582 |
| 2 BR (50%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$31,800 | 5.6% | 197 |
| 2 BR (60%) | \$954 | \$32,709 | \$38,160 | 7.6% | 265 |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics and HUD

Target incomes for the different unit types are derived by the analyst. For the low end of the range, the lowest rent charged in an income limit (i.e., 50% AMI) for a unit is divided by 35 percent. The resulting number is then multiplied by 12 to derive an annual income ($\$397 / 35\% = \$1,134.28 \times 12 = \$13,611$). This process is based on the premise that a tenant should not pay more than 35 percent of his annual income on rent. However, the subject is a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units. The subject will retain its Rental Assistance for all units once rehabilitation is complete. As a result, a tenant will never be required to pay more than 30 percent of their income towards rent, and there is no minimum income limit.

For the high end of the range, the analyst consults the income limits set by the state housing authority. If the largest unit in an income limit (i.e., 60% AMI) is a two-bedroom unit, the analyst utilizes the three-person households to find how many people could reside in that unit. The analyst then consults the state income limits to set the high end of the range (2 bedroom x 1.5 = 3 people/unit; therefore, the 60% 3-person maximum income would be used).



The following table shows the maximum income limits at each income level as determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

| LIHTC INCOME LIMITS | | | |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Person in Households | 30% | 50% | 60% |
| 1 | \$14,850 | \$24,750 | \$29,700 |
| 2 | \$16,950 | \$28,250 | \$33,900 |
| 3 | \$19,080 | \$31,800 | \$38,160 |
| 4 | \$21,180 | \$35,300 | \$42,360 |
| 5 | \$22,890 | \$38,150 | \$45,780 |
| 6 | \$24,570 | \$40,950 | \$49,140 |

Source: HUD



Sources of Demand

The potential tenants for the rehabilitated development include households who now live within the market area. It will appeal to potential tenants who have adequate incomes to pay the proposed rents and find the development more attractive in terms of price, unit features and project amenities than other available rental units. It also will attract households that the market area gains between now and when the development is ready for occupancy.

Required Unit Mix

The LIHTC program is based on the premise that 1.5 persons per bedroom will occupy family units. We expect that five percent of one-person households will occupy efficiency units. Ninety-five percent (95%) of one-person households and 25 percent of two-person households will occupy one-bedroom units. We expect that 75 percent of two-person household and 50 percent of three-person households will occupy two-bedroom units. We expect that 50 percent of three-person households, 90 percent of four-person households and 90 percent of households with five or more persons will occupy three-bedroom units. We expect that 10 percent of four-person households and 10 percent of households with five or more persons will occupy four-bedroom units.

The following table illustrates the ratio of units required by each household size. These occupancy patterns suggest that efficiency units should account for 2.2 percent of the renter housing demand; one-bedroom units should account for 47.9 percent; two-bedroom units should account for 24.3 percent; three-bedroom units should account for 23.7 percent, and units with four or more bedrooms should account for 1.9 percent of the renter housing demand in the market area.

| RENTAL HOUSING DEMAND BY NUMBER OF BEDROOMS | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE | 0 BR | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3 BR | 4 BR | TOTAL |
| 1 Person | 210 | 3,981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,190 |
| 2 Persons | 0 | 553 | 1,658 | 0 | 0 | 2,211 |
| 3 Persons | 0 | 0 | 638 | 638 | 0 | 1,276 |
| 4 Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 738 | 82 | 820 |
| 5 or More Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 868 | 96 | 964 |
| TOTAL | 210 | 4,533 | 2,296 | 2,244 | 178 | 9,461 |
| PERCENT | 2.2% | 47.9% | 24.3% | 23.7% | 1.9% | 100.0% |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics

Eligible Households

Landlords evaluate a potential tenant's income and credit to decide if applicant can pay the required rents. Commercial underwriters and owners of conventional market rate developments generally require that the monthly contract rent should not exceed one-third of a tenant's income. This is increased to 35 percent for tenants in the LIHTC program.



The subject's units are most suitable for households with one to three persons below \$38,160 when considering Rental Assistance, and between \$13,611 and \$38,160 without considering Rental Assistance. Income is a key characteristic in analyzing housing markets. The previous pages show household incomes for the Market Area for all renter and owner households in 2024 and 2029. However, this analysis is primarily concerned with target incomes of renters as shown in the following tables:

| INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gross Rent | Lower Range | Upper Range | % Income Qualified | Income-Eligible Households |
| All Unit Types (All) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 52.9% | 5,004 |
| All Unit Types (30%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$19,080 | 26.8% | 2,537 |
| All Unit Types (50%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$31,800 | 45.1% | 4,267 |
| All Unit Types (60%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 52.9% | 5,004 |
| 1 BR (All) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$33,900 | 56.2% | 3,597 |
| 1 BR (30%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$16,950 | 29.2% | 1,872 |
| 1 BR (50%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$28,250 | 49.1% | 3,142 |
| 1 BR (60%) | \$1,170 | \$0 | \$33,900 | 56.2% | 3,597 |
| 2 BR (All) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 42.7% | 1,489 |
| 2 BR (30%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$19,080 | 18.6% | 648 |
| 2 BR (50%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$31,800 | 33.8% | 1,179 |
| 2 BR (60%) | \$1,284 | \$0 | \$38,160 | 42.7% | 1,489 |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics and HUD

| INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gross Rent | Lower Range | Upper Range | % Income Qualified | Income-Eligible Households |
| All Unit Types (All) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$38,160 | 35.1% | 3,322 |
| All Unit Types (30%) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$19,080 | 24.1% | 2,275 |
| All Unit Types (50%) | \$662 | \$22,697 | \$31,800 | 12.8% | 1,215 |
| All Unit Types (60%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$38,160 | 14.0% | 1,323 |
| 1 BR (All) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$33,900 | 33.9% | 2,169 |
| 1 BR (30%) | \$397 | \$13,611 | \$16,950 | 21.4% | 1,367 |
| 1 BR (50%) | \$662 | \$22,697 | \$28,250 | 9.1% | 582 |
| 1 BR (60%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$33,900 | 8.7% | 560 |
| 2 BR (All) | \$477 | \$16,354 | \$38,160 | 28.0% | 975 |
| 2 BR (30%) | \$477 | \$16,354 | \$19,080 | 16.7% | 582 |
| 2 BR (50%) | \$795 | \$27,257 | \$31,800 | 5.6% | 197 |
| 2 BR (60%) | \$954 | \$32,709 | \$38,160 | 7.6% | 265 |

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics and HUD



Penetration Rate

There are no planned developments in the market area. There are currently 10 vacant Rural Development and/or LIHTC competing units in the market area. The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. After rehabilitation, the property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units as well as be LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. It is anticipated that the majority of the current residents will be income-eligible for the units once the renovation is complete. Therefore, the total affordable inventory would be 14 units. The chart below indicates a penetration rate of 0.3 percent for the market area when considering the subject's Rental Assistance.

| REQUIRED PENETRATION RATE - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------|
| Income-Eligible Renter Households | 5,004 |
| Existing Vacant LIHTC Units | 10 |
| LIHTC Units Planned | 0 |
| Vacant Units in Subject | 4 |
| Total Inventory | 14 |
| Penetration Rate | 0.3% |

When considering the property without subsidy, it is unlikely all current residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, the penetration rate analysis was also conducted as though the property did not contain subsidies and all units were vacant. There are no planned developments in the market area. There are currently four vacant LIHTC competing units in the market area. The subject is an existing Rural Development property with 40 units. Therefore, the total affordable inventory would be 28 units. The chart below indicates a penetration rate of 0.8 percent for the market area without considering the subject's subsidies and if all units were vacant.

| REQUIRED PENETRATION RATE - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|--|-------|
| Income-Eligible Renter Households | 3,322 |
| Existing Vacant LIHTC Units | 4 |
| LIHTC Units Planned | 0 |
| Units in Subject | 24 |
| Total Inventory | 28 |
| Penetration Rate | 0.8% |



Demand Analysis - With Rental Assistance

The following table will contain the summary demand estimates for the units when considering Rental Assistance.

| REQUIRED NET DEMAND - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | All Units @ 30% (\$0 - \$19,080) | All Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$31,800) | All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$38,160) | Project Total (\$0 to \$38,160) |
| Income Restrictions: | | | | |
| Demand from New Household Growth | | | | |
| New Rental Households | 13 | 23 | 26 | 26 |
| PLUS | | | | |
| Existing Households - Rent Overburdened | 1,487 | 2,502 | 2,933 | 2,933 |
| PLUS | | | | |
| Existing Households - Substandard Housing | 286 | 482 | 565 | 565 |
| PLUS | | | | |
| Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation | 6 | 12 | 2 | 20 |
| EQUALS | | | | |
| Total Demand | 1,787 | 3,018 | 3,526 | 3,544 |
| MINUS | | | | |
| Supply (Includes Directly Comparable Vacant Units Completed or in Pipeline in the PMA) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| EQUALS | | | | |
| NET DEMAND | 1,787 | 3,018 | 3,516 | 3,534 |
| ABSORPTION PERIOD | | | | |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

*See Page 78 for absorption period explanation.

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME LIMITS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE

| | All Units @ 30% (\$0 - \$19,080) | All Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$31,800) | All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$38,160) | Project Total (\$0 to \$38,160) |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Income Restrictions: | | | | |
| All Units at Subject | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 0.7% |
| Vacant Units at Subject | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

Demand Analysis Summary - With Rental Assistance

New Rental Households: The demand from new renter household growth is calculated by taking the new rental households projected between 2024 and 2029 divided by five years and then multiplying by two year (2026) since the rehabilitation will be complete in 2026. This resulted in a new renter household growth total of 50 (25 new renter households per year). The new renter household growth number of 50 was then multiplied by the percent of income qualified tenants in the market area (50 x 52.9% = 26). The subject will attract tenants with incomes below \$38,160. The percent of income qualified households can be seen on Page 75. The demand from new household growth is 26 for all units with Rental Assistance.

Existing Households – Rent Overburdened: The total number of income-eligible households is 5,004. The percent overburdened percentage of 85.3 percent determined on Page 67 was applied to this number to derive the existing households – rent overburdened shown on the demand chart on the previous page.



Existing Households – Substandard Housing: The total number of income-eligible households is 5,004. The percent of substandard households as determined on Page 67 was applied to this number to derive the substandard households as shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation: The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. As complete, the property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units, as well as be 100 percent LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. The rehabilitation of the development will not displace any tenants. It is anticipated that the majority of the current residents will be income-eligible for the units once the renovation is complete. Therefore, the subject's occupied 20 units were included.

Supply: There are no planned developments in the market area that will compete with the subject. There are currently 10 vacant units that would compete with the subject. Therefore, a total of 10 units were subtracted from the supply.

Total Net Demand and Conclusion: The market shows a net demand of 3,534 units for all units when considering Rental Assistance. The subject is a Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. After rehabilitation, the property will retain its Rental Assistance all units as well as be LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. The rehabilitation of the development will not displace any tenants. It is anticipated that the majority of the current residents will be income-eligible for the units once the renovation is complete. The capture rate for the subject, if entirely vacant, is 0.7 percent. When considering only the subject's vacant units, the capture rate is 0.1 percent. Both capture rates are considered good. In addition, based on the occupancy rates of apartment complexes in the market area, the existing waiting lists, the projected population and household growth and the economic factors, it is believed that property will continue to be viable within the market area even if all units were vacant. Therefore, after researching the vacancy rates of the existing units in the area, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will continue to satisfy a portion of the demand for rental units within the market. It is believed that the property will meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.

Absorption Period: The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. The property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units once rehabilitation is complete. Therefore, it is anticipated all current residents will remain income-qualified. Furthermore, the rehabilitation will not permanently displace any residents. Consequently, the subject will only need to absorb three units to attain a stabilized occupancy rate. After considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within one month.



Demand Analysis - Without Rental Assistance

The following table will contain the summary demand estimates for the units without considering the subject's Rental Assistance.

| REQUIRED NET DEMAND - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | All Units @ 30% (\$13,611 - \$19,080) | All Units @ 50% (\$22,697 - \$31,800) | All Units @ 60% (\$27,257 - \$38,160) | Project Total (\$13,611 to \$38,160) |
| Demand from New Household Growth | | | | |
| New Rental Households | 12 | 6 | 7 | 18 |
| Existing Households - Rent Overburdened | | | | |
| | 1,334 | 712 | 775 | 1,947 |
| PLUS | | | | |
| Existing Households - Substandard Housing | 257 | 137 | 149 | 375 |
| PLUS | | | | |
| Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EQUALS | | | | |
| Total Demand | 1,603 | 856 | 932 | 2,341 |
| MINUS | | | | |
| Supply (Includes Directly Comparable Vacant Units Completed or in Pipeline in the PMA) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| EQUALS | | | | |
| NET DEMAND | 1,603 | 856 | 928 | 2,337 |
| ABSORPTION PERIOD | | | | |
| | 1-2 Month | 1-2 Month | 1-2 Month | 3-5 Months |

*See Pages 80-81 for absorption period explanation.

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME LIMITS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE

| | All Units @ 30% (\$13,611 - \$19,080) | All Units @ 50% (\$22,697 - \$31,800) | All Units @ 60% (\$27,257 - \$38,160) | Project Total (\$13,611 to \$38,160) |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Income Restrictions: | | | | |
| All Units at Subject | 0.4% | 1.4% | 0.6% | 1.0% |



Demand Analysis Summary - Without Rental Assistance

New Rental Households: The demand from new renter household growth is calculated by taking the new rental households projected between 2024 and 2029 divided by five years and then multiplying by two year (2026) since the rehabilitation will be complete in 2026. This resulted in a new renter household growth total of 50 (25 new renter households per year). The new renter household growth number of 50 was then multiplied by the percent of income qualified tenants in the market area ($50 \times 35.1\% = 18$). Without considering Rental Assistance, the subject will attract tenants with incomes between \$13,611 and \$38,160. The percent of income qualified households can be seen on Page 75. The demand from new household growth is 18 for all units without Rental Assistance.

Existing Households – Rent Overburdened: The total number of income-eligible households is 3,322. The percent overburdened percentage of 85.3 percent determined on Page 67 was applied to this number to derive the existing households – rent overburdened shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

Existing Households – Substandard Housing: The total number of income-eligible households is 3,322. The percent of substandard households as determined on Page 67 was applied to this number to derive the substandard households as shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation: The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied. However, when considering the property without Rental Assistance, it is unlikely all current residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, no tenants are likely to remain after renovation when not considering Rental Assistance.

Supply: There are no planned developments in the market area that will compete with the subject. There are currently four vacant units that would compete with the subject. Therefore, a total of four units were subtracted from the supply.

Total Net Demand and Conclusion: The market shows a net demand of 2,337 units for all units without considering Rental Assistance. The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 95 percent occupied. However, when considering the property without Rental Assistance, it is unlikely all current residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, no tenants are likely to remain after renovation when not considering Rental Assistance. The capture rate, without considering the Rental Assistance, is 1.0 percent, which is also considered excellent due to the existing waiting lists and high occupancy rates of LIHTC properties in the market area as well as the current population and household growth and stable economic factors. Therefore, it is the belief of the analysts that the property will continue to be viable within the market area and would be so even if it were entirely vacant. Consequently, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will continue to satisfy a portion of the demand for rental units within the market. It is believed that the property will meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.

Absorption Period: The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. The property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units once rehabilitation is complete. Therefore, it is anticipated all current residents will remain income-qualified. Furthermore, the rehabilitation will not permanently displace any residents. Therefore, the subject will not need to absorb any additional units to maintain its stabilized occupancy rate. However, when considering the property without Rental Assistance, it is unlikely all current residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, the absorption rate analysis was also conducted as though the property did not contain Rental Assistance and all units were vacant. The absorption level is typically based on the most recent multifamily developments. However, there were no newly constructed properties in the market area that could disclose lease-up data. Therefore, the analyst also considered the opinions of apartment managers and local real estate agents, the current occupancy rates of the surveyed developments and the current waiting lists of the affordable developments, including the subject, confirmed in the market area. After



considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within three to five months.

Capture Rate Analysis

The following tables show the capture rates for the rehabilitated development both with and without considering Rental Assistance.

| CAPTURE RATE - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | ---- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate (Months) | N/A |

| CAPTURE RATE - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | ---- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate | 3-5 Months |

The market shows a net demand of 3,534 households for all units when considering the Rental Assistance and a net demand of 2,337 households for all units when not considering the Rental Assistance. The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four units vacant. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will retain its Rental Assistance as well as be 100 percent LIHTC with rent and income limits set at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the property will retain its Rental Assistance, all existing tenants will be income-eligible for the units once the rehabilitation is complete. If the property were to lose its Rental Assistance, none of the existing tenants would remain income-eligible; therefore, all of the subject's 24 units would need to be absorbed into the market. The capture rate for the subject, if entirely vacant, is 0.7 percent. When considering only the subject's vacant units, the capture rate is 0.1 percent. The capture rate, without considering the Rental Assistance, is 1.0 percent, which is also considered excellent due to the existing waiting lists and high occupancy rates of LIHTC properties in the market area as well as the current population and household growth and stable economic factors. Therefore, it is the belief of the analysts that the property will continue to be viable within the market area and would be so even if it were entirely vacant. Consequently, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will continue to satisfy a portion of the demand for rental units within the market. It is believed that the property will meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.



Housing Profile

Market Area Overview

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes as well as market-rate and restricted apartment complexes. The majority of the housing stock was built in the 1970s and 1990s.

Housing Inventory

From 2010 through December 2023, permit-issuing jurisdictions in Brunswick County reported a total of 329 single-family and multifamily dwelling permits. Multifamily units were estimated at 0.0 percent of the planned construction activity.

| BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| YEAR | SINGLE-FAMILY | MULTIFAMILY | TOTAL |
| 2010 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 2011 | 43 | 0 | 43 |
| 2012 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 2013 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 2016 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 2017 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 29 | 0 | 29 |
| 2021 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| 2022 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| 2023* | 37 | 0 | 37 |
| TOTAL | 329 | 0 | 329 |

**Preliminary Numbers through December 2023*
 Source: SOCDS

Projects Planned or Under Construction

There have not been any developments awarded tax credits in the market area since 2019.

Unit Condition

The market area’s rental housing stock is in varying condition. Overall, the developments are well maintained.



Housing Units

The following tables show significant characteristics of the market area's housing stock in 2024. According to Claritas and Ribbon Demographics, there are 39,584 total housing units in the market area, 29,910 of which are occupied. There are 20,449 owner-occupied households and 9,461 renter-occupied households for 2024. In addition, there are 9,674 total vacant housing units in the market area.

| Market Area Housing Unit Summary | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
| | Number | Percent |
| Housing Units | 39,584 | 100.0% |
| Vacant Housing Units | 9,674 | 24.4% |
| Renter-Occupied | 9,461 | 31.6% |
| Owner-Occupied | <u>20,449</u> | <u>68.4%</u> |
| Total Occupied: | 29,910 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas

Age of Rental Units

In 2024, there are 3,537 households constructed prior to 1939. According to Claritas and Ribbon Demographics, 2,101 households were built in 2010 or later.

| Market Area Housing Units by Year Structure Built | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
| Year | Number | Percent |
| 2020 or Later | 350 | 0.9% |
| 2010 - 2019 | 1,751 | 4.4% |
| 2000 - 2009 | 5,156 | 13.0% |
| 1990 - 1999 | 7,082 | 17.9% |
| 1980 - 1989 | 4,112 | 10.4% |
| 1970 - 1979 | 7,001 | 17.7% |
| 1960 - 1969 | 4,562 | 11.5% |
| 1950 - 1959 | 3,838 | 9.7% |
| 1940 - 1949 | 2,195 | 5.5% |
| 1939 or Earlier | <u>3,537</u> | <u>8.9%</u> |
| Total: | 39,584 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas



Unit Types

In 2024, there were 28,583 single-family housing units, 2,482 multifamily housing units and 8,519 mobile homes or other housing in the market area.

| Market Area | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Housing Units by Units in Structure | | |
| Current Year Estimates - 2024 | | |
| Unit | Number | Percent |
| I Unit Detached | 28,218 | 71.3% |
| I Unit Attached | 365 | 0.9% |
| 2 Units | 404 | 1.0% |
| 3 to 4 Units | 607 | 1.5% |
| 5 to 19 Units | 1,186 | 3.0% |
| 20 to 49 Units | 205 | 0.5% |
| 50 or More Units | 80 | 0.2% |
| Mobile Home | 8,495 | 21.5% |
| Other | <u>24</u> | <u>0.1%</u> |
| Total: | 39,584 | 100.0% |

Source: Ribbon Demographics; Claritas

Unit Size

The average size of the one-bedroom units in the surveyed developments is 678 square feet, and the average size of the two-bedroom units is 938 square feet. The subject's units are within the range of the comparables in market area. Consequently, it is believed the subject's existing unit sizes will continue to be competitive in this market.

| AVERAGE APARTMENT SIZE OF COMPARABLE APARTMENTS | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Unit Type | COMPARABLES | | | Subject (SF) | Subject's Advantage |
| | Minimum (SF) | Maximum (SF) | Average (SF) | | |
| 1 BR | 425 | 865 | 678 | 650 | -4.2% |
| 2 BR | 550 | 1,300 | 938 | 750 | -20.1% |

Source: Gill Group Field Survey

Rental Vacancy Rates

According to RealtyRates.com Market Survey, the vacancy rate for the South Atlantic Region has fluctuated only slightly. For 2022, the vacancy rate ranged from 5.1 percent to 5.3 percent, with an average of 5.2 percent. For 2023, the vacancy rate ranged from 5.0 percent to 5.1 percent, with an average of 5.1 percent.

| REALTY RATES MARKET SURVEY - REGIONAL VACANCY RATES | | | |
|---|------|------|------|
| QUARTER | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| 1st Quarter | 5.8% | 5.3% | 5.1% |
| 2nd Quarter | 5.6% | 5.2% | 5.0% |
| 3rd Quarter | 5.5% | 5.1% | 5.0% |
| 4th Quarter | 5.4% | 5.1% | 5.1% |

Source: RealtyRates.com Market Survey, South Atlantic Region



Vacancy Analysis

The vacancy rate for affordable housing units in the market area is 4.7 percent. The following table shows the vacancy rates for all affordable housing verified in the market area, including the subjects.

| AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Name of Property | # of Units | # of Vacant Units | Vacancy Rate |
| Lawrenceville Manor Apartments | 24 | 4 | 17.0% |
| Reese Village Apartments | 40 | 2 | 5.0% |
| Lakewood Apartments | 52 | 0 | 0.0% |
| New Nottoway River Commons | 44 | 1 | 2.0% |
| Weaver Manor | 42 | 2 | 5.0% |
| Northwood Village | 72 | 4 | 6.0% |
| Totals | 274 | 13 | 4.7% |

The current vacancy rate in surveyed market-rate apartment complexes is 0.8 percent. The following table shows the vacancy rates for each conventional property verified in the area.

| MARKET VACANCIES | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Name of Property | # of Units | # of Vacant Units | Vacancy Rate |
| Meadowridge Apartments | 96 | 3 | 2.0% |
| Hillpoint Woods Apartments | 144 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Autumn Ridge Apartments I & II | 128 | 0 | 4.0% |
| Washington Square Apartments | 69 | 1 | 0.0% |
| The Lofts on Franklin | 27 | 1 | 3.0% |
| Forest Pines Apartments | 234 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 122 Brookside Lane | 16 | 1 | 0.0% |
| 685 Northington Street | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Totals | 718 | 6 | 0.8% |

The market area has an overall rental vacancy rate of 1.9 percent. Of the 992 total units verified, 19 were vacant.

Lease Terms and Concessions

The typical lease is twelve months. At the time of the writing of this report, there were no properties offering any rental concessions.

Likely Impact of Rehabilitated Development on Rental Occupancy Rates

The proposed rehabilitation of the existing Rural Development property will not have an adverse impact on the market area. Its one- and two-bedroom units are suitable in the market. In addition, the property is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. Therefore, the rehabilitation of the project is unlikely to materially impact the existing properties in the market area which also exhibit strong occupancy rates.



Comparable Profile Pages

COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 1



Property Type: RD/Townhome
 Property Name: Reese Village Apartments
 Address: 311 Bond Court,
 Emporia City, VA 23868
 Verification: Asis; 434-634-9194
 February 21, 2024,
 By Telephone
 Distance From Closest Subject: 22.3 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

1990/2006
 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Patio,
 Meeting Room, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site
 Maintenance

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant
 Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant
 Cooking - Electric - Tenant
 Hot Water - Electric - Tenant
 Cold Water - Tenant
 Sewer - Tenant
 Trash - Landlord

| <u>Unit Type</u> | <u>No. of Units</u> | <u>No. Vacant</u> | <u>Unit Mix</u> | | <u>Utility Allowance*</u> | <u>Gross Rent</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | <u>Size SF</u> | <u>Collected Rent/Mo.</u> | | |
| 1/1 | 16 | 0 | 794 | \$613 | \$168 | \$781 |
| 2/1 | 24 | 2 | 827 | \$681 | \$198 | \$879 |

Occupancy 95%
Total Units 40
No. of Buildings 5
Construction Type Siding
HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec
Stories 2
Waiting List Unknown
Turnover Rate Unknown
Absorption Rate N/A

Remarks

The property is designated for families. All units contain Rental Assistance. The units are updated as needed. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. The utility allowances are \$168 for a one-bedroom and \$198 for a two bedroom. There are currently no rental concessions available at this time.



COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 2



Property Type: RD/LIHTC/Walk-Up
 Property Name: Lakewood Apartments
 Address: 500 Meadow Court,
 Clarksville, VA 23927
 Verification: Andrea; 434-374-5000
 February 21, 2024,
 By Telephone
 Distance From Closest Subject: 66.4 Miles

**Year Opening/Significant Renovation
 Amenities**

1999/2018
 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling
 Fans, Coat Closet, Meeting Room, Picnic Area, Playground, Laundry
 Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant
 Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant
 Cooking - Electric - Landlord
 Hot Water - Electric - Tenant
 Cold Water - Tenant
 Sewer - Tenant
 Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

| <u>Unit Type</u> | <u>No. of Units</u> | <u>No. Vacant</u> | <u>Size SF</u> | <u>Collected Rent/Mo.</u> | <u>Utility Allowance</u> | <u>Gross Rent</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 (50%) | 5 | 0 | 600 | \$511 | \$66 | \$577 |
| 1/1 (60%) | 15 | 0 | 600 | \$719 | \$66 | \$785 |
| 2/1 (50%) | 12 | 0 | 768 | \$614 | \$76 | \$690 |
| 2/1 (60%) | 20 | 0 | 768 | \$822 | \$76 | \$898 |

Occupancy 100%
Total Units 52
No. of Buildings 4
Construction Type Siding
HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec
Stories 2
Waiting List 23 Applicants
Turnover Rate N/A
Absorption Rate N/A

Remarks

This property maintains a waiting list of 23 applicants. The units are updated as needed. This property is designated for families at 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. The property also has 41 units with Rental Assistance. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. There are no rental concessions available at this time. The utility allowance is \$66 for a one-bedroom and \$76 for a two-bedroom.



COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 3



Property Type: LIHTC/Townhome
 Property Name: New Nottoway River Commons
 Address: 23166 Bellwood Court
 Jarratt, VA 23867
 Verification: Sharon; 434-535-0113
 February 21, 2024,
 By Telephone
 Distance From Closest Subject: 9.7 Mile

**Year Opening/Significant Renovation
 Amenities**

1991
 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Coat Closet, Playground, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant
 Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant
 Cooking - Electric - Tenant
 Hot Water - Electric - Tenant
 Cold Water - Landlord
 Sewer - Landlord
 Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

| <u>Unit Type</u> | <u>No. of Units</u> | <u>No. Vacant</u> | <u>Size SF</u> | <u>Collected Rent/Mo.</u> | <u>Utility Allowance*</u> | <u>Gross Rent</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 | 10 | 0 | 662 | \$682 | Unk | \$682 |
| 2/1.5 | 15 | 0 | 900 | \$743 | Unk | \$743 |
| 2/2 | 19 | 1 | 900 | \$743 | Unk | \$743 |

Occupancy 98%
Total Units 44
No. of Buildings 9
Construction Type Siding
HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec
Stories 2
Waiting List 1-2 Months
Turnover Rate 23%
Absorption Rate N/A

Remarks

This property maintains a one- to two-month waiting list. The units are updated as needed. This property is designated for families at 60 percent of the area median income. The annual turnover rate is 23 percent. There are no rental concessions available at this time. There is a \$25 application fee and pets are not allowed. The contact was unable to disclose the utility allowance for each unit type. The property is not located in the market area; therefore the complex will not compete with the subject. However, due to the lack of comparable affordable housing developments in the market area, the property was included in the analysis for comparison purposes.



COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 4



Property Type: LIHTC/Garden/Walk-Up
 Property Name: Weaver Manor
 Address: 216 Meherrin Lane, Lawrenceville, VA 23868
 Verification: Ashia; 434-634-9665 February 21, 2024, By Telephone
 Distance From Closest Subject: 1.2 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

2000
 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Ceiling Fans, Vaulted Ceilings, Walk-In Closet, Balcony, Patio, Playground, Meeting Room, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Courtyard, Lounge

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant
 Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant
 Cooking - Electric - Tenant
 Hot Water - Electric - Tenant
 Cold Water - Landlord
 Sewer - Landlord
 Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

| <u>Unit Type</u> | <u>No. of Units</u> | <u>No. Vacant</u> | <u>Size SF</u> | <u>Collected Rent/Mo.</u> | <u>Utility Allowance*</u> | <u>Gross Rent</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 (60%) | 24 | 2 | 570 | \$705 | Unk | \$705 |
| 2/1 (60%) | 18 | 0 | 780 | \$737 | Unk | \$737 |

Occupancy 95%
Total Units 42
No. of Buildings 6
Construction Type Brick/Siding
HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec
Stories 1,2
Waiting List 14 Applicants
Turnover Rate N/A
Absorption Rate N/A

Remarks

This property maintains a waiting list with 14 applicants. The units are updated as needed. This property is designated for families at 60 percent of the area median income. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. There are no rental concessions available at this time. There is a \$17 application fee and pets are not allowed. The contact was unable to disclose the utility allowance for each unit type.



COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 5



Property Type: PHA/Garden
 Property Name: Northwood Village
 Address: 300 Bethune Square,
 Lawrenceville, VA 23868
 Verification: Tamera; 434-634-9996
 February 21, 2024,
 By Telephone
 Distance From Closest Subject: 1.1 Miles

**Year Opening/Significant Renovation
 Amenities**

1979
 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Patio (Select),
 Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Security
 Patrol, Video Surveillance

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant
 Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant
 Cooking - Electric - Tenant
 Hot Water - Electric - Tenant
 Cold Water - Landlord
 Sewer - Landlord
 Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

| <u>Unit Type</u> | <u>No. of Units</u> | <u>No. Vacant</u> | <u>Size SF</u> | <u>Collected Rent/Mo.</u> | <u>Utility Allowance*</u> | <u>Gross Rent</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 | 20 | 1 | 618 | \$726 | \$67 | \$793 |
| 2/1 | 42 | 3 | 995 | \$772 | \$78 | \$850 |
| 3/1 | 10 | 0 | 1,638 | \$772 | \$121 | \$893 |


Occupancy 95%
Total Units 72
No. of Buildings 14
Construction Type Brick/Siding
HVAC Central Elec/Central Elec
Stories 1
Waiting List 64 Applicants
Turnover Rate N/A
Absorption Rate N/A

Remarks

This property does maintain a waiting list of 64 applicants. The units are updated as needed. This property is designated for families at 60 percent of the area median income. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. There are no rental concessions available at this time. There is no application fee and pets are not allowed. The complex has a \$67 per month for one-bedroom units, \$78 per month for two-bedroom units and \$121 per month for three-bedroom units.




Existing Comparables Map





Competing Properties Map



As renovated, the subject will be a Rural Development and LIHTC property with rents and income limits set at 30, 50 and 60 percent AMI. Therefore, the above income-restricted units will directly compete with the subject's units.



Additional Developments

The market area also includes additional developments that were excluded from the analysis due to non-competitiveness or inability to verify information. The developments located in the market area that were excluded from the survey are noted as follows:

Washington Square - Lawrenceville, VA - Family
Village East Apartments – Victoria, VA - Family
Carriage Run - Lawrenceville, VA - Senior
Brunswick Village – Lawrenceville, VA - Family
Chase Place Apartments - Chase City, VA - Senior
Victoria Place Apartments – Victoria, VA – Senior
Belford Commons Apartments – Lawrenceville, VA – Senior
Marvin Garden Apartments – Lawrenceville, VA – Senior

Market-Rate Properties (Not Comparable in Restrictions or Tenant Base)

Washington Square Apartments – Suffolk, VA
Hillpoint Woods Apartments - Suffolk, VA
The Lofts on Franklin - South Hill, VA
Autumn Ridge Apartments I & II - Suffolk, VA
Meadowridge Apartments - Franklin, VA
Forest Pines Apartments - Franklin, VA
Rohoic Wood Apartments- Petersburg, VA
Meeklenburg Manor Apartments - South Hill, VA
685 Nortington Street - South Hill, VA
122 Brookeside Lane - South Hill, VA
Sadler Pond Apartments – Suffolk, VA
Suffolk Station Apartments – Suffolk, VA
Townhomes at Beamons Mill – Suffolk, VA



Market Rent Analysis

The market analyst researched rental housing in the market area and identified several apartment communities in and around the market area of the subject. The analyst identified the market-rate communities in the area that would directly compete with the subject. These comparables were then compared to the subject and adjusted for differences in amenities. These differences include, but are not limited to, location, structure, condition, unit size, number of bedrooms, number of baths, unit amenities (range/oven, refrigerator, microwave, dishwasher, etc.), project amenities (parking, storage, clubhouse, meeting room, pool, recreation area, etc.) and utilities provided. The adjustments made were based on the experience of the analyst, interviews with local officials, apartment managers and residents and information received from third-party sources (rent-to-own facilities, utility providers and housing authorities.) Additional adjustments were also determined using paired rental analysis. The paired rental analysis ranges are determined by comparing comparables with different numbers of bedrooms and factoring out any other differences (amenities, utilities provided, etc.) The resulting difference is assumed to be attributable to the differing number of bedrooms. The results are grouped together in a range. The adjustment is selected based on where the majority of the results fall within the range. If there is no majority, a conservative adjustment at the low end of the range is selected.

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments
 501 Walnut Street
 Lawrenceville, Virginia 23868



HUD-Forms 92273 – As Stabilized

One-Bedroom Units (650 SF) – As Stabilized
Estimates of Market Rent
by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Housing
 Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029
 (exp. 04/30/2020)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor or formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered non-sensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

| 1. Unit Type | 2. Subject Property (Address) | A. Comparable Property No. 1 (address) | | B. Comparable Property No. 2 (address) | | C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address) | | D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address) | | E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address) | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| One-Bedroom | Lawrenceville Manor Apartments 501 Walnut Street Lawrenceville, Virginia | Meadowridge Apartments 340 North College Drive Franklin, Virginia | | Hillpoint Woods Apartments 601 Hillpoint Boulevard Suffolk, Virginia | | Autumn Ridge Apartments I & II 114 Nancy Drive Suffolk, Virginia | | Washington Square Apartments 149 West Washington Suffolk, Virginia | | The Lofts on Franklin 303 Franklin Street South Hill, Virginia | |
| Characteristics | | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments |
| 3. Effective Date of Rental | 02/2024 | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | |
| 4. Type of Project/Stories | WU/2 | WU/2 | | WU/2 | | WU/2 | | WU/3 | | G/1 | |
| 5. Floor of Unit in Building | Varies | Varies | | Varies | | Varies | | Varies | | First | |
| 6. Project Occupancy % | 83% | 97% | | 100% | | 100% | | 98% | | 96% | |
| 7. Concessions | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 8. Year Built | 1985/Proposed | 1912/05 | \$100 | 2005 | \$100 | 1982 | \$100 | 1966/2014 | | 1950/2018 | |
| 9. Sq. Ft. Area | 650 | 765 | (\$45) | 772 | (\$50) | 556 | \$35 | 578 | \$30 | 750 | (\$40) |
| 10. Number of Bedrooms | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| 11. Number of Baths | 10 | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | |
| 12. Number of Rooms | 3 | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | |
| 13. Balc./Terrace/Patio | N | Y | (\$10) | Y | (\$10) | N | | N | | N | |
| 14. Garage or Carport | L/0 | L/0 | | L/0, G/100 | | L/0 | | L/0 | | L/0 | |
| 15. Equipment a. A/C | C | C | | C | | C | | C | | C | |
| b. Range/Refrigerator | RF | RF | | RF | | RF | | RF | | RF | |
| c. Disposal | N | N | | Y | | N | | N | | Y | |
| d. Microwave/Dishwasher | D | D | | MD | (\$5) | N | \$10 | MD | (\$5) | M | \$5 |
| e. Washer/Dryer | L | L | | HU | (\$5) | L | | WD | (\$30) | WD | (\$30) |
| f. Carpet | C | C | | C | | C | | W | | W | |
| g. Drapes | B | B | | B | | B | | B | | D | |
| h. Pool/Rec. Area | R | R | (\$5) | PR | (\$15) | R | | N | \$5 | N | \$5 |
| 16. Services a. Heat/Type | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$36) | N/E | |
| b. Cooling | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$11) | N/E | |
| c. Cook/Type | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$5) | N/E | |
| d. Electricity | N | N | | N | | N | | Y | (\$20) | N | |
| e. Hot Water | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$18) | N/E | |
| f. Cold Water/Sewer | N | N | | N | | N | | Y | (\$54) | N | |
| g. Trash | Y | Y | | Y | | Y | | Y | | Y | |
| 17. Storage | N | N | | Y/45-100 | | N | | N | | N | |
| 18. Project Location | Average | Superior | (\$70) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$80) |
| 19. Security | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 20. Clubhouse/Meeting Room | N | N | | C | (\$5) | N | | N | | N | |
| 21. Special Features | I | N | \$50 | N | \$50 | N | \$50 | SS, GC | (\$15) | GC | \$15 |
| 22. Business Center / Nbdw Netwk | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 23. Unit Rent Per Month | | \$1,125 | | \$1,200 | | \$1,000 | | \$1,239 | | \$1,150 | |
| 24. Total Adjustment | | | \$20 | | (\$125) | | \$10 | | (\$344) | | (\$125) |
| 25. Indicated Rent | | \$1,145 | | \$1,075 | | \$1,010 | | \$895 | | \$1,025 | |
| 26. Correlated Subject Rent | \$1,035 | If there are any Remarks, check here and add the remarks to the back of page. | | | | | | | | | |
| | high rent | \$1,145 | low rent | \$895 | 60% range | \$945 | to | \$1,095 | | | |

Note: In the adjustments column, enter dollar amounts by which subject property varies from comparable properties. If subject is better, enter a "Plus" amount and if subject is inferior to the comparable, enter a "Minus" amount. Use back of page to explain adjustments as needed.

Appraiser's Signature: *[Signature]* Date (mm/dd/yy): 02/20/24
 Reviewer's Signature: *[Signature]* Date (mm/dd/yyyy): 02/20/24

Previous editions are obsolete form HUD-92273 (07/2003)

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments
 501 Walnut Street
 Lawrenceville, Virginia 23868



Two-Bedroom Units (750 SF) – As Stabilized
Estimates of Market Rent
by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Housing
 Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029
 (exp. 04/30/2020)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information is needed to analyze the reasonableness of the Annual Adjustment Factor or formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information is considered non-sensitive and does not require special protection. This agency may not collect this information, and you are not required to complete this form, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

| 1. Unit Type | 2. Subject Property (Address) | A. Comparable Property No. 1 (address) | | B. Comparable Property No. 2 (address) | | C. Comparable Property No. 3 (address) | | D. Comparable Property No. 4 (address) | | E. Comparable Property No. 5 (address) | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| Two-Bedroom | Lawrenceville Manor Apartments 501 Walnut Street Lawrenceville, Virginia | Meadowridge Apartments 340 North College Drive Franklin, Virginia | | Hillpoint Woods Apartments 601 Hillpoint Boulevard Suffolk, Virginia | | Autumn Ridge Apartments I & II 114 Nancy Drive Suffolk, Virginia | | Washington Square Apartments 149 West Washington Suffolk, Virginia | | The Lofts on Franklin 303 Franklin Street South Hill, Virginia | |
| Characteristics | Data | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments | Data | Adjustments |
| 3. Effective Date of Rental | 02/2024 | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | | 02/2024 | |
| 4. Type of Project/Stories | WU/2 | WU/2 | | WU/2 | | WU/2 | | WU/3 | | G/1 | |
| 5. Floor of Unit in Building | Varies | Varies | | Varies | | Varies | | Varies | | First | |
| 6. Project Occupancy % | 83% | 97% | | 100% | | 100% | | 98% | | 96% | |
| 7. Concessions | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 8. Year Built | 1985/Proposed | 1991/2015 | \$100 | 2005 | \$100 | 1982 | \$100 | 1966/2014 | | 1950/2018 | |
| 9. Sq. Ft. Area | 750 | 940 | (\$70) | 905 | (\$55) | 850 | (\$35) | 1020 | (\$95) | 850 | (\$35) |
| 10. Number of Bedrooms | 2 | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | |
| 11. Number of Baths | 10 | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | 2.0 | | 1.0 | |
| 12. Number of Rooms | 4 | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | |
| 13. Balc/Terrace/Patio | N | Y | (\$10) | Y | (\$10) | N | | N | | N | |
| 14. Garage or Carport | L/0 | L/0 | | L/0, G/100 | | L/0 | | L/0 | | L/0 | |
| 15. Equipment a. A/C | C | C | | C | | C | | C | | C | |
| b. Range/Refrigerator | RF | RF | | RF | | RF | | RF | | RF | |
| c. Disposal | N | N | | Y | | N | | N | | Y | |
| d. Microwave/Dishwasher | D | D | | MD | (\$5) | N | \$10 | MD | (\$5) | M | \$5 |
| e. Washer/Dryer | L | L | | HU | (\$5) | L | | WD | (\$30) | WD | (\$30) |
| f. Carpet | C | C | | C | | C | | W | | W | |
| g. Drapes | B | B | | B | | B | | B | | D | |
| h. Pool/Rec. Area | R | R | (\$5) | PR | (\$15) | R | | N | \$5 | N | \$5 |
| 16. Services a. Heat/Type | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$46) | N/E | |
| b. Cooling | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$14) | N/E | |
| c. Cook/Type | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$6) | N/E | |
| d. Electricity | N | N | | N | | N | | Y | (\$25) | N | |
| e. Hot Water | N/E | N/E | | N/E | | N/E | | Y/E | (\$23) | N/E | |
| f. Cold Water/Sewer | N | N | | N | | N | | Y | (\$70) | N | |
| g. Trash | Y | Y | | Y | | Y | | Y | | Y | |
| 17. Storage | N | N | | Y/45-100 | | N | | N | | N | |
| 18. Project Location | Average | Superior | (\$70) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$185) | Superior | (\$80) |
| 19. Security | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 20. Clubhouse/Meeting Room | N | N | | C | (\$5) | N | | N | | N | |
| 21. Special Features | I | N | \$50 | N | \$50 | N | \$50 | SS, GC | (\$15) | GC | \$15 |
| 22. Business Center / Nbdh Netwk | N | N | | N | | N | | N | | N | |
| 23. Unit Rent Per Month | | \$1,195 | | \$1,300 | | \$1,167 | | \$1,599 | | \$1,300 | |
| 24. Total Adjustment | | | (\$5) | | (\$130) | | (\$60) | | (\$509) | | (\$120) |
| 25. Indicated Rent | | \$1,190 | | \$1,170 | | \$1,097 | | \$1,090 | | \$1,180 | |
| 26. Correlated Subject Rent | \$1,135 | If there are any Remarks, check here and add the remarks to the back of page. | | | | | | | | | |
| | high rent | \$1,190 | low rent | \$1,090 | 60% range | \$1,110 | to | \$1,170 | | | |
| Note: In the adjustments column, enter dollar amounts by which subject property varies from comparable properties. If subject is better, enter a "Plus" amount and if subject is inferior to the comparable, enter a "Minus" amount. Use back of page to explain adjustments as needed. | | | | Appraiser's Signature <i>[Signature]</i> | | Date (mm/dd/yy) 02/20/24 | | Reviewer's Signature <i>[Signature]</i> | | Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 02/20/24 | |

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-92273 (07/2003)



Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions – As Stabilized

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments

Primary Unit Types – One-Bedroom Units (650 SF), Two-Bedroom Units (750 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the \$5 to \$10 range are based on the appraiser’s evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (C) (3) which states: “For minor adjustments (generally in the \$5 to \$10 range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent.”

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 650 and 750 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Meadowridge Apartments (Comparable 1), Hillpoint Woods Apartments (Comparable 2), Autumn Ridge Apartments I & II (Comparable 3), Washington Square Apartments (Comparable 4) and The Lofts on Franklin (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories – The subject is located in two-story walk-up buildings. All comparables are located in one-story garden-style or walk-up two- or three-story buildings. No complex in the market area shows a rent difference based on this particular item. No adjustment was needed.

Project Occupancy – The subject is currently 83 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 96 to 100 percent. No adjustment was needed.

Concessions – The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated – The subject was constructed in 1985 and was renovated in 2006. It will undergo a substantial renovation and will be in average condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1991 with some renovations made in 2015. Comparable 2 was constructed in 2005. Comparable 3 was built in 1982. Comparable 4 was constructed in 1966 and renovated in 2014. Comparable 5 was constructed in 1950 and renovated in 2018. The subject property will undergo rehabilitation and will be in good condition after the rehabilitation is complete. Renovations include but are not limited to install Ultra Aire Dehumidifier in all units; new ductwork as needed; update building exteriors as needed; new lighting, update heating and cooling systems as needed; update kitchens; install dishwashers; update baths; install new receptacles, switches and plates; new flooring; new miniblinds; replace interior doors and locks; provide complete wi-fi package for units and common areas; update laundry facility; replace property signage; stripe parking spaces; update landscaping; and other general updates needed for the property. A complete list of renovations is noted in the addenda/Exhibits of this report. Based on the proposed scope of rehabilitation for the subject, it will be superior to Comparables 1, 2 and 3 and similar to Comparable 4 and 5 once the rehabilitation is complete. There are no recently renovated comparables within close proximity to the subject. As a result, it was necessary to expand the search area to find existing developments that have been recently renovated. The following table shows pre-rehabilitation and post-rehabilitation rents for recently renovated properties.

| Property Name | Year Built/ Renovated | Avg. Pre- Renovated Rent | Avg. Renovated Rent | Difference in Rent |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Aubrey Apartments | 1974/2016 | \$717 | \$819 | \$102 |
| Union Flats | 1915/2019 | \$598 | \$718 | \$120 |
| | | \$658 | \$769 | \$111 |

As shown in the previous table, the comparables indicate a range of \$102 to \$120 per unit in rents based on rehabilitation, with an average of \$111 per unit per month. After considering the average rent increase per month, an adjustment of \$100 was considered a reasonable amount to reflect the market’s reaction to



the proposed renovations. As a result, the unadjusted rent of each comparable was adjusted upward \$200. Based on the comparable data and a comparison of the renovation scopes and the market's reaction to them, this line item adjustment is well-supported and is a typical expected return on this type of rehabilitation.

SF Area – The subject and the comparables vary in square footage. Typically, all other variables being equal, a larger unit is more desirable than a smaller unit. However, the value of the additional square footage is mitigated to some degree by the similarity in perceived unit function. There is a diminishing return of value for additional square footage as each additional square foot does not necessarily equal additional functionality. Additionally, the units at the subject are measured as part of the scope of this assignment. However, the contacts at the comparables are often unwilling to allow interior inspections of the units. Therefore, it is necessary to rely on published unit sizes or verbal confirmation of unit sizes from the property contacts. As such, it is impossible to verify the accuracy of this data. In addition, the subject unit sizes are paint-to-paint measurements, while the contacts often report the “marketing” unit size which is sometimes the gross exterior square footage. Therefore, the unit sizes at the comparables are not always a direct comparison to the unit sizes at the subject. For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar per square foot rental rate was determined for each bedroom type. From these results, a median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. The median dollar per square foot was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The 25 percent was used to account for the diminished return of the larger unit sizes and the potential differences in reported unit sizes of the comparables versus the subject. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is \$0.39 and for the two-bedroom comparison is \$0.36. The result was rounded to the nearest \$5. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject because there is no difference in perceived unit function with 25 square feet. The adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Form 92273, which is attached.

of Bedrooms – The subject contains one- and two-bedroom units. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

of Baths – The subject contains one bath in all unit types. All comparables except Comparable 4 in the two-bedroom comparison are similar. Comparable 4 contains two baths in the two-bedroom units. The majority of the difference in number of baths is accounted for in the unit square footage adjustment. However, an adjustment is made here to consider the added convenience of additional baths. The extra room(s) will enhance marketability of a unit even if the square footage remains the same. There was insufficient market data available for a paired analysis as the majority of properties in this market contain a similar number of baths. Therefore, a \$20 full bath per month adjustment was selected.

Balcony/Patio – The subject does not contain either feature. Comparables 3, 4 and 5 are similar. The remaining comparables contain balconies and/or patios and were adjusted downward \$10 per month. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Due to insufficient market data by which to extrapolate a market-based adjustment for balcony or patio, the appraiser elected to adjust the comparables \$10.

Parking – The subject and all comparables contain parking lots with no additional fee. In addition, Comparable 2 contains garage parking for an additional monthly fee of \$100. As ample parking similar to the subject, is available at Comparable 2 for no additional monthly fee, no adjustment was needed.

AC: Central/Wall – The subject contains central air conditioning as do all comparables. No adjustments were needed.



Range/Refrigerator – The subject and all comparables contain both amenities. No adjustments were needed.

Garbage Disposal – The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. All of the comparables except Comparables 2 and 5 are similar. Since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher – The subject will contain dishwashers once rehabilitation is complete. Comparable 1 is similar. Comparable 3 does not contain either feature. Comparables 2 and 4 contain microwaves and dishwashers. Comparable 5 contains microwaves. Microwaves are a relatively inexpensive item and are unlikely to be a deciding factor when a tenant decides which unit to lease. However, because the included amenity is considered an enhancement to the unit, it was considered appropriate to adjust a nominal \$5 per month for microwaves. Dishwashers are more expensive and are more likely to factor into a tenant’s decision on which unit to lease. Therefore, it was considered appropriate to adjust \$10 per month for dishwashers. The following table shows the amenities available at the subject and each comparable. The comparables were adjusted based on the indicated adjustment amount at the bottom of the table:

| Amenity | Subject | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| Microwave | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |
| Dishwasher | \$10 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 |
| Total | \$10 | \$10 | \$15 | \$0 | \$15 | \$5 |
| Indicated Adjustment | | \$0 | (\$5) | \$10 | (\$5) | \$5 |

Washer/Dryer – The subject and Comparables 1 and 3 contain a laundry facility. Comparable 2 contains washer/dryer hook-ups in the units. Comparables 4 and 5 contain washers and dryers in the units. Units with washers and dryers provided are preferred over units without these features as these appliances are expensive purchases for a prospective tenant. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the amenities are an enhancement to the unit. Finally, the *2020 NMHC/Kingsley Apartment Resident Preferences Report* for Richmond, Virginia, the nearest metropolitan area, was consulted. According to the *2020 NMHC/Kingsley Apartment Resident Preferences Report*, residents in the metropolitan area indicated they would expect a renter to pay between \$30.69 and \$39.40 per month for washers and dryers in the unit. After considering all factors, washers and dryers were valued at \$35 per month. Washer/dryer hook-ups are valued at \$10 per month. The following table shows the amenities available at the subject and each comparable. The comparables were adjusted based on the indicated adjustment amount at the bottom of the table:

| Amenity | Subject | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Laundry Facility | \$5 | \$5 | --- | \$5 | --- | --- |
| Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups | --- | --- | \$10 | --- | --- | --- |
| Washer/Dryer | --- | --- | --- | --- | \$35 | \$35 |
| Total | \$5 | \$5 | \$10 | \$5 | \$35 | \$35 |
| Indicated Adjustment | | \$0 | (\$5) | \$0 | (\$30) | (\$30) |

Carpet – The subject and all comparables contain carpet floor coverings. Therefore, no adjustments were needed.

Drapes – The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Recreation Areas – The following table shows the amenities at the subject and each comparable:

| Amenity | Subject | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Swimming Pool | --- | --- | \$10 | --- | --- | --- |
| Exercise Room | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Splash Park | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Spa/Hot Tub | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Picnic Area | --- | \$5 | \$5 | --- | --- | --- |
| Play Area | \$5 | \$5 | \$5 | \$5 | --- | --- |
| Total | \$5 | \$10 | \$20 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Indicated Adjustment | | (\$5) | (\$15) | \$0 | \$5 | \$5 |



Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features, the added amenities are an enhancement. Swimming pools are typically considered a more desirable feature than other recreation features. Therefore, a \$10 adjustment was determined for swimming pool, and a \$5 adjustment per feature was determined for each additional recreation area. The adjustments for the comparables are shown at the bottom of the table.

Heat – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$36 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$46 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cooling – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$11 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$14 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cooking – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$5 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$6 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Electricity – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$20 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$25 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Hot Water – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$18 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$23 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cold Water/Sewer – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables except Comparable 4 have this utility provided. Comparable 4 provides this utility and was adjusted downward \$54 for the one-bedroom comparison and \$70 per month for the two-bedroom comparison based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided for all regions. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Trash – The subject provides this utility. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.

Extra Storage – The subject does not contain extra storage. None of the comparables except Comparable 2 contain storage. Comparable 2 has extra storage available for an additional \$45 to \$100 per month. No adjustments were needed.

Location – Location comparisons were made based on the appraiser's judgment as to the relative desirability of the property to a potential renter. These factors also include degree and quality of surrounding development and view. The subject is located in Lawrenceville. Due to the lack of conventional apartments within the primary market area, it was necessary to go outside the market area for comparables. Comparable 1 is located in Franklin. Comparables 2, 3 and 4 are located in Suffolk. Comparable 5 is located in South Hill. It is difficult to determine adjustment amounts for location as it is



difficult to determine rent fluctuations based on this item. Therefore, it is necessary to rely in large part on opinions or area apartment managers and tenants. In addition, median rents of the comparables compared to the subject (\$518) were considered. All comparables were considered superior, which required downward adjustments. The calculations for those adjustments are as follows. Comparable 1 has a median rent of \$662. The difference in median rent level was \$144 (\$662 - \$518 = \$144). Comparables 2, 3 and 4 have a median rent of \$889. The difference in median rent level was \$371 (\$889 - \$518 = \$371). Comparable 5 has a median rent of \$680. The difference in median rent level was \$162 (\$680 - \$518 = \$162). Due to the nature of the adjustment, I elected to lower the indicated adjustments by half. After considering all factors, Comparable 1 was adjusted downward \$70 per month; Comparables 2, 3 and 4 were adjusted downward \$185 per month, while Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$80 per month.

Security – The subject does not contain security features. None of the comparables contain security features. No adjustments were needed.

Clubhouse/Meeting Room – The subject does not contain any of these features. None of the comparables except Comparable 2 contain these features. Comparable 2 contains a clubhouse. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on these particular items; however, the added amenities are an enhancement. Apartments with these features can command a higher rent in the market area. Therefore, properties with these features were adjusted \$5 per feature compared to properties without any of these features.

Special Features – The subject will offer in-unit Wi-Fi, once rehabilitation is complete. Comparables 1, 2 and 3 do not offer any special features. Comparable 1 contains stainless steel appliances and granite countertops. Comparable 5 contains granite countertops. Special features such as stainless steel appliances and granite, quartz or solid-surface countertops will typically command a higher rent in the market. Tenants are typically willing to pay a higher premium for these features. Typically, when these features are included in the units, they are considered luxury items, and units are assessed an up-charge from the rent that would be charged if unit did not contain these features. The *2020 NMHC/Kingsley Apartment Resident Preferences Report* for Richmond, Virginia, the nearest metropolitan area, was consulted. According to the *2020 NMHC/Kingsley Apartment Resident Preferences Report*, residents in the metropolitan area indicated they would expect a renter to pay \$30.80 per month for refrigerators with premium finishes and \$34.38 per month for premium countertops. After considering all factors, a \$30 adjustment was applied for stainless steel appliances, and a \$35 adjustment was applied for the solid-surface countertops. In order to establish an appropriate adjustment for in-unit Wi-Fi, local providers were contacted. The following table shows the fees associated for internet services in the market area.

| Provider | Internet Fee |
|-----------|--------------|
| Spectrum | \$49.99 |
| AT&T | \$55.00 |
| Xfinity | \$35.00 |
| Viasat | \$64.99 |
| Hughesnet | \$49.99 |

The adjustment is selected based on where the majority of the results fall within the range. If there is no majority, a conservative adjustment at the mid-point of the range is selected. The majority of the businesses indicated a range of \$35 to \$64.99 per month for internet. Therefore, an internet adjustment of \$50 per month was deemed reasonable. The comparables were adjusted based on the indicated adjustment amount at the bottom of the table:

| Amenity | Subject | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 | Comp 5 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Stainless Steel Appliances | --- | --- | --- | --- | \$30 | --- |
| Solid-Surface Countertops | --- | --- | --- | --- | \$35 | \$35 |
| In-Unit Wi-Fi | \$50 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Total | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$65 | \$35 |
| Indicated Adjustment | | \$50 | \$50 | \$50 | (\$15) | \$15 |



Business Center/Neighborhood Network – The subject does not contain this feature. None of the comparables contain this feature. No adjustments were needed.

Conclusion of Market Rents – As Stabilized

The adjusted rents range from \$895 to \$1,145 for the one-bedroom comparison and from \$1,090 to \$1,190 for the two-bedroom comparison. All comparables were given consideration. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

- **650 SF One-Bedroom Units** - **\$1,035**
- **750 SF Two-Bedroom Units** - **\$1,135**

The developer is proposing affordable rents of \$1,035 for the one-bedroom units, and \$1,135 for the two-bedroom units. In addition, the maximum net tax credit rents are as follows: \$397 for one-bedroom units at 30 percent of the area median income; \$662 for one-bedroom units at 50 percent of the area median income; \$795 for one-bedroom units at 60 percent of the area median income; \$477 for two-bedroom units at 30 percent of the area median income; \$795 for two-bedroom units at 50 percent of the area median income; and \$954 for two-bedroom units at 60 percent of the area median income. The proposed do exceed the maximum allowable LIHTC rents. However, the subject contains Rental Assistance for all units. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will retain its Rental Assistance. As a result of the Rental Assistance, tenants will never be asked to pay more than 30 percent of their gross annual income for rent and utilities. Therefore, the proposed rents, as shown in the following table, were determined to be achievable within the market area.

| MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | % of Median Income | Maximum LIHTC Rent | Gross Rent | Utility Allowance | Net Rent |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 30% | \$397 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 4 | 650 | 50% | \$662 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 60% | \$795 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 30% | \$477 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 8 | 750 | 50% | \$795 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 60% | \$954 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |



Evaluation of the Development

Project Design

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is comprised of three two-story walk-up buildings containing a total of 24 one- and two-bedroom units. The complex also contains an accessory building which houses the leasing office and maintenance area. The buildings have concrete slab foundations and wood framing, with vinyl siding exteriors and asphalt shingle roofs. The complex was constructed in 1985 and was renovated in 2006. The property will once again be rehabilitated. Landscaping is minimal and consist of grass, trees and shrubs. The property is not a scattered site development. The subject's design is comparable to the majority of the comparables in the market area.

Project Amenities

The property provides the following project amenities: playground, laundry facility, on-site management and on-site maintenance. The subject's project amenities are competitive with the comparables in the market area.

Parking

The complex contains an open asphalt parking lot with a total of 39 parking spaces. Therefore, the subject has a parking ratio 1.63 parking spaces per unit. The parking is sufficient for the development.

Unit Mix

The subject's unit mix of one- and two-bedroom units is suitable in the market area.

Utilities

The subject contains central electric heating and cooling. Cooking and hot water are also electric. The landlord provides trash collection services. This arrangement is similar to the majority of the comparables in the market area.

Unit Amenities

Each unit contains the following amenities: refrigerator, range/oven, carpet and vinyl flooring, blinds and ceiling fans. Upon completion of rehabilitation, the units will also contain a dishwasher and in-unit Wi-F. The unit amenities are competitive with the comparables in the market area.

Tenant Services

The subject will not provide tenant services. None of the comparables offer tenant services.

Impact of Existing/Planned Rental Housing

The market area exhibits sufficient demand for the proposed rehabilitation of the existing property. Based on the occupancy level of the apartment complexes in the market area, the waiting lists, the projected population and household growth and the economic factors, it is believed that when the rehabilitation is completed, the property will have no adverse impact on the existing and/or planned market-rate rental housing properties in the market area.



Summary of Developments Strength and Weaknesses

Strengths

- The subject's site plan and design are competitive with the surveyed comparables.
- The development will be superior to most of the comparable developments in condition upon completion of the rehabilitation.
- The subject's current and proposed unit and project amenities will be competitive with the comparables in the market area.
- The subject's proposed rents will provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses

- There are no apparent weaknesses.



Local Interviews

Lawrenceville Redevelopment and Housing Authority

Several attempts were made to contact the Lawrenceville Redevelopment and Housing Authority; however none were successful. The phone number for the Lawrenceville Redevelopment & Housing Authority 434-634-3332.

Virginia's Growth Alliance

According to Shanette Back, Community & Economic Development Director with the Virginia's Growth Alliance (formerly, the TransTech Alliance), the organization is a regional organization of six counties and one city that have come together to facilitate investment attraction and economic growth in the region. The localities includes the counties of Brunswick, Charlotte, Greensville, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, and Nottoway; and the City of Lawrenceville. Although the initial major focus is on business recruitment, the organization is also creating strategies for entrepreneurship development, asset development, and leadership development. According to VGA, the area has seen a few new and expanding business over the last two years, including ,but not limited to the following:

Heyco Werk USA Inc., a subsidiary of Germany-based automotive parts manufacturer Heyco Group, plans to invest \$5.4 million to expand its operations in Greenville County, adding 21 jobs. In addition, Rosie's Gaming Emporium, a new casino, recently celebrated its grand opening in Lawrenceville City. The company plans to hire over 125 employees with average salaries of \$47,000 per year within the first two years of operations.

Old Dominion Organic Farms announced plans to construct a new product processing and packing facility at the Business Center Park, located in Brunswick County. Over the next three years, 40 new jobs will be created and the facility is expected to process nearly \$24 million Virginia-grown vegetables, approximately 80 percent of which will be certified organic. The new facility will support more than 22 farmers located in Amelia, Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Lunenburg, Mecklenburg, Prince George, and Surry Counties during its first season.

Coast 2 Coast Trucking, Inc., a sister company to ABC Recycling, will invest \$3.765 million to establish its new company in Lunenburg County, which will create 21 new jobs including full time truck drivers, mechanics and office personnel.

FyberX Holdings, a developer of technology to process raw agricultural biomass into refined natural fibers, will establish its U.S. headquarters and production operation in Mecklenburg County, Virginia. The \$17.5 million project is expected to create 45 jobs. The new facility, located in the former Kinderton Distribution Center building, will process hemp and other agricultural products, producing fibers to supply the textile industry.

Ms. Back noted that the area is in need of additional rental housing in the region as there are very few rental options, especially for family households. She reported that the majority of the existing rental housing stock is older; however, most are well-kept and stay occupied. She stated that there is one planned development in the area, which will be conventional townhome community with 10 to 15 units. Ms. Back said otherwise, there have not been any recently constructed or planned developments in the area. The phone number for the Virginia's Growth Alliance is 434-200-8066.



RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Project Description

The subject, Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, is an existing Rural Development property designated for families that contains 24 units. The subject will undergo renovation. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units as well as 100 percent Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the subject will also retain its Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes below \$38,160 will be eligible for the rehabilitated development. Without considering the Rental Assistance, households with one to three persons and incomes between \$13,611 and \$38,160 would be eligible for the rehabilitated development.

The following chart lists the subject's unit mix.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Total Square Footage |
|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | 5,200 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | 12,000 |
| | 24 | | 17,200 |

The following chart lists the subject's existing unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | Current Rent | Utility Allowance |
|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1/1 | 8 | 650 | \$822 | \$115 |
| 2/1 | 16 | 750 | \$911 | \$138 |
| | 24 | | | |

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

| MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| Unit Type | # of Units | Square Feet | % of Median Income | Maximum LIHTC Rent | Gross Rent | Utility Allowance | Net Rent |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 30% | \$397 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 4 | 650 | 50% | \$662 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 1/1 | 2 | 650 | 60% | \$795 | \$1,170 | \$135 | \$1,035 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 30% | \$477 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 8 | 750 | 50% | \$795 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |
| 2/1 | 4 | 750 | 60% | \$954 | \$1,284 | \$149 | \$1,135 |

Since the subject will retain its Rental Assistance once rehabilitation is complete, the development's proposed gross rents are not tethered to the maximum allowable LIHTC rents. Due to the Rental Assistance, tenants will never be required to pay more than 30 percent of annual income toward rent and utilities. Furthermore, at no point will tenants be required to pay more than the maximum allowable LIHTC rent.

Income Averaging

The developer has not elected the income-averaging option.



Housing Profile

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes and market-rate and income-restricted apartment complexes. The market area has an overall rental vacancy rate of 1.9 percent. Of the 992 total units verified, 19 were vacant. The current vacancy rate in surveyed income-restricted apartment complexes is 4.7 percent. The current vacancy rate in market-rate apartment complexes surveyed is 0.8 percent. The current vacancy rate of the competitive properties in the market area is 4.9 percent.

The proposed rehabilitation of the existing Rural Development property will not have an adverse impact on the market area. As complete, the property will remain a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units, as well as be 100 percent LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. There were six income-restricted developments, including the subject properties, confirmed. All but one property, New Nottoway River Commons, was located within the in the market area. Of the confirmed income-restricted developments located in the market area, three developments directly compete with the subject. These properties maintain high occupancy rates, and maintain waiting lists from the subject could draw residents. Therefore, it does not appear the market area is saturated with affordable housing.

Market Feasibility

The following tables show the capture rates for the rehabilitated development both with and without considering Rental Assistance.

| CAPTURE RATE - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | --- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 0.7% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate (Months) | N/A |

| CAPTURE RATE - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE | |
|---|-------------------|
| Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | --- |
| Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units | 1.0% |
| Project Wide Absorption Rate | 3-5 Months |

The market shows a net demand of 3,534 households for all units when considering the Rental Assistance and a net demand of 2,337 households for all units when not considering the Rental Assistance. The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four units vacant. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will retain its Rental Assistance as well as be 100 percent LIHTC with rent and income limits set at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As the property will retain its Rental Assistance, all existing tenants will be income-eligible for the units once the rehabilitation is complete. If the property were to lose its Rental Assistance, none of the existing tenants would remain income-eligible; therefore, all of the subject's 24 units would need to be absorbed into the market. The capture rate for the subject, if entirely vacant, is 0.7 percent. When considering only the subject's vacant units, the capture rate is 0.1 percent. The capture rate, without considering the Rental Assistance, is 1.0 percent, which is also considered excellent due to the existing waiting lists and high occupancy rates of LIHTC properties in the market area as well as the current population and household growth and stable economic factors. Therefore, it is the belief of the analysts that the property will continue to be viable within the market area and would be so even if it were entirely vacant. Consequently, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will continue to satisfy a portion of the demand for rental units within the market. It is believed that the property will meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.



Evaluation of Project

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is easily accessed via Walnut Street. Walnut Street connects to West 5th Avenue. West 5th Street provides access to South Hicks Street. South Hicks Street then provides access to U.S. Highway 58, a major thoroughfare in the area. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access. The subject's existing site plan and project design are similar to competing apartment developments. The subject's existing unit mix of one- and two-bedroom units is suitable in the market.

Positive/Negative Attributes

Strengths: The subject's location is comparable to other developments in the market area. Its current and proposed in-unit and common area amenities are competitive with the other developments in the market area. When these factors are taken into consideration, the subject's rehabilitated units will provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses: There are no apparent weaknesses.

Conclusions

The subject can retain its required share of the market area for the following reasons:

- The subject's rehabilitated design and amenities will be competitive with other existing projects. Therefore, no modifications to the subjects are necessary.
- Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will be superior in condition when compared to the majority of the current housing stock
- The market area's population and households are projected to increase through 2028, indicating a need for additional housing the market area. In addition, all restricted properties confirmed maintain high occupancy rates, and the majority maintain waiting lists, it appears the market is not oversaturated with affordable rental housing.
- The subject is an existing 24-unit Rural Development property that will be rehabilitated. Once rehabilitated is complete, the subject will continue to be a Rural Development property with Rental Assistance for all units, as well as 100 percent LIHTC at 30, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. Therefore, the rehabilitated subject will continue to be a great asset to the area.
- The subject is an existing Rural Development property that is currently 83 percent occupied, with four vacant units. The property will retain its Rental Assistance for all units once rehabilitation is complete. Therefore, it is anticipated all current residents will remain income-qualified. Furthermore, the rehabilitation will not permanently displace any residents. Consequently, the subject will only need to absorb three units to attain a stabilized occupancy rate. After considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within one month. If the property was to lose its Rental Assistance, it is unlikely all residents would remain income-qualified. Therefore, for the scenario that does not consider the property's Rental Assistance, the property was considered entirely vacant. After considering all factors, it is estimated that the development could absorb five to 10 units per month, resulting in a 95 percent occupancy level within three to five months.
- According to the past, present and future demographic and economic trends within the primary market area, it is the opinion of the analyst that the rehabilitated development will be suitable for the market area. Given the current low vacancy rates for income-restricted properties as well as the waiting lists at most properties, the development will not have an adverse effect on the existing rental comparables and the anticipated pipeline additions to the rental base.



Qualifications of the Analyst

Gill Group is a nationwide marketing and real estate consulting firm specializing in market studies and real estate appraisals for low-income housing developments. For more than 30 years, Gill Group has been performing market research and appraisals on all types of properties. Primary data from field inspectors, analysts and appraisers is used. Our inspectors, analysts and appraisers personally inspect and examine every property included in the reports. Additional data is obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau, local public officials and reliable market data research companies such as ESRI Business Information Solutions. Gill Group's market studies have been used by clients in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit program and in obtaining and renewing state and federal subsidies for low-income housing developments and multifamily rental housing. Jonathan Richmond has been active in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Multifamily Accelerated Processing (M.A.P.) and Mark-to-Market programs.



Jonathan Richmond
512 North One Mile Road
P.O. Box 784
Dexter, Missouri 63841
573-624-6614 (phone)
573-624-2942 (fax)

jon.richmond@gillgroup.com

OVERVIEW

Multifamily and commercial experience specializing in work for private contractors, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program. Has completed over 100 market studies in the past five years.

ACCREDITATIONS

State Certified Real Estate Appraiser
Missouri State License Number 2014040824

Housing Credit Certified Professional (HCCP)
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts (NCAHMA)

EMPLOYMENT

Hanley Wood 2001 – 2005
Specialized in Market Research Coordination by providing residential construction information for residential real estate development and new home construction.

Gill Group 2005 – Present
Specializes in multi-family market studies, appraisals, and physical inspections.

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Business Administration/Management
The University of Phoenix
Advanced Microsoft Excel Training
New Horizons Microsoft Excel 2003-Level 2
State Registered Appraiser of Real Estate
Steve W. Vehmeier Appraisal School
General Education Classes
Manatee Community College

EXPERIENCE
(2008 TO PRESENT)

Provider of appraisals for HUD, Public Housing Authorities, Property Management Companies, Non-Profit Entities, For-Profit Entities, Commercial Property Chains, Banks and Lenders everywhere.

Inspector for Gill Group

Provides Property Condition Assessments for the following property types:

- Multi-Family
- Office

Provided Inspections for the following property types



- Single-Family
- Multi-Family
 - o Conventional
 - o Section 8
 - o Section 42 w/ File Audits
 - o Section 202
 - o Section 221(d)(3)
 - o Section 221(d)(4)
 - o Section 236
- Hotels
- Motels
- Department Stores
- Retail Centers
- Warehouse
- Large, Multi-Unit Mini-Storage Facilities

RECENT PROJECTS

Proposed 52 Unit Family Complex - Guthrie, OK
43 Unit Multifamily Property - Waggaman, LA
32 Unit Multifamily Property - Waggaman, LA
24 Unit Family Complex - Republic, MO
112 Unit Multifamily Apartment Complex - Santa Clara, CA
28 Senior Unit Property - Ozark, AR
19 New Construction Single Family Homes - Butler, MO
44 Unit Multifamily Property - Nevada, MO
52 Senior Unit Complex - Savannah, MO
36 Unit Multifamily Property - Corbin, KY



Janice F. Gill, MAI
512 North One Mile Road
P.O. Box 784
Dexter, Missouri 63841
573-624-6614 (phone)
573-624-2942 (fax)

OVERVIEW

Extensive multifamily experience specializing in work for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program. Also, many years of experience with nursing homes and typical commercial appraisals.

ACCREDITATIONS

MAI Designated Member of the Appraisal Institute

State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser

Missouri State License Number: 2002024410
Virginia State License Number: 4001016982
Maryland State License Number: 32198

Also regularly receives temporary licenses in the following states: Colorado, Florida, Hawaii, Minnesota, Oklahoma and Texas.

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science Degree

Southeast Missouri State University

Associate of Arts Degree

Three Rivers Community College

Professional Standards of Practice

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Introductions to Income Properties

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Concepts, Terminology & Techniques

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Financial Analysis of Income Properties

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

1.4B Report Writing-Non Residential Real Estate Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Principles of Residential Real Estate Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

1.4A Report Writing-Residential Real Estate Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Economics I

Three Rivers Community College

1.4A Report Writing Non-Residential Real Estate Appraising

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers

Advanced Highest & Best Use and Market Analysis

Appraisal Institute

Advanced Sales Comparison & Cost Approaches

Appraisal Institute

Advanced Income Capitalization

Appraisal Institute



Report Writing & Valuation Analysis

Appraisal Institute

National USPA Update

McKissock

**EXPERIENCE
(1991 TO PRESENT)**

Primary provider of HUD Mark-to-Market Full Appraisals for mortgage restructuring and Mark-to-Market Lites for rent restructuring. Have worked with HUD in this capacity for several years.

Contract appraiser for Kentucky Housing Corporation for the eastern half of the State of Kentucky.

Provider of nursing home appraisals and hotel appraisals as well as typical commercial appraisals nationwide.

Provider of HUD Rent Comparability Studies for contract renewal purposes nationwide.

Partial list of clients include: Boston Capital, Colorado Housing Finance Agency, CreditVest, Inc., Foley & Judell, LLP, Kentucky Housing Corporation, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Missouri Housing Development Agency, New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, Ontra, Inc., Quadel Consulting Corporation, Reznick Group, Siegel Group, Signet Partners and Wachovia Securities.



Certificate of Membership

Gill Group, Inc.
Is a Member Firm in Good Standing of



National Council of Housing Market Analysts
1400 16th St. NW, Suite 420
Washington, DC 20036
202-939-1750

Designation Maintained By
Samuel Gill

Membership Term
2/1/2024 - 1/31/2025




Kaitlyn Snyder
Managing Director, NH&RA



We affirm that we have made a physical inspection of the site and market area. We also affirm that the appropriate information has been used in the comprehensive evaluation of the need and demand for the proposed rental units. To the best of our knowledge the market can support the demand shown in this study. We understand that any misrepresentations in this statement may result in the denial of participation in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program in Virginia as administered by the Virginia Housing. We also affirm that neither we nor anyone at our firm has any interest in the proposed development or relationship with the ownership entity. In addition, we affirm that neither we nor anyone at our firm nor anyone acting on behalf of our firm in connection with the preparation of this report has communication to others that my firm is representing Virginia Housing or in any way acting for, at the request of, or on behalf of Virginia Housing. Finally, we affirm that compensation for our services is not contingent upon this development receiving a reservation or allocation of tax credits.



Jonathan Richmond
Market Analyst



Janice F. Gill, MAI
Market Analyst

Date: March 13, 2024



ADDENDUM A – DATA SOURCES

2010 U.S. Census
American Community Survey
Claritas; Ribbon Demographics (A nationally recognized demographics forecaster)
U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Information used in the market study was obtained from various sources including: the U.S. Census Bureau, Ribbon Demographics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, interviews with local area and government officials and interview with local property owners or managers.



ADDENDUM B – MARKET STUDY TERMINOLOGY

Absorption Period

The period of time necessary for a newly constructed or renovated property to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. The Absorption Period begins when the first temporary or permanent certificate of occupancy is issued and ends when the last unit to reach the Stabilized Level of Occupancy has a signed lease. Assumes a typical pre-marketing period, prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, of about three to six months. The month that leasing is expected to begin should accompany all absorption estimates.

Absorption Rate

The average number of units rented each month during the Absorption Period.

Acceptable Rent Burden

The rent-to-income ratio used to qualify tenants for both income restricted and non-income restricted units. The Acceptable Rent Burden varies depending on the requirements of funding sources, government funding sources, target markets, and local conditions.

Affordable Housing

Housing where the tenant household pays no more than 30 percent of its annual income on Gross Rent.

Amenity

Tangible or intangible benefits offered to a tenant at no fee (with the exception of day care), typically on-site recreational facilities or planned programs, services and activities.

Annual Demand

The total estimated demand present in the market in any one year for the type of units proposed. Annual demand estimates factor in tenure, tenant age, income restrictions, family size and turnover.

Area Median Income (AMI)

One-hundred percent of the gross median household income for a specific metropolitan statistical area, county or non-metropolitan area established annually by HUD.

Assisted Housing

Housing where the monthly costs to the tenants are subsidized by federal, state or other programs.

Attached Housing

Two or more dwelling units connected with party walls (e.g., townhouses or flats).

Basic Rent

The minimum monthly rent that tenants who do not have rental assistance pay to lease units developed through the USDA-RD Section 515 Program, the HUD Section 236 Program and HUD Section 223 (d)(3) Below Market Interest Rate Program. The Basic Rent is calculated on the rent required to operate the property, maintain debt service on a subsidized mortgage with a below-market interest rate, and provide a return on equity to the developer in accordance with the regulatory documents governing the property.

Below Market Interest Rate Program (BMIR)

Program targeted to renters with income not exceeding 80 percent of area median income by limiting rents based on HUD's BMIR Program requirements and through the provision of an interest reduction contract to subsidize the market interest rate to a below-market rate. Interest rates are typically subsidized to effective rates of one percent or three percent.

Capture Rate

The percentage of age, size, and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area that the property must capture to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require



restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. The Capture Rate is calculated by dividing the total number of units at the property by the total number of age, size and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area. See Penetration Rate for rate for entire market area.

Census Tract

A small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision delineated by a local committee of census data users for the purpose of presenting data. Census tract boundaries normally follow visible features but may follow governmental unit boundaries and other non-visible features; they always nest within counties. They are designed to be relatively homogeneous units with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions at the time of establishment. Census tracts average about 4,000 inhabitants.

Central Business District (CBD)

The center of commercial activity within a town or city; usually the largest and oldest concentration of such activity.

Community Development Corporation (CDC)

Entrepreneurial institution combining public and private resources to aid in the development of socio-economically disadvantaged areas.

Comparable Property

A market-rate or Affordable Housing property that is representative of the rental housing choices of the subject's Primary Market Area and that is similar in construction, size, amenities, or age. These Comparables and Competitives are generally used to derive market rent.

Competitive Property

A property that is comparable to the subject and that competes at nearly the same rent levels and tenant profile, such as age, family or income.

Concession

Discount given to a prospective tenant to induce the tenant to sign a lease. Concessions typically are in the form of reduced rent or free rent for a specified lease term or for free amenities which are normally charged separately (i.e., washer/dryer, parking).

Condominium

A form of joint ownership and control of property in which specified volumes of space (for example, apartments) are owned individually while the common elements of the property (for example, outside walls) are owned jointly.

Contract Rent

1. The actual monthly rent payable by the tenant, including any rent subsidy paid on behalf of the tenants, to the owner, inclusive of all terms of the lease (HUD & RD).
2. The monthly rent agreed to between a tenant and a landlord (Census).

Demand

An estimate of the total number of market households that have both the desire and the ability to obtain the product and/or services offered. These households must be of the appropriate age, income, tenure and size for a specific proposed development. Components of demand vary and can include household growth; turnover, those living in substandard conditions, rent over-burdened households, and demolished housing units. Demand is project specific.



Difficult Development Area (DDA)

An area designated by HUD as an area that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the Area Median Gross Income. A project located in a DDA and utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit may qualify for up to 130 percent of eligible basis for the purpose of calculating the Tax Credit allocation.

Detached Housing

A freestanding dwelling unit, typically single-family, situated on its own lot.

Effective Rents

Market Rents less concessions.

Elderly or Senior Housing

Housing where (1) all the units in the property are restricted for occupancy by persons 62 years of age or older or (2) at least 80 percent of the units in each building are restricted for occupancy by households where at least one household member is 55 years of age or older and the housing is designed with amenities and facilities designed to meet the needs of senior citizens.

Extremely Low Income

Person or household with income below 30 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Fair Market Rent (FMR)

The estimates established by HUD of the Gross Rents (Contract Rent plus Tenant Paid Utilities) needed to obtain modest rental units in acceptable condition in a specific county or metropolitan statistical area. HUD generally sets FMR so that 40 percent of the rental units have rents below the FMR. In rental markets with a shortage of lower-priced rental units, HUD may approve the use of Fair Market Rents that are as high as the 50th percentile of rents.

Family

A family consists of a householder (i.e., one who occupies or owns a house/head of a household) and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage or adoption. Not all households contain families since a household may comprise a group of unrelated persons or one person living alone. (Source: U.S. Census)

Garden Apartments

Apartments in low-rise buildings (typically two to four stories) that feature low density, ample open-space around the buildings, and on-site parking.

Gross Rent

The monthly housing cost to a tenant which equals the Contract Rent provided for in the lease plus the estimated cost of all Tenant Paid Utilities.

High-rise

A residential building having more than ten stories.

Household

All the persons who occupy a housing unit as their usual place of residence. The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated persons who share living arrangements. (Source: U.S. Census)

Household Trends

Changes in the number of households for a particular area over a specific period of time, which is a function of new household formations (e.g., at marriage or separation) and decreasing average household size.



Housing Unit

House, apartment, mobile home, or group of rooms used as a separate living quarters by a single household.

Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8 Program)

Federal rent subsidy program under Section 8 of the U.S. Housing Act which issues rent vouchers to eligible households to use in the housing of their choice. The voucher payment subsidizes the difference between the Gross Rent and the tenant's contribution of 30 percent of adjusted income (or 10 percent of gross income, whichever is greater). In cases where 30 percent of the tenants' income is less than the utility allowance, the tenant will receive an assistance payment. In other cases, the tenant is responsible for paying his share of the rent each month.

Housing Finance Agency (HFA)

State or local agencies responsible for financing housing and administering Assisted Housing programs.

HUD Section 8 Program

Federal program that provides project based rental assistance. Under the program HUD contracts directly with the owner for the payment of the difference between the Contract Rent and a specified percentage of tenant's adjusted income.

HUD Section 202 Program

Federal program which provides direct capital assistance (i.e., grant) and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by elderly households who have income not exceeding 50 percent of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. Units receive HUD project based rental assistance that enables tenants to occupy units at rents based on 30 percent of tenant income.

HUD Section 811 Program

Federal program which provides direct capital assistance and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by persons with disabilities who have income not exceeding 50 percent of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization.

HUD Section 236 Program

Federal program which provides interest reduction payments for loans which finance housing targeted to households with income not exceeding 80 percent of area median income who pay rent equal to the greater of Basic Rent of 30 percent of their adjusted income. All rents are capped at a HUD approved market rent.

Income Band

The range of incomes of households that can pay a specific rent but do not have more income than is allowed by the Income Limits of a particular housing program. The minimum household income typically is based on a defined Acceptable Rent Burden percentage and the maximum typically is pre-defined by specific programmatic requirements or by general market parameters.

Income Limits

Maximum household income by county or Metropolitan Statistical Area, adjusted for household size and expressed as a percentage of the Area Median Income for the purpose of establishing an upper limit for eligibility for a specific housing program. Income Limits for federal, state and local rental housing programs typically are established at 30, 50, 60 or 80 percent of AMI. HUD publishes Income Limits each year for 30 percent median, Very Low Income (50%), and Low-Income (80%), for households with one through eight people.



Infrastructure

Services and facilities including roads, highways, water, sewerage, emergency services, parks and recreation, etc. Infrastructure includes both public and private facilities.

Low Income

Person or household with gross household income below 80 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit

A program to generate equity for investment in affordable rental housing authorized pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. The program requires that a certain percentage of units built be restricted for occupancy to households earning 60 percent or less of Area Median Income, and that the rents on these units be restricted accordingly.

Low Rise Building

A building with one to three stories.

Market Advantage

The difference, expressed as a percentage, between the estimated market rent for an apartment property without income restrictions and the lesser of (a) the owner's proposed rents or (b) the maximum rents permitted by the financing program for the same apartment property.

Market Analysis

A study of real estate market conditions for a specific type of property.

Market Area

A geographic area from which a property is expected to draw the majority of its residents.

Market Area, Primary (PMA)

The most likely geographic area from which a property would draw its support.

Market Area, Secondary (SMA)

The portion of a market area that supplies additional support to an apartment property beyond that provided by the primary market area.

Market Demand

The number of units required in a defined market area to accommodate demand (i.e., households that desire to improve the quality of their housing without significantly increasing their economic burden). Market demand is not project specific and refers to the universe of tenure appropriate households, independent of income. The components of market demand are similar to those used in determining demand.

Market Feasibility Analysis

An analysis that determines whether a proposed development can attain its target rents, taking into account the development's characteristics (location, size, unit mix, design and amenities), the depth of its target market, and the strength of its appeal in comparison to other existing and planned options available to potential consumers.

Market Rent

The rent that an apartment, without rent or income restrictions or rent subsidies, would command in the open market considering its location, features, amenities. Market rent should be adjusted for concessions and owner paid utilities included in the rent.



Market Study

A comprehensive review of the housing market in a defined market area. A market study can be used to determine the demand for specific proposed development or to examine the overall condition of an area's housing market. Project specific market studies are often used by developers, syndicators, and government entities to determine the appropriateness of a proposed development, whereas market specific market studies are used to determine what housing needs, if any, exist within a specific geography. At a minimum, market studies include a review of location, economic conditions, demographics, and existing and proposed housing stock.

Marketability

The manner in which the subject fits into the market; the relative desirability of a property (for sale or lease) in comparison with similar or competing properties in the area.

Market Vacancy Rate – Physical

Average number of apartment units in any market which are unoccupied divided by the total number of apartment units in the same Market Area, excluding units in properties which are in the lease-up stage.

Market Vacancy Rate – Economic

Percentage of rent loss due to concessions and vacancies.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)

A geographic entity defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget, for use by federal statistical agencies, based on the concept of a core area with a large population nucleus, plus adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. Qualification of an MSA requires the presence of a city with 50,000 or more inhabitants, or the presence of an Urbanized Area (UA) and a total population of at least 100,000 (75,000 in New England). The county or counties containing the largest city and surrounding densely settled territory are central counties of the MSA. Additional outlying counties qualify to be included in the MSA by meeting certain other criteria of metropolitan character, such as a specified minimum population density or percentage of the population that is urban.

Mid-rise

A building with four to ten stories.

Mixed Income Property

An apartment property containing (1) both income restricted and unrestricted units or (2) units restricted at two or more Income Limits (i.e., Low Income Tax Credit property with income limits of 30, 50 and 60 percent).

Mobility

The ease with which people move from one location to another.

Moderate Income

Person or household with gross household income between 80 and 120 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Move-Up Demand

An estimate of how many consumers are able and willing to relocate to more expensive or desirable units, such as tenants who move up from Class C properties to Class B; and Class B tenants that move up to Class A properties; and tenants that move from Class C and B properties to a new superior Low Income Tax Credit property. For purposes of demonstrating move-up demand both changes in Class Construction Type and changes in Quality Classification of five or more may be considered (Reference the Virginia State Board of Equalization Assessor's Handbook Section 531, January 2003, pages 4-5 for definitions of Class and Quality Classifications.)



Multifamily

Structures that contain two or more housing units.

Neighborhood

An area of a city or town with common demographic and economic features that distinguish it from adjoining areas.

Net Rent (also referred to as Contract or Lease Rent)

Gross Rent less Tenant Paid Utilities.

Penetration Rate

The percentage of age and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area that live in all existing and proposed properties, to be completed within six months of the subject, and which are competitively priced to the subject that must be captured to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. See Capture Rate for property specific rate.

Pent-up Demand

A market in which there is a scarcity of supply and vacancy rates are very low.

Population Trends

Changes in population levels for a particular area over a specific period of time – which is a function of the levels of births, deaths, and net migration.

Primary Market Area

See Market Area

Programmatic Rents

The proposed rents for a Tax Credit or other income restricted property relative to comparable market rate properties and rents being achieved at another Low Income Housing Tax Credit or other income restricted properties in the market. Can be no greater than maximum rents permitted by the Low Income Housing Tax Credit or other program regulations.

Project Based Rent Assistance

Rental assistance from a federal, state or local program that is allocated to the property or a specific number of units in the property and is available to each income eligible tenant of the property or an assisted unit.

Public Housing or Low Income Conventional Public Housing

HUD program administered by local (or regional) Housing Authorities which serves Low- and Very-Low Income Households with rent based on the same formula used for HUD Section 8 assistance.

Qualified Census Tract (QCT)

Any census tract (or equivalent geographic area defined by the Bureau of the Census) in which at least 50 percent of households have an income less than 60 percent of Area Median Income or where the poverty rate is at least 25 percent. A project located in a QCT and receiving Low Income Housing Tax Credits may qualify for up to 130 percent of eligible basis for the purpose of calculating the Tax Credit allocation.

Redevelopment

The redesign or rehabilitation of existing properties.

Rent Burden

Contract Rent plus Tenant Paid Utilities divided by the gross monthly household income.



Rent Burdened Households

Households with rent burden above the level determined by the lender, investor or public program to be an acceptable rent-to-income ratio.

Restricted Rent

The maximum allowable rent under the restrictions of a specific housing program or subsidy.

Rural Development (RD) Market Rent

A monthly rent that can be charged for an apartment under a specific USDA-RD housing program, that reflects the agency's estimate of the rent required to operate the property, maintain debt service on an un-subsidized mortgage and provide an adequate return to the property owner. This rent is the maximum rent that a tenant can pay at an RD property.

Rural Development (RD) Program

Federal program which provides low interest loans to finance housing which serves low- and moderate-income persons in rural areas who pay 30 percent of their adjusted income on rent or the basic rent, whichever is the higher (but not exceeding the market rent). The Program may include property based rental assistance and interest reduction contracts to write down the interest on the loan to as low as one percent.

Saturation

The point at which there is no longer demand to support additional units.

Secondary Market Area

The portion of a market area that supplies additional support to an apartment property beyond that provided by the Primary Market Area.

Single-Family Housing

A dwelling unit, either attached or detached, designed for use by one household and with direct access to a street. It does not share heating facilities or other essential building facilities with any other dwelling.

Special Needs Population

Specific market niche that is typically not catered to in a conventional apartment property. This population should exhibit certain criteria, which can be well defined, in order, for example, to assess the need and demand from this source. Examples of special needs populations include substance abusers, visually impaired person or persons with mobility limitations.

Stabilized Level of Occupancy

The underwritten or actual number of occupied units that a property is expected to maintain after the initial rent-up period, expressed as a percentage of the total units. For TCAC projects these percentages are equal to a physical occupancy rate that will generate 90 percent of aggregate restricted rents for SRO and Special Needs units and generate 95 percent of aggregate restricted rents for all other types of units.

State Data Center (SDC)

A state agency or university facility identified by the governor of each state to participate in the U.S. Census Bureau's cooperative network for the dissemination of the census data.

Subsidy

Monthly income received by a tenant or by an owner on behalf of a tenant to pay the difference between the apartment's Contract Rent and the amount paid by the tenant toward rent.



Substandard Conditions

Housing conditions that are conventionally considered unacceptable which may be defined in terms of lacking plumbing facilities, one or more major systems not functioning properly, or overcrowded conditions.

Target Income Band

The estimated Income Band from which the subject will likely draw tenants.

Target Population

Market niche a development will appeal or cater to. State agencies often use Target Population to refer to various income set asides, elderly v. family, etc.

Tenant

One who rents real property from another.

Tenant Paid Utilities

The cost of utilities necessary for the habitation of a dwelling unit, which are paid by the tenant. Tenant Paid Utilities do not include costs for telephone or cable service.

Tenure

The distinction between owner-occupied and renter-occupied housing units.

Townhouse (or Row House)

Single-family attached residence separated from another by party walls, usually on a narrow lot offering small front and back-yards; also called a row house.

Turnover

An estimate of the number of housing units in a Market Area as a percentage of total housing units in the Market Area that will likely change occupants in any one year. Only turnover in the primary market area rental market (i.e., number of rental housing units as a percentage of total rental housing units that will likely change occupants) shall be considered for purposes of constructing demand estimates. See Vacancy Period.

Unmet Housing Need

New units required in the Market Area to accommodate household growth, homeless households, and housing in substandard conditions.

Unrestricted Rents

The recommended rents for the market rate units at a Mixed-Income Property.

Vacancy Period

The amount of time that an apartment remains vacant and available for rent.

Vacancy Rate – Economic

Maximum potential revenue less actual rent revenue divided by maximum potential rent revenue. The economic vacancy rate should be used exclusively for project rent proformas, and not for reporting the vacancy rate of rent comparables.

Vacancy Rate – Physical

The number of total habitable units that are vacant divided by the total number of units in the property. The physical vacancy rate shall be used when reporting comparable vacancy rates.



Very Low Income

Person or household whose gross household income does not exceed 50 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Zoning

Classification and regulation of land by local governments according to use categories (zones); often also includes density designations.



ADDENDUM C – NCHMA INDEX

NCHMA Market Study Index

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| | | Page Number(s) |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| Executive Summary | | |
| 1 | Executive Summary | 9 |
| Scope of Work | | |
| 2 | Scope of Work | 12 |
| Project Description | | |
| 3 | Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents and income targeting | 16 |
| 4 | Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent | 16 |
| 5 | Target market/population description | 15 |
| 6 | Project description including unit features and community amenities | 15 |
| 7 | Date of construction/preliminary completion | 15 |
| 8 | If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents and existing vacancies | 16 |
| Location | | |
| 9 | Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels | 21 |
| 10 | Site photos/maps | 22 |
| 11 | Map of community services | 35 |
| 12 | Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility and crime | 33 |
| Market Area | | |
| 13 | PMA description | 53 |
| 14 | PMA Map | 55 |
| Employment and Economy | | |
| 15 | At-Place employment trends | 56 |
| 16 | Employment by sector | 60 |
| 17 | Unemployment rates | 56 |
| 18 | Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site | 58 |
| 19 | Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions | 61 |
| Demographic Characteristics | | |
| 20 | Population and household estimates and projections | 64 |
| 21 | Area building permits | 80 |
| 22 | Population and household characteristics including income, tenure and size | 67 |
| 23 | For senior or special needs projects, provide data specific to target market | N/A |
| Competitive Environment | | |
| 24 | Comparable property profiles and photos | 85 |
| 25 | Map of comparable properties | 94 |
| 26 | Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents | 84 |
| 27 | Comparison of subject property to comparable properties | 129 |
| 28 | Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including homeownership, if applicable | 130 |



NCHMA Market Study Index

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| | | Page Number(s) |
|---|---|----------------|
| 29 | Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed | 80 |
| 30 | For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target market | N/A |
| Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis | | |
| 31 | Estimate of demand | 75 |
| 32 | Affordability analysis with capture rate | 79 |
| 33 | Penetration rate analysis with capture rate | 74 |
| Analysis/Conclusions | | |
| 34 | Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject | 78 |
| 35 | Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents. | 98 |
| 36 | Precise statement of key conclusions | 130 |
| 37 | Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project | 130 |
| 38 | Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject | 130 |
| 39 | Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing | 130 |
| 40 | Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject | 130 |
| 41 | Interviews with area housing stakeholders | 131 |
| Other Requirements | | |
| 42 | Certifications | 6 |
| 43 | Statement of qualifications | 135 |
| 44 | Sources of data not otherwise identified | Addendum A |



ADDENDUM D – SUBJECT INFO

**Lawrenceville Manor
 Schedule of Sources and Uses of Funds and
 Calculation of Eligible Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Basis**

| Family Lawrenceville, VA | Total | 70% PV Eligible Basis (Rehab.) | 30% PV Eligible Basis (Acq.) | Other |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Uses | | | | |
| Inherited Cash | \$ 217,629 | | | \$ 217,629 |
| Land | \$ 160,000 | | | \$ 160,000 |
| Building Acquisition | \$ 345,878 | | \$ 345,878 | |
| Purchase Price | \$ 276,493 | | \$ 276,493 | |
| On Site Land Improvements | \$ 200,000 | Construction \$ 200,000 | | |
| Rehabilitation of Existing Structures | \$ 1,365,000 | Contract \$ 1,365,000 | | |
| Builder's General Requirements | \$ 93,900 | \$ 1,784,100 | \$ 93,900 | |
| Builder's General Overhead | \$ 31,300 | Reahb/unit \$ 31,300 | | |
| Builder's Profit | \$ 93,900 | \$ 74,338 | \$ 93,900 | |
| Termite | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 | | |
| Payment/Performance Bond | \$ 14,272 | \$ 14,272 | | |
| Building Permit | \$ 17,841 | \$ 17,841 | | |
| Builder's Risk Insurance | \$ 14,272 | \$ 14,272 | | |
| Construction Inspector | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | | |
| Architect Design & Supervision Fee | \$ 155,000 | \$ 155,000 | | |
| Taxes and Property Insurance | \$ - | W/Contingency | \$ - | |
| Construction Contingency | \$ 178,410 | \$ 81,771 | \$ 178,410 | |
| Needs Assessment | \$ 6,500 | \$ 6,500 | | |
| Market Study | \$ 4,500 | | \$ 3,580 | \$ 920 |
| Environmental | \$ 6,000 | | \$ 4,773 | \$ 1,227 |
| Property Survey Fee | \$ 15,000 | | \$ - | \$ 11,932 |
| Property Appraisal Fee | \$ 6,000 | \$ 6,000 | | \$ 3,068 |
| Legal Fees | \$ 105,000 | \$ 95,000 | \$ 7,955 | \$ 2,045 |
| Furniture & Fixtures | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | | |
| EarthCraft | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | | |
| FHLB Consultant | \$ - | | | \$ - |
| Perm Legal | \$ 25,000 | | | \$ 25,000 |
| Perm Loan Fee | \$ 129,000 | | | \$ 129,000 |
| Title Insurance & Recording Fees | \$ 35,000 | \$ 18,000 | \$ 13,523 | \$ 3,477 |
| Cost Certification Audit | \$ 15,000 | \$ 12,500 | \$ 1,989 | \$ 511 |
| Tax Credit Fee | \$ 17,100 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 17,100 |
| Geotech | \$ - | | | \$ - |
| Construction & Bridge Loan Fees | \$ 20,361 | \$ 20,361 | | |
| Construction & Bridge Loan Interest | \$ 96,955 | 7.50% | | \$ 96,955 |
| Developers Fee | \$ 510,462 | \$ 510,462 | \$ - | |
| Operating Reserve | \$ 136,538 | | | \$ 136,538 |
| Soft Cost Contingency | \$ 36,000 | | | \$ 36,000 |
| Relocation | \$ 96,000 | | | \$ 96,000 |
| Lease-Up Reserve | \$ 30,000 | | | \$ 30,000 |
| Total | \$ 4,514,310 | \$ 2,892,717 | \$ 666,123 | \$ 955,470 |
| Credit Calculation | | \$ - | | |
| High Cost Multiplier X 130% | | 30% | \$ 867,815 | |
| Total Eligible Basis | | \$ 3,760,532 | \$ 666,123 | |
| Credit Percent | | 9.00% | 4.00% | |
| Calculated Low-Income Tax Credits | \$ 365,091 | \$ 338,447 | \$ 26,644 | |
| Requested Low-Income Tax Credits | \$ 230,000 | \$ 9,583 | | |
| Sources | | | | |
| RR | \$ 200,000 | \$228,242 | Jan. 2022 YTD | |
| Current RD Mortgage | \$ 575,718 | \$ 2,215,718 | Total Debt | |
| SPARC/REACH | \$ 1,290,000 | \$ 92,322 | Debt/unit | |
| VHTF | \$ 350,000 | 7.75% | | |
| FHLB | \$ - | | | |
| Investor Capital Contribution | \$ 2,000,800 | 87.00% | Syndication Price | |
| Developer Equity (VHDA App) | \$ 200 | | | |
| Deferred Dev. Fee | \$ 97,592 | 19.12% | | |
| Total | \$ 4,514,310 | \$ 188,096 | | |



Rent Schedule Lawrenceville Manor

| | |
|-------------|--|
| MSA | Brunswick County, Balance of State MSA, VA |
| Area Income | \$62,300 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| FMR | 0 Bedroom | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
| | | \$602.00 | \$793.00 | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Tax Credit Max | 0 Bedroom | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
| 30% Rents | | \$424.00 | \$510.00 | | |
| 40% Rents | | \$566.00 | \$680.00 | | |
| 50% Rents | | \$708.00 | \$850.00 | | |
| 60% Rents | | \$849.00 | \$1,020.00 | | |

| Family | | 24 RA | | | Utility | 30% w/o | 40% w/o | 50% w/o | 60% w/o | FMR w/o | |
|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| | Unit Count | Unit Type | Proposed Rents | Current Rents | Rent Increase | Allowance | UA | UA | UA | UA | |
| 30% | 2 | 1 BR | \$1,035 | \$609 | \$426 | \$135 | \$289 | \$431 | \$573 | \$714 | \$467 |
| 30% | 4 | 2 BR | \$1,135 | \$659 | \$476 | \$149 | \$361 | \$531 | \$701 | \$871 | \$644 |
| 40% | | 1 BR | | | \$0 | \$135 | \$289 | \$431 | \$573 | \$714 | \$467 |
| 40% | | 2 BR | | | \$0 | \$149 | \$361 | \$531 | \$701 | \$871 | \$644 |
| 50% | 4 | 1 BR | \$1,035 | \$609 | \$426 | \$135 | \$289 | \$431 | \$573 | \$714 | \$467 |
| 50% | 8 | 2 BR | \$1,135 | \$659 | \$476 | \$149 | \$361 | \$531 | \$701 | \$871 | \$644 |
| 60% | 2 | 1 BR | \$1,035 | \$609 | \$426 | \$135 | \$289 | \$431 | \$573 | \$714 | \$467 |
| 60% | 4 | 2 BR | \$1,135 | \$659 | \$476 | \$149 | \$361 | \$531 | \$701 | \$871 | \$644 |
| | 24 | | | | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Monthly Income | | | \$26,440 | \$15,416 | | | | | | | |
| Annual Income | | | \$317,280 | \$184,992 | | | | | | | |



| Lawrenceville Manor | 2024 | | 2023 | | 2022 | |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------|--|
| | Underwriting | Budget | Budget | Actual | | |
| Gross Rent Potential | \$ 317,280 | \$ 253,824 | \$ 206,592 | \$ 184,992 | | |
| Loss to Old Lease | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| (Loss to Lease %) | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | |
| Vacancies | | | | | | |
| Apartments | \$ 22,210 | \$ 20,306 | \$ 6,198 | \$ 20,121 | | |
| Concessions | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Total Vacancies | \$ 22,210 | \$ 20,306 | \$ 6,198 | \$ 20,121 | | |
| (Vacancy %) | 7.00% | 8.00% | 3.00% | 10.88% | | |
| Other Income | | | | | | |
| Laundry and Vending | \$ 150 | \$ 235 | \$ 312 | \$ 224 | | |
| NSF and Late Charges | \$ 300 | \$ 400 | \$ 300 | \$ 229 | | |
| Damages and Cleaning Fees | \$ 900 | \$ 2,260 | \$ 1,751 | \$ 2,546 | | |
| Forfeited Tenant Security Deposits | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Other Income | \$ 2,300 | \$ 457 | \$ 255 | \$ - | | |
| Total Other Income | \$ 3,550 | \$ 3,351 | \$ 2,618 | \$ 2,999 | | |
| Total Income | \$ 298,620 | \$ 236,869 | \$ 203,013 | \$ 167,870 | | |
| Administrative Expenses | | | | | | |
| Advertising | \$ 1,000 | \$ 400 | \$ 400 | \$ 142 | | |
| Other Administrative Expenses | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Office Salaries | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Office Supplies | \$ 5,204 | \$ 3,879 | \$ 4,340 | \$ 6,937 | | |
| Office or Model Apartment Rent | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Management Fee | \$ 25,056 | \$ 25,056 | \$ 23,100 | \$ 19,311 | | |
| Manager's or Superintendent's Salaries | \$ 16,948 | \$ 16,456 | \$ 13,551 | \$ 17,901 | | |
| Manager's or Superintendent's Rent Free Unit | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Legal Expenses (Development) | \$ 181 | \$ 248 | \$ 279 | \$ - | | |
| Auditing Expenses (Development) | \$ 6,400 | \$ 6,307 | \$ 5,683 | \$ 6,950 | | |
| Bookkeeping Fees/Accounting Services | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Telephone and Answering Service | \$ 2,429 | \$ 2,640 | \$ 2,396 | \$ 2,036 | | |
| Bad Debts | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Miscellaneous Administrative Expenses | \$ 2,150 | \$ 9,315 | \$ 3,026 | \$ 1,916 | | |
| Total Administrative Expenses | \$ 59,368 | \$ 64,300 | \$ 52,776 | \$ 54,193 | | |
| (Bad Debt %) | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | | |
| Utilities Expense | | | | | | |
| Fuel Oil/Coal | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Electricity (Light and Miscellaneous Power) | \$ 4,200 | \$ 4,482 | \$ 3,969 | \$ 3,475 | | |
| Water | \$ 364 | \$ 344 | \$ 624 | \$ 137 | | |
| Gas | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Sewer | \$ 295 | \$ 287 | \$ 713 | \$ 137 | | |
| Total Utilities Expense | \$ 4,859 | \$ 5,113 | \$ 5,306 | \$ 3,750 | | |
| Operating and Maintenance Expenses | | | | | | |
| Janitor and Cleaning Payroll | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Janitor and Cleaning Supplies | \$ 82 | \$ 240 | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Janitor and Cleaning Contract | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Exterminating Payroll/Contract | \$ 2,500 | \$ 3,590 | \$ 2,779 | \$ 2,896 | | |
| Exterminating Supplies | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | \$ 850 | \$ 899 | \$ 896 | \$ 500 | | |
| Security Payroll/Contract | \$ - | \$ 341 | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Grounds Payroll | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Grounds Supplies | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Grounds Contract | \$ 10,300 | \$ 11,440 | \$ 10,400 | \$ 9,458 | | |
| Repairs Payroll | \$ 39,297 | \$ 38,152 | \$ 36,126 | \$ 5,134 | | |
| Repairs Materials | \$ 2,400 | \$ 3,960 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 17,615 | | |
| Repairs Contract | \$ 2,400 | \$ 6,930 | \$ 4,215 | \$ 14,297 | | |
| Elevator Maintenance/Contract | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Heating/Cooling Repairs and Maintenance | \$ 750 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 900 | \$ - | | |
| Swimming Pool Maintenance/Contract | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Snow Removal | \$ 900 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 825 | \$ - | | |
| Decorating Payroll/Contract | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Decorating Supplies | \$ 1,000 | \$ 2,050 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 4,395 | | |
| Capital Expenditures | \$ - | \$ 4,100 | \$ - | \$ 12,525 | | |
| Miscellaneous Operating and Maintenance Expenses | \$ 412 | \$ 500 | \$ 500 | \$ - | | |
| Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses | \$ 80,891 | \$ 74,592 | \$ 82,943 | \$ 80,920 | | |
| Taxes and Insurance | | | | | | |
| Real Estate Taxes | \$ 19,167 | \$ 8,900 | \$ 7,477 | \$ 7,260 | | |
| Payroll Taxes (Development's Share) | \$ 5,262 | \$ 6,953 | \$ 6,118 | \$ 2,656 | | |
| Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits | \$ 228 | \$ 347 | \$ 126 | \$ 192 | | |
| Property and Liability Insurance (Hazard) | \$ 7,957 | \$ 8,561 | \$ 7,668 | \$ 6,948 | | |
| Fidelity Bond Insurance | \$ 134 | \$ 250 | \$ 90 | \$ 49 | | |
| Workmen's Compensation | \$ 801 | \$ 1,229 | \$ 868 | \$ 207 | | |
| Health Insurance and Other Employee Benefits | \$ 3,500 | \$ 6,007 | \$ 1,668 | \$ 1,823 | | |
| Other Insurance | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| Total Taxes and Insurance | \$ 37,049 | \$ 31,845 | \$ 24,015 | \$ 19,155 | | |
| Total O&M Expenses | \$ 162,158 | \$ 175,959 | \$ 145,041 | \$ 144,028 | | |
| Expenses Per Unit | \$ 6,757 | \$ 7,327 | \$ 6,043 | \$ 6,001 | | |
| Replacement Reserves | \$ 15,000 | \$ 20,729 | \$ 19,645 | \$ 16,174 | | |
| Total Expenses | \$ 177,158 | \$ 196,579 | \$ 164,686 | \$ 160,202 | | |
| Replacement Reserves released which are included as expense items | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | |
| | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | | |
| | Family | Family | Family | Family | | |



15-Year Proforma Lawrenceville Manor

Assumptions

| | | | | |
|----------------|--|-------|--------------|-------|
| Revenue Growth | | 2.00% | | |
| Expense Growth | | 3.00% | Reserve/Unit | \$625 |
| Vacancy % Rate | | 7.00% | # Units | 24 |

| | | | Proforma | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 |
| Income | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Rent | | | \$317,280 | \$323,626 | \$330,098 | \$336,700 | \$343,434 | \$350,303 | \$357,309 |
| Less Vacancy | | | (\$22,210) | (\$22,854) | (\$23,107) | (\$23,569) | (\$24,040) | (\$24,521) | (\$25,012) |
| Net Rent Income | | | \$295,070 | \$300,972 | \$306,991 | \$313,131 | \$319,394 | \$325,782 | \$332,297 |
| Other Income (Laundry/Vending/Tenant Charges) | \$ | | 3,550 | \$3,621 | \$3,693 | \$3,767 | \$3,843 | \$3,919 | \$3,998 |
| Total Income | | | \$298,620 | \$304,593 | \$310,685 | \$316,898 | \$323,236 | \$329,701 | \$336,295 |
| Operating Expenses | | | \$162,158 | \$167,022 | \$172,033 | \$177,194 | \$182,510 | \$187,985 | \$193,625 |
| Replacement Reserve | | | \$15,000 | \$15,450 | \$15,914 | \$16,391 | \$16,883 | \$17,389 | \$17,911 |
| Total Operating Expenses | | | \$177,158 | \$182,472 | \$187,947 | \$193,585 | \$199,393 | \$205,374 | \$211,536 |
| NOI | | | \$121,463 | \$122,120 | \$122,738 | \$123,313 | \$123,844 | \$124,327 | \$124,759 |
| Permanent Financing | | | | | | | | | |
| Current RD Mortgage | \$ 575,718 | 1.00% | \$14,637 | \$20,366 | | | | | |
| SPARC/REACH | \$ 1,290,000 | 3.95% | \$81,282 | | | | | | |
| VHTF | \$ 350,000 | 0.00% | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Total Debt Service | \$ 2,215,718 | | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 |
| | 1868000 | | | | | | | | |
| Cash Flow | | | \$25,544 | \$26,201 | \$26,819 | \$27,394 | \$27,925 | \$28,408 | \$28,841 |
| DSC Ratio | | | 1.266 | 1.273 | 1.280 | 1.286 | 1.291 | 1.296 | 1.301 |



| 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| \$364,455 | \$371,744 | \$379,179 | \$386,763 | \$394,498 | \$402,388 | \$410,436 | \$418,644 |
| (\$25,512) | (\$26,022) | (\$26,543) | (\$27,073) | (\$27,615) | (\$28,167) | (\$28,730) | (\$29,305) |
| \$338,943 | \$345,722 | \$352,636 | \$359,689 | \$366,883 | \$374,221 | \$381,705 | \$389,339 |
| \$4,078 | \$4,159 | \$4,243 | \$4,327 | \$4,414 | \$4,502 | \$4,592 | \$4,684 |
| \$343,021 | \$349,881 | \$356,879 | \$364,017 | \$371,297 | \$378,723 | \$386,297 | \$394,023 |
| \$199,434 | \$205,417 | \$211,579 | \$217,926 | \$224,464 | \$231,198 | \$238,134 | \$245,278 |
| \$18,448 | \$19,002 | \$19,572 | \$20,159 | \$20,764 | \$21,386 | \$22,028 | \$22,689 |
| \$217,882 | \$224,418 | \$231,151 | \$238,085 | \$245,228 | \$252,585 | \$260,162 | \$267,967 |
| \$125,139 | \$125,463 | \$125,728 | \$125,931 | \$126,069 | \$126,138 | \$126,135 | \$126,056 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 | \$95,919 |
| \$29,220 | \$29,544 | \$29,809 | \$30,012 | \$30,150 | \$30,219 | \$30,216 | \$30,137 |
| 1.305 | 1.308 | 1.311 | 1.313 | 1.314 | 1.315 | 1.315 | 1.314 |



Lawrenceville Manor Apartments Renovation and Relocation Plan

March 1, 2022

PROJECT AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Project: Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, 501 Walnut St, Lawrenceville, VA 23868

Owner: Lawrenceville Manor Apartments TM LLC
c/o 1375 Piccard Drive, Suite 150, Rockville, MD 20850

Contact: Adam J. Stockmaster, astockmaster@tmadevelopment.com, (240) 428-7799

Property Management: TM Associates Management, Inc. 1375 Piccard Drive, Suite 150, Rockville, Maryland 20850

Contact: Shay Dugan, sdugan@tmamgroup.com, 240-683-0300

REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments TM LLC intends to purchase the existing Lawrenceville Manor Apartments, and a significant capital investment is planned. The planned acquisition of the property is scheduled to occur in October 2022.

This renovation plan relates to Lawrenceville Manor Apartments. The property consists of 24 apartment units offering one-bedroom, two-bedroom, and three-bedroom units. The leasing office for Lawrenceville Manor Apartments will remain where it is located. Existing community amenities include a community room space, with kitchen, for resident activities, as well as a secondary seating area with vending. The scope of work for rehabilitation includes, but is not limited to the following:

- Replacement of kitchen cabinets and countertops
- Replacement of bathroom vanities and toilets replacement of select tubs
- Replacement of water heaters and HVAC units
- Replacement of windows
- Upgrades to electrical and plumbing systems, including new lighting and ceiling fans
- Installation of new flooring in most units
- Replacement of appliances in most units and installation of dishwashers
- Increased attic insulation
- Site improvements, including new landscaping, replacement of select sidewalks and a new building entry

The new appliances and mechanical equipment will offer higher efficiency than what is currently being used at the property. Along with new windows and new insulation, the units will be significantly more energy efficient and should lead to lower utility bills for the resident.



PROJECT SCHEDULE

The rehabilitation work will be performed by a large general contractor with significant experience managing rehabilitation projects of this scope. The construction is intended to progress with exterior work being completed first, followed by interior work and finishes. This plan contemplates a construction start of June 1, 2023. The planned renovation work is expected to be materially complete by December 31, 2023, with the punch list work expected to be complete by March 2024. The schedule for construction activity will be updated regularly with sufficient notification to residents for work that needs to be completed in their apartment. Once the schedule is finalized, this plan will be updated and redistributed as needed. All the renovation work is intended to be completed around the existing residents, with the residents to remain in their unit, but both the community room and seating area will be available for the residents to enjoy while work is ongoing in their apartment should they choose to not remain in their apartment.

Start Renovation June 1, 2023, Substantially Complete Renovation by December 31, 2023 with all work anticipated to be completed by March 31, 2024. This schedule is subject to change and the residents will be notified of schedule changes as soon as possible.

RESIDENT IMPACT

The property management staff, and company will not change and the same experienced property manager, known for their professionalism and attentive service to the residents they serve. All the members of the management team will be tasked to keep the residents informed of changes to the renovation plans throughout the construction period. The proposed construction activity in each unit will be performed in such a fashion to limit resident inconveniences.

Resident furnishings and belongings will be moved as needed by the general contractor and subcontractors at the ownership's expense. We ask that the residents please pack-up their small items and valuables with materials that property management will furnish. As needed, assistance can be provided for residents with special needs.

RENTS AND RENTAL POLICIES FOLLOWING REHABILITATION

Lawrenceville Manor Apartments is being renovated using housing tax credit financing along with a new mortgage. With this source of financing, housing costs, including rent and an allowance for tenant-paid utilities, are restricted to be affordable to households that earn 60% of the Area Median Income (AMI) and below. Also, only households with incomes below 60% of the Area Median Income (as adjusted for household size) are eligible to live in the community.

These rent and income restriction are no different than what is currently in place for the property under the previous ownership, so it is expected that all existing residents at Lawrenceville Manor Apartments will be income qualified. Property Management will annually certify the incomes of the residents and they will reach out to each of the existing residents to verify the income of the household.

Current income limits and the projected post-rehabilitation rents are shown in the attached Tenant Selection Plan for the property. Due to the project based rental subsidy contract on most of the units, the resident paid portion of the rents is not expected to change for these units.

Residents will continue to be responsible for their own electrical costs, which includes heat, air conditioning, cooking, water heating, and lighting/general electric use. Residents are also responsible for any optional services desired, such as telephone, cable TV or internet service. Following completion of the renovation work, the water and sewer service will be individually sub metered and the resident will become responsible for this billing. Trash service will continue to be paid for by the ownership.

Rent and Utility Changes Proposed

| | Current Rents | Proposed Rents |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1 Bedroom Units | \$609/Month | \$675/Month |
| 2 Bedroom Units | \$659/Month | \$725/Month |

Utility Allowance Changes Proposed

| | Current UA | Proposed UA |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 Bedroom Units | \$135/Month | \$135/Month |
| 2 Bedroom Units | \$149/Month | \$149/Month |



Permanent Relocation

Existing residents are NOT expected to be permanently relocated as a result of the acquisition or rehabilitation of Lawrenceville Manor Apartments. If a current resident is found to be over income or found to have been over income when the resident initially occupied their unit, they will be permanently relocated from Lawrenceville Manor Apartments property. As the previous ownership of Lawrenceville Manor was held to the same income verification requirements as the new ownership. We do not expect there to be any situation where a current resident will be found to not qualify for their apartment unit.

The site management team will provide Full Relocation Assistance as required in VII's Relocation Assistance Guidelines. Such assistance includes Advisory Services and Moving Cost Reimbursement to the extent a relocation is necessary to perform the planned renovation work.

OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITIES TO TENANTS

Advisory Services will include:

- Provides referrals for tenants to replacement properties, and contacts said properties to request priority for persons being displaced.
- Provides tenants with written information and/or translation services in their native languages if necessary
- Provides appropriate counseling for tenants who are unable to read and understand notices
- Provides contact information for questions and access to phone or computer if needed to make contact.
- Provides transportation for tenants needing to look at other housing, especially those who are elderly or disabled
- Understand and anticipate the needs of families and the elderly and able to meet the special advisory services they may need
- Allow and make tenants aware that appointments can be scheduled outside of normal business hours if needed.

Moving Cost Reimbursement to displaced residents will be based on the following guidelines:

- The Federal Highway Administration's Fixed Residential Moving Cost Schedule (includes utility hook up costs) for Virginia is as follows:
 - 1 Room of Furniture-\$700
 - 2 Rooms of Furniture-\$900
 - 3 Rooms of Furniture-\$1,100
 - 4 Rooms of Furniture-\$1,300
- OR
 - Based on tenant's actual reasonable moving and related expenses. Reimbursement of actual moving and related expenses is defined as either labor or equipment.
 - As needed, residents will receive assistance in packing and moving furniture and belongings.
 - Temporary storage units will be provided for convenience as well.

Temporary Relocation

All residents are scheduled to remain in their same apartment after renovations. All tenants will be able to reside in their units throughout the renovation, however 5 units will receive significant handicap upgrades that may necessitate a temporary relocation of the resident.

Residents temporarily relocated will be offered Moving Cost Reimbursement. Most residents that are temporarily relocated will endure no more than eight weeks of relocation. Residents will



receive assistance in packing and moving furniture and belongings, plus temporary storage units will be provided for convenience as well. Ownership will also provide the advisory services listed above. Any tenant that is displaced for a partial day will be offered access to amenities (day room, refreshments, meals, TV, etc.) during daytime hours, up to five days.

Unit Delivery Schedule

See attached for detailed Unit Delivery Schedule

Tenant Notices

The new ownership intends to provide thorough and well-documented communication with residents of relocation and renovation plans. Initial notices have already been provided by property management, and further notices will begin on the settlement date, beyond the earlier 120-day notice. The 120-day written notice will be addressed to tenants their current address and will include the following:

- Informs the tenant that due to renovation they are required to move from the development, why they are required to move and states the move date.
- States the contact person for advisory services, types of services that are offered and hours as well as giving the option to make a scheduled appointment outside of normal hours if needed.
- Generally describes the relocation payment(s) for which the tenant may be eligible, the basic conditions of eligibility and the procedures for obtaining the payment (see Moving Cost Reimbursement below). Eligibility for relocation assistance shall begin on the date that acquisition negotiations are initiated or actual acquisition, whichever occurs first.
- States the contact information of management company if tenant has any questions or would like to discuss the assistance determination

Further notice will be provided in writing at least 30-days in advance of work commencing in the resident apartment, unless there is a health/safety concern. Mailings will be prepared in advance so that they may be mailed as of the notice date. Mailings will be addressed to the tenant at his/her current address and will include the following information:

- Specific date by which the tenant is required to move, and the time moving services will arrive at unit;
- Responsibilities of the tenant pertaining to the move and contact information in order to request assistance with said responsibilities;
- The address to which the tenant will be relocated;
- If applicable, the date on which the move-in inspection will be completed.

Where a mold condition in the dwelling unit materially affects the health or safety of any tenant or authorized occupant, the owner may require the tenant to temporarily vacate the dwelling unit in order to perform remediation, in accordance with professional standards as defined in Code Section 55-248.4 for a period not to exceed 30 days. The landlord shall provide the tenant with either (i) a comparable dwelling unit, as selected by the landlord, at no expense or cost to the tenant, or (ii) a hotel room, at no expense or cost to the tenant. See Code Section 55-248.12:2. Notice to the tenant



Copies of all notices with tenant acknowledgement will be provided to VHDA as soon as possible.

This Renovation and Relocation Plan will be made available to residents upon request and will be posted in the leasing office in plain sight for tenant review. Copies of all notices, checks and other documents related to the relocation will be included in each tenant's file.

Moving Cost Reimbursements

Residents seeking reimbursement of actual moving expenses must provide documentation of the Tenant's Actual Reasonable Moving and Related Expenses, including bills, certified prices, appraisals or other evidence of expenses within 30-days of receiving same. The ownership's responsibilities with respect to Moving Cost Reimbursements are as follows:

- Owners must provide reasonable assistance necessary to complete and file tenants' claims for payment.
- Moving cost reimbursements shall be made upon receipt of billing documentation from the tenant.
- Owners must provide expedited return of security deposits or allow tenants to apply security deposits to the last month's rent.
- Owners must make advanced payments, if a tenant demonstrates the need, in order to avoid or reduce a hardship.
- If the owner disapproves all or part of a payment claimed or refuses to consider the claim on its merits because of untimely filing or other grounds, it shall promptly notify the claimant in writing of its determination, the basis for its determination and the procedures for appealing that determination.
- An owner shall not propose or request that a displaced tenant waive his or her rights or entitlements to relocation assistance and benefits.

OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VHDA

A Final Moving Cost Reimbursement summary in rent roll format (by tenant, by unit) will be submitted to VHDA no later than 30-days after the last tenant is relocated. In addition, the ownership will provide a certification that the Owner has met the VHDA Moving Cost Reimbursement and Relocation Assistance Guidelines.

PLAN UPDATES

This plan may be updated from time to time. Copies of the updated plan will be made available to residents affected by the project. This current plan was drafted in accordance with 2022 VHDA Relocation Assistance Guidelines.

Attachments: Moving Cost Reimbursement Schedule
 Tenant Notices of upcoming renovations
 Tenant Selection Plan
 Unit Delivery Schedule



Lawrenceville Manor Apartments-Moving Cost Reimbursement Schedule

Note: This pre-determination of moving cost reimbursements assumes that no more than five residential units may need to be vacated on a volunteer basis. This pre-determination assumes that half of volunteers will move twice (in-and-out of their unit. To account for assumed vacancy at the start of the notice period, total moving costs are reduced by 20%. A contingency of \$100/unit is also added to the estimated costs.

Moving Cost Reimbursement

Pre-Determination

- \$900 1 Bedroom Unit (2 rooms w/ furniture)
- \$1,100 2 Bedroom Unit (3 rooms w/ furniture)
- \$1,300 3 Bedroom Unit (4 rooms w/ furniture)



Unit Delivery Schedule

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|----------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| SOW | Exterior Demo | Exterior Finish, Mechanical System replacement | Interior Apartment and Community Building work | Interior Apartment and Community Building work | Interior Handicap Unit work, tenants displaced | Interior Handicap Unit work, tenants displaced | Interior Handicap Unit work, tenants displaced | Testing and Site Work | Punch List Items |
| Move-Out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Move-In | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |



Rent Roll

Lawrenceville Manor (248)
 As Of = 10/31/2023
 Month Year = 10/2023


| Unit | Unit Type | Resident Sq Ft | Name | Market Rent | Actual Rent | Resident Deposit | Other Deposit | Move In | Lease Expiration | Move Out | Balance |
|--|-----------|----------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| Current/Notice/Vacant Residents | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10022332 | Nakayla Jarrett | 986.00 | 0.00 | 610.00 | 0.00 | 9/29/2019 | 9/30/2020 | -26.00 |
| 32 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10010388 | Pamela Rice | 986.00 | 447.00 | 586.00 | 0.00 | 1/31/2017 | 2/29/2020 | -36.00 |
| 33 | 248B645 | 750.00 | VACANT | VACANT | 986.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 |
| 34 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10027004 | Adrienne Goodrich | 986.00 | 0.00 | 610.00 | 0.00 | 10/22/2020 | 10/31/2021 | 0.00 |
| 35 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10058621 | Rodhana Freeman | 986.00 | 0.00 | 734.00 | 0.00 | 6/30/2023 | 6/30/2024 | -9.00 |
| 36 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10007053 | Kathy Brown | 986.00 | 173.00 | 557.00 | 0.00 | 8/12/2014 | 8/31/2017 | 0.00 |
| 37 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10002694 | Jacqueline Singleton | 986.00 | 75.00 | 620.00 | 0.00 | 9/29/2020 | 9/30/2021 | 0.00 |
| 38 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10020179 | Natalie McFarland | 986.00 | 579.00 | 610.00 | 0.00 | 2/28/2019 | 2/29/2020 | 119.00 |
| 41 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10007058 | Felecia Walker | 887.00 | 488.00 | 145.00 | 0.00 | 3/6/1996 | 1/31/2018 | -18.00 |
| 42 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10007059 | Thelma Peterson | 887.00 | 303.00 | 507.00 | 0.00 | 9/25/2014 | 9/30/2017 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10029683 | Grover Harrison | 887.00 | 251.00 | 585.00 | 0.00 | 4/22/2021 | 4/30/2022 | -120.00 |
| 44 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10007048 | Betty Pearson | 887.00 | 149.00 | 537.00 | 0.00 | 6/6/2019 | 6/30/2020 | -70.00 |
| 45 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10035501 | Shirley Harris | 887.00 | 149.00 | 609.00 | 0.00 | 9/29/2022 | 9/30/2024 | -2.87 |
| 46 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10060000 | Thomas Yates | 887.00 | 210.00 | 342.00 | 0.00 | 9/29/2022 | 9/30/2024 | 342.00 |
| 47 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10007064 | Marion Elder | 887.00 | 150.00 | 300.00 | 0.00 | 10/7/2004 | 10/31/2017 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 248A565 | 850.00 | 10007065 | Crowder Lucy, Jr. | 887.00 | 297.00 | 196.00 | 0.00 | 3/1/1996 | 6/30/2017 | -8.55 |
| 51 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10035960 | Jalisa Thompson | 986.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11/3/2022 | 11/30/2023 | -44.13 |
| 52 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10007067 | Sarah Walker | 986.00 | 175.00 | 160.00 | 0.00 | 10/11/2000 | 7/31/2017 | 16.00 |
| 53 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10023528 | Jawharah Tyler | 986.00 | 0.00 | 620.00 | 0.00 | 3/5/2020 | 3/31/2021 | -0.02 |
| 54 | 248B645 | 750.00 | VACANT | VACANT | 986.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 |
| 55 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10014561 | Miesha Pearson | 986.00 | 15.00 | 536.00 | 0.00 | 11/5/2020 | 11/30/2021 | 0.00 |
| 56 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10007068 | Priscilla Rice | 986.00 | 333.00 | 557.52 | 0.00 | 1/16/2020 | 1/31/2021 | -12.00 |
| 57 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10057252 | Shameal Pearson | 986.00 | 464.00 | 734.00 | 0.00 | 8/12/2023 | 8/31/2024 | 0.00 |
| 58 | 248B645 | 750.00 | 10056711 | Rena Green | 986.00 | 356.00 | 734.00 | 0.00 | 6/30/2023 | 6/30/2024 | 0.00 |
| Total Lawrenceville Manor(248) | | | | 22,872.00 | 4,600.00 | 10,891.62 | 0.00 | | | | 138.43 |

| Summary Groups | Square Footage | Market Rent | Actual Rent | Security Deposit | Other Deposits | # Of Units | % Unit Occupancy | % Sqft Occupied | Balance |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Current/Notice/Vacant Residents | 17,200.00 | 22,872.00 | 4,600.00 | 10,891.62 | 0.00 | 24 | 91.66 | 91.27 | 138.43 |
| Future Residents/Applicants | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | | | 0.00 |
| Occupied Units | 15,700.00 | 20,900.00 | | | | 22 | 91.66 | 91.27 | |
| Total Non Rev Units | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Total Vacant Units | 1,500.00 | 1,972.00 | | | | 2 | 8.33 | 8.72 | |
| Totals: | 17,200.00 | 22,872.00 | 4,600.00 | 10,891.62 | 0.00 | 24 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 138.43 |



ADDENDUM E – FLOOD DATA

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

SEE PDF REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANELAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS

- White: Minimum Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone X, X1, X2
- Light Blue: 1% BFE Elevation Zone A, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7
- Red: Regulatory Floodway

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD

- Orange: 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% Annual Chance Flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile. Zone C
- Dark Orange: Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone C
- Light Orange: Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes, Zone D
- Yellow: Area with Flood Risk due to Levee. Zone D

OTHER AREAS

- Blue: Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone F
- Light Blue: Effective 10 MWs
- Dark Blue: Area of Unincorporated Flood Hazard Zone G

GENERAL STRUCTURES

- Black dashed line: Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
- Black solid line: Levee, Dike, or Retention Wall

OTHER FEATURES

- Green circle: Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance
- Green square: Water Surface Elevation
- Black dashed line: Coastal Traversal
- Black solid line: Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
- Red line: Limits of Study
- Blue line: Jurisdiction Boundary
- Black dashed line: Coastal Traversal, Baseline
- Black solid line: Profile Baseline
- Blue line: Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS

- Green square: Digital Data Available
- Light Green square: No Digital Data Available
- White square: Unmapped

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property feature.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is the only one available below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards.

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was updated on 2/10/2024 at 12:00 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map is void if the use or reuse of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map control icons, community identifiers, FIRM panel numbers, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unprocessed areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.