MARKET STUDY

Property: Parkside Apartments 250 Gardner Street Culpeper, Virginia 22701



<u>Type of Property:</u> Affordable Multifamily Development Family New Construction

> Date of Report: February 26, 2023


> Effective Date: February 21, 2023

Date of Site Visit: January 29, 2023

Prepared For: Mr. Jeffrey Michael Meyer VCDC 1840 W Broad Street #200 Richmond, Virginia 23220 Mobile: 804-543-2208 E-mail: jmeyer@vibrantcommunities.us

Prepared By: Allen & Associates Consulting, Inc. P.O. Box 79196 Charlotte, North Carolina 28271 Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470 E-mail: allenadvisors@gmail.com

> AAC File Number: 23-002



Copyright © 2023 Allen & Associates Consulting. Material in this report may not be reprinted, reproduced, or recorded in any manner, in whole or in part, without the specific written permission of Allen & Associates Consulting. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. Allen & Associates Consulting and its representatives make no guarantee, warranty or representation regarding the accuracy of information obtained from third-party sources. For more information contact Allen & Associates Consulting at 704-905-2276.



Allen & Associates Consulting, Inc. P.O. Box 79196 Charlotte, North Carolina 28271 Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470 E-mail: allenadvisors@gmail.com

February 26, 2023

Mr. Jeffrey Michael Meyer VCDC 1840 W Broad Street #200 Richmond, Virginia 23220

Re: Parkside Apartments

Dear Mr. Jeffrey Michael Meyer:

The subject property, known as Parkside Apartments, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 250 Gardner Street in Culpeper, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, and 3-bedroom garden apartments. A total of 6 units are proposed to be income restricted to 30% of AMI; a total of 13 units are proposed to be income restricted to 50% of AMI; a total of 18 units are proposed to be income restricted to 60% of AMI; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 6 units are proposed to be negative to be benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

The scope of this assignment consists of a comprehensive market analysis for the subject property. The market study was completed in accordance with Virginia Housing, National Council for Housing Market Analyst (NCHMA) guidelines and the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice (USPAP). The completion of this report involved a site visit, interviews with local property managers, and the collection of market data through discussions with persons knowledgeable of the local real estate market.

The purpose, intended use, and function of the report is to assess the marketability of the subject property for tax credit application purposes. This report should not be used for any other purposes without the express written permission of Allen & Associates Consulting.

The report has been generated for the benefit of our client VCDC. Virginia Housing is named as an additional user of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

A summary of our findings and conclusions is found in the following pages. The conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While the analysis that follows is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

Feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted: ALLEN & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING

Jeff Carroll

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following is a summary of our key findings and conclusions with respect to the subject property:

Project Description

The subject property, known as Parkside Apartments, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 250 Gardner Street in Culpeper, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

Proposed Unit Mix

The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, and 3-bedroom garden apartments. A total of 6 units are proposed to be income restricted to 30% of AMI; a total of 13 units are proposed to be income restricted to 50% of AMI; a total of 18 units are proposed to be income restricted to 60% of AMI; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 6 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

Proposed Unit Configuration									
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Gross Rent	UA	Net Rent			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$822	\$169	\$653			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$769	\$169	\$600			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$794	\$169	\$625			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,081	\$210	\$871			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$960	\$210	\$750			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$985	\$210	\$775			
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,104	\$254	\$850			
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,154	\$254	\$900			
Total/Average			37	\$981	\$211	\$770			

Site Description

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 3.5 acres and approximately 1000 feet of road frontage.

A total of 70 privately-owned parking spaces are proposed for the subject property (67 regular / 3 accessible / 1.89 spaces per unit). We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like this. Public transportation is not found in the immediate area. In our opinion, the proposed parking appears adequate for the subject property.

Additional Considerations:

Zoning	R-2. Legal, conforming use.
Environmental	New construction. No suspected environmental conditions.
Topography	No issues detected.
Flood	Zone X. Outside the 100-year flood zone.
DDA Status	Culpeper, Virginia. Not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area.
QCT Status	Tract 9302.01. Not designated as a Qualified Census Tract.
Access	Fair. Not located near a heavily-traveled road.
Visibility	Fair/Good. No significant drive-by traffic or frontage.

In our opinion, the site is suitable for development.

Neighborhood Description

In our opinion, the subject property has a good to very good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area

Executive Summary

Additional Considerations:

Crime	Similar to market average.
Schools	Higher educational attainment than market average.
Average Commute	Shorter commutes than market average.

In our opinion, the neighborhood is suitable for development.

Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 25-minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

The primary market area includes a population of 97,505 persons and covers a total of 757.5 square miles, making it 31.1 miles across on average.

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.

Demogaphic Characteristics

We anticipate moderate population and household growth for the market area. Renter households are anticipated to increase modestly as well. Finally, we anticipate that rents will grow with CPI over the next few years. Additional details follow:

Population	Market area population currently stands at 98,793 and is projected to grow 1.3 percent this year.
Households	Market area households currently stand at 35,967 and is projected to grow 0.8 percent this year.
Renter Households	Market area renter households currently stand at 9,298 and is projected to grow 0.8 percent this year.
Renter Tenure Rent Growth	Market area renter tenure currently stands at 25.9 percent. Market area rents have grown 3.35% annually since 2010.

Regional Economic Outlook

We anticipate moderate economic growth for the region. Additional details follow:

Est Employment	Regional establishment employment currently stands at 92,011 and is projected to grow 1.3 percent this year.
Civ Employment	Regional civilian employment currently stands at 93,239 and is projected to grow 0.9 percent this year.
Empl by Industry	Regional establishment employment currently stands at 90,858. The data suggests that State and Local Government is the largest employment category accounting for 11.5% of total regional employment. Retail Trade is the second largest category accounting for 10.8% of total employment. Construction is the third largest category accounting for 8.8% of total employment. Other Services is the fourth largest category accounting for 8.3% of total employment. Health Care and Social Assistance is the fifth largest category accounting for 8.2% of total employment.
Top Employers	The top employers include: (1) Fauquier Hospital (1100 employees); (2) Ochsner Health System (1000 employees) and; (3) Samson Properties (800 employees).

Supply Analysis

Our analysis includes a total of 42 confirmed market area properties consisting of 2,452 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 96 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

The following tables summarize our findings for this market area:

	Grand	Total		
Project Type	Properties	Units	Vacant	Occupancy
Market Rate	14	924	4	100%
Restricted	25	1,224	91	93%
Subsidized	3	304	12	96%
Total	42	2,452	107	96%
	Stabili	zed		
	Fam	ily		
Project Type	Properties	Units	Vacant	Occupancy
Market Rate	14	909	4	100%
Restricted	17	798	9	99%
Subsidized	1	221	11	95%
Total	32	1,928	24	99%
	Elde	rly		
Project Type	Properties	Units	Vacant	Occupancy
Market Rate	0	15	0	100%
Restricted	6	345	1	100%
Subsidized	2	83	1	99%
Total	8	443	2	100%
	Pipel	ine		
	Fam	ily		
Project Type	Properties	Units	Vacant	Occupancy
Market Rate	0	0	0	0%
Restricted	2	81	81	0%
Subsidized	0	0	0	0%
Total	2	81	81	0%
	Elde	rly		
Project Type	Properties	Units	Vacant	Occupancy
Market Rate	0	0	0	0%
Restricted	0	0	0	0%
Subsidized	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0%

Most Comparable Properties

An overview of the market rate comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

Key	Property	Units	Occupancy	Built	Renovated	Rents	Туре	Miles to Sub
006	Aspen Apartments South	100	100%	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	14.69
072	Parkview Apartments	64	98%	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	14.41
077	Redbud Street Apartments	45	100%	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	1.36
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	40	100%	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	14.01
085	Southridge Apartments Home	128	100%	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	1.49
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	210	99%	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	1.76

An overview of the restricted rent comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

Key	Property	Units	Occupancy	Built	Renovated	Rents	Туре	Miles to Sub
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	132	100%	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	1.64
007	Aspen Club Apartments	108	100%	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	14.69
008	Aspen Village	30	100%	2003	na	Restricted	Family	14.76
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	20	95%	1998	na	Restricted	Family	1.36
037	Greens At Northridge	108	100%	2005	na	Restricted	Family	1.44
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	80	99%	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	14.50
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartment:	70	97%	1999	na	Restricted	Family	14.05

Achievable Rents

In the following table we present our concluded achievable rents and rent advantage for the subject property:

Achievable Rents									
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Achievable	Proposed	Advantage			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,475	\$653	55.7%			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$767	\$600	21.8%			
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$775	\$625	19.4%			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,675	\$871	48.0%			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$913	\$750	17.9%			
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,000	\$775	22.5%			
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,043	\$850	18.5%			
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,300	\$900	30.8%			
Total / Average			37	\$1,088	\$770	29.2%			

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of \$1,088 for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of \$770, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 29.2 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

NCHMA Demand Analysis

In the following tables we present our concluded demand, capture rate, penetration rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the NCHMA demand methodology:

Unit Type / Rent Type / Income Limit	Vac Units at Market Entry	Gross Demand	Vacant & Pipeline Units	Capture Rate Gross	Capture Rate Net	Penetration Rate	Absorption Pd (Mos)
1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 30% of AMI	3	1,005	1	0.3%	0.3%	7.9%	<1
1-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI	1	630	16	0.2%	0.2%	8.3%	<1
1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI	3	1,091	12	0.3%	0.3%	3.2%	<1
2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 30% of AMI	3	1,507	7	0.2%	0.2%	7.6%	<1
2-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI	10	664	22	1.5%	1.6%	45.3%	2
2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI	10	1,210	22	0.8%	0.8%	21.2%	2
3-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI	2	536	7	0.4%	0.4%	18.3%	<1
3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI	5	916	8	0.5%	0.6%	14.1%	1
	Project-Wide Gr	oss Capture	Rate	0.9%			
	Project-Wide Ne	et Capture Ra	ate	0.9%			
	Project-Wide Penetration Rate			26.3%			
	Stabilized Occu	pancy		97%			
	Project-Wide At	sorption Per	iod	2 mos			

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject

property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy. We estimate 2 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 14.7 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

VHDA Demand Analysis

In the following table we present our concluded capture rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the VHDA demand methodology:

Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units	1.6%
Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units	0.0%
Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units	1.6%
Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months)	2 mos

Conclusion

In conclusion, the subject property appears to be feasible from a market standpoint. The units appear to be priced appropriately and we anticipate a rapid lease-up after construction.

Because of the demonstrated depth of demand in this area, we do not believe the construction of this property will have an adverse impact on existing projects in the market area.

Parkside Apartments 250 Gardner Street Culpeper, Virginia 22701

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Minimum Income	\$5,794			\$26,366	\$27,223				\$5,794
Maximum Income	\$59,880			\$53,900	\$64,680				\$64,680
New Rental Households	56			20	29				104
(+)									
Existing Households - Overburdened (+)	1,013			354	519				1,886
(+) Existing Households - Substandard Housing (+)	237			83	121				440
Elderly Households - Likely to Convert to									
Rental Housing									
(+) Existing Qualifying									
Tenants - To Remain									
After Renovation									
(+)									
Total Demand	1,305			457	669				2,431
(-)									
Supply (Directly									
Comparable Vacant Units Completed or in	8			45	42				95
Pipeline in PMA)									
(=)									
Net Demand	1,297			412	627				2,336
Proposed Units	6			13	18				37
r roposed offits	0			10	10				57
Capture Rate	0.5%			3.2%	2.9%				1.6%
Absorption Deviad									
Absorption Period (Months)	2 mos			2 mos	2 mos				2 mos

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT OVERVIEW	PAGE 12
IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS	PAGE 16
SITE DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS	PAGE 21
NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS	PAGE 27
SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS	PAGE 33
MARKET AREA	PAGE 34
ECONOMIC OUTLOOK	PAGE 38
DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	PAGE 44
SUPPLY ANALYSIS	PAGE 52
RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS	PAGE 79
NCHMA DEMAND ANALYSIS	PAGE 117
VHDA DEMAND ANALYSIS	PAGE 143
VHDA DEMAND ANALYSIS (UNSUBSIDIZED)	PAGE 157
APPENDIX	PAGE 171

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Project Description

The subject property, known as Parkside Apartments, is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located at 250 Gardner Street in Culpeper, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

Select project details are summarized below:

	Project Description
Property Name	Parkside Apartments
Street Number	250
Street Name	Gardner
Street Type	Street
City	Culpeper
County	Culpeper County
State	Virginia
Zip	22701
Units	37
Project Rent	Restricted
Project Type	Family
Project Status	Prop Const
Financing Type	Tax Credit
Latitude	38.4756
Longitude	-78.0019

Construction and Lease-Up Schedule

We anticipate a 14-month construction period for this project. Assuming a December 1, 2023 closing, this yields a date of completion of February 1, 2025. Our demand analysis (found later in this report) suggests a 2-month absorption period. This yields a date of stabilization of April 1, 2025.

Unit Configuration

The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units including 1, 2, and 3-bedroom garden apartments. A total of 6 units are proposed to be income restricted to 30% of AMI; a total of 13 units are proposed to be income restricted to 50% of AMI; a total of 18 units are proposed to be income restricted to 60% of AMI; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; a total of 6 units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

			Pi	oposed Unit	Configura	ation				
			Unit	Income	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Gross	Net
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Rent
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	30%	30%	No	Yes	3	\$822	\$653
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	1	\$769	\$600
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	3	\$794	\$625
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	30%	30%	No	Yes	3	\$1,081	\$871
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	10	\$960	\$750
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	10	\$985	\$775
3	2.0	1,270	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	2	\$1,104	\$850
3	2.0	1,270	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	5	\$1,154	\$900
Total/A	Verage	969						37	\$981	\$770

Income & Rent Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain income restrictions. The following table gives the applicable income limits for this area:

			Income Limits			
HH Size	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	70% of AMI	80% of AMI
1.0 Person	\$20,970	\$27,960	\$34,950	\$41,940	\$48,930	\$55,920
2.0 Person	\$23,970	\$31,960	\$39,950	\$47,940	\$55,930	\$63,920
3.0 Person	\$26,970	\$35,960	\$44,950	\$53,940	\$62,930	\$71,920
4.0 Person	\$29,940	\$39,920	\$49,900	\$59,880	\$69,860	\$79,840
5.0 Person	\$32,340	\$43,120	\$53,900	\$64,680	\$75,460	\$86,240
6.0 Person	\$34,740	\$46,320	\$57,900	\$69,480	\$81,060	\$92,640
7.0 Person	\$37,140	\$49,520	\$61,900	\$74,280	\$86,660	\$99,040
8.0 Person	\$39,540	\$52,720	\$65,900	\$79,080	\$92,260	\$105,440

Source: HUD; State Housing Finance Agency

The income limits found above were based (in part) on HUD's published median household income for the area. The table below shows how this statistic has increased/decreased over the past several years:

Historical Median Income Year \$ Change 2012 \$79,000 1.3% 2013 \$80,200 1.5% 2014 \$82,000 2.2%											
Year	\$	Change									
2012	\$79,000	1.3%									
2013	\$80,200	1.5%									
2014	\$82,000	2.2%									
2015	\$82,000	0.0%									
2016	\$77,300	-5.7%									
2017	\$76,800	-0.6%									
2018	\$82,200	7.0%									
2019	\$81,000	-1.5%									
2020	\$85,200	5.2%									
2021	\$90,100	5.8%									
2022	\$99,800	10.8%									
	Source: HUD										

The subject property is operated subject to certain rent restrictions. The following table gives the maximum housing expense (net rent limit + tenant-paid utilities) for this area:

		Maxim	num Housing Ex	pense		
Unit Type	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	70% of AMI	80% of AMI
0 Bedroom	\$524	\$699	\$873	\$1,048	\$1,223	\$1,398
1 Bedroom	\$561	\$749	\$936	\$1,123	\$1,310	\$1,498
2 Bedroom	\$674	\$899	\$1,123	\$1,348	\$1,573	\$1,798
3 Bedroom	\$778	\$1,038	\$1,297	\$1,557	\$1,816	\$2,076
4 Bedroom	\$868	\$1,158	\$1,447	\$1,737	\$2,026	\$2,316
			Source: HUD			

The following table sets forth the gross fair market rents (net fair market rents + tenant-paid utilities) that would


apply to any Section 8 voucher recipients or any units benefiting from HOME financing at the subject property:

	Fair Market Rents	
Unit Type		Gross Rent
0 Bedroom		\$863
1 Bedroom		\$869
2 Bedroom		\$1,144
3 Bedroom		\$1,485
4 Bedroom		\$1,851

Source: HUD



Site Plan



IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS

Our improvement analysis includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Building Features; (2) Unit Features; (3) Project Amenities, (4) Utility Configuration; and (5) Useful Life Analysis.

Building Features

The subject property is proposed to consist of 37 revenue-producing units in 6 residential buildings and 1 nonresidential building. The development is proposed to include approximately 35,865 square feet of net rentable area and 45,816 square feet of gross building area.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed major building systems is found below.

Foundation - Concrete Slab, Basements, Crawl Spaces, etc. The subject property is proposed to include slab on grade foundations.

Structural Frame - Floor, Wall, Roof Structural Systems, etc.

The subject property is proposed to be constructed with wood frame surfaced with plywood. Floor/ceiling assemblies are proposed to consist of wood joists & plywood or concrete subfloors. Roof assmeblies are proposed to consist of wood trusses & plywood sheathing.

Exterior Wall - Exterior Finishes, Doors, Windows, Exterior Stairs, etc.

The subject is proposed to include vinyl siding & brick veneer, double hung vinyl double pane windows, steel clad insulated six-panel unit entry doors, and sliding glass patio doors.

<u>Roof - Sheathing, Coverings, Warranties, Gutters & Downspouts, Soffit & Fascia, etc.</u> The subject is proposed to include gabled asphalt shingle roofs.

Vertical Transportation - Elevator, Interior Stair Systems

The subject property consists of six two-story walk up buildings and is not proposed to include elevators.

Plumbing - Sanitary, Storm, Sewer, Fixtures, Domestic Hot Water

Domestic water piping is proposed to be constructed of CPVC pipe and fittings. Wastewater lines consist of PVC pipe and fittings. Potable hot water is proposed to be supplied via individual electric hot water heaters.

HVAC - Heating, Air Conditioning, Ventilation

The subject property is proposed to include individual interior-mounted electric heat, individual exterior-mounted a/c compressors with interior-mounted air handlers.

Electrical and Communications - Distribution, Aluminum Wiring, etc.

Buildings are proposed to receive electrical power from exterior pad-mounted transformers. Electrical service to units is proposed to consist of 120/240V AC with 100 amps available for each panel. Electrical wiring is proposed to consist of copper. Properly grounded, three-prong outlets are proposed in each dwelling unit. The outlets located in the wet areas are proposed to be Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter (GFCI) outlets. Surface-mounted flourescent & LED fixtures are proposed.

Fire Suppression

The subject property is proposed to be equipped with an NFPA-13 fully automatic fire suppression (sprinkler) system. In addition, hard-wired smoke detectors with battery backup are proposed in each bedroom area.

Unit Features

The subject property is proposed to contain 37 revenue-producing units including 31 regular units and 6 accessible units, including 74 bedrooms, 44 full bathrooms and 23 half bathrooms.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed unit features is found below.

Walls / Ceilings / Interior Doors

Subject property units are proposed to include 8 foot ceilings, painted gypsum wallboard & ceilings, wood solid-core flat panel interior doors and wood solid-core flat panel closet doors.

Floor Covering

Floor covering is proposed to consist of luxury vinyl plank in the entryways, bathrooms, and kitchens along with luxury vinyl plank in the living areas and bedrooms.

Kitchens

Kitchens are proposed to include electric four-top ranges, range hoods, frost-free refrigerators, dishwashers, microwaves, composite wood cabinets, laminated countertops and stainless steel sinks.

Bathrooms

Bathrooms are proposed to include composite wood vanities, cultured marble countertops, porcelain sinks & toilets, along with fiberglass tubs & surrounds.

Project Amenities

A discussion of the development's proposed project amenities is found below.

Site & Common Area Amenities

A community center and playground are proposed for the subject property.

Parking

Open parking is proposed for the subject property.

Laundry

A central launder and washer/dryer hookups are proposed for the subject property.

Security

No security amenities are proposed for the subject property.

Services

No special services are proposed for the subject property.

Tables comparing the subject property's proposed amenities to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section.

Utility Configuration

The subject property is proposed to include electric heat, electric cooking and electric hot water. All utilities including water, sewer, and trash - are proposed to be paid by the resident.

In the table that follows we compare the subject's proposed utility allowances (also known as tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

				Utility Al	owances					
BR	BA	SF	Unit Type	Inc Lmt	Rnt Lmt	HOME	Subs	Units	UA	HUD UA
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	30% of AMI	30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$169	\$110
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	50% of AMI	50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$169	\$110
1	1.0	742	Garden/Flat	60% of AMI	60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$169	\$110
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	30% of AMI	30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$210	\$163
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	50% of AMI	50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$210	\$163
2	1.5	947	Garden/Flat	60% of AMI	60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$210	\$163
3	2.0	1,270	Garden/Flat	50% of AMI	50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$254	\$232
3	2.0	1,270	Garden/Flat	60% of AMI	60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$254	\$232
Total/Aver	rage							37	\$211	\$166

The HUD utility allowances are a good measure of the energy costs for a given property. Our analysis suggests that the proposed utility allowances are higher than those established using the HUD model.

Tables comparing the subject property's utility configuration to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section. Outputs from the HUD Utility Schedule Model are also found there.

Useful Life Analysis

We anticipate a useful/economic life of 50 years for this development, assuming that appropriate replacement reserves are established for this property.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the condition of the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale (1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also evaluated the actual and effective ages of the subject and select comparables. A table summarizing our findings is found below:

	Actual Age	Effective Age C	Condition				
	Rating					Rank	
Key	Project Name	Actual Age	Effective Age	Property Condition	Actual Age	Effective Age	Property Condition
Sub	Parkside Apartments	2023	2022	4.50	1	1	2
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	2018	2018	4.50	2	2	2
006	Aspen Apartments South	1983	2000	3.50	14	7	6
007	Aspen Club Apartments	2001	2000	3.50	8	7	6
008	Aspen Village	2003	2005	3.50	7	5	6
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	2000	3.50	10	7	6
037	Greens At Northridge	2005	2005	4.00	5	5	4
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2014	2015	4.00	3	3	4
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	2000	3.00	9	7	13
072	Parkview Apartments	2005	2000	3.50	5	7	6
077	Redbud Street Apartments	1985	1985	2.50	13	14	14
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	2015	4.75	4	3	1
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	1995	3.50	11	12	6
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	1995	3.50	12	12	6

Source: Allen & Associates; Sponsor

		Amenities Site & Common Area Amenities																				
Key	Project Name	Ball Field	BBQ Area	Billiards Game Rm	Business Comp Ctr	Car Care Center	Community Center	Elevator	Fitness Center	Gazebo Patio	Hot Tub Jacuzzi	Herb Garden	Horseshoes	Lake	Library	Movie Media Ctr	Picnic Area	Playground	Pool	Sauna	Sports Court	Walking Trail
Sub	Parkside Apartments	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	no	no	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no
006	Aspen Apartments South	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no
007	Aspen Club Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no
008	Aspen Village	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	no	no	no
037	Greens At Northridge	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	yes
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no
072	Parkview Apartments	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no
077	Redbud Street Apartments	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no
		Unit Amenities							Kitch	hen Ame	nities			Air Con	ditioning				Heat			
Key	Project Name	Blinds	Ceiling Fans	Carpeting	Fireplace	Patio Balcony	Storage	Stove	Refrigerator	Disposal	Dishwasher	Microwave	Central	Wall Units	Window Units	None	Central	Wall Units	Baseboards	Boiler Radiator	None	
Sub	Parkside Apartments	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
006	Aspen Apartments South	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
007	Aspen Club Apartments	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
008	Aspen Village	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	yes	some	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
037	Greens At Northridge	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
072	Parkview Apartments	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
077	Redbud Street Apartments	yes	no	yes	no	no	some	yes	yes	some	some	some	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	yes	no	yes	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	some	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	some	yes	yes	some	some	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	
				Parking				Laundry	,			Sec	uirty						Services	;		
Key	Project Name	Garage	Covered Parking	Assigned Parking	Open Parking	None	Central	W/D Units	W/D Hookups	Call Buttons	Controlled Access	Courtesy Officer	Monitoring	Secuirty Alarms	Security Patrols	After School	Concierge	Hair Salon	Health Care	House- keeping	Meals	Trans- portation
Sub	Parkside Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	na	na	yes	na	na	na	na
006	Aspen Apartments South	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
007	Aspen Club Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
008	Aspen Village	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
037	Greens At Northridge	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
072	Parkview Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
077	Redbud Street Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	some	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	na	na	na	na	na	na	na

Source: Allen & Associates; Sponsor

l Iti	lities
0.0	nues

			Tenant-Paid											Owner-Paid									
Key	Project Name	Heat / Gas	Heat / Electric	Cooking / Gas	Cooking / Electric	Other / Electric	AC / Electric	HW / Gas	HW / Electric	Water	Sewer	Trash	Heat / Gas	Heat / Electric	Cooking / Gas	Cooking / Electric	Other / Electric	AC / Electric	HW / Gas	HW / Electric	Water	Sewer	Trash
Sub	Parkside Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes
006	Aspen Apartments South	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
007	Aspen Club Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
008	Aspen Village	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes
037	Greens At Northridge	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
072	Parkview Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
077	Redbud Street Apartments	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	no	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	yes	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes

Source: Allen & Associates; Sponsor

HUD Utility Schedule Model Output

	0 Bedroom	1 Bedroom	2 Bedroom	3 Bedroom	4 Bedroom			
Heat - Gas	55	61	67	73	79			
Heat - Elec	14	17	20	23	26			
Cooking - Gas	4	5	7	10	12			
Cooking - Elec	4	5	8	10	12			
Other Electric	17	20	28	35	43			
Air Conditioning	4	4	6	8	10			
Hot Water-Gas	10	12	17	22	28			
Hot Water-Elec	11	13	17	21	24			
Water	21	23	38	61	84			
Sewer	25	28	46	74	101			
Trash	0	0	0	0	0			
Sources Legal Litility Drovideres HLD								

Source: Local Utility Providers; HUD

SITE DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the site included an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Survey; (2) Site Plan; (3) Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences & Environmental; (4) Topography; (5) Flood Zone; (6) Difficult to Develop Area Status; (7) Qualified Census Tract Status; and (8) Traffic Patterns, Access & Visibility.

Survey

A survey for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Current surveys should be evaluated to ascertain whether there are any easements encumbering the subject property. Our review/inspection suggested that the site is currently encumbered by standard utility easements that do not adversely affect its marketability and that the site is serviced by municipal utilities.

Site Plan

A site plan for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Site plans are necessary to analyze the site improvements, parking configuration, internal traffic flow, location of building improvements and landscaping improvements for the subject property. Our review did not identify any problem areas with respect to the subject property. A summary of the development's site features is found below.

Acres / Lot Shape / Frontage

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 3.5 acres and approximately 1000 feet of road frontage.

Zoning

According to the sponsor, the subject property is currently zoned R-2 Residential. It is our understanding that the current zoning for the subject is a legal, conforming use.

Parking / Streets / Curbs / Sidewalks

A total of 70 privately-owned parking spaces are proposed for the subject property (67 regular / 3 accessible / 1.89 spaces per unit). We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like this. Public transportation is not found in the immediate area. In our opinion, the proposed parking appears adequate for the subject property.

Dumpsters / Dumpster Enclosures

The subject is proposed to include 2 publicly-owned dumpsters along with 2 privately-owned wood enclosures.

Landscaping / Perimeter Fence / Retaining Walls / Entry Sign

Trees, shrubs & lawns are proposed for the subject property. Fencing on the southern boundary is planned for the subject property. Retaining walls are not planned for this property. One unlighted entry sign is proposed for this property.

Stormwater Management / Site Lighting / Water Service / Wastewater Service


Stormwater management is proposed to consist of catch basins and concrete pipe connecting to a public system. Site lighting is proposed to consist of publicly-owned HID poles. Domestic water service to buildings is proposed to consist of ductile iron pipe connecting to a public system. Wastewater service to buildings is proposed to consist of PVC pipe connecting to a public system.

Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences & Environmental

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. Nevertheless, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

Topography

The USGS map showing the topography of the subject property and surrounding area is found below:



The topographic map shows that the site is flat and drains to adjacent properties to the south and east. In our opinion, there do not appear to be any topographic issues with respect to the subject property.

Flood Zone

The map showing the location of the subject property relative to nearby areas prone to flooding (identified in purple) is found below:

d Zone Determination Report			-
d Zone Determination: OU			
SFHA (FLOOD ZONE)	OUT	WITHIN 250 FEET OF FLOOD ZON	¢ YES
FLOOD ZONE	x	COMMUNITY	510042
COMMUNITY NAME	CULPEPER, TOWN OF	PANEL	0207D
PANEL DATE	February 26, 2021	COBRA	out
PARTICIPATION STATUS	R	ORIGIN FIRM DATE	March 02, 1989
MAP NUMBER	51047C0207D	FIPS CODE	51047
	A DE TRANSPORTE	Per chilstian Hue Hue D Zone D Zone D Zone CBRA	e e Nav


According to FEMA map number 51047C0207D dated February 26, 2021, the subject property is located in Zone X. This is an area that is identified as being located outside - but near - the 100-year flood zone.

Difficult to Develop Area Status

The subject proprterty is located in Culpeper, Virginia - an area that is not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special DDA funding under state and federal programs.

Qualified Census Tract Status


The federal government has identified census tracts throughout the United States that include high concentrations of low-income households and substandard housing units. These areas, known as Qualified Census Tracts, qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs. A QCT map showing the location of the subject property is found below:



The subject property is located in Census Tract 9302.03 - an area that is not designated as a Qualified Census Tract. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special QCT funding under state and federal programs.

Traffic Patterns, Access & Visibility

A traffic map identifying the subject property is found below:



<u>Access</u>

The subject property is located on Gardner Street, approximately 3 blocks south of US Highway 522 in Culpeper, Virginia. Gardner Street is a lightly-traveled north-south road carrying a limited volume of traffic and provising access to the subject property. US Highway 522 is a heavily-traveled east-west road carrying approximately 12,500 vehicles per day. We did not observe any road or infrastructure improvements taking place in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is fair by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

Visibility

The subject property is not visible from US Highway 522 with approximately 1000 feet of frontage and a limited volume of drive-by traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is fair by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing drive-by traffic volumes.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the access and visibility for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale (1 being the worst and 5 being the best). A table summarizing our findings is found below:

	Access & Visibility							
	Rating							
Key	Project Name	Access	Visibility	Access	Visibility			
Sub	Parkside Apartments	2.00	2.50	14	9			
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	3.00	3.00	4	2			
006	Aspen Apartments South	3.00	2.50	4	9			
007	Aspen Club Apartments	3.25	3.00	3	2			
800	Aspen Village	3.00	3.00	4	2			
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	2.50	2.50	10	9			
037	Greens At Northridge	3.00	3.00	4	2			
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	3.00	3.00	4	2			
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	3.00	3.00	4	2			
072	Parkview Apartments	4.00	4.00	1	1			
077	Redbud Street Apartments	3.50	3.00	2	2			
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	2.50	2.25	10	14			
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	2.50	2.50	10	9			
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2.50	2.50	10	9			

Source: Allen & Associates

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION & ANALYSIS

Neighborhood

Our assessment of the neighborhood includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Life Cycle; (2) Surrounding Properties; (3) Economic Characteristics; (4) Crime Rates; (5) Educational Attainment; and (6) Commuting Patterns.

Life Cycle

Neighborhoods are sometimes thought to evolve through four distinct stages:

- Growth A period during which the area gains public favor and acceptance.
- Stability A period of equilibrium without marked gains or loses.
- Decline A period of diminishing demand.
- Revitalization A period of renewal, redevelopment, modernization, and increasing demand.

Based on our evaluation of the neighborhood, the subject property is located in an area that appears to be in the stability stage of its life cycle. Modest population growth is anticipated for the next several years.

Surrounding Properties

The subject property is located in Culpeper, Virginia. The immediate area consists of residential land uses.

Single family in fair to good condition is located to the north, south and west of the subject property; a public park is located to the east. Neighboring land uses appear to be complimentary to the use of the subject property. The condition of the neighboring properties appears to be inferior to the anticipated post-construction condition of the subject property.

Surrounding property uses are summarized in the table found below:

Surrounding Properties						
Direction	Use	Condition				
North	Single Family	Fair/Good				
South	Single Family	Fair/Good				
East	Public Park	-				
West	Single Family	Fair/Good				
	Source: Allen & Accociates					

Source: Allen & Associates

Economic Characteristics

The subject property is located in an area with average household incomes of \$65,278 (in constant 2015 dollars); this is compared with \$60,706 for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with median cash rents of \$1,113 (in constant 2015 dollars); this is compared with \$1,004 for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Finally, the subject property is located in an area with median single family home values of \$214,200 (in constant 2015 dollars); this is compared with \$215,836 for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Crime Rates

The subject property is located in an area with personal crime rates of 1.2%. Personal crime includes offenses such as rape, murder, robbery and assault. Our research suggests that the average personal crime rate for the most comparable properties stands at 1.5%.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with property crime rates of 1.8%. Property crimes include offenses such as burglary, larceny and theft. Our research suggests that the average property crime rate for the most

comparable properties stands at 1.1%.

Please note: The crime statistics included in this analysis are historical area-wide figures. These statistics make no consideration for changing demographics or the implementation of an affirmative crime prevention program at the subject property.

Educational Attainment

The subject property is located in an area with high school graduation rates of 90.5%; this is compared with 85.8% for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with college graduation rates of 27.8%; this is compared with 20.3% for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Commuting Patterns

The subject property is located in an area with an average drive to work of 36.4 minutes; this is compared with 40.4 minutes for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with an average of 1.99 vehicles per household; this is compared with 1.84 vehicles per household for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a good to very good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

Proximity to Area Amenities

Our assessment included an evaluation of the proximity of various amenities to the subject and the most comparable properties. We looked at the following amenities in our analysis: (1) Banks; (2) Grocery; (3) Emergency Clinics; (4) Pharmacies; and (5) Discount Stores.

A listing of some of the area amenities is found below. An amenity map is found in the following pages:

	Proximity to Area Amenities	
Amenity	Name	Miles
Bank	Atlantic Union Bank	0.3
Grocery	Westside Grocery	0.1
Emergency Clinic	MedExpress Urgent Care	0.7
Pharmacy	CVS Pharmacy	0.7
Discount Store	Ollie's Bargain Outlet	0.8
Elementary School	Yowell Elementary School	1.2
Middle School	Floyd T. Binns Middle School	1.0
High School	Culpeper County High School	1.7
Bus Stop	-	-
	Source: Google Maps	•

Source: Google Maps

Atlantic Union Bank, Westside Grocery, CVS Pharmacy, and Ollie's Bargain Outlet are all located less than 0.8 miles away from the subject property. MedExpress Urgent Care is located 0.7 miles away.

Number of Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft MapPoint to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the number of amenities in the immediate area.

- Microsoft MapPoint identified 12 banks within 2.0 miles of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 out of the 14 properties included in this analysis.
- A total of 10 grocery stores are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.
- A total of 2 hospital are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.
- A total of 8 pharmacies are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.
- A total of 29 shopping establishments are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.

Nearest Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft MapPoint to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the nearest area amenities.

- According to Microsoft MapPoint, the nearest bank is 0.35 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 10 out of the 14 properties included in this analysis.
- The nearest grocery store is 0.32 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 6 for the area.
- The nearest hospital is 1.39 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 5 for the area.
- The nearest pharmacy is 0.78 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 12 for the area.
- The nearest shopping center is 0.19 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 5 for the area.

Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area amenities.

Tables comparing the subject property's proximity to area amenities to that of the most comparable properties is found on the next page. Maps showing the proximity of the subject property to area amenities and area employers is also found in the following pages.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the neighborhood and the proximity to area amenities for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale (1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The tables on the following pages give these ratings.

							Neighborho	ood Rating	S									
					Ra	ating						Rank (1	= Property	with Highes	st Rating)			l
		Sur	rounding /	Area	Crime	e Rates	Educ	cation	Commute	Sur	rrounding A	Area	Crime	Rates	Educ	cation	Commute	1
Key	Project Nar	Avg HH Income (2015 \$)	Med Cash Rent (2015 \$)	Med SF Value (2015 \$)	Personal Crime	Property Crime	High School or More	Bachelor's or More	Average Commute	Avg HH Income (2015 \$)	Med Cash Rent (2015 \$)	Med SF Value (2015 \$)	Personal Crime	Property Crime	High School or More	Bachelor's or More	Average Commute	Final Rating (1-5 Scale)
Sub	Parkside Apartments	\$65,278	\$1,113	\$214,200	1.2%	1.8%	90.5%	27.8%	36.35	8	5	5	9	14	4	1	5	3.50
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	\$69,154	\$1,072	\$198,200	1.2%	0.6%	90.6%	17.1%	46.60	5	6	7	6	2	1	9	12	3.60
006	Aspen Apartments South	\$82,365	\$1,126	\$299,300	0.9%	1.1%	87.2%	21.6%	45.39	1	2	1	1	5	7	5	9	4.50
007	Aspen Club Apartments	\$82,365	\$1,126	\$299,300	0.9%	1.1%	87.2%	21.6%	45.39	1	2	1	1	5	7	5	9	4.50
008	Aspen Village	\$82,365	\$1,126	\$299,300	0.9%	1.1%	87.2%	21.6%	45.39	1	2	1	1	5	7	5	9	4.50
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	\$69,154	\$1,072	\$198,200	1.2%	0.6%	90.6%	17.1%	46.60	5	6	7	6	2	1	9	12	3.60
037	Greens At Northridge	\$69,154	\$1,072	\$198,200	1.2%	0.6%	90.6%	17.1%	46.60	5	6	7	6	2	1	9	12	3.60
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	\$81,313	\$1,304	\$261,800	1.4%	1.8%	88.5%	19.7%	40.32	4	1	4	10	13	6	8	8	3.60
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	\$37,063	\$673	\$172,000	2.1%	1.3%	78.9%	16.9%	34.99	12	12	10	11	8	12	12	2	2.00
072	Parkview Apartments	\$37,063	\$673	\$172,000	2.1%	1.3%	78.9%	16.9%	34.99	12	12	10	11	8	12	12	2	2.00
077	Redbud Street Apartments	\$45,609	\$991	\$169,300	1.0%	1.3%	80.7%	23.5%	39.89	10	10	13	4	11	10	2	6	2.60
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	\$37,063	\$673	\$172,000	2.1%	1.3%	78.9%	16.9%	34.99	12	12	10	11	8	12	12	2	2.00
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	\$45,609	\$991	\$169,300	1.0%	1.3%	80.7%	23.5%	39.89	10	10	13	4	11	10	2	6	2.60
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	\$46,327	\$1,042	\$198,600	4.4%	0.3%	90.3%	23.2%	28.37	9	9	6	14	1	5	4	1	3.40


						P	roximity to A	Area Ameni	ties									
					Ra	ating						Rank (1	= Property	with Highes				
		١	lumber wit	hin 2.0 mile	s of Proper	rty	Neares	t to Proper	ty, Miles	١	Number with	hin 2.0 mile	s of Proper	ty	Neares	t to Proper	ty, Miles	
Key	Project Ne	Banks	Grocery	Hospital	Pharmacy	Shopping	Shopping	Grocery	Hospital	Banks	Grocery	Hospital	Pharmacy	Shopping	Shopping	Grocery	Hospital	Final Rating (1-5 Scale)
Sub	Parkside Apartments	12	10	2	8	29	0.2	0.3	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	4	5	4	4.50
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	10	8	0	4	26	1.0	0.7	3.2	3	3	5	3	3	13	10	7	2.50
006	Aspen Apartments South	2	3	0	1	2	0.3	0.4	9.7	10	7	5	11	11	9	6	11	2.10
007	Aspen Club Apartments	2	3	0	1	2	0.0	0.0	9.3	10	7	5	11	11	1	1	9	3.50
008	Aspen Village	2	3	0	1	2	0.2	0.2	9.5	10	7	5	11	11	2	2	10	2.90
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	12	9	0	7	28	0.2	0.3	2.6	1	2	5	2	2	4	4	5	4.40
037	Greens At Northridge	10	8	0	4	26	1.3	0.6	3.1	3	3	5	3	3	14	8	6	2.30
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2	3	0	1	2	0.4	0.2	9.0	10	7	5	11	11	11	3	8	2.30
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	8	0	0	3	13	0.2	8.8	14.7	6	12	5	8	8	2	13	13	2.80
072	Parkview Apartments	9	0	0	3	16	0.3	8.4	15.2	5	12	5	8	7	7	12	14	2.00
077	Redbud Street Apartments	5	4	2	4	17	0.3	0.5	0.0	8	5	1	3	5	6	7	1	3.50
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	8	0	0	3	13	0.3	8.9	14.7	6	12	5	8	8	8	14	12	2.30
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	5	4	2	4	17	0.3	0.6	0.2	8	5	1	3	5	9	9	2	2.90
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2	3	2	4	9	0.6	0.9	0.4	10	7	1	3	10	12	11	3	2.10

Source: US Census; Claritas; Google Maps

Proximity to Area Amenities



Proximity to Area Employers



SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS

Photos of the subject property and the surrounding area are found below:



Subject Property



Looking North From Entrance



Looking South From Entrance



Looking East From Entrance



Looking West From Entrance

MARKET AREA

Overview

Market areas are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors. These factors include site location, economic, and demographic characteristics (tenure, income, rent levels, etc.), local transportation patterns, physical boundaries (rivers, streams, topography, etc.), census geographies, and the location of comparable and/or potentially competing communities.

In areas where the county seat is the largest city, centrally located, and draws from the entire county, the county may be the market area. In the case where there are potentially competing communities in one county, the market area may be part of the county. In fact, the market area could include portions of adjacent counties. In this case, a combination of county subdivisions may be used to define the market area. In urban or suburban areas, the market area will be adjacent to the site extending to all locations of similar character with residents or potential residents likely to be interested in the project. In this case, county subdivisions, townships, or a combination of census tracts may be used to define the market area.

Allen & Associates recently conducted a series of property management interviews to better understand market areas and resident moving patterns for multifamily properties. Our study suggested that markets may be classified into the following general categories: urban, suburban and rural. Renters in urban markets are typically willing to move 5 to 10 minutes when looking for a new apartment. Our research also shows that renters in suburban markets are normally willing to move 10 to 15 minutes when looking for a new place to live. Renters in rural markets are typically willing to move 15 to 20 minutes when looking for a new apartment. We considered these general guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our study suggested that secondary market areas were generally a function of whether the proposed development was family or elderly. Our research suggested that secondary market demand for family properties ranged from 10 to 30 percent. Secondary market demand for elderly properties ranged from 10 to 50 percent. Although seniors move less frequently than younger renters, they are often willing to move longer distances when looking for housing. We considered these general secondary market guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our primary and secondary market area definitions are found below.

Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 25-minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

Primary market area, drive time and existing multifamily maps are found in the following pages. The primary market area included all or part of the following census tracts:

Census Tract	County	State
51047930101	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930102	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930201	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930202	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930300	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930400	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930501	Culpeper County	Virginia
51047930502	Culpeper County	Virginia
51061930205	Fauquier County	Virginia
51061930206	Fauquier County	Virginia
51061930207	Fauquier County	Virginia
51061930703	Fauquier County	Virginia
51061930705	Fauquier County	Virginia
51061930706	Fauquier County	Virginia


51061930707	Fauquier County	Virginia
51113930100	Madison County	Virginia
51113930200	Madison County	Virginia
51137110102	Orange County	Virginia
51137110103	Orange County	Virginia
51137110104	Orange County	Virginia
51137110200	Orange County	Virginia
51157950200	Rappahannock County	Virginia

The primary market area includes a population of 97,505 persons and covers a total of 757.5 square miles, making it 31.1 miles across on average.


Secondary Market Area

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.


Market Area



Drive Time




Existing Multifamily



ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

In this section we conduct an analysis of the regional economy. For purposes of our analysis, we define the region as Culpeper, Fauquier, Madison, Orange, and Rappahannock, Virginia. A map depicting the Region is found below.



Employment by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks establishment employment by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and percent distribution. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods & Pool Economics.

Establishment Emplo	oyment		
Industry	Region	Reg %	US %
Farm Employment	4,118	4.5%	1.3%
Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment	996	1.1%	0.5%
Mining Employment	215	0.2%	0.7%
Utilities Employment	204	0.2%	0.3%
Construction Employment	8,040	8.8%	5.4%
Manufacturing Employment	4,739	5.2%	6.4%
Wholesale Trade Employment	2,004	2.2%	3.5%
Retail Trade Employment	9,844	10.8%	10.3%
Transportation And Warehousing Employment	1,782	2.0%	3.4%
Information Employment	1,078	1.2%	1.6%
Finance And Insurance Employment	2,925	3.2%	5.4%
Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment	5,946	6.5%	4.8%
Professional And Technical Services Employment	6,699	7.4%	6.9%
Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment	330	0.4%	1.3%
Administrative And Waste Services Employment	4,686	5.2%	6.0%
Educational Services Employment	2,124	2.3%	2.5%
Health Care And Social Assistance Employment	7,416	8.2%	12.1%
Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment	2,028	2.2%	2.2%
Accommodation And Food Services Employment	6,107	6.7%	7.5%
Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment	7,513	8.3%	5.9%
Federal Civilian Government Employment	1,086	1.2%	1.4%
Federal Military Employment	568	0.6%	0.9%
State And Local Government Employment	10,410	11.5%	9.8%
Establishment Employment	90,858	100.0%	100.0%

Source: W&P Economics

Regional establishment employment currently stands at 90,858. The data suggests that State and Local Government is the largest employment category accounting for 11.5% of total regional employment. Retail Trade is the second largest category accounting for 10.8% of total employment. Construction is the third largest category accounting for 8.8% of total employment. Other Services is the fourth largest category accounting for 8.3% of total employment. Health Care and Social Assistance is the fifth largest category accounting for 8.2% of total employment.

Economists generally classify employment two ways: basic and non-basic. Basic employment, which is considered to be the engine of a local economy, includes industries that rely on external factors to fuel demand. For instance, mining, logging and manufacturers are frequently considered basic employers. Goods for these industries are shipped outside the location where they are produced. Non-basic employers depend largely on local demand and usually employ local workers. For example, grocery stores and restaurants are sometimes considered non-basic employers.

The Location Quotient (LQ) technique is the most common method of identifying basic industries for a given economy. The LQ technique compares the share of workers in each industry of a given economy with that of a larger reference economy. If the number of workers in the given economy is greater than that of the reference economy, these are considered to be basic industries because they fill needs beyond those of the reference community.

In the table above we highlight the basic industries for the region. The distribution of employment in these industries exceeds that for the United States. These basic industries represent about 55,594 employees or about 61.2% of total regional employment. These are the industries that drive the regional economy.

Earnings by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks average earnings by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and rank. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods & Pool Economics.

Average Earnings (2012 \$)				
Industry	Earnings	Rank		
Farm Employment	\$5,128	23		
Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment	\$31,289	17		
Mining Employment	\$45,558	12		
Utilities Employment	\$203,750	1		
Construction Employment	\$57,726	8		
Manufacturing Employment	\$57,446	9		
Wholesale Trade Employment	\$63,750	6		
Retail Trade Employment	\$30,221	18		
Transportation And Warehousing Employment	\$45,871	11		
Information Employment	\$74,466	4		
Finance And Insurance Employment	\$37,292	13		
Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment	\$24,107	20		
Professional And Technical Services Employment	\$65,875	5		
Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment	\$83,506	3		
Administrative And Waste Services Employment	\$32,841	16		
Educational Services Employment	\$26,720	19		
Health Care And Social Assistance Employment	\$47,088	10		
Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment	\$17,641	22		
Accommodation And Food Services Employment	\$22,854	21		
Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment	\$36,331	14		
Federal Civilian Government Employment	\$165,165	2		
Federal Military Employment	\$34,039	15		
State And Local Government Employment	\$57,968	7		
Establishment Employment	\$43,572	$>\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$		

Source: W&P Economics

The data suggests that Utilities is the highest paid industry averaging \$203,750 per employee. Federal Civilian Government is the second highest paid industry averaging \$165,165 per employee. Management of Companies is the third highest paid profession averaging \$83,506 per employee. Information Technology is the fourth highest paid industry averaging \$74,466 per employee. Professional and Technical Services is the fifth highest paid category averaging \$65,875 per employee. These figures are compared with regional Average Earnings of \$43,572 per employee.

The highlighted industries represent basic industries for the region. Average earnings for these basic industries comes to \$36,254 or 16.8% lower than average for the region.

Top Employers

The table below gives a listing of the region's top employers. The data comes from InfoUSA and includes a primary industry description for each employer.


		Top Employers		
Name	Employees	SIC Code	Industry Description	Location Type
Fauquier Hospital	1,100	8062-02	Hospitals	-
Ochsner Health System	1,000	8099-07	Health Services	-
Samson Properties	800	6531-18	Real Estate	-
Fauquier Health	600	8742-42	Health Care Management	Subsidiary
Walmart Supercenter	550	5311-02	Department Stores	-
Culpeper Human Resources	500	9121-03	Government Offices-County	-
Magic Cabin	500	3944-02	Toys-Manufacturers	-
UVA Culpeper Medical Ctr	358	8062-02	Hospitals	-
Walmart Supercenter	335	5311-02	Department Stores	-
Dogwood Village-Orange County	330	9531-03	County Government-Housing Programs	0

Source: InfoUSA

The top employers include: (1) Fauquier Hospital (1100 employees); (2) Ochsner Health System (1000 employees) and; (3) Samson Properties (800 employees).

Population


In this section we present population data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the US Census; the forecast comes from Woods & Pool Economics.



Population increased from 166,656 in 2010 to 183,192 in 2020 and is anticipated to increase to 190,090 in 2024.

Establishment Employment

In this section we present establishment employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast comes from Woods & Pool Economics.




Source: BLS; W&P Economics

Establishment employment increased from 75,699 in 2010 to 83,289 in 2020 and is anticipated to increase to 93,175 in 2024.

Civilian Employment

In this section we present civilian employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast utilizes data from Woods & Pool Economics.



Civilian employment increased from 86,367 in 2010 to 90,691 in 2020 and is anticipated to increase to 94,106 in 2024.

Labor Force and Unemployment


In this section we take a look at the labor force and unemployment. The table below shows civilian employment, unemployment and labor force statistics for the region since 2010. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A&M Real Estate Center.

	Labor For	ce & Unempl	oyment	
Year	Civ Emp	Unemp	Lab Force	Unemp Rate
2010	86,367	4,703	81,664	5.4%
2011	86,579	4,398	82,181	5.1%
2012	86,800	4,083	82,717	4.7%
2013	86,191	3,517	82,674	4.1%
2014	86,744	3,134	83,610	3.6%
2015	88,431	3,018	85,413	3.4%
2016	89,784	2,450	87,334	2.7%
2017	92,342	2,346	89,996	2.5%
2018	89,766	4,348	85,418	4.8%
2019	88,661	2,774	85,887	3.1%
2020	90,691	2,313	88,378	2.6%

Source: BLS; Texas A&M Real Estate Center

Unemployment decreased from 4,703 in 2010 to 2,313 in 2020. The unemployment rate decreased from 5.4% in 2010 to 2.6% in 2020.

The table and graph below show the unemployment rate for the region for the past 12 months.



The Unemployment Rate for the Region came in at 2.3% in December 2021 and 2.3% in December 2022.

Building Permits

In this section we look at building permits. The table and graph below show historical data for the region since 2000. The data set comes from the US Census.

		Building Permits		
Year	1 Family	2-4 Family	5+ Family	Total
2000	1,201	11	52	1,264
2001	1,607	12	108	1,727
2002	1,687	10	60	1,757
2003	2,069	22	44	2,135
2004	2,633	58	136	2,827
2005	3,023	10	76	3,109
2006	2,265	4	205	2,474
2007	1,458	0	15	1,473
2008	399	0	0	399
2009	287	0	0	287
2010	341	0	0	341
2011	422	2	0	424
2012	424	2	100	526
2013	615	2	0	617
2014	621	0	0	621
2015	492	0	0	492
2016	702	0	30	732
2017	814	0	132	946
2018	782	0	168	950
2019	778	4	40	822
2020	796	4	18	818
-	9	OUTCO: LIS CODEUS	9	

Source: US Census

Building permits for the region increased from 1,264 in 2000 to 3,109 in 2005, before decreasing to 287 in 2009 and increasing to 818 in 2020.


Conclusion

We anticipate moderate economic growth accompanied by modest population growth for the region over the next several years.

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation population projection for the Market Area.




In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation 55+ population projection for the Market Area.

55+ Population Forecast				
Year	Population	Growth %		
2010	21,540	-		
2011	22,285	3.5%		
2012	23,057	3.5%		
2013	23,855	3.5%		
2014	24,681	3.5%		
2015	25,506	3.3%		
2016	26,332	3.2%		
2017	27,158	3.1%		
2018	27,983	3.0%		
2019	28,809	3.0%		
2020	29,337	1.8%		
2021	29,864	1.8%		
2022	30,392	1.8%		
2023	30,919	1.7%		
2024	31,447	1.7%		
Source: Caliper; Allen & Associates				


. . . .

_ _




In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation 65+ population projection for the Market Area.

65+ Population Forecast						
Year	Population	Growth %				
2010	11,373	-				
2011	11,991	5.4%				
2012	12,643	5.4%				
2013	13,330	5.4%				
2014	14,055	5.4%				
2015	14,780	5.2%				
2016	15,504	4.9%				
2017	16,229	4.7%				
2018	16,954	4.5%				
2019	17,678	4.3%				
2020	18,237	3.2%				
2021	18,795	3.1%				
2022	19,354	3.0%				
2023	19,912	2.9%				
2024	20,471	2.8%				
Source: C	Source: Caliper; Allen & Associates					




Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas household projection for the Market Area.



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 55+ household projection for the Market Area.

55+ Household Forecast					
Year	Households	Growth %			
2010	13,359	-			
2011	13,821	3.5%			
2012	14,300	3.5%			
2013	14,795	3.5%			
2014	15,307	3.5%			
2015	15,819	3.3%			
2016	16,331	3.2%			
2017	16,843	3.1%			
2018	17,355	3.0%			
2019	17,867	3.0%			
2020	18,194	1.8%			
2021	18,522	1.8%			
2022	18,849	1.8%			
2023	19,176	1.7%			
2024	19,503	1.7%			




Source: Claritas; Allen & Associates

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 65+ household projection for the Market Area.


65+ Household Forecast					
Year	Households	Growth %			
2010	6,871	-			
2011	7,245	5.4%			
2012	7,639	5.4%			
2013	8,054	5.4%			
2014	8,492	5.4%			
2015	8,930	5.2%			
2016	9,367	4.9%			
2017	9,805	4.7%			
2018	10,243	4.5%			
2019	10,681	4.3%			
2020	11,019	3.2%			
2021	11,356	3.1%			
2022	11,693	3.0%			
2023	12,031	2.9%			
2024	12,368	2.8%			
Source: Claritas: Allen & Associates					





Renter Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas renter household projection for the Market Area.




. . . –

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 55+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

55+ Renter Household Forecast					
Year	Households	Growth %			
2010	2,300	-			
2011	2,387	3.7%			
2012	2,476	3.7%			
2013	2,569	3.7%			
2014	2,665	3.7%			
2015	2,762	3.6%			
2016	2,858	3.5%			
2017	2,954	3.4%			
2018	3,050	3.3%			
2019	3,147	3.2%			
2020	3,223	2.4%			
2021	3,300	2.4%			
2022	3,377	2.3%			
2023	3,453	2.3%			
2024	3,530	2.2%			

. .




Source: Claritas; Allen & Associates

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 65+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

65+ Renter Household Forecast						
Year	Households	Growth %				
2010	1,078	-				
2011	1,143	6.0%				
2012	1,212	6.0%				
2013	1,285	6.0%				
2014	1,362	6.0%				
2015	1,439	5.7%				
2016	1,517	5.4%				
2017	1,594	5.1%				
2018	1,672	4.9%				
2019	1,749	4.6%				
2020	1,815	3.8%				
2021	1,881	3.6%				
2022	1,946	3.5%				
2023	2,012	3.4%				
2024	2,078	3.3%				
Source: Claritas: Allen & Associates						

Source: Claritas; Allen & Associates



Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

				Househo	lds, by Income	e, by Size		
202	22 \$	2022 Households						
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	715	206	129	48	39	18	1,156
\$10,000	\$19,999	1,061	542	297	172	48	33	2,153
\$20,000	\$29,999	919	648	335	239	57	34	2,233
\$30,000	\$39,999	870	754	407	194	101	67	2,393
\$40,000	\$49,999	625	1,104	260	264	265	222	2,739
\$50,000	\$59,999	793	824	396	259	237	170	2,678
\$60,000	\$74,999	688	1,349	539	547	280	213	3,616
\$75,000	\$99,999	507	1,739	1,034	963	473	346	5,061
\$100,000	\$124,999	360	1,731	738	671	421	320	4,242
\$125,000	\$149,999	331	955	842	670	256	200	3,253
\$150,000	\$199,999	313	1,373	546	541	222	174	3,169
\$200,000	more	301	958	788	445	287	221	2,999
Tc	otal	7,481	12,183	6,311	5,013	2,686	2,018	35,693

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ household incomes for the Market Area.

				55+ House	holds, by Incor	me, by Size		
202	22 \$			2	022 Household	ds		
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	552	173	62	24	22	9	842
\$10,000	\$19,999	1,008	359	152	20	16	10	1,565
\$20,000	\$29,999	740	505	144	28	19	11	1,447
\$30,000	\$39,999	639	556	104	62	20	14	1,395
\$40,000	\$49,999	466	840	78	29	35	21	1,470
\$50,000	\$59,999	544	698	153	28	41	26	1,489
\$60,000	\$74,999	472	963	205	143	46	26	1,854
\$75,000	\$99,999	361	1,203	271	195	92	64	2,185
\$100,000	\$124,999	271	1,077	188	179	61	41	1,816
\$125,000	\$149,999	291	640	295	62	67	45	1,399
\$150,000	\$199,999	279	1,016	202	51	95	70	1,713
\$200,000	more	287	794	293	180	75	45	1,675
Тс	otal	5,909	8,825	2,146	999	588	381	18,849

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ household incomes for the Market Area.

				65+ House	holds, by Incoi	me, by Size		
202	22 \$			2	022 Household	ds		
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	397	97	22	9	14	4	543
\$10,000	\$19,999	832	284	113	11	8	5	1,253
\$20,000	\$29,999	584	375	50	16	12	7	1,042
\$30,000	\$39,999	458	399	72	42	8	4	983
\$40,000	\$49,999	310	652	39	10	8	1	1,021
\$50,000	\$59,999	422	570	46	12	17	10	1,077
\$60,000	\$74,999	264	724	89	76	37	21	1,212
\$75,000	\$99,999	219	735	160	139	69	49	1,370
\$100,000	\$124,999	162	604	49	67	28	16	925
\$125,000	\$149,999	119	305	58	7	25	18	532
\$150,000	\$199,999	140	712	87	6	5	2	952
\$200,000	more	138	526	66	12	27	15	783
То	otal	4,045	5,983	850	408	257	151	11,693

Source: Claritas & Ribbon Demographics

Renter Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of renter household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

				Renter Hous	eholds, by Inc	ome, by Size		
202	22 \$			2	022 Household	ds		
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	423	58	54	16	11	5	567
\$10,000	\$19,999	480	183	132	118	32	25	969
\$20,000	\$29,999	371	183	232	136	20	15	958
\$30,000	\$39,999	382	219	236	113	62	43	1,055
\$40,000	\$49,999	216	323	81	112	204	181	1,118
\$50,000	\$59,999	291	164	85	43	56	41	681
\$60,000	\$74,999	168	294	219	223	91	68	1,063
\$75,000	\$99,999	206	172	156	185	106	83	909
\$100,000	\$124,999	100	429	94	110	76	66	875
\$125,000	\$149,999	87	120	200	15	15	6	442
\$150,000	\$199,999	80	100	51	12	23	17	284
\$200,000	more	113	69	25	80	11	8	306
Тс	otal	2,916	2,315	1,566	1,164	706	559	9,226

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

			Ę	55+ Renter Ho	useholds, by li	ncome, by Siz	e	
202	22 \$			2	022 Household	ds		
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	280	40	3	6	3	1	333
\$10,000	\$19,999	428	86	22	1	1	1	539
\$20,000	\$29,999	251	67	67	3	3	0	391
\$30,000	\$39,999	259	87	5	8	1	2	362
\$40,000	\$49,999	142	138	17	5	16	10	327
\$50,000	\$59,999	111	62	12	6	2	0	193
\$60,000	\$74,999	103	116	45	60	1	1	326
\$75,000	\$99,999	122	42	13	21	18	16	233
\$100,000	\$124,999	96	60	22	9	9	4	199
\$125,000	\$149,999	83	46	39	10	3	0	181
\$150,000	\$199,999	78	28	5	10	5	3	129
\$200,000	more	97	45	3	18	1	0	164
To	otal	2,050	815	252	158	64	39	3,377


The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

			6	65+ Renter Ho	useholds, by l	ncome, by Siz	e	
202	22 \$			2	022 Household	ds		
Min	Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 + Person	Total
\$0	\$9,999	195	15	2	2	2	1	217
\$10,000	\$19,999	346	64	17	0	0	0	427
\$20,000	\$29,999	167	51	15	3	1	0	237
\$30,000	\$39,999	138	69	2	2	0	0	211
\$40,000	\$49,999	59	84	10	2	3	0	158
\$50,000	\$59,999	83	44	2	2	1	0	132
\$60,000	\$74,999	34	45	19	24	1	0	123
\$75,000	\$99,999	81	35	2	4	16	16	155
\$100,000	\$124,999	68	29	2	6	8	4	118
\$125,000	\$149,999	40	21	2	1	2	0	66
\$150,000	\$199,999	29	14	3	0	0	0	47
\$200,000	more	39	14	1	2	1	0	56
To	otal	1,280	485	76	49	36	21	1,946


Source: Claritas & Ribbon Demographics

Overburdened Renter Households

The following tables give overburdened renter household data for the Market Area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau.




Our research suggests that 28.2 percent of the renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 35.6 percent of the renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Our research suggests that 42.7 percent of the 55+ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 47.2 percent of the 55+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.




Our research suggests that 46.4 percent of the 65+ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 50.9 percent of the 65+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

Owner Substandard Units

The U.S. Census Bureau defines substandard housing units as follows: (1) Units without complete plumbing; or (2) Units with 1.00 or more persons per room.


The following tables give owner substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:



Our research suggests that 2.5 percent of occupied owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

Renter Substandard Units

The following tables give renter substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:



Our research suggests that 6.6 percent of renter owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

Owner Movership

The following tables give owner household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

Market Area											
5 Person	6 Person	7+ Person	Total								
6.4%	6.9%	8.1%	4.9%								
4.4%	6.6%	10.1%	3.6%								
10.7%	13.5%	18.1%	8.5%								
	6.4% 4.4% 10.7%	6.4% 6.9% 4.4% 6.6%	6.4% 6.9% 8.1% 4.4% 6.6% 10.1% 10.7% 13.5% 18.1%								

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen & Associates

Our research suggests an owner movership rate of 8.5 percent.

Elderly Owner Movership, by Size												
AHS Survey												
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7+ Person	Total				
Owner to Owner	2.0%	2.8%	2.3%	1.6%	3.1%	1.0%	3.7%	2.4%				
Owner to Renter	1.7%	0.8%	1.4%	2.1%	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%	1.2%				
Owner Movership Rate	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%				

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen & Associates

Our research suggests an elderly owner movership rate of 3.7 percent.

Renter Movership

The following tables give renter household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

	Renter Movership, by Size												
Market Area													
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7+ Person	Total					
Renter to Renter	9.4%	21.0%	29.6%	35.6%	36.0%	38.9%	60.2%	21.2%					
Renter to Owner	2.3%	8.6%	8.7%	11.1%	12.2%	9.4%	11.1%	6.7%					
Renter Movership Rate	11.7%	29.5%	38.3%	46.7%	48.1%	48.4%	71.3%	28.0%					

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen & Associates

Our research suggests a renter movership rate of 28.0 percent.

Elderly Renter Movership, by Size												
AHS Survey												
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6 Person 7+ Person Total												
7.4%	6.6%	7.2%	7.6%	6.0%	7.8%	0.0%	7.1%					
0.6%	1.4%	0.7%	0.4%	2.0%	0.2%	8.0%	0.9%					
8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%					
	7.4% 0.6%	1 Person 2 Person 7.4% 6.6% 0.6% 1.4%	AHS S 1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 7.4% 6.6% 7.2% 0.6% 1.4% 0.7%	AHS Survey 1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 7.4% 6.6% 7.2% 7.6% 0.6% 1.4% 0.7% 0.4%	AHS Survey 1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 7.4% 6.6% 7.2% 7.6% 6.0% 0.6% 1.4% 0.7% 0.4% 2.0%	AHS Survey 1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6 Person 7.4% 6.6% 7.2% 7.6% 6.0% 7.8% 0.6% 1.4% 0.7% 0.4% 2.0% 0.2%	AHS Survey 1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6 Person 7+ Person 7.4% 6.6% 7.2% 7.6% 6.0% 7.8% 0.0% 0.6% 1.4% 0.7% 0.4% 2.0% 0.2% 8.0%					

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen & Associates

Our research suggests an elderly renter movership rate of 8.0 percent.

SUPPLY ANALYSIS

In conducting our analysis, we began by attempting to compile a list of every multifamily property with 10 or more units in the market area. We included conventionally-financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency in our listing. We even included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, we included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 10 or more units - whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area. Our rental property inventory listing is found in the pages that follow.

A map showing the location of the properties included in the rental property inventory is found in the pages that follow. Properties identified with red pushpins have 100 percent market rate units (market rate properties), properties identified with yellow pushpins have a mixture of market rate / restricted / subsidized units (restricted properties), and properties identified with blue pushpins have 100 percent project-based rental assistance (subsidized properties).

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This was the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, the properties included on this list give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report. This listing is found in the pages that follow.

Our next step was to compile a master list of unrestricted market rate rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We eliminated any properties which were either under construction, being renovated, in lease up, or which were unstabilized for one reason or another. We identified market rate properties of similar age and condition to the subject property. If we were unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables in the market area, we included market rate properties from outside the market area. If we were still unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables, we included rent restricted properties - provided, however, that the rents charged at these properties were below statuatory limits and similar to the rents charged at the market rate properties in the market area (suggesting that these rent restricted properties were *de facto* market rate properties).


Finally, we compiled a master list of restricted rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We used the same approach described above for unrestricted market rate properties.

The resulting master lists of rent comparables and accompanying locator maps are found in this section as well. Detailed write-ups for the properties included on these lists are found in the Appendix. We include writeups for *all* of the rent comparables identified on our master lists, regardless of whether they ended up being selected as one of the *best* rent comparables. We did this for two reasons: (1) To be transparent; and (2) To provide the reader with context regarding our selection process.

The balance of this section includes a breakdown of confirmed market area properties by rent type, project status, year built, and financing source. We also include a rent, unit mix, and amenity summary for confirmed market area properties. Finally, we provide summary of vouchers, concessions, and waiting lists for the properties included in this report.

					Rental Prop	erty Inventory						
Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy
001	Academy Hill Apartments	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2015	Subsidized	Family	Stabilized	RD	31	0	100.0%
002	Ann Wingfield Commons	38.4736	-77.9938	1935	2003	Restricted	Family	Duplicate	Tax Credit	33	0	100.0%
003	Ann Wingfield Commons	38.4736	-77.9938	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%
004	ARA Properties	38.2345	-78.3959	2011	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	38.4975	-77.9830	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	132	0	100.0%
006	Aspen Apartments South	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	100	0	100.0%
007	Aspen Club Apartments	38.5811	-77.7648	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Bond	108	0	100.0%
008	Aspen Village	38.5793	-77.7628	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	30	0	100.0%
009	Bailey Court	38.2984	-78.4411	1978	2015	Restricted	Family	Duplicate	Tax Credit	32	0	100.0%
010	Bealeton Station Apartments	38.5755	-77.7626	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
011	Belle Courts	38.4833	-77.9792	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	154	13	91.6%
012	Belleview House Phase 1	38.2489	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	36	0	100.0%
013	Belleview House Phase 2	38.2488	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	12	0	100.0%
014	Belleview Senior Apartments	38.2488	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Duplicate	Tax Credit	12	0	100.0%
015	Brandywine Apartments	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%
016	Canterbury Group Home	38.4427	-78.0794	na	na	Subsidized	Family	Special Needs	HUD	4	0	100.0%
017	Cavalier Senior Apartments	38.3302	-77.7762	2016	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
018	Chestnut Forks	38.4687	-77.9978	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	52	0	100.0%
019	Countryside Townhomes	38.5728	-77.7635	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	8	0	100.0%
020	Culpeper Commons Phase 1	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	122	0	100.0%
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	20	1	95.0%
022	Culpeper Crossing	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%
023	Culpeper Elderly	38.4562	-78.0002	2004	na	Subsidized	Elderly	Duplicate	HUD	23	1	95.7%
023	Culpeper House	38.4833	-77.9792	1958	2003	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Tax Credit	0	0	0.0%
025	Culpeper Motor Court	38.4762	-77.9958	1994	na	Market Rate	Family	Hotel	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
026	Daniel & Co	38.2332	-78.3869	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
020	Deerview Apartments	38.1055	-78.1250	1986	2007	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	15	0	100.0%
028	East Gate Apartments	38.1382	-78.1792	1981	na	Restricted	Family	Duplicate	RD	24	2	91.7%
020	East Gate Village	38.1382	-78.1792	1981	2020	Subsidized	Family	Rehabilitation	Tax Credit	24	0	100.0%
023	East Gate Village II	38.1387	-78.1800	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	37	0	100.0%
030	East Street Apartments	38.4708	-77.9972	1943		Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
031	Friendship Heights	38.4492	-78.0180	1943	na na	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Conventional	210	1	99.5%
032	Germanna Heights	38.3710	-77.7697	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%
033	Grandview Apartments	38.4885	-77.9933	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	23	0	100.0%
034	Green Village Phase 1	38.2984	-77.9933	1985		Restricted	Family	Rehabilitation	RD	23 16	3	81.3%
035	Green Village Phase 2	38.2984 38.2984	-78.4404	1985	na	Restricted	,		Tax Credit	16	3	81.3%
030	Greens At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Family Family	Duplicate Stabilized	Tax Credit	108	0	100.0%
	0	38.2119	-78.3868	2003	na	Restricted	,	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	2	96.0%
	Hawk's Landing Heritage Hill Apartments				na		Family		RD		2	
039 040		38.2515	-78.1205	1979	2004	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	HUD	60 4	1	98.3%
	High Point Group Home	38.4504	-78.0049	na	na	Subsidized	Family	Special Needs		-	0	100.0%
	Hoover Ridge	38.3611	-78.2616	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
	Hopewood Farm	38.1060	-78.2531	2003	na	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	2	1	50.0%
	Krystal Court Apartments	38.3036	-78.4392	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Bond	9	1	88.9%
	KSI Management	38.4842	-77.9795	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Tax Credit	0	0	0.0%
045	Lady Olivia At North Cliff	38.5750	-77.9299	2009	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
046	Lake Of The Woods	38.3218	-77.7784	2012	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
047	Lake View Townhomes	38.4743	-78.0075	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Condominiums	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
048	Leaflin Lane Apartments	38.4562	-78.0002	2004	na	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	HUD	23	0	100.0%
049	Lightfoot Apartments	38.4646	-77.9973	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	60	60	0.0%
050	Lily Ridge	38.2123	-78.3872	2015	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	48	0	100.0%
051	Madison At The Mill	38.2521	-78.1140	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%

					Rental Prop	erty Inventory						
Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy
052	Madison Elderly Project	38.3685	-78.2689	2017	na	Market Rate	Elderly	Non-Inventory	Tax Credit	0	0	0.0%
053	McDonald Street Apartments	38.0230	-78.0071	1983	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	RD	20	1	95.0%
054	McDonald Street Apartments - Office in Cu	38.4810	-77.9832	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Duplicate	RD	20	1	95.0%
055	Meadow Run Apartments	38.1390	-78.1814	1994	2013	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	43	0	100.0%
056	Meadowbrook Heights	38.4578	-78.0095	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%
057	Meadows At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%
058	Millview Apartments	38.5369	-77.8081	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	38.5847	-77.7702	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	80	1	98.8%
060	Mountain Run Apartments	38.4743	-78.0057	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%
061	Mountain View	38.4556	-78.0155	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	86	0	100.0%
062	Nathanial Greene Apartments	38.2992	-78.4416	1978	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	11	0	100.0%
063	Nathanial Greene Townhomes	38.2992	-78.4416	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	4	0	100.0%
064	Northridge Apartments	38.4968	-77.9842	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	38.2571	-78.1193	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	70	2	97.1%
066	Madison Raod Apartments	38.2536	-78.1155	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	21	21	0.0%
067	Orange Group Home	38.2459	-78.0886	na	na	Subsidized	Family	Special Needs	HUD	4	0	100.0%
068	Orange-Spicers Mill LLC	38.2549	-78.1196	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Duplicate	Tax Credit	40	5	87.5%
069	Our Father's House Christian	38.4913	-77.9229	2005	na	Market Rate	Elderly	Unconfirmed	Conventional	8	0	100.0%
070	Park View	38.2547	-78.1192	1987	na	Market Rate	Family	Condominiums	Conventional	18	1	94.4%
071	Parkside Apartments	38.4756	-78.0019	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	37	37	0.0%
072	Parkview Apartments	38.2502	-78.1199	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	64	1	98.4%
073	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Mason St	38.4693	-77.9991	1983	na	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	4	0	100.0%
074	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	38.4558	-78.0118	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	3	0	100.0%
075	Pine Ridge Apartments	38.0097	-77.9866	1994	2014	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	27	0	100.0%
076	Poplar Ridge	38.3719	-78.2652	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	16	0	100.0%
077	Redbud Street Apartments	38.4539	-78.0120	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	45	0	100.0%
078	Remington Farmers Co-Op	38.4824	-77.9716	2016	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
079	Remington Gardens	38.5369	-77.8081	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%
080	Remington Group Home	38.5368	-77.8101	na	na	Subsidized	Family	Special Needs	HUD	6	0	100.0%
081	Round Hill Meadows	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	60	0	100.0%
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	40	0	100.0%
083	Skyline Pointe	38.2997	-78.4397	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	24	0	100.0%
084	South Mountain View Apartments	38.4575	-77.9992	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	38.4545	-78.0175	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	128	0	100.0%
086	Southridge Culpeper	38.4538	-78.0174	1989	na	Market Rate	Family	Duplicate	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
087	Southridge Suites	38.4555	-78.0172	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Hotel	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	38.4492	-78.0180	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	210	3	98.6%
089	Spicer's Mill Apartments	38.2549	-78.1196	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	40	4	90.0%
090	Standardsville Village	38.2984	-78.4406	1978	2015	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	32	0	100.0%
091	Stonegate Apartments	37.9889	-78.2006	2017	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	155	0	100.0%
092	Terrace Greene Apartments Phase 1	38.1994	-78.3885	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	260	0	100.0%
093	Tory Station Apartments	38.5727	-77.7656	1980	2005	Market Rate	Family	Condominiums	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
094	Treetops at Terrace Greene Apartments F	38.1994	-78.3885	2019	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	90	0	100.0%
095	Village of Culpeper	38.4520	-78.0189	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	RD	62	0	100.0%
096	Williams Street Apartment	38.4771	-77.9943	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	12	0	100.0%
097	Woodscape Apartments	38.4918	-77.9899	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	90	0	100.0%
098	Yates Properties - Office	38.4732	-77.9963	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Non-Inventory	Conventional	0	0	0.0%
			•	-	•			-			•	-




				R	ental Property Inv	entory, Unconfirm	nea					
Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy
027	Deerview Apartments	38.1055	-78.1250	1986	2007	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	15	0	100.0%
042	Hopewood Farm	38.1060	-78.2531	2003	na	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	2	1	50.0%
069	Our Father's House Christian	38.4913	-77.9229	2005	na	Market Rate	Elderly	Unconfirmed	Conventional	8	0	100.0%
073	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Mason St	38.4693	-77.9991	1983	na	Market Rate	Family	Unconfirmed	Conventional	4	0	100.0%

Rental Property Inventory, Unconfirmed

	Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area												
Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy	
001	Academy Hill Apartments	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2015	Subsidized	Family	Stabilized	RD	31	0	100.0%	
003	Ann Wingfield Commons	38.4736	-77.9938	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%	
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	38.4975	-77.9830	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	132	0	100.0%	
006	Aspen Apartments South	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	100	0	100.0%	
007	Aspen Club Apartments	38.5811	-77.7648	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Bond	108	0	100.0%	
008	Aspen Village	38.5793	-77.7628	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	30	0	100.0%	
011	Belle Courts	38.4833	-77.9792	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	154	13	91.6%	
012	Belleview House Phase 1	38.2489	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	36	0	100.0%	
013	Belleview House Phase 2	38.2488	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	12	0	100.0%	
015	Brandywine Apartments	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%	
018	Chestnut Forks	38.4687	-77.9978	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	52	0	100.0%	
019	Countryside Townhomes	38.5728	-77.7635	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	8	0	100.0%	
020	Culpeper Commons Phase 1	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	122	0	100.0%	
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	20	1	95.0%	
022	Culpeper Crossing	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%	
033	Germanna Heights	38.3710	-77.7697	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	
034	Grandview Apartments	38.4885	-77.9933	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	23	0	100.0%	
037	Greens At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	108	0	100.0%	
039	Heritage Hill Apartments	38.2515	-78.1205	1979	2004	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	RD	60	1	98.3%	
048	Leaflin Lane Apartments	38.4562	-78.0002	2004	na	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	HUD	23	0	100.0%	
049	Lightfoot Apartments	38.4646	-77.9973	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	60	60	0.0%	
056	Meadowbrook Heights	38.4578	-78.0095	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%	
057	Meadows At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	
058	Millview Apartments	38.5369	-77.8081	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%	
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	38.5847	-77.7702	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	80	1	98.8%	
060	Mountain Run Apartments	38.4743	-78.0057	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	
061	Mountain View	38.4556	-78.0155	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	86	0	100.0%	
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	38.2571	-78.1193	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	70	2	97.1%	
066	Madison Raod Apartments	38.2536	-78.1155	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	21	21	0.0%	
072	Parkview Apartments	38.2502	-78.1199	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	64	1	98.4%	
074	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	38.4558	-78.0118	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	3	0	100.0%	
076	Poplar Ridge	38.3719	-78.2652	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	16	0	100.0%	
077	Redbud Street Apartments	38.4539	-78.0120	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	45	0	100.0%	
079	Remington Gardens	38.5369	-77.8081	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%	
081	Round Hill Meadows	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	60	0	100.0%	
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	40	0	100.0%	
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	38.4545	-78.0175	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	128	0	100.0%	
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	38.4492	-78.0180	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	210	3	98.6%	
089	Spicer's Mill Apartments	38.2549	-78.1196	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	40	4	90.0%	
005	Village of Culpeper	38.4520	-78.0189	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	RD	62	0	100.0%	
096	Williams Street Apartment	38.4771	-77.9943	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	12	0	100.0%	
	Woodscape Apartments	38.4918	-77.9899	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	90	0	100.0%	
007		1 00.4010	11.0000	1000	2010		r anny	Stabilized	Conventional	50		100.070	


Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy
006	Aspen Apartments South	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	100	0	100.0%
072	Parkview Apartments	38.2502	-78.1199	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	64	1	98.4%
077	Redbud Street Apartments	38.4539	-78.0120	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	45	0	100.0%
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	40	0	100.0%
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	38.4545	-78.0175	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	128	0	100.0%
088	Spark Culpeper Apartments	38.4492	-78.0180	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	210	3	98.6%

Master List of Market Rate Comparables



	Master List of Restricted Rent Comparables												
Key	Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Occ Type	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy	
005	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	38.4975	-77.9830	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	132	0	100.0%	
007	Aspen Club Apartments	38.5811	-77.7648	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Bond	108	0	100.0%	
800	Aspen Village	38.5793	-77.7628	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	30	0	100.0%	
021	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	20	1	95.0%	
037	Greens At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	108	0	100.0%	
059	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	38.5847	-77.7702	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	80	1	98.8%	
065	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	38.2571	-78.1193	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	70	2	97.1%	
											_	•••••	

Master List of Restricted Rent Comparables




Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Rent Type

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by rent type:

Rental Prope	Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area Total Properties												
	Total Pr	operties											
	Elderly	Family	Total										
Market Rate		14	14										
Restricted	6	19	25										
Subsidized	2	1	3										
Total	8	34	42										
Total Units													
Elderly Family Total													
Market Rate	15	909	924										
Restricted	345	879	1,224										
Subsidized	83	221	304										
Total	443	2,009	2,452										
	Vacan	t Units											
	Elderly	Family	Total										
Market Rate		4	4										
Restricted	1	90	91										
Subsidized	1	11	12										
Total	2	105	107										
	Оссира	ncy Rate											
	Elderly	Family	Total										
Market Rate	100%	100%	100%										
Restricted	100%	90%	93%										
Subsidized	99%	95%	96%										
Total	100%	95%	96%										
		& Associates											

Source: Allen & Associates



Our analysis includes a total of 42 confirmed market area properties consisting of 2,452 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 96 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Confirmed market area properties break down by rent type and tenure as shown in the tables above.

Supply Analysis

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Project Status

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by project status:

			l Property	Inventory,	Confirmed, Inside Ma				
		lderly					amily		
		Properties					Properties		-
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized	2	6		8	Stabilized	1	17	14	32
Lease Up					Lease Up				
Construction					Construction				
Rehabilitation					Rehabilitation				
Prop Const					Prop Const		2		2
Prop Rehab					Prop Rehab				
Unstabilized					Unstabilized				
Subtotal					Subtotal		2		2
Total	2	6		8	Total	1	19	14	34
	Tot	al Units				Tot	al Units		
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized	83	345	15	443	Stabilized	221	798	909	1,928
Lease Up					Lease Up				
Construction					Construction				
Rehabilitation					Rehabilitation				
Prop Const					Prop Const		81		81
Prop Rehab					Prop Rehab				
Unstabilized					Unstabilized				
Subtotal					Subtotal		81		81
Total	83	345	15	443	Total	221	879	909	2,009
	Vaca	ant Units				Vaca	ant Units		
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized	1	1		2	Stabilized	11	9	4	24
Lease Up					Lease Up				
Construction					Construction				
Rehabilitation					Rehabilitation				
Prop Const					Prop Const		81		81
Prop Rehab					Prop Rehab				
Unstabilized					Unstabilized				
Subtotal					Subtotal		81		81
Total	1	1		2	Total	11	90	4	105
		-			en & Associates				•

Rental Property Inventory Confirmed Inside Market Area

Our survey includes a total of 40 stabilized market area properties consisting of 2,371 units standing at 99 percent occupancy.


Our survey also includes a total of 2 market area properties consisting of 81 units that are not yet stabilized. Unstabilized units (also referred to as pipeline units) include vacant units in lease up, construction, rehabilitation, proposed new construction, and units with proposed renovation plans.

	E	Iderly				F	amily		
	Occup	ancy Rate	;			Up uction litation onst 0% 0% ehab ilized			
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized	99%	100%	100%	100%	Stabilized	95%	99%	100%	99%
Lease Up					Lease Up				
Construction					Construction				
Rehabilitation					Rehabilitation				
Prop Const					Prop Const		0%		0%
Prop Rehab					Prop Rehab				
Unstabilized					Unstabilized				
Subtotal					Subtotal		0%		0%
Total	99%	100%	100%	100%	Total	95%	90%	100%	05%

..... . . .

Source: Allen & Associates

Occupancies of stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and rent type (subsidized, restricted or market rate) are found below:




Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 443 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 99 percent (83 units in survey)
- Restricted, 100 percent (345 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 100 percent (15 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 1,928 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 95 percent (221 units in survey)
- Restricted, 99 percent (798 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 100 percent (909 units in survey)

Occupancy rates for stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and unit type are found below (supporting data is found in the pages that follow):



Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 443 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 100 percent (266 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 99 percent (177 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 1,928 stabilized family units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 99 percent (356 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 99 percent (1135 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 98 percent (436 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

				Elderly			openty in	ventory, v	Comme	d, Inside Market Are	а, о всс		into	Family			
		Т	otal Prop			уре						То	otal Prop	erties wit		уре	
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized						<u> </u>	┢
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
			-	Total Uni	its								-	Total Uni	ts		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
			V	acant Ur	nits								V	'acant Ur	nits		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
				1		l	1				l	I				1	<u> </u>
	Sub	30%	40%	cupancy 50%	Rate 60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	cupancy 50%	Rate 60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							1
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							1
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
		•						So	urce: Alle	n & Associates							

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 0-Bedroom Units

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

				Elderly										Family			
			otal Prop											erties wit			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized	2			3	3			1	9	Stabilized	5		1	5	2		
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const			1	2	2		
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal			1	2	2		
Total	2			3	3			1	9	Total	5		2	7	4		
			-	Fotal Uni	ts								-	Total Uni	ts		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized	83			82	95			6	266	Stabilized	76		8	36	20		1
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const			3	15	12		
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab			Ũ				
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal			3	15	12		
Total	83			82	95			6	266	Total	76		11	51	32		
	00		. , ,		1			U	200				1				
	Sub	30%	V 40%	acant Ur 50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	V 40%	acant Ur 50%	11ts 60%	70%	80
Stabilized	1								1	Stabilized	1			1			
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
													2	15	10		
Prop Const										Prop Const			3	15	12		
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized			0	45	10		
Subtotal										Subtotal			3	15	12		
Total	1								1	Total	1		3	16	12		
			Oco	cupancy	Rate								Oco	cupancy	Rate		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized	99%			100%	100%			100%	100%	Stabilized	99%		100%	97%	100%		10
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const			0%	0%	0%		
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal			0%	0%	0%		
Total	99%			100%	100%			100%	100%	Total	99%		73%	69%	63%		10
	00/0	1	1				1	So			00/0	1		00/0	00/0		_ · · ·

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 1-Bedroom Units

80%	Mkt	Tot
1	9	23
		5
		5
1	9	28

80%	Mkt	Tot
12	204	356
		30
		30
12	204	386

80%	Mkt	Tot
		2
		30
		30
		32

80%	Mkt	Tot
100%	100%	99%
		0%
		0%
100%	100%	92%

				Elderly										Family	,				
					th Unit Ty										th Unit T				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized				3	5			1	9	Stabilized	4		1	11	8		1	14	39
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation									
Prop Const										Prop Const				1	2				3
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab									
Unstabilized										Unstabilized									
Subtotal										Subtotal				1	2				3
Total				3	5			1	9	Total	4		1	12	10		1	14	42
			-	Total Uni	its								7	Fotal Uni	its				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized				47	121			9	177	Stabilized	111		3	274	224		11	512	1,13
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation									
Prop Const										Prop Const				17	22				39
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab									
Unstabilized										Unstabilized									
Subtotal										Subtotal				17	22				39
Total				47	121			9	177	Total	111		3	291	246		11	512	1,174
			V	acant Ur	nite								V	acant Ur	nite	-			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized				1					1	Stabilized	7			5					12
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
CONSTRUCTION																			
										Rehabilitation									
Rehabilitation														17	22				39
Rehabilitation Prop Const										Rehabilitation Prop Const				17	22				39
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab										Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab				17	22				39
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized										Rehabilitation Prop Const				17 17	22 22				39 39
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal				1					1	Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized	7								
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal					Rate				1	Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal	7		Οσ	17 22	22 22				39
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal	Sub	30%	Oct 40%	1 cupancy 50%	Rate 60%	70%	80%	Mkt	1 	Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal	7 Sub	30%	Occ 40%	17	22 22	70%	80%	Mkt	39
Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized	Sub	30%		cupancy		70%	80%	<u>Mkt</u> 100%	1 <u>Tot</u> 99%	Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal		30%		17 22 cupancy	22 22 Rate	70%	<u>80%</u> 100%	<u>Mkt</u> 100%	39 51
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab <u>Unstabilized</u> Subtotal Total	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50%	22 22 Rate 60%	70%			39 51 Tot
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab <u>Unstabilized</u> Subtotal Total Stabilized	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50%	22 22 Rate 60%	70%			39 51 Tot
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Jnstabilized Subtotal Fotal Stabilized Lease Up Construction	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab <u>Unstabilized</u> Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50%	22 22 Rate 60%	70%			39 51 Tot
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab <u>Unstabilized</u> Subtotal <u>Total</u> Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50% 98%	22 22 Rate 60% 100%	70%			39 51 Tot 99%
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50%	22 22 Rate 60%	70%			39 51 Tot 99%
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab <u>Unstabilized</u> Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50% 98%	22 22 Rate 60% 100%	70%			39 51 Tot 99%
Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total	Sub	30%		cupancy 50%	60%	70%	80%			Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized Subtotal Total Stabilized Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const	Sub	30%	40%	17 22 cupancy 50% 98%	22 22 Rate 60% 100%	70%			39 51 Tot

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 2-Bedroom Units

				Elderly										Family					
			otal Prop		-								otal Prop						
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized										Stabilized	3			6	5		1	7	22
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation									
Prop Const										Prop Const				1	1				2
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab					-				
Unstabilized										Unstabilized									
Subtotal										Subtotal				1	1				2
Subiotal										Subiolai					1				2
Total										Total	3			7	6		1	7	24
			-	Total Uni	its								-	Total Uni	its				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized										Stabilized	33			90	118		2	193	436
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation									
Prop Const										Prop Const				6	6				12
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab				Ŭ	Ŭ				12
Unstabilized										Unstabilized									
Subtotal										Subtotal				6	6				12
.										T					101			400	
Total										Total	33			96	124		2	193	448
	<u> </u>	0.00/		acant Ur		700/	000/	N 41 /				0.001		acant Ur		700/	0.001	N 41 4	– (
<u></u>	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot	0	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized										Stabilized	3			1	2			4	10
Lease Up										Lease Up									
Construction										Construction									
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation									
Prop Const										Prop Const				6	6				12
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab				Ū	0				12
Unstabilized										Unstabilized									
Subtotal														<u> </u>	<u> </u>				12
Sudtotal										Subtotal				6	6				12
Total										Total	3			7	8			4	22
			Oco	cupancy	Rate								Oco	cupancy	Rate				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
Stabilized										Stabilized	91%			99%	98%		100%	98%	98%
										Lease Up									
ease Up										Construction									
		1	1							Rehabilitation									
Construction						1											I		
Construction Rehabilitation														00/	<u>^</u> /				00/
Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const										Prop Const				0%	0%				0%
Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab				0%	0%				0%
Lease Up Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab Unstabilized										Prop Rehab Unstabilized									0%
Construction Rehabilitation Prop Const Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab				0%	0%				0%

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 3-Bedroom Units

80%	Mkt	Tot
1	7	22
		2
		2
1	7	24

80%	Mkt	Tot
2	193	436
		12
		12
2	193	448

80%	Mkt	Tot
	4	10
		12
		12
	4	22

				Elderly										Family			
		Тс	otal Prop	erties wi	th Unit T	уре						Тс	otal Prop	erties wit	th Unit T	уре	
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
			-	Total Uni	ts								-	Total Uni	ts		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
Total										TOTAL							
	Sub	30%	V 40%	acant Ur 50%	nits 60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	V 40%	acant Ur 50%	nits 60%	70%	80
Stabilized	500	3078	4070	5078	00 /8	1070	00 /0	IVINI	101	Stabilized	500	30 /8	40 /0	50 %	00 /8	1070	
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							\square
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
			Occ	cupancy	Rate								Oc	cupancy	Rate		
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80
Stabilized										Stabilized							
Lease Up										Lease Up							
Construction										Construction							
Rehabilitation										Rehabilitation							
Prop Const										Prop Const							
Prop Rehab										Prop Rehab							
Unstabilized										Unstabilized							
Subtotal										Subtotal							
Total										Total							
iulai		1								n & Associates							L

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 4-Bedroom Units

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

80%	Mkt	Tot

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Year Built

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by year built:

. . . .

. . .

3

2.452

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area				
Total Properties				
	Elderly	Family	Total	
<1960	2	3	5	
1960-1969		2	2	
1970-1979	1	5	6	
1980-1989		10	10	
1990-1999		5	5	
2000+	5	8	13	
Unknown		1	1	
Total	8	34	42	

Total Units Elderly Family Total <1960 146 48 98 1960-1969 98 98 1970-1979 384 60 324 1980-1989 717 717 1990-1999 278 278 826 2000+ 335 491

Source: Allen & Associates


443

3

2.009

Unknown

Total



Our research suggests that of the 42 confirmed market area properties (2452 units) included in this report, 5 properties (146 units) were constructed before 1960, 2 properties (98 units) were constructed between 1960 and 1969, 6 properties (384 units) between 1970 and 1979, 10 properties (717 units) between 1980 and 1989, 5 properties (278 units) between 1990 and 1999, and 13 properties (826 units) after 2000. In addition, 1 property (3 units) had an unknown date of construction.


Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Financing Source

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by financing source:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area				
Total Properties				
	Elderly	Family	Total	
Conventional		14	14	
Tax Credit	6	17	23	
Bond		1	1	
USDA-RD	1	2	3	
HUD	1		1	
Other				
Total	8	34	42	
Total Unita				

Total Units				
	Elderly	Family	Total	
Conventional		909	909	
Tax Credit	360	899	1,259	
Bond		108	108	
USDA-RD	60	93	153	
HUD	23		23	
Other				
Total	443	2,009	2,452	

Source: Allen & Associates



Our research suggests that of the 42 confirmed properties in the market area, 14 properties (consisting of 909 units) are conventionally financed, 23 properties (consisting of 1259 units) include tax credit financing, 1 property (consisting of 108 units) is bond financed, 3 properties (consisting of 153 units) are exclusively USDA-RD financed, and 1 property (consisting of 23 units) is exclusively HUD financed.

The average project size for this market area is 58 units. The smallest projects are exclusively HUD financed, averaging 23 units in size. The largest projects are bond financed, averaging 108 units in size.


Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Rent Summary


The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the rents charged at confirmed market area properties broken out by unit type:

	Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area												
	Rents												
	Subsidized Restricted Market												
	Min	Min Max Avg Min Max Avg Min Max Avg											
0-Bedroom	-												
1-Bedroom	\$305	\$925	\$601	\$475	\$1,062	\$768	\$650	\$1,500	\$1,046				
2-Bedroom	\$507	\$1,040	\$755	\$600	\$1,650	\$970	\$795	\$1,650	\$1,187				
3-Bedroom	\$522	\$1,100	\$872	\$673	\$1,800	\$1,154	\$1,249	\$1,599	\$1,443				
4-Bedroom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

	Unit Size											
	S	Subsidize	d		Restricte	d		Market				
	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg			
0-Bedroom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
1-Bedroom	400	700	577	529	803	665	480	1,196	678			
2-Bedroom	644	833	760	609	1,064	851	611	1,379	963			
3-Bedroom	760	973	868	760	1,378	1,131	1,024	2,100	1,321			
4-Bedroom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

	Rent per Square Foot												
	co C	Subsidize	d	F	Restricte	d	Market						
	Min	Max	Avg	g Min Max Avg Min Max A [,]									
0-Bedroom	-												
1-Bedroom	\$0.76	\$1.32	\$1.04	\$0.90	\$1.32	\$1.15	\$1.25	\$1.35	\$1.54				
2-Bedroom	\$0.79	\$1.25	\$0.99	\$0.99	\$1.55	\$1.14	\$1.20	\$1.30	\$1.23				
3-Bedroom	\$0.69	\$1.13	\$1.00	\$0.89	\$1.31	\$1.02	\$0.76	\$1.22	\$1.09				
4-Bedroom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				





Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable
- 1-Bedroom, \$1.15 per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, \$1.14 per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, \$1.02 per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable
- 1-Bedroom, \$1.54 per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, \$1.23 per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, \$1.09 per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable

A detailed listing of rents and floor areas for confirmed market area properties by unit type and income target is found in the following pages.


Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

In the tables and graphs found below we present a breakdown of unit mix for confirmed market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family):

	Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary										
	E	lderly				F	amily				
	Tot	al Units		_		Tota	al Units				
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		
0-Bedroom					0-Bedroom						
1-Bedroom	83	177	6	266	1-Bedroom	76	106	204	386		
2-Bedroom		168	9	177	2-Bedroom	111	551	512	1,174		
3-Bedroom					3-Bedroom	33	222	193	448		
4-Bedroom					4-Bedroom						
Total	83	345	15	443	Total	221	879	909	2,008		
				_							
	Ui	nit Mix				Ur	nit Mix				
	Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		Sub	Res	Mkt	Tot		
0-Bedroom					0-Bedroom						
1-Bedroom	100%	51%	40%	60%	1-Bedroom	34%	12%	22%	19%		
2-Bedroom		49%	60%	40%	2-Bedroom	50%	63%	56%	58%		
3-Bedroom					3-Bedroom	15%	25%	21%	22%		
4-Bedroom					4-Bedroom						
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%		
			ç	Source: Alle	n & Associates						

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

Source: Allen & Associates



Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 443 confirmed elderly units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 60 percent (266 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 40 percent (177 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 2,008 confirmed family units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 19 percent (386 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 58 percent (1,174 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, 22 percent (448 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

In the table found below we present a summary of amenities found at confirmed market area properties:

2-4 Story 88% Wall Units 29 5-10 Story 0% Window Units 0% >10 Story 0% None 29 Project Amenities Heat Ball Field 0% Central 95% BQArea 31% Wall Units 29 Billiards 2% Baseboards 29 Car Care Ctr 0% None 09 Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 09 Gazebo 12% Covered 09 Lake 0% None 09 Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Sports Court 10% Security 12% Moxie Theatre 7% Caul Moty 12% Unit Amenities 95% Monitoring 7% Blinds 95% Cont Access 17% <th>Rental Property Invent</th> <th>ory, Confirmed,</th> <th>Inside Market Area, Amenity</th> <th>Summary</th>	Rental Property Invent	ory, Confirmed,	Inside Market Area, Amenity	Summary			
1 Story 12% Central 95% 2-4 Story 88% Wall Units 2% 5-10 Story 0% Window Units 0% Project Amenities Heat 2% Ball Field 0% Wall Units 2% BBQ Area 31% Wall Units 2% Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 0% Car Care Ctr 0% None 0% Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% Hoseshoe Pit 0% Open 100% Lake 0% None 09 Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Pool 24% W/D Hookups 17% Maing Trail 2% Courtesy Officer 5% Blinds 95% Monitoring 7% Unit Amenities 2% Security Alarms 0%							
5-10 Story 0% Window Units 0% >10 Story 0% None 29 Project Amenities Heat 20 Ball Field 0% Central 95% BBQ Area 31% Wall Units 29 Billiards 2% Baseboards 2% Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 0% Car Care Ctr 0% None 09 Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% Acssigned 09 Open 100% Lake 0% None 09 Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Pool 24% W/D Hookups 179 Sauna 0% Security 12% Call Buttons 12% Courtesy Officer 5% Blinds 95% Security Alarms 0%	1 Story	12%	Central	95%			
>10 Story 0% None 29 Project Amenities Heat 1	2-4 Story	88%	Wall Units	2%			
HeatBall Field0%Central95%BBQ Area31%Wall Units29Billiards2%Baseboards29Bus/Comp Ctr17%Radiators0%Car Care Ctr0%None09Comm Center55%Elevator17%Fitness Center31%Garage0%Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned09Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned09Lake0%None09Library2%Covered09Lake0%None09Library2%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units369Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Call Buttons12%Unit Amenities29%Security29%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Unit Amenities29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols59Fireplace2%Fati/Balcony33%Storage12%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%10%Stove100%Hair Salon29Stove100%Healt Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%	5-10 Story	0%	Window Units	0%			
Ball Field 0% Central 95% BBQ Area 31% Wall Units 2% Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 0% Car Care Ctr 0% None 0% Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% More Covered 0% Assigned 0% Horseshoe Pit 0% Assigned 0% Lake 0% None 0% Lake 0% None 0% Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Souna 0% Security 17% Sauna 0% Security 17% Unit Amenities 95% Monitoring 7% Unit Amenities 95% Security Alarms 0% Store 10% Services 17% Kitchen Amenities 10% After School </td <td>-</td> <td>0%</td> <td>None</td> <td>2%</td>	-	0%	None	2%			
Ball Field 0% Central 95% BBQ Area 31% Wall Units 2% Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 0% Car Care Ctr 0% None 0% Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% More Covered 0% Assigned 0% Horseshoe Pit 0% Assigned 0% Lake 0% None 0% Lake 0% None 0% Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Souna 0% Security 17% Sauna 0% Security 17% Unit Amenities 95% Monitoring 7% Unit Amenities 95% Security Alarms 0% Store 10% Services 17% Kitchen Amenities 10% After School </td <td>Proiect Amenitie</td> <td>es</td> <td>Heat</td> <td></td>	Proiect Amenitie	es	Heat				
BBQ Area 31% Wall Units 29 Billiards 2% Baseboards 29 Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 09 Car Care Ctr 0% None 09 Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 09 Gazebo 12% Covered 09 Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 0% Assigned 09 Hot seshoe Pit 0% Open 1009 Lake 0% None 09 Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 369 Sool 24% W/D Hookups 17% Sauna 0% Security 2% Unit Amenities 2% Call Buttons 12% Unit Amenities 9% Security Alarms 09 Upgraded Flooring 98% <				95%			
Billiards2%Baseboards29Bus/Comp Ctr17%Radiators09Car Care Ctr0%None09Comm Center55%9Elevator17%ParkingFitness Center31%Garage09Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned09Horseshoe Pit0%Open1009Lake0%None09Library2%0%NonePicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Sports Court10%Security29Walking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit Amenities29%Security Alarms09Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols59Fireplace2%After School09Vibrage12%Concierge09Kitchen Amenities100%Hair Salon29Stove100%Hair Salon29Stove100%Hair Salon29Stove100%Hair Salon29Pisposal57%Linens09	BBQ Area	31%	Wall Units	2%			
Bus/Comp Ctr 17% Radiators 0% Car Care Ctr 0% None 0% Comm Center 55% Parking Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% Gazebo 12% Covered 0% Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 0% Assigned 0% Horseshoe Pit 0% Open 100% Lake 0% None 0% Lake 0% None 0% Lake 0% None 0% Laundry 2% Laundry 0% Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Sports Court 10% Security 2% Maiking Trail 2% Call Buttons 12% Celling Fans 29% Security Alarms 0% Upgraded Flooring 98% Security Patrols 5% Fireplace	Billiards	2%	Baseboards	2%			
Car Care Ctr 0% None 09 Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 09 Gazebo 12% Covered 09 Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 0% Assigned 09 Horseshoe Pit 0% Open 1009 Lake 0% None 09 Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Laundry Picnic Area 43% Central 559 Playground 50% W/D Units 369 Pool 24% W/D Hookups 179 Sauna 0% Security 29 Valking Trail 2% Call Buttons 129 Unit Amenities 95% Courtesy Officer 59 Blinds 95% Security Alarms 09 Upgraded Flooring 98% Security Patrols 59 Fireplace 2% After School 09 Kitchen Ame				0%			
Comm Center 55% Elevator 17% Parking Fitness Center 31% Garage 0% Gazebo 12% Covered 0% Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 0% Assigned 0% Horseshoe Pit 0% Open 100% Lake 0% None 0% Library 2% Movie Theatre 7% Laundry Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Pool 24% W/D Hookups 17% Sauna 0% Sports Court 10% Security Walking Trail 2% Call Buttons 12% Unit Amenities 29% Security Alarms 0% Upgraded Flooring 98% Security Patrols 5% Fireplace 2% Services 5% Patio/Balcony 33%	•			0%			
Elevator17%ParkingFitness Center31%Garage0%Gazebo12%Covered0%Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned0%Horseshoe Pit0%Open100%Lake0%None0%Library2%0%Movie Theatre7%LaundryPicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Security0%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Dinds95%Courtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Security Alarms0%Storage12%Services5%Kitchen Amenities2%ServicesStove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%							
Fitness Center31% GarageGarage09 GazeboGazebo12%Covered09 Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned09 Horseshoe PitHorseshoe Pit0%Open1009 Lake0%Lake0%None09Library2%None09Picnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Sports Court10%Walking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit Amenities29%Security2%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%After School0%Storage12%Concierge0%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon29Disposal57%Linens0%			Parking				
Gazebo12%Covered0%Hot Tub/Jacuzzi0%Assigned0%Horseshoe Pit0%Open100%Lake0%None0%Library2%None0%Movie Theatre7%LaundryPicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Sports Court10%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Cont Access17%Courtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%After School0%Storage12%Concierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon29Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				0%			
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi 0% Assigned 0% Horseshoe Pit 0% Open 100% Lake 0% None 0% Library 2% None 0% Movie Theatre 7% Laundry 0% Picnic Area 43% Central 55% Playground 50% W/D Units 36% Pool 24% W/D Hookups 17% Sauna 0% Security 10% Sports Court 10% Security 2% Valking Trail 2% Call Buttons 12% Unit Amenities 0% Security Alarms 0% Upgraded Flooring 98% Security Patrols 5% Fireplace 2% Services 5% Patio/Balcony 33% Storage 12% Kitchen Amenities Concierge 0% Stove 100% Health Care 0% Disposal 57% Linens 0%			•	0%			
Horseshoe Pit0%Open1009Lake0%None09Library2%None09Movie Theatre7%LaundryPicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Security17%Sauna0%Security12%Valking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%After School0%Storage12%Concierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				0%			
Lake0% UbraryNone0% UbraryMovie Theatre7% Picnic AreaLaundryPicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Security12%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%After School0%Storage12%Concierge0%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			-	100%			
Library2% Movie TheatreImage: Constraint of the analysis			•	0%			
Movie Theatre7%LaundryPicnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Y/D Hookups17%Sauna0%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Services5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage100%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				• • • •			
Picnic Area43%Central55%Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%W/D Hookups17%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Services5%Matio/Balcony33%Storage12%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			Laundry				
Playground50%W/D Units36%Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%W/D Hookups17%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit Amenities0%Cont Access17%Unit Amenities05%Courtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Services5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Storage12%Concierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				55%			
Pool24%W/D Hookups17%Sauna0%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%After School0%Storage12%Services0%Stove100%Hair Salon29Disposal57%Linens0%				36%			
Sauna0%Sports Court10%SecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCourt Access17%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Patio/Balcony33%5Storage12%ServicesAfter School0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				17%			
Sports Court10% Walking TrailSecurityWalking Trail2%Call Buttons12% Cont AccessUnit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7% Courtesy OfficerCeiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2% Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2% Patin ConciergeStove100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%							
Walking Trail2%Call Buttons12%Unit AmenitiesCont Access17%Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			Security				
Unit AmenitiesCont Access17%Blinds95%Courtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%ServicesKitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%				12%			
Unit AmenitiesCourtesy Officer5%Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Security Patrols5%Patio/Balcony33%Services6Storage12%Services6Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%6%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%	g · · ·			17%			
Blinds95%Monitoring7%Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Security Patrols5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%	Unit Amenities	6		5%			
Ceiling Fans29%Security Alarms0%Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%Security Patrols5%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Storage12%ServicesKitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			-	7%			
Upgraded Flooring98%Security Patrols5%Fireplace2%2%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Storage12%ServicesKitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			-	0%			
Fireplace2%Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%Kitchen AmenitiesConciergeStove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Disposal57%Linens0%	-		-	5%			
Patio/Balcony33%Storage12%ServicesKitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Kove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			,				
Storage12%ServicesKitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%	•						
Kitchen AmenitiesAfter School0%Kitchen AmenitiesConcierge0%Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%	-		Services				
Stove100%Hair Salon2%Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			After School	0%			
Refrigerator100%Health Care0%Disposal57%Linens0%			-	0%			
Disposal 57% Linens 0%				2%			
1	-			0%			
Dishwasher 64% Meals 0%	•			0%			
	Dishwasher	64%	Meals	0%			
	Microwave		Transportation 0%				

Source: Allen & Associates

Our research suggests that 12 percent of confirmed market area properties are 1 story in height, 88 percent are 2-4 stories in height, 0 percent are 5-10 stories in height, and 0 percent are over 10 stories in height. In addition, surveyed properties benefit from the following project amenities: 17 percent have a business/computer center, 55 percent have a community center, 31 percent have a fitness center, 50 percent have a playground, and 10 percent have a sports court.

Our research also suggests that the following unit amenities are present at surveyed properties: 95 percent have blinds, 98 percent have carpeting, 33 percent have patios/balconies, and 12 percent have outside storage. Surveyed properties also include the following kitchen amenities: 100 percent have a stove, 100 percent have a refrigerator, 57 percent have a disposal, 64 percent have a dishwasher, and 21 percent have a microwave.

In addition, 95 percent of confirmed market area properties have central heat while 95 percent have central air. Our research also suggests that 100 percent of surveyed properties have open parking. A total of 55 percent of area properties have central laundry facilities, while 17 percent have washer/dryer hookups, and 36 percent have washer/dryer units in each residential unit.

A total of 12 percent of confirmed market area properties have call buttons, 17 percent have controlled access, and 0 percent have security alarms.

It is also our understanding that the majority of confirmed market area properties provide cable access.

Finally, in the following pages we provide a summary of vouchers, concessions and waiting lists for the confirmed market area properties included in this report. We also include any absorption information we have uncovered as part of our research.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area															
Key Project	Latitude	Longitude	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Financing	Tot Units	Vac Units	Occupancy	Concessions	Vouchers	Abs Rate	Waiting List
001 Academy Hill Apartments	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2015	Subsidized	Family	Stabilized	RD	31	0	100.0%	0%	3%	-	15 people
003 Ann Wingfield Commons	38.4736	-77.9938	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%	0%	21%	-	-
005 Arbors (The) at Culpeper	38.4975	-77.9830	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	132	0	100.0%	0%	10%	-	yes
006 Aspen Apartments South	38.5755	-77.7626	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	100	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	yes
007 Aspen Club Apartments	38.5811	-77.7648	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Bond	108	0	100.0%	0%	7%	-	yes
008 Aspen Village	38.5793	-77.7628	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	30	0	100.0%	0%	7%	-	no
011 Belle Courts	38.4833	-77.9792	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	154	13	91.6%	0%	8%	-	3 years
012 Belleview House Phase 1	38.2489	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	36	0	100.0%	0%	28%	-	20 people
013 Belleview House Phase 2	38.2488	-78.1145	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	12	0	100.0%	0%	25%	-	20 people
015 Brandywine Apartments	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%	0%	11%	-	no
018 Chestnut Forks	38.4687	-77.9978	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	52	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	no
019 Countryside Townhomes	38.5728	-77.7635	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	8	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	no
020 Culpeper Commons Phase 1	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	122	0	100.0%	0%	16%	-	no
021 Culpeper Commons Phase 2	38.4842	-77.9795	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	20	1	95.0%	0%	20%	-	yes
022 Culpeper Crossing	38.4776	-77.9924	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	no
033 Germanna Heights	38.3710	-77.7697	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	0%	14%	-	1 year
034 Grandview Apartments	38.4885	-77.9933	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	23	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	no
037 Greens At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	108	0	100.0%	0%	21%	-	no
039 Heritage Hill Apartments	38.2515	-78.1205	1979	2004	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	RD	60	1	98.3%	0%	0%	-	8 people
048 Leaflin Lane Apartments	38.4562	-78.0002	2004	na	Subsidized	Elderly	Stabilized	HUD	23	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	60 people
049 Lightfoot Apartments	38.4646	-77.9973	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	60	60	0.0%	0%	0%	-	· · ·
056 Meadowbrook Heights	38.4578	-78.0095	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	42	0	100.0%	0%	17%	-	25 people
057 Meadows At Northridge	38.4988	-77.9915	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	0%	12%	-	yes
058 Millview Apartments	38.5369	-77.8081	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	28	0	100.0%	0%	0%	_	-
059 Mintbrook Senior Apartments	38.5847	-77.7702	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	Tax Credit	80	1	98.8%	0%	1%	_	yes
060 Mountain Run Apartments	38.4743	-78.0057	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	50	0	100.0%	0%	14%	_	7 people
061 Mountain View	38.4556	-78.0155	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	86	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	no
065 Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	38.2571	-78.1193	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	70	2	97.1%	0%	29%	-	no
066 Madison Raod Apartments	38.2536	-78.1155	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const	Tax Credit	21	21	0.0%	0%	0%	-	-
072 Parkview Apartments	38.2502	-78.1199	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	64	1	98.4%	0%	2%	_	no
074 Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	38.4558	-78.0118	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	3	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	-
076 Poplar Ridge	38.3719	-78.2652	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	16	0	100.0%	0%	19%	_	no
077 Redbud Street Apartments	38.4539	-78.0120	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	45	0	100.0%	0%	2%	-	yes
079 Remington Gardens	38.5369	-77.8081	1900	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	28	0	100.0%	0%	0%	_	-
081 Round Hill Meadows	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	60	0	100.0%	0%	13%	_	-
082 Round Hill Meadows Place	38.2588	-78.1210	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	40	0	100.0%	0%	0%	_	-
085 Southridge Apartments Homes	38.4545	-78.0175	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	128	0	100.0%	0%	0%	-	20 people
088 Spark Culpeper Apartments	38.4492	-78.0180	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	210	3	98.6%	3%	0%	_	no
089 Spicer's Mill Apartments	38.2549	-78.1196	1988	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	Tax Credit	40	4	90.0%	0%	13%	_	18 people
095 Village of Culpeper	38.4520	-78.0189	1978	2008 na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	RD	40 62	4	100.0%	0%	60%	-	13 people
096 Williams Street Apartment	38.4771	-77.9943	1978	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	12	0	100.0%	0%	0%	_	
	38.4918	-77.9899	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized		90	0	100.0%	0%	1%	_	no
097 Woodscape Apartments	30.4918	-11.9099	1900	2010	warket Rate	Family	Stabilized	Conventional	90	U	100.0%	0%	170	-	no

RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we develop restricted and unrestricted market rent conclusions for the subject property on an "as if complete & stabilized" basis. Our analysis begins with an evaluation of unrestricted market rents.

Unrestricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop an unrestricted market rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was an unrestricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized market rate properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with restricted rents are used when a sufficient number of market rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, *de facto* market rate units.

Rent Comparables, Market Rate, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in an unrestricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

		O	/erview							Re	nts			
Key	Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
006	Aspen Apartments South	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,500
015	Brandywine Apartments	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$650
018	Chestnut Forks	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$700
034	Grandview Apartments	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
061	Mountain View	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,004
062	Nathanial Greene Apartments	1978	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$500
063	Nathanial Greene Townhomes	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
072	Parkview Apartments	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,000
074	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
077	Redbud Street Apartments	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
079	Remington Gardens	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,115
083	Skyline Pointe	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$625
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,249
880	Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,073
091	Stonegate Apartments	2017	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,331
092	Terrace Greene Apartments Phase 1	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,259
094	Treetops at Terrace Greene Apartments Pr	2019	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,457
096	Williams Street Apartment	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$750
097	Woodscape Apartments	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								


Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

		O	verview							Re	nts			
Key	Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
006	Aspen Apartments South	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,650
015	Brandywine Apartments	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$795
018	Chestnut Forks	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$800
034	Grandview Apartments	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,350
061	Mountain View	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,260
062	Nathanial Greene Apartments	1978	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$713
063	Nathanial Greene Townhomes	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$588
072	Parkview Apartments	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,200
074	Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$825
077	Redbud Street Apartments	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,171
079	Remington Gardens	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$795
082	Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,270
083	Skyline Pointe	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$725
085	Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,393
880	Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,478
091	Stonegate Apartments	2017	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,660
092	Terrace Greene Apartments Phase 1	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,456
094	Treetops at Terrace Greene Apartments Pt	2019	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,847
096	Williams Street Apartment	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$900
097	Woodscape Apartments	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,300

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

	0\	rview							Re	nts			
Key Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
006 Aspen Apartments South	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
015 Brandywine Apartments	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
018 Chestnut Forks	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
034 Grandview Apartments	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,450
061 Mountain View	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,249
062 Nathanial Greene Apartments	1978	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
063 Nathanial Greene Townhomes	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$588
072 Parkview Apartments	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,300
074 Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
077 Redbud Street Apartments	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,550
079 Remington Gardens	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
082 Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,385
083 Skyline Pointe	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$825
085 Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,599
088 Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,565
091 Stonegate Apartments	2017	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								\$1,907
092 Terrace Greene Apartments Phase 1	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
094 Treetops at Terrace Greene Apartments Pt	2019	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
096 Williams Street Apartment	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								
097 Woodscape Apartments	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units



Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from \$0.00 to \$0.50 per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of \$100. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of \$0.10 for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of \$90. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of \$0.20, \$0.30, \$0.40 and \$0.50 which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of \$80, \$70, \$65 and \$75, respectively. The \$0.40 square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a \$0.40 rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per month for cable; internet access was valued at \$0.

Technology											
Adjustment Survey Range Concluded											
Cable	\$0	\$0	\$0								
Internet	\$0	\$0	\$0								

Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$150 per bedroom.

	Bedi	rooms						
Adjustment Survey Range Concluded								
Bedrooms	\$50	\$200	\$150					

Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$100 per bathroom.

	Bath	rooms	
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded
Bathrooms	\$25	\$100	\$100

Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.10 per square foot.

Square Feet				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Square Feet	\$0.10	\$2.00	\$0.10	

Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Visibility					
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded		
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$0		

Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Access					
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded		
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$0		

Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$100 per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Neighborhood					
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded		
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$100		

Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$90 per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Area Amenities					
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded		
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$90		

Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.0000 per dollar of median household income.

Median Household Income					
Adjustment	Adjustment Survey Range Concluded				
Med HH Inc	\$0.0000 \$0.0	000	\$0.0000		

Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.00 per each minute of commute.

Average Commute				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Avg Commute	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.00 for public transportation.

Public Transportation				
Adjustment Survey Range Concluded				
Public Trans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per 0.01 percentage points.

Personal Crime				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Personal Crime	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$10 per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Condition					
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded		
Rating	\$10	\$50	\$10		

Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$1.00 per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

Effective Age				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Rating	\$1.00	\$5.00	\$1.00	

Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Project Amenities					
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded		
Ball Field	\$2	\$10	\$2		
BBQ Area	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Billiards	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Bus/Comp Ctrs	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Car Care Center	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Community Center	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Elevator	\$10	\$100	\$10		
Fitness Center	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Gazebo	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Lake	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Library	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Movie Theatre	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Picnic Area	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Playground	\$2	\$10	\$4		
Pool	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Sauna	\$2	\$10	\$2		
Sports Court	\$2	\$10	\$10		
Walking Trail	\$2	\$10	\$2		

Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Unit Amenities										
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded							
Blinds	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Ceiling Fans	\$2	\$10	\$10							
Carpeting	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Fireplace	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Patio/Balcony	\$2	\$10	\$10							
Storage	\$10	\$50	\$50							

Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Kitchen Amenities										
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded							
Stove	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Refrigerator	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Disposal	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Dishwasher	\$2	\$10	\$2							
Microwave	\$2	\$10	\$2							

Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at \$20; assigned parking was valued at \$10; open parking was valued at \$0; no parking was valued at \$0.

Parking											
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded								
Garage	\$50	\$200	\$50								
Covered	\$20	\$100	\$20								
Assigned	\$10	\$50	\$10								
Open	\$0	\$0	\$0								
None	\$0	\$0	\$0								

Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$25 per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at \$10; washer/dryer hookups were valued at \$5.

Laundry										
Adjustmer	nt S	urvey	Range	Concluded						
Central	9	\$5	\$25	\$25						
W/D Units	\$	10	\$50	\$10						
W/D Hooku	ps 🖇	\$5	\$25	\$5						

Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Security									
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded						
Call Buttons	\$2	\$10	\$2						
Controlled Access	\$2	\$10	\$2						
Courtesy Officer	\$2	\$10	\$10						
Monitoring	\$2	\$10	\$2						
Security Alarms	\$2	\$10	\$2						
Security Patrols	\$2	\$10	\$2						

Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-742sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-742sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 6 properties. We selected the 24 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 24 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

	Rent Conclusion										
	Comparable	Una	adjusted R	ent	Adjusted Rent						
Property-Unit Key	Property Name	Unit Type	Street Rent	Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank		
Sub-03	Parkside Apartments	1BR-1BA-742sf	\$625	\$0	\$625	-	\$0	\$625	-		
006-01	Aspen Apartments South	1BR-1BA-584sf	\$1,500	\$0	\$1,500	\$517	\$71	\$1,571	3		
006-02	Aspen Apartments South	2BR-2BA-742sf	\$1,650	\$0	\$1,650	\$698	-\$142	\$1,508	11		
072-01	Parkview Apartments	1BR-1BA-480sf	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$606	\$468	\$1,468	5		
072-02	Parkview Apartments	2BR-2BA-1188sf	\$1,200	\$0	\$1,200	\$827	\$217	\$1,417	18		
072-03	Parkview Apartments	3BR-2BA-1244sf	\$1,300	\$0	\$1,300	\$1,034	\$114	\$1,414	22		
077-01	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-750sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100	\$636	\$274	\$1,374	8		
077-02	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-900sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100	\$622	\$230	\$1,330	6		
077-03	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-976sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100	\$658	\$252	\$1,352	9		
077-04	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1024sf	\$1,400	\$0	\$1,400	\$713	\$197	\$1,597	13		
077-05	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1024sf	\$1,400	\$0	\$1,400	\$713	\$197	\$1,597	13		
077-06	Redbud Street Apartments	3BR-2BA-1024sf	\$1,550	\$0	\$1,550	\$1,036	\$120	\$1,670	23		
082-01	Round Hill Meadows Place	1BR-1BA-1196sf	\$1,115	\$0	\$1,115	\$578	\$332	\$1,447	4		
082-02	Round Hill Meadows Place	2BR-2BA-1263sf	\$1,215	\$0	\$1,215	\$782	\$128	\$1,343	16		
082-03	Round Hill Meadows Place	2BR-2BA-1494sf	\$1,325	\$0	\$1,325	\$805	\$105	\$1,430	17		
082-04	Round Hill Meadows Place	3BR-2BA-1421sf	\$1,385	\$0	\$1,385	\$1,004	\$32	\$1,417	21		
085-01	Southridge Apartments Homes	1BR-1BA-704sf	\$1,249	\$0	\$1,249	\$474	\$272	\$1,521	2		
085-02	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-1.5BA-900sf	\$1,354	\$0	\$1,354	\$633	\$105	\$1,459	7		
085-03	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-2BA-1000sf	\$1,449	\$0	\$1,449	\$693	\$45	\$1,494	10		
085-04	Southridge Apartments Homes	3BR-3BA-2100sf	\$1,599	\$0	\$1,599	\$1,110	-\$246	\$1,353	24		
088-01	Spark Culpeper Apartments	1BR-1BA-492sf	\$1,115	\$42	\$1,073	\$405	\$345	\$1,418	1		
088-02	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1216sf	\$1,438	\$42	\$1,396	\$710	\$156	\$1,552	12		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-2BA-1140sf	\$1,538	\$42	\$1,496	\$753	\$113	\$1,609	15		
088-04	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$892	-\$40	\$1,525	19		
088-05	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$892	-\$40	\$1,525	19		

Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$1,330
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$1,670
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$1,475
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$1,472
·	÷ · ; · · =
Rent, Concluded	\$1,475

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$1,475 for the 1BR-1BA-742sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-492sf units at Spark Culpeper Apartments (Property # 088), the 1BR-1BA-704sf units at Southridge Apartments Homes (Property # 085), the 1BR-1BA-584sf units at Aspen Apartments South (Property # 006), the 1BR-1BA-1196sf units at Round Hill Meadows Place (Property # 082), and the 1BR-1BA-480sf units at Parkview Apartments (Property # 072) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5	
Property-Unit Key		Sub-03	006-01		072-01		082-01		085-01		088-01	
Unit Type		1BR-1BA-742sf	1BR-1BA-584	1sf	1BR-1BA-48	30sf	1BR-1BA-119	96sf	1BR-1BA-70	4sf	1BR-1BA-492	2sf
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Aspen Apartments	s South	Parkview Apar	tments	Round Hill Meadow	ws Place	Southridge Apartme	nts Homes	Spark Culpeper Ap	partments
Address		250 Gardner Street	11166 Willow E	Drive	14827 Porterfie		7102 North St	treet	601 Southview	Court	500 Concord P	
City		Culpeper	Bealeton		Orange		Orange		Culpeper		Culpeper	
State		Virginia 22701	Virginia 22712		Virginia 22960		Virginia 22942		Virginia 22701		Virginia	
Zip Latitude		38.47564	38.57552		38.25024	1	38.25884		38.45446		22701 38.44917	,
Longitude		-78.00188	-77.76256		-78.1198		-78.12104		-78.01747		-78.01800	
Miles to Subject		0.00	14.69		14.41	0	14.01		1.49		1.76	,
Year Built		2023	1983		2005		2013		1989		1988	
Year Rehab		na	2020		na		na		2018		na	
Project Rent		Restricted	Market Rate	e	Market Ra	te	Market Rat	te	Market Rat	е	Market Rate	te
Project Type		Family	Family		Family		Family		Family		Family	
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilized	d	Stabilized	l	Stabilized		Stabilized	i
Phone		na	(540) 340-52	74	(540) 825-2		(540) 672-48		(540) 825-53		(540) 825-50	
Effective Date		21-Feb-23	13-Jan-23		03-Jan-2	3	13-Jan-23	3	03-Jan-23		05-Jan-23	\$
Drois et la val												
<u>Project Level</u> Units		37	100		64		40		128		210	
Vacant Units		37	0		1		0		0		3	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		2%		0%		0%		1%	
		,			_//		• • •					
Unit Type												
Units		3	40		16		4		48		45	
Vacant Units		3	0		0		0		0		0	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
		*	± .		± .		± .		± .			
Street Rent		\$625	\$1,500		\$1,000		\$1,115		\$1,249		\$1,115	
Concessions Net Rent		\$0 \$625	\$0 \$1,500		\$0 \$1,000		\$0 \$1,115		\$0 \$1,249		\$42 \$1,073	
Net Rent	Adj	Data	51,500 Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	٦١,249 Data	Adj	Data	Adj
Tenant-Paid Utilities	TPU	\$169	\$110	-\$59	\$110	-\$59	\$110	-\$59	\$110	-\$59	\$170	\$1
Cable	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Internet	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bedrooms	\$150	1	1	\$0	1	\$0	1	\$0	1	\$0	1	\$0
Bathrooms	\$100	1.00	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0
Square Feet	\$0.10	742	584	\$16	480	\$26	1196	-\$45	704	\$4	492	\$25
Visibility	\$0	2.50	2.50	\$0	4.00	\$0	2.25	\$0	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0
Access Neighborhood	\$0	2.00	3.00	\$0	4.00	\$0 ©150	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0 \$00	2.50	\$0
Area Amenities	\$100 \$90	3.50 4.50	4.50 2.10	-\$100 \$216	2.00 2.00	\$150 \$225	2.00 2.30	\$150 \$198	2.60 2.90	\$90 \$144	3.40 2.10	\$10 \$216
Median HH Income	\$0.0000	\$65,278	\$82,365	\$210	\$37,063	\$225 \$0	\$37,063	\$0	\$45,609	\$0	\$46,327	\$210
Average Commute	\$0	36.35	45.39	\$0	34.99	\$0	34.99	\$0 \$0	39.89	\$0	28.37	\$0
Public Transportation	\$0	na	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0
Personal Crime	\$0	1.2%	0.9%	\$0	2.1%	\$0	2.1%	\$0	1.0%	\$0	4.4%	\$0
Condition	\$10	4.50	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10	4.75	-\$3	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10
Effective Age	\$1.00	2022	2000	\$22	2000	\$22	2015	\$7	1995	\$27	1995	\$27
Ball Field	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
BBQ Area	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0
Billiards	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0
Car Care Center Community Center	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$2
Elevator	,⊊ \$10	yes no	yes no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$∠ \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$∠ \$0	no no	\$∠ \$0
Fitness Center	\$10 \$2	no	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Gazebo	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Lake	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Library	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Movie Theatre	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$O	no	\$0	no	\$0
Picnic Area	\$10 ¢1	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0 \$0
Playground	\$4 \$10	yes	yes	\$0 \$10	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$4 \$10	yes	\$0 \$0
Pool Sauna	\$10 \$2	no	yes	-\$10 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Sauna Sports Court	\$∠ \$10	no no	no yes	پ 0 -\$10	no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 -\$10
Walking Trail	\$10 \$2	no	no	-\$10 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	-\$10 \$0
Blinds	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Ceiling Fans	\$10	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$10	no	\$10	no	\$10	yes	\$0
Carpeting	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Fireplace	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0
Patio/Balcony	\$10 \$50	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$10 \$50	no	\$10 \$50	yes	\$0 \$50	yes	\$0
Storage	\$50 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$50 \$0	no	\$50 \$0	no	\$50 \$0	some	\$50 \$0
Stove Refrigerator	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Refrigerator Disposal	\$2 \$2	yes no	yes	\$0 -\$2	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes yes	\$0 -\$2	yes yes	\$0 -\$2	yes some	\$0 \$0
Dishwasher	₽2 \$2	yes	yes yes	-92 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	-92 \$0	yes	-\$2 \$0	some	\$0 \$2
Microwave	\$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	yes	\$0 \$0	some	\$0 \$2	no	\$2 \$2
Garage	\$50	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Covered	\$20	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Assigned	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Open	\$0	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
None	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$05	no	\$0 \$0
Central	\$25 \$10	yes	no	\$25 \$10	no	\$25 \$10	no	\$25 \$10	no	\$25 \$10	no	\$25 \$10
W/D Units W/D Hookups	\$10 \$5	no	yes	-\$10 \$5	yes	-\$10 \$5	yes	-\$10 \$5	yes	-\$10 \$5	yes	-\$10 \$5
VV/D Hookups Call Buttons	\$5 \$2	yes no	no no	\$5 \$0	no no	\$5 \$0	no no	\$5 \$0	no no	\$5 \$0	no no	\$5 \$0
Call Buttons Controlled Access	\$∠ \$2	no	no no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Courtesy Officer	,⊊ \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10
Monitoring	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
-	+											
Security Alarms	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Security Alarms Security Patrols Indicated Rent		no no \$1,475	no no \$1,571	\$0 \$0	no no \$1,468	\$0 \$0	no no \$1,447	\$0 \$0	no no \$1,521	\$0 \$0	no no \$1,418	\$0 \$0

Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1.5BA-947sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1.5BA-947sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 6 properties. We selected the 24 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 24 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

Rent Conclusion											
	Comparable	Un	adjusted R	ent	Adjusted Rent						
Property-Unit Key	Property Name	Unit Type	Street Rent	Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank		
Sub-06	Parkside Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-947sf	\$775	\$0	\$775	-	\$0	\$775	-		
	Aspen Apartments South	1BR-1BA-584sf	\$1,500	\$0	\$1,500	\$778	\$250	\$1,750	21		
	Aspen Apartments South	2BR-2BA-742sf	\$1,650 \$1,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,650 \$1,000	\$560	\$38 \$648	\$1,688 \$1,648	10		
	Parkview Apartments Parkview Apartments	1BR-1BA-480sf 2BR-2BA-1188sf	\$1,000 \$1,200	\$0 \$0	\$1,000 \$1,200	\$868 \$625	ъ040 \$397	\$1,648 \$1,597	24 12		
	Parkview Apartments	3BR-2BA-1244sf	\$1,200 \$1,300	\$0 \$0	\$1,200 \$1,300	\$023 \$773	\$293	\$1,597 \$1,593	12		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-750sf	\$1,100	\$0 \$0	\$1,300 \$1,100	\$514	\$454	\$1,554	9		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-900sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100 \$1,100	\$470	\$410	\$1,510	5		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-976sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100	\$497	\$431	\$1,531	7		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1024sf	\$1,400	\$0	\$1,400	\$452	\$376	\$1,776	2		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1024sf	\$1,400	\$0	\$1,400	\$452	\$376	\$1,776	2		
	Redbud Street Apartments	3BR-2BA-1024sf	\$1,550	\$0	\$1,550	\$775	\$299	\$1,849	20		
082-01	Round Hill Meadows Place	1BR-1BA-1196sf	\$1,115	\$0	\$1,115	\$798	\$512	\$1,627	22		
082-02	Round Hill Meadows Place	2BR-2BA-1263sf	\$1,215	\$0	\$1,215	\$602	\$308	\$1,523	11		
082-03	Round Hill Meadows Place	2BR-2BA-1494sf	\$1,325	\$0	\$1,325	\$625	\$285	\$1,610	13		
082-04	Round Hill Meadows Place	3BR-2BA-1421sf	\$1,385	\$0	\$1,385	\$743	\$211	\$1,596	18		
085-01	Southridge Apartments Homes	1BR-1BA-704sf	\$1,249	\$0	\$1,249	\$735	\$451	\$1,700	17		
085-02	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-1.5BA-900sf	\$1,354	\$0	\$1,354	\$463	\$285	\$1,639	4		
085-03	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-2BA-1000sf	\$1,449	\$0	\$1,449	\$513	\$225	\$1,674	8		
085-04	Southridge Apartments Homes	3BR-3BA-2100sf	\$1,599	\$0	\$1,599	\$848	-\$66	\$1,533	23		
088-01	Spark Culpeper Apartments	1BR-1BA-492sf	\$1,115	\$42	\$1,073	\$665	\$525	\$1,598	16		
088-02	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1216sf	\$1,438	\$42	\$1,396	\$449	\$335	\$1,731	1		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-2BA-1140sf	\$1,538	\$42	\$1,496	\$491	\$293	\$1,789	6		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$630	\$140	\$1,705	14		
088-05	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$630	\$140	\$1,705	14		

Adjusted Post Minimum	¢1 510
Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$1,510
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$1,849
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$1,654
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$1,652
Rent, Concluded	\$1,675

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$1,675 for the 2BR-1.5BA-947sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 2BR-1.5BA-1216sf units at Spark Culpeper Apartments (Property # 088), the 2BR-1.5BA-1024sf units at Redbud Street Apartments (Property # 077), the 2BR-1.5BA-900sf units at Southridge Apartments Homes (Property # 085), the 2BR-2BA-742sf units at Aspen Apartments South (Property # 006), and the 2BR-2BA-1263sf units at Round Hill Meadows Place (Property # 082) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5	
Property-Unit Key		Subject Sub-06	006-02		077-05		3 082-02		085-02		088-02	
Unit Type		2BR-1.5BA-947sf	2BR-2BA-74	2sf	2BR-1.5BA-10	024sf	2BR-2BA-126	i3sf	2BR-1.5BA-9	00sf	2BR-1.5BA-1	
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Aspen Apartment		Redbud Street Ap		Round Hill Meadov		Southridge Apartme		Spark Culpeper Ap	
Address		250 Gardner Street	11166 Willow		2217-3067 Redbu		7102 North St	reet	601 Southview	Court	500 Concord	
City		Culpeper	Bealeton		Culpeper		Orange		Culpeper		Culpeper	
State Zip		Virginia 22701	Virginia 22712		Virginia 22701		Virginia 22942		Virginia 22701		Virginia 22701	
Latitude		38.47564	38.57552		38.45390)	38.25884		38.45446		38.44917	7
Longitude		-78.00188	-77.76256		-78.0120		-78.12104		-78.01747		-78.0180	
Miles to Subject		0.00	14.69		1.36		14.01		1.49		1.76	
Year Built		2023	1983		1985		2013		1989		1988	
Year Rehab		na	2020		na		na		2018		na	
Project Rent		Restricted	Market Rat	e	Market Ra	te	Market Rate	е	Market Rat	е	Market Ra	te
Project Type		Family	Family		Family		Family		Family		Family	
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized	
Phone Effective Date		na 21-Feb-23	(540) 340-52 13-Jan-23		(540) 825-75 06-Jan-23		(540) 672-48 13-Jan-23		(540) 825-53 03-Jan-23		(540) 825-50 05-Jan-23	
Effective Date		21-Feb-25	15-Jaii-23)	00-5411-23	5	15-5411-25		03-Jan-23		05-5411-23	5
Project Level												
Units		37	100		45		40		128		210	
Vacant Units		37	0		0		0		0		3	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		0%		0%		1%	
<u>Unit Type</u>												
Units		10	60		4		12		40		8	
Vacant Units		10	0		0		0		0		0	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	
Street Rent		\$775	\$1,650		\$1,400		\$1,215		\$1,354		\$1,438	
Concessions		\$0 \$0	\$0		\$1,400		\$0		\$0		\$42	
Net Rent		\$775	\$1,650		\$1,400		\$1,215		\$1,354		\$1,396	
_	Adj	Data	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj
Tenant-Paid Utilities	TPU	\$210	\$163	-\$47	\$302	\$92	\$163	-\$47	\$163	-\$47	\$253	\$43 \$0
Cable	\$0 \$0	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Internet Bedrooms	\$0 \$150			\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	no 2	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0
Bedrooms Bathrooms	\$150 \$100	2 1.50	2.00	\$0 -\$50	2 1.50	\$0 \$0	2.00	\$0 -\$50	2 1.50	\$0 \$0	2 1.50	\$0 \$0
Square Feet	\$0.10	947	742	-\$30 \$21	1024	-\$8	1263	-\$30	900	\$0 \$5	1216	-\$27
Visibility	\$0	2.50	2.50	\$0	3.00	\$0	2.25	\$0	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0
Access	\$0	2.00	3.00	\$0	3.50	\$0	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0
Neighborhood	\$100	3.50	4.50	-\$100	2.60	\$90	2.00	\$150	2.60	\$90	3.40	\$10
Area Amenities	\$90	4.50	2.10	\$216	3.50	\$90	2.30	\$198	2.90	\$144	2.10	\$216
Median HH Income	\$0.0000 \$0	\$65,278 36-25	\$82,365	\$0 \$0	\$45,609	\$0 \$0	\$37,063	\$0 \$0	\$45,609	\$0 \$0	\$46,327	\$0 \$0
Average Commute	\$0 \$0	36.35	45.39	\$0 \$0	39.89	\$0 \$0	34.99	\$0 \$0	39.89	\$0 \$0	28.37	\$0 \$0
Public Transportation Personal Crime	\$0 \$0	na 1.2%	na 0.9%	\$0 \$0	na 1.0%	\$0 \$0	na 2.1%	\$0 \$0	na 1.0%	\$0 \$0	na 4.4%	\$0 \$0
Condition	\$0 \$10	4.50	3.50	\$0 \$10	2.50	\$0 \$20	4.75	-\$3	3.50	<u>\$0</u> \$10	3.50	\$0 \$10
Effective Age	\$1.00	2022	2000	\$22	1985	\$20 \$37	2015	-93 \$7	1995	\$10 \$27	1995	\$10 \$27
Ball Field	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
BBQ Area	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0
Billiards	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Car Care Center	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$0
Community Center Elevator	\$2 \$10	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$2 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$2 \$0	no	\$2 \$0
Fitness Center	\$10 \$2	no no	no yes	\$0 -\$2	no no	\$0 \$0	no yes	\$0 -\$2	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Gazebo	پ <i>ے</i> \$10	no	no	-⊕2 \$0	yes	-\$10	no	-⊕2 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Lake	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Library	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0
Movie Theatre	\$2 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$0
Picnic Area	\$10 \$4	no	yes	-\$10 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$4	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$4	no	\$0 \$0
Playground Pool	\$4 \$10	yes no	yes yes	\$0 -\$10	no no	\$4 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	no yes	\$4 -\$10	yes no	\$0 \$0
Sauna	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0
Sports Court	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10
Walking Trail	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Blinds	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Ceiling Fans	\$10	yes	yes	\$0 ©	no	\$10 ©	no	\$10 ¢0	no	\$10 ©	yes	\$0 \$0
Carpeting Fireplace	\$2 \$2	yes no	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 -\$2	yes	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0
Patio/Balcony	ֆ∠ \$10	yes	no yes	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$10	yes no	-∌∠ \$10	no yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Storage	\$10 \$50	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	some	\$50	no	\$10 \$50	no	\$50	some	\$50
Stove	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Refrigerator	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Disposal	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	some	\$0	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	some	\$0
Dishwasher	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	some	\$2	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	some	\$2
Microwave	\$2 \$50	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	some	\$2 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	some	\$2 \$0	no	\$2 \$0
Garage Covered	\$50 \$20	no no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Assigned	\$20 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Open	\$0	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
None	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Central	\$25	yes	no	\$25	yes	\$0	no	\$25	no	\$25	no	\$25
W/D Units	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10
W/D Hookups	\$5	yes	no	\$5	some	\$5	no	\$5	no	\$5	no	\$5 \$0
Call Buttons Controlled Access	\$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
	ድር		no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
	\$2 \$10	no		¢0		¢0		¢∩		\$0		_\$10
Courtesy Officer	\$10	no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$0
			no	\$0 \$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0 \$0			no		yes	-\$10 \$0 \$0
Courtesy Officer Monitoring	\$10 \$2	no no	no no	\$0	no no	\$0	no no	\$0	no no	\$0	yes no	\$0

Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1270sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1270sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 24 unit types found at 6 properties. We selected the 24 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 24 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

	Rent Conclusion										
	Comparable	Un	adjusted R	ent	Adjusted Rent						
Property-Unit Key	Property Name	Property Name Unit Type		Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank		
Sub-08	Parkside Apartments	3BR-2BA-1270sf	\$900	\$0	\$900	-	\$0	\$900	-		
006-02 072-01	Aspen Apartments South Aspen Apartments South Parkview Apartments Parkview Apartments	1BR-1BA-584sf 2BR-2BA-742sf 1BR-1BA-480sf 2BR-2BA-1188sf	\$1,500 \$1,650 \$1,000 \$1,200	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,500 \$1,650 \$1,000 \$1,200	\$1,055 \$736 \$1,144 \$753	\$439 \$226 \$836 \$585	\$1,939 \$1,876 \$1,836 \$1,785	23 16 24 19		
	Parkview Apartments	3BR-2BA-1244sf	\$1,200	\$0 \$0	\$1,200	\$753 \$546	_{ຈວອວ} \$482	\$1,785	5		
077-01 077-02	Redbud Street Apartments Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-750sf 2BR-1BA-900sf	\$1,100 \$1,100	\$0 \$0	\$1,100 \$1,100	\$702 \$658	\$642 \$598	\$1,742 \$1,698	14 11		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1BA-976sf	\$1,100	\$0	\$1,100	\$679	\$619	\$1,719	13		
	Redbud Street Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1024sf 2BR-1.5BA-1024sf	\$1,400 \$1,400	\$0 \$0	\$1,400 \$1,400	\$625 \$625	\$565 \$565	\$1,965 \$1,065	9		
	Redbud Street Apartments Redbud Street Apartments	3BR-2BA-1024sf	\$1,400 \$1,550	\$0 \$0	\$1,400 \$1,550	\$625 \$548	\$565 \$488	\$1,965 \$2,038	9 6		
082-01 082-02	Round Hill Meadows Place Round Hill Meadows Place	1BR-1BA-1196sf 2BR-2BA-1263sf	\$1,115 \$1,215	\$0 \$0	\$1,115 \$1,215	\$1,025 \$715	\$700 \$496	\$1,815 \$1,711	22 15		
	Round Hill Meadows Place Round Hill Meadows Place	2BR-2BA-1494sf 3BR-2BA-1421sf	\$1,325 \$1,385	\$0 \$0	\$1,325 \$1,385	\$737 \$511	\$473 \$399	\$1,798 \$1,784	17 3		
	Southridge Apartments Homes	1BR-1BA-704sf	\$1,365 \$1,249	\$0 \$0	\$1,365 \$1,249	\$1,012	\$599 \$640	\$1,784 \$1,889	21		
	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-1.5BA-900sf	\$1,354	\$0 \$0	\$1,354	\$739	\$473	\$1,803 \$1,827	18		
	Southridge Apartments Homes	2BR-2BA-1000sf	\$1,334 \$1,449	\$0 \$0	\$1,449	\$679	\$413	\$1,862	12		
	Southridge Apartments Homes	3BR-3BA-2100sf	\$1,599	\$0	\$1,599	\$616	\$122	\$1,721	8		
088-01		1BR-1BA-492sf	\$1,115	\$42	\$1,073	\$941	\$713	\$1,786	20		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-1.5BA-1216sf	\$1,438	\$42	\$1,396	\$585	\$523	\$1,919	7		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	2BR-2BA-1140sf	\$1,538	\$42	\$1,496	\$543	\$481	\$1,977	4		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$404	\$328	\$1,893	1		
	Spark Culpeper Apartments	3BR-2BA-1100sf	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$404	\$328	\$1,893	1		

Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$1,698
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$2,038
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$1,842
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$1,840
Rent, Concluded	\$1,825

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$1,825 for the 3BR-2BA-1270sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1100sf units at Spark Culpeper Apartments (Property # 088), the 3BR-2BA-1421sf units at Round Hill Meadows Place (Property # 082), the 3BR-2BA-1244sf units at Parkview Apartments (Property # 072), the 3BR-2BA-1024sf units at Redbud Street Apartments (Property # 077), and the 3BR-3BA-2100sf units at Southridge Apartments Homes (Property # 085) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5	
Property-Unit Key		Sub-08	072-03		077-06		082-04		085-04		088-04	
Unit Type		3BR-2BA-1270sf	3BR-2BA-124	4sf	3BR-2BA-10		3BR-2BA-142	21sf	3BR-3BA-210)0sf	3BR-2BA-110	00sf
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Parkview Apartn	nents	Redbud Street Ap	partments	Round Hill Meadow	vs Place	Southridge Apartmer	nts Homes	Spark Culpeper Ap	partments
Address		250 Gardner Street	14827 Porterfield	Drive	2217-3067 Redb	ud Street	7102 North St	reet	601 Southview	Court	500 Concord F	Place
City		Culpeper	Orange		Culpepe	r	Orange		Culpeper		Culpeper	
State		Virginia	Virginia		Virginia		Virginia		Virginia		Virginia	
Zip		22701	22960		22701		22942		22701		22701	
Latitude		38.47564	38.25024		38.4539		38.25884		38.45446		38.44917	
Longitude		-78.00188	-78.11989		-78.0120	1	-78.12104		-78.01747		-78.01800)
Miles to Subject		0.00	14.41		1.36		14.01		1.49		1.76	
Year Built Year Rehab		2023	2005		1985		2013		1989 2018		1988	
Project Rent		na Restricted	na Market Rate		na Market Ra	ato.	na Market Rat	•	2018 Market Rat	0	na Market Rat	
Project Type		Family	Family	;	Family		Family	e	Family	e	Family	le
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilize		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized	1
Phone		na	(540) 825-293	31	(540) 825-7		(540) 672-48	27	(540) 825-53	93	(540) 825-50	
Effective Date		21-Feb-23	03-Jan-23	01	06-Jan-2		13-Jan-23		03-Jan-23		05-Jan-23	
						-						
Project Level												
Units		37	64		45		40		128		210	
Vacant Units		37	1		0		0		0		3	
Vacancy Rate		100%	2%		0%		0%		0%		1%	
Unit Type												
Units		5	32		3		12		12		100	
Vacant Units		5	1		0		0		0		2	
Vacancy Rate		100%	3%		0%		0%		0%		2%	
Street Rent		\$900	\$1,300		\$1,550		\$1,385		\$1,599		\$1,607	
Street Rent Concessions		\$900 \$0	\$1,300 \$0		\$1,550 \$0		\$1,385 \$0		\$1,599 \$0		\$1,607 \$42	
Net Rent		\$900	\$0		\$1,550		\$1,385		\$1,599		\$42 \$1,565	
	Adj	Data	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj
Tenant-Paid Utilities	TPU	\$254	\$232	-\$22	\$425	\$171	\$232	-\$22	\$232	-\$22	\$246	-\$8
Cable	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Internet	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bedrooms	\$150 \$100	3	3	\$0	3	\$0	3	\$0	3	\$0	3	\$0
Bathrooms	\$100	2.00	2.00	\$0	2.00	\$0	2.00	\$0	3.00	-\$100	2.00	\$0
Square Feet Visibility	\$0.10	1270 2.50	<u> 1244</u> 4.00	\$3	1024 3.00	\$25 \$0	1421	-\$15	2100	-\$83	1100	\$17 \$0
Access	\$0 \$0	2.50	4.00	\$0 \$0	3.50	\$0 \$0	2.25 2.50	\$0 \$0	2.50 2.50	\$0 \$0	2.50 2.50	\$0 \$0
Neighborhood	پ 0 \$100	3.50	2.00	\$0 \$150	2.60	\$90	2.00	\$0 \$150	2.60	\$0 \$90	3.40	\$0 \$10
Area Amenities	\$90	4.50	2.00	\$225	3.50	\$90 \$90	2.30	\$198	2.90	\$30 \$144	2.10	\$216
Median HH Income	\$0.0000	\$65,278	\$37,063	\$0	\$45,609	\$0	\$37,063	\$0	\$45,609	\$0	\$46,327	\$0
Average Commute	\$0	36.35	34.99	\$0	39.89	\$0	34.99	\$0	39.89	\$0	28.37	\$0
Public Transportation	\$0	na	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0
Personal Crime	\$0	1.2%	2.1%	\$0	1.0%	\$0	2.1%	\$0	1.0%	\$0	4.4%	\$0
Condition	\$10	4.50	3.50	\$10	2.50	\$20	4.75	-\$3	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10
Effective Age	\$1.00	2022	2000	\$22	1985	\$37	2015	\$7	1995	\$27	1995	\$27
Ball Field	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
BBQ Area	\$10	no	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0
Billiards	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Car Care Center	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0
Community Center	\$2 \$10	yes	no	\$2 \$0	no	\$2 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$2 \$0	no	\$2
Elevator Fitness Center	\$10 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 -\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Gazebo	եր ≎∠ \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 -\$10	yes	-∌∠ \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$10 \$2	no no	no no	\$0 \$0	yes no	-\$10 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Horseshoe Pit	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Lake	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Library	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Movie Theatre	\$= \$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Picnic Area	\$10	no	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0
Playground	\$4	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$4	yes	\$0	no	\$4	yes	\$0
Pool	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0
Sauna	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Sports Court	\$10 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$0
Walking Trail Blinds	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Ceiling Fans	\$∠ \$10	yes yes	yes no	\$0 \$10	yes no	\$0 \$10	yes no	\$0 \$10	yes no	\$0 \$10	yes yes	\$0 \$0
Carpeting	\$10 \$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$10 \$0	yes	\$10 \$0	yes	\$10 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Fireplace	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0
Patio/Balcony	\$10	yes	no	\$10	no	\$10	no	\$10	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Storage	\$50	yes	no	\$50	some	\$50	no	\$50	no	\$50	some	\$50
Stove	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Refrigerator	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Disposal	\$2	no	no	\$0	some	\$0	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	some	\$0 \$0
Dishwasher	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$2	some	\$2 \$2	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$2	some	\$2 \$2
Microwave	\$2 \$50	yes	no	\$2 \$0	some	\$2 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	some	\$2 \$0	no	\$2 \$0
Garage Covered	\$50 \$20	no no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Assigned	\$20 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Open	\$0	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0 \$0
None	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Central	\$25	yes	no	\$25	yes	\$0	no	\$25	no	\$25	no	\$25
W/D Units	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10
W/D Hookups	\$5	yes	no	\$5	some	\$5	no	\$5	no	\$5	no	\$5
Call Buttons	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Controlled Access	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Courtesy Officer	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10
Monitoring	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 ©0	no	\$0 \$0
Security Alarms	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 ©	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Security Patrols	\$2	no \$1,925	no ¢1 792	\$0	no ¢2.028	\$0	no \$1 794	\$0	no \$1.721	\$0	no \$1.902	\$0
Indicated Rent		\$1,825	\$1,782		\$2,038		\$1,784		\$1,721		\$1,893	

Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were an unrestricted property:

	Unrestri	cted Market Rent Co	nclusion			
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Market	Proposed	Advantage
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,475	\$653	55.7%
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$1,475	\$600	59.3%
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$1,475	\$625	57.6%
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,675	\$871	48.0%
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,675	\$750	55.2%
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,675	\$775	53.7%
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,825	\$850	53.4%
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,825	\$900	50.7%
Total / Average			37	\$1,666	\$770	53.8%

Our analysis suggests an average unrestricted market rent of \$1,666 for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of \$770, yielding an unrestricted market rent advantage of 53.8 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below unrestricted market rents for the area.

We selected a total of 6 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 99 percent.

Occupancy rates for the selected rent comparables are broken out below:

			Occupanc	cy Rate, Select Co	mparables			
	Subsidized	20% of AMI	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	80% of AMI	Market
0-Bedroom								
1-Bedroom								100%
2-Bedroom								100%
3-Bedroom								98%
4-Bedroom								
Total								99%

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

	Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties									
	Subsidized	20% of AMI	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	80% of AMI	Market		
0-Bedroom										
1-Bedroom	99%		100%	99%	100%		100%	100%		
2-Bedroom	94%		100%	98%	100%		100%	100%		
3-Bedroom	91%			99%	98%		100%	98%		
4-Bedroom										
Total	96%		100%	98%	100%		100%	100%		

HUD conducts an annual rent survey to derive Fair Market Rent estimates for an area. Based on this, 2bedroom rents for the area grew from \$771 to \$1081 since 2010. This represents an average 3.4% annual increase over this period.

Fair market rent data for the area is found below:	
--	--

		HL	JD Fair Market Re	nts		
		Rent			Change	
Year	1BR	2BR	3BR	1BR	2BR	3BR
2009	\$636	\$753	\$974	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%
2010	\$651	\$771	\$997	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%
2011	\$671	\$794	\$1,027	3.1%	3.0%	3.0%
2012	\$652	\$772	\$998	-2.8%	-2.8%	-2.8%
2013	\$739	\$948	\$1,397	13.3%	22.8%	40.0%
2014	\$642	\$824	\$1,214	-13.1%	-13.1%	-13.1%
2015	\$759	\$974	\$1,435	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%
2016	\$836	\$967	\$1,402	10.1%	-0.7%	-2.3%
2017	\$864	\$997	\$1,391	3.3%	3.1%	-0.8%
2018	\$872	\$1,030	\$1,464	0.9%	3.3%	5.2%
2019	\$789	\$998	\$1,427	-9.5%	-3.1%	-2.5%
2020	\$768	\$1,012	\$1,436	-2.7%	1.4%	0.6%
2021	\$794	\$1,046	\$1,439	3.4%	3.4%	0.2%
2022	\$822	\$1,081	\$1,427	3.5%	3.3%	-0.8%

Source: HUD

Restricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop a restricted market rent conclusion and an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was a restricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized restricted rent properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with market rents are used when a sufficient number of restricted rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, *de facto* market rate units.

Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in a restricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

		Ov	erview							Re	ents			
Key Pr	roperty Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
003 Ar	nn Wingfield Commons	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized			\$475					
005 Ar	rbors (The) at Culpeper	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized					\$1,062			\$1,415
007 As	spen Club Apartments	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
008 As	spen Village	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
011 Be	elle Courts	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$925			\$722				
012 Be	elleview House Phase 1	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$850	\$850			
013 Be	elleview House Phase 2	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
019 Co	ountryside Townhomes	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
020 Cu	ulpeper Commons Phase 1	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
021 Cu	ulpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
022 Cu	ulpeper Crossing	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$558				
030 Ea	ast Gate Village II	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
033 Ge	ermanna Heights	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized					\$850			
037 Gi	Freens At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,048			
038 Ha	lawk's Landing	2020	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$860				
043 Kr	rystal Court Apartments	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$850	
050 Lil	ily Ridge	2015	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$860			
053 M	IcDonald Street Apartments	1983	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$426	
055 M	leadow Run Apartments	1994	2013	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized	\$616				\$814			
056 M	leadowbrook Heights	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$584				
057 M	leadows At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$856				
058 M	1illview Apartments	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$718				
059 Mi	Iintbrook Senior Apartments	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$1,056				
060 M	Iountain Run Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$709							
065 Oa	akbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
075 Pi	ine Ridge Apartments	1994	2014	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
076 Pc	oplar Ridge	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$719			
081 Ro	ound Hill Meadows	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
089 Sp	picer's Mill Apartments	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$604			\$767				
090 St	tandardsville Village	1978	2015	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$616	\$616			
095 Vi	illage of Culpeper	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$490						\$621	

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

	Ov	verview		-					Re	ents			
Key Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
003 Ann Wingfield Commons	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized			\$600	\$680	\$750			
005 Arbors (The) at Culpeper	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized					\$1,274			\$1,615
007 Aspen Club Apartments	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,650			
008 Aspen Village	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
011 Belle Courts	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$1,040			\$874				
012 Belleview House Phase 1	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$1,131	\$1,131			
013 Belleview House Phase 2	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized					\$1,131			
019 Countryside Townhomes	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$918			
020 Culpeper Commons Phase 1	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$951				
021 Culpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$1,057				
022 Culpeper Crossing	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$795	\$892			
030 East Gate Village II	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized		\$476		\$875			\$945	
033 Germanna Heights	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized					\$960			
037 Greens At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,255			
038 Hawk's Landing	2020	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$944	\$1,180			
043 Krystal Court Apartments	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$903	
050 Lily Ridge	2015	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,062			
053 McDonald Street Apartments	1983	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$490	
055 Meadow Run Apartments	1994	2013	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
056 Meadowbrook Heights	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$676				
057 Meadows At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$1,029				
058 Millview Apartments	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$900	\$1,000			
059 Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized				\$1,250	\$1,315			
060 Mountain Run Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$867				
065 Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$847	\$1,053			
075 Pine Ridge Apartments	1994	2014	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$580			\$732				
076 Poplar Ridge	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$812			
081 Round Hill Meadows	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$901				
089 Spicer's Mill Apartments	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$674			\$834				
090 Standardsville Village	1978	2015	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$854				
095 Village of Culpeper	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$507						\$640	

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

	Ov	verview							Re	nts			
Key Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
003 Ann Wingfield Commons	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$790			
005 Arbors (The) at Culpeper	2018	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
007 Aspen Club Apartments	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,800			
008 Aspen Village	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,680			
011 Belle Courts	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$1,100			\$995				
012 Belleview House Phase 1	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
013 Belleview House Phase 2	1929	2001	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
019 Countryside Townhomes	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
020 Culpeper Commons Phase 1	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$1,093				
021 Culpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$1,215				
022 Culpeper Crossing	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
030 East Gate Village II	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized		\$545		\$971			\$1,013	
033 Germanna Heights	2004	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
037 Greens At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,454			
038 Hawk's Landing	2020	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$1,090	\$1,362			
043 Krystal Court Apartments	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$900	
050 Lily Ridge	2015	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					\$1,226			
053 McDonald Street Apartments	1983	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized							\$465	
055 Meadow Run Apartments	1994	2013	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
056 Meadowbrook Heights	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$893				
057 Meadows At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
058 Millview Apartments	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
059 Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2014	na	Restricted	Elderly	Stabilized								
060 Mountain Run Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
065 Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$965	\$1,203			
075 Pine Ridge Apartments	1994	2014	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
076 Poplar Ridge	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
081 Round Hill Meadows	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				\$1,033				
089 Spicer's Mill Apartments	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
090 Standardsville Village	1978	2015	Restricted	Family	Stabilized								
095 Village of Culpeper	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	\$522						\$673	

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units



Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from \$0.00 to \$0.50 per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of \$100. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of \$0.10 for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of \$90. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of \$0.20, \$0.30, \$0.40 and \$0.50 which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of \$80, \$70, \$65 and \$75, respectively. The \$0.40 square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a \$0.40 rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per month for cable; internet access was valued at \$0.

	Technology								
Adjustment	Survey Range Concluded								
Cable	\$0	\$0	\$0						
Internet	\$0	\$0	\$0						

Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$200 per bedroom.

Bedrooms									
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded						
Bedrooms	\$50	\$200	\$200						

Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$25 per bathroom.

Bathrooms			
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded
Bathrooms	\$25	\$100	\$25

Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.30 per square foot.

Square Feet				
Adjustment	Survey Range Concluded			
Square Feet	\$0.10	\$2.00	\$0.30	

Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$100 per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

	Vis	ibility	
Adjustment	Survey Range Concluded		
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$100

Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$100 per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Access				
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded	
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$100	

Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$100 per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Neighborhood				
Adjustment	Survey	/ Range	Concluded	
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$100	

Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Area Amenities				
Adjustment	Survey Range Concluded			
Rating	\$0	\$100	\$0	

Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.0000 per dollar of median household income.

Median Household Income				
Adjustment Survey Range Concluded				
Med HH Inc	\$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0000		

Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.00 per each minute of commute.

Average Commute				
Adjustment	Survey	Concluded		
Avg Commute	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0.00 for public transportation.

Public Transportation				
Adjustment Survey Range Concluded				
Public Trans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$0 per 0.01 percentage points.

Personal Crime				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Personal Crime	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$10 per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

Condition				
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded	
Rating	\$10	\$50	\$10	

Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$1.00 per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

Effective Age				
Adjustment	Adjustment Survey Range Concluded			
Rating	\$1.00	\$5.00	\$1.00	

Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Project Amenities							
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded				
Ball Field	\$2	\$10	\$2				
BBQ Area	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Billiards	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Bus/Comp Ctrs	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Car Care Center	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Community Center	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Elevator	\$10	\$100	\$10				
Fitness Center	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Gazebo	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Lake	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Library	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Movie Theatre	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Picnic Area	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Playground	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Pool	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Sauna	\$2	\$10	\$2				
Sports Court	\$2	\$10	\$10				
Walking Trail	\$2	\$10	\$10				

Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Unit Amenities								
Adjustment	Survey	Survey Range Conclude						
Blinds	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Ceiling Fans	\$2	\$10	\$10					
Carpeting	\$2 \$10		\$2					
Fireplace	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Patio/Balcony	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Storage	\$10	\$50	\$50					

Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Kitchen Amenities								
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded					
Stove	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Refrigerator	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Disposal	\$2 \$10		\$2					
Dishwasher	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Microwave	\$2	\$10	\$10					

Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2) Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at \$20; assigned parking was valued at \$10; open parking was valued at \$0; no parking was valued at \$0.

Parking								
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded					
Garage	\$50	\$200	\$50					
Covered	\$20	\$100	\$20					
Assigned	\$10 \$50		\$10					
Open	\$0	\$0	\$0					
None	\$0	\$0	\$0					

Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of \$5 per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at \$50; washer/dryer hookups were valued at \$5.

Laundry									
	Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded					
	Central	\$5	\$25	\$5					
	W/D Units	\$10	\$50	\$50					
V	V/D Hookups	\$5	\$25	\$5					

Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

Security								
Adjustment	Survey	Range	Concluded					
Call Buttons	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Controlled Access	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Courtesy Officer	\$2 \$10		\$2					
Monitoring	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Security Alarms	\$2	\$10	\$2					
Security Patrols	\$2	\$10	\$10					

Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-742sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-742sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 15 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 15 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

	Rent Conclusion									
Comparable				Unadjusted Rent Adjusted Rent						
Property-Unit Key	Property Name	Unit Type	Street Rent	Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank	
Sub-03	Parkside Apartments	1BR-1BA-742sf	\$625	\$0	\$625	-	\$0	\$625	-	
005-01	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	1BR-1BA-650sf	\$1,062	\$0	\$1,062	\$456	-\$252	\$810	3	
005-02	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	1BR-1BA-782sf	\$1,062	\$0	\$1,062	\$440	-\$292	\$770	1	
005-04	Arbors (The) at Culpeper	2BR-1.5BA-1030sf	\$1,274	\$0	\$1,274	\$714	-\$566	\$708	8	
007-01	Aspen Club Apartments	2BR-2BA-979sf	\$1,650	\$0	\$1,650	\$762	-\$678	\$972	9	
007-02	Aspen Club Apartments	3BR-2BA-1171sf	\$1,800	\$0	\$1,800	\$978	-\$894	\$906	11	
008-01	Aspen Village	3BR-2BA-1336sf	\$1,680	\$0	\$1,680	\$1,064	-\$870	\$810	13	
008-02	Aspen Village	3BR-2BA-1336sf	\$1,680	\$0	\$1,680	\$1,064	-\$870	\$810	13	
008-03	Aspen Village	3BR-2BA-1461sf	\$1,680	\$0	\$1,680	\$1,102	-\$908	\$772	15	
021-01	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	2BR-2BA-895sf	\$1,057	\$0	\$1,057	\$560	-\$336	\$721	4	
021-02	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	3BR-2BA-1101sf	\$1,215	\$0	\$1,215	\$806	-\$582	\$633	10	
037-01	Greens At Northridge	1BR-1BA-661sf	\$1,048	\$0	\$1,048	\$440	-\$288	\$760	2	
037-02	Greens At Northridge	2BR-2BA-1050sf	\$1,255	\$0	\$1,255	\$713	-\$609	\$646	7	
059-04	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2BR-1BA-960sf	\$1,315	\$0	\$1,315	\$568	-\$420	\$895	5	
065-02	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	2BR-2BA-857sf	\$1,053	\$0	\$1,053	\$705	-\$183	\$871	6	
065-04	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	3BR-2BA-1069sf	\$1,203	\$0	\$1,203	\$1,060	-\$354	\$849	12	

Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$633
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$972
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$795
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$794
Rent, Concluded	\$775

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$775 for the 1BR-1BA-742sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-782sf units at Arbors (The) at Culpeper (Property # 005), the 1BR-1BA-661sf units at Greens At Northridge (Property # 037), the 1BR-1BA-650sf units at Arbors (The) at Culpeper (Property # 005), the 2BR-2BA-895sf units at Culpeper Commons Phase 2 (Property # 021), and the 2BR-1BA-960sf units at Mintbrook Senior Apartments (Property # 059) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5			
Property-Unit Key		Sub-03	005-01		005-02		021-01		037-01		059-04	ľ		
Unit Type		1BR-1BA-742sf	1BR-1BA-650s		1BR-1BA-78		2BR-2BA-89		1BR-1BA-66		2BR-1BA-96			
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Arbors (The) at Cul	Arbors (The) at Culpeper				Culpeper	Culpeper Commons Phase 2		Greens At Northridge		Mintbrook Senior Apartments	
Address		250 Gardner Street	15255 Ira Hoffman	Lane	15255 Ira Hoffma		1301 Spring Mead	ow Lane	1050 Claire Taylo		4475 Bacon S			
City State		Culpeper Virginia	Culpeper Virginia		Culpeper Virginia	ſ	Culpeper Virginia		Culpeper Virginia		Bealeton Virginia			
Zip		22701	22701		22701		22701		22701		22712			
Latitude		38.47564	38.49753		38.49753	3	38.48425		38.49883		38.58471			
Longitude		-78.00188	-77.98298		-77.98298		-77.97945		-77.99149		-77.77017			
Miles to Subject		0.00	1.64		1.64		1.36		1.44		14.50			
Year Built		2023	2018		2018		1998		2005		2014			
Year Rehab		na	na		na		na		na		na			
Project Rent		Restricted	Restricted		Restricted	d	Restricted		Restricted	I	Restricted	k		
Project Type		Family	Elderly		Elderly		Family		Family		Elderly			
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized			
Phone		na	(855) 279-271	0	(855) 279-2		(540) 829-85		(540) 825-63		(540) 402-60			
Effective Date		21-Feb-23	09-Jan-23		09-Jan-23	3	05-Jan-23		03-Jan-23		05-Jan-23	3		
Brojact Loval														
<u>Project Level</u> Units		37	132		132		20		108		80			
Vacant Units		37	0		0		1		0		1			
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		5%		0%		1%			
racancy rate		100,0	0,0		0,0		0,0		070		.,			
Unit Type														
Units		3	6		33		14		12		16			
Vacant Units		3	0		0		1		0		0			
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		7%		0%		0%			
Street Rent		\$625	\$1,062		\$1,062		\$1,057		\$1,048		\$1,315			
Concessions		\$0 \$625	\$0		\$0		\$0 \$1.057		\$0		\$0 \$1.215			
Net Rent	Adi	\$625 Data	\$1,062 Data	Adi	\$1,062 Data	٨di	\$1,057 Data	Adj	\$1,048 Data	٨di	\$1,315 Data	Adi		
Tenant-Paid Utilities	Adj TPU	\$169	\$61	Adj -\$108	\$61	Adj -\$108	\$66	-\$103	\$59	Adj -\$110	\$148	Adj -\$21		
Cable	\$0	no	no	-\$108 \$0	no	\$0	no	-\$103 \$0	no	-\$110 \$0	no	\$0		
Internet	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Bedrooms	\$200	1	1	\$0	1	\$0	2	-\$200	1	\$0	2	-\$200		
Bathrooms	\$25	1.00	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0	2.00	-\$25	1.00	\$0	1.00	\$0		
Square Feet	\$0.30	742	650	\$28	782	-\$12	895	-\$46	661	\$24	960	-\$65		
Visibility	\$100	2.50	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50	2.50	\$0	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50		
Access	\$100	2.00	3.00	-\$100	3.00	-\$100	2.50	-\$50	3.00	-\$100	3.00	-\$100		
Neighborhood	\$100	3.50	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10		
Area Amenities	\$0	4.50	2.50	\$0	2.50	\$0	4.40	\$0 \$0	2.30	\$0	2.30	\$0		
Median HH Income	\$0.0000	\$65,278	\$69,154	\$0	\$69,154	\$0 \$0	\$69,154	\$0	\$69,154	\$0	\$81,313	\$0		
Average Commute	\$0 \$0	36.35	46.60	\$0	46.60	\$0 \$0	46.60	\$0 ©0	46.60	\$0 \$0	40.32	\$0		
Public Transportation Personal Crime	\$0 \$0	na 1 29/	na 1.29/	\$0 \$0	na 1.2%	\$0 \$0	na 1.29/	\$0 \$0	na 1.2%	\$0 \$0	na 1.49/	\$0 \$0		
Condition	\$0 \$10	1.2% 4.50	<u> </u>	\$0 \$0	1.2% 4.50	\$0 \$0	1.2% 3.50	\$0 \$10	<u> </u>	\$0 \$5	1.4% 4.00	\$0 \$5		
Effective Age	\$1.00	2022	2018	\$0 \$4	2018	\$0 \$4	2000	\$10 \$22	2005	\$17	2015	\$3 \$7		
Ball Field	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
BBQ Area	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0		
Billiards	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0		
Car Care Center	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
Community Center	\$10	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	no	\$10	yes	\$0	yes	\$0		
Elevator	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10		
Fitness Center	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2		
Gazebo	\$10	no	no	\$O	no	\$O	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10		
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0		
Horseshoe Pit	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Lake Library	\$2 \$2	no no	no yes	\$0 -\$2	no yes	\$0 -\$2	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0		
Movie Theatre	\$2 \$2	no	yes	-\$2 -\$2	yes	-\$2 -\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Picnic Area	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10		
Playground	\$10	yes	no	\$10	no	\$10	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	no	\$10		
Pool	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0		
Sauna	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
Sports Court	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
Walking Trail	\$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10		
Blinds Ceiling Fans	\$2 \$10	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$10	yes	\$0 \$10	yes	\$0 \$0		
Ceiling Fans Carpeting	\$10 \$2	yes yes	yes yes	\$0 \$0	yes yes	\$0 \$0	some yes	\$10 \$0	no yes	\$10 \$0	yes yes	\$0 \$0		
Fireplace	\$∠ \$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Patio/Balcony	φ2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2		
Storage	\$50	yes	no	\$50	no	\$50	no	\$50	yes	\$0	no	\$50		
Stove	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0		
Refrigerator	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0		
Disposal	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2		
Dishwasher	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0		
Microwave	\$10 \$50	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$10 \$0	no	\$10 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0		
Garage	\$50 \$20	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Covered Assigned	\$20 \$10	no no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0		
Open	\$10 \$0	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0		
None	\$0 \$0	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Central	\$5	yes	no	\$5	no	\$5	yes	\$0	no	\$5	yes	\$0		
W/D Units	\$50	no	yes	-\$50	yes	-\$50	no	\$0	yes	-\$50	no	\$0		
W/D Hookups	\$5	yes	no	\$5	no	\$5	yes	\$0	no	\$5	yes	\$0		
Call Buttons	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$2		
Controlled Access	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$2		
Courtesy Officer	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0		
Monitoring	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 ©0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 ©		
Security Alarms	\$2 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0		
Security Patrols	\$10	no \$775	no \$810	\$0	no \$770	\$0	no \$721	\$0	no \$760	\$0	no \$205	\$0		
Indicated Rent		\$775	\$810		\$770		\$721		\$760		\$895			

Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1.5BA-947sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1.5BA-947sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 15 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 15 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

	Rent Conclusion											
(Comparable	L	Jnadjusted	Rent	Adjusted Rent							
Property-Unit Key Property Name	Unit Type	Street Rent	Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank				
Sub-06 Parkside Apartmen	ts 2BR-1.5BA	A-947sf \$775	\$0	\$775	-	\$0	\$775	-				
005-01Arbors (The) at Cul005-02Arbors (The) at Cul005-04Arbors (The) at Cul007-01Aspen Club Apartm007-02Aspen Club Apartm008-01Aspen Village008-02Aspen Village008-02Aspen Village	peper 1BR-1BA- peper 2BR-1.5BA nents 2BR-2BA- 3BR-2BA- 3BR-2BA- 3BR-2BA- 3BR-2BA-	-782sf \$1,062 -1030sf \$1,274 -979sf \$1,650 1171sf \$1,800 1336sf \$1,680 1336sf \$1,680	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,062 \$1,062 \$1,274 \$1,650 \$1,800 \$1,680 \$1,680 \$1,680	\$771 \$731 \$481 \$529 \$745 \$831 \$831	-\$19 -\$59 -\$333 -\$445 -\$661 -\$637 -\$637	\$1,043 \$1,003 \$941 \$1,205 \$1,139 \$1,043 \$1,043	12 8 5 6 9 13 13				
008-03 Aspen Village 021-01 Culpeper Common	3BR-2BA- s Phase 2 2BR-2BA-	+ /	\$0 \$0	\$1,680 \$1,057	\$869 \$358	-\$675 -\$103	\$1,005 \$954	15 1				
021-02 Culpeper Common 037-01 Greens At Northrid	s Phase 2 3BR-2BA-	1101sf \$1,215	\$0 \$0	\$1,215 \$1,048	\$573 \$755	-\$349 -\$55	\$866 \$993	7 11				
037-02 Greens At Northrid 059-04 Mintbrook Senior A	-	+ ,	\$0 \$0	\$1,255 \$1,315	\$480 \$360	-\$376 -\$187	\$879 \$1,128	4 2				
065-02 Oakbrook Terrace 065-04 Oakbrook Terrace	•		\$0 \$0	\$1,053 \$1,203	\$444 \$745	\$51 -\$121	\$1,104 \$1,082	3 10				

Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$866
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$1,205
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$1,028
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$1,027
Rent, Concluded	\$1,000

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$1,000 for the 2BR-1.5BA-947sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 2BR-2BA-895sf units at Culpeper Commons Phase 2 (Property # 021), the 2BR-1BA-960sf units at Mintbrook Senior Apartments (Property # 059), the 2BR-2BA-857sf units at Oakbrook Terrace Apartments (Property # 065), the 2BR-2BA-1050sf units at Greens At Northridge (Property # 037), and the 2BR-1.5BA-1030sf units at Arbors (The) at Culpeper (Property # 005) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5					
Property-Unit Key		Sub-06	005-04		021-01		037-02		059-04		065-02					
Unit Type	it Type 2		2BR-1.5BA-103	0sf	2BR-2BA-89	95sf	2BR-2BA-105	i0sf	2BR-1BA-96	Osf	2BR-2BA-85	57sf				
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Arbors (The) at Cu	lpeper	Culpeper Commons Phase 2		Greens At North	nridge	Mintbrook Senior Apartments		Oakbrook Terrace Apartments					
Address		250 Gardner Street	15255 Ira Hoffmar	Lane	1301 Spring Mead		1050 Claire Taylo	or Court			4475 Bacon Street				109 Oakbrook	Drive
City		Culpeper	Culpeper		Culpeper	•	Culpeper		Bealeton		Orange					
State Zip		Virginia 22701	Virginia 22701		Virginia 22701		Virginia 22701		Virginia 22712		Virginia 22960					
Latitude		38.47564	38.49753		38.48425	5	38.49883		38.58471		38.25706					
Longitude		-78.00188	-77.98298		-77.97945		-77.99149		-77.77017		-78.11925					
Miles to Subject		0.00	1.64		1.36		1.44		14.50		14.05					
Year Built		2023	2018		1998		2005		2014		1999					
Year Rehab		na	na		na		na		na		na					
Project Rent		Restricted	Restricted		Restricted	b	Restricted		Restricted		Restricted	ł				
Project Type		Family	Elderly		Family		Family		Elderly		Family					
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilized	ł	Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized	1				
Phone		na	(855) 279-271	0	(540) 829-85		(540) 825-63		(540) 402-60	05	(540) 672-67					
Effective Date		21-Feb-23	09-Jan-23		05-Jan-23	3	03-Jan-23		05-Jan-23		06-Jan-23	3				
Drais et la val																
<u>Project Level</u> Units		37	132		20		108		80		70					
Vacant Units		37	0		20		0		1		2					
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		5%		0%		1%		3%					
vacancy Nate		100 %	070		578		078		170		570					
Unit Type																
Units		10	78		14		72		16		8					
Vacant Units		10	0		1		0		0		0					
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		7%		0%		0%		0%					
-																
Street Rent		\$775	\$1,274		\$1,057		\$1,255		\$1,315		\$1,053					
Concessions		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0					
Net Rent	A	\$775 Data	\$1,274		\$1,057	A!:	\$1,255 Data		\$1,315 Data	A!:	\$1,053	A!:				
Tenant-Paid Utilities	Adj TPU	D ata \$210	Data \$74	Adj -\$136	Data \$66	Adj -\$144	Data \$79	Adj -\$131	Data \$148	Adj -\$62	D ata \$218	Adj \$8				
Cable	1P0 \$0	\$210 no	۵74 no	-\$136 \$0	ο no	-\$144 \$0	\$79 no	-\$131 \$0	۵148 no	-\$6∠ \$0	φ218 no	\$8 \$0				
Internet	\$0 \$0	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Bedrooms	\$200	2	2	\$0 \$0	2	\$0 \$0	2	\$0 \$0	2	\$0 \$0	2	\$0 \$0				
Bathrooms	\$25	1.50	1.50	\$0	2.00	-\$13	2.00	-\$13	1.00	\$13	2.00	-\$13				
Square Feet	\$0.30	947	1030	-\$25	895	\$16	1050	-\$31	960	-\$4	857	\$27				
Visibility	\$100	2.50	3.00	-\$50	2.50	\$0	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50				
Access	\$100	2.00	3.00	-\$100	2.50	-\$50	3.00	-\$100	3.00	-\$100	3.00	-\$100				
Neighborhood	\$100	3.50	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	3.60	-\$10	2.00	\$150				
Area Amenities	\$0	4.50	2.50	\$0	4.40	\$0	2.30	\$0	2.30	\$0	2.80	\$0				
Median HH Income	\$0.0000	\$65,278	\$69,154	\$0	\$69,154	\$0	\$69,154	\$0	\$81,313	\$0	\$37,063	\$0				
Average Commute	\$0	36.35	46.60	\$0	46.60	\$0	46.60	\$0	40.32	\$0	34.99	\$0				
Public Transportation	\$0	na	na	\$0	na	\$0	na	\$0 ©0	na	\$0	na	\$0 \$0				
Personal Crime	\$0	1.2%	1.2%	\$0	1.2%	\$0	1.2%	\$0 \$5	1.4%	\$0 \$5	2.1%	\$0 ©15				
Condition	\$10 \$1.00	4.50	4.50	\$0 \$4	3.50	\$10 \$22	4.00	\$5 \$17	4.00	\$5 \$7	3.00	\$15 \$22				
Effective Age Ball Field	\$1.00 \$2	2022 no	2018 no	\$4 \$0	2000 no	\$22 \$0	2005 no	\$17 \$0	2015 no	\$7 \$0	2000 no	\$22 \$0				
BBQ Area	پ∠ \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Billiards	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Car Care Center	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Community Center	\$10	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$10	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0				
Elevator	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0				
Fitness Center	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2				
Gazebo	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0				
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Lake	\$2 \$2	no	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Library Movie Theatre	\$2 \$2	no	yes	-\$2 -\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Movie Theatre Picnic Area	\$2 \$10	no no	yes no	-\$2 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no yes	\$0 -\$10	no yes	\$0 -\$10	no yes	\$0 -\$10				
Playground	\$10 \$10	yes	no	\$0 \$10	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$10 \$0	no	-\$10 \$10	yes	-\$10 \$0				
Pool	\$10	no	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	yes	-\$10				
Sauna	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Sports Court	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Walking Trail	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	no	\$0				
Blinds	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0				
Ceiling Fans	\$10 ¢0	yes	yes	\$0 ©0	some	\$10 #0	no	\$10 ©	yes	\$0	no	\$10 ©				
Carpeting	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0				
Fireplace Patio/Balcony	\$2 \$2	no yes	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$2	no	\$0 \$0				
Storage	\$∠ \$50	yes	yes no	\$0 \$50	yes no	\$0 \$50	yes yes	\$0 \$0	no no	ъ∠ \$50	yes yes	\$0 \$0				
Stove	\$30 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0				
Refrigerator	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0				
Disposal	\$2 \$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2				
Dishwasher	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0				
Microwave	\$10	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$10	no	\$10	yes	\$0	no	\$10				
Garage	\$50	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Covered	\$20	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Assigned	\$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0				
Open None	\$0 \$0	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0				
None Central	\$0 \$5	no	no	\$0 \$5	no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$5	no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$5				
W/D Units	\$5 \$50	yes no	no yes	ຸສວ -\$50	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes	\$5 -\$50	yes no	\$0 \$0	no	\$5 \$0				
W/D Hookups	\$5 \$5	yes	no	-\$30 \$5	yes	\$0 \$0	no	-\$30 \$5	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0				
Call Buttons	\$3 \$2	no	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 \$0				
Controlled Access	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	yes	-\$2	no	\$0				
Courtesy Officer	\$= \$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Monitoring	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
Security Alarms	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0				
						¢o		^		. .		• · •				
Security Patrols Indicated Rent	\$10	no \$1,000	no \$941	\$0	no \$954	\$0	no \$879	\$0	no \$1,128	\$0	yes \$1,104	-\$10				

Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1270sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1270sf units is found below.

Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 15 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 15 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 15 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

	Rent Conclusion											
	Comparable	Una	adjusted R	ent	Adjusted Rent							
Property-Unit Key	Property Name	Unit Type	Street Rent	Concessions	Net Rent	Gross Adjustments	Net Adjustments	Adjusted Rent	Rank			
Sub-08	Parkside Apartments	3BR-2BA-1270sf	\$900	\$0	\$900	-	\$0	\$900	-			
005-02 005-04 007-01 007-02 008-01 008-02	Arbors (The) at Culpeper Arbors (The) at Culpeper Arbors (The) at Culpeper Aspen Club Apartments Aspen Club Apartments Aspen Village Aspen Village	1BR-1BA-650sf 1BR-1BA-782sf 2BR-1.5BA-1030sf 2BR-2BA-979sf 3BR-2BA-1171sf 3BR-2BA-1336sf 3BR-2BA-1336sf 3BR-2BA-1461sf	\$1,062 \$1,062 \$1,274 \$1,650 \$1,800 \$1,680 \$1,680 \$1,680	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,062 \$1,062 \$1,274 \$1,650 \$1,800 \$1,680 \$1,680	\$1,124 \$1,084 \$785 \$838 \$539 \$566 \$566 \$566	\$246 \$206 -\$68 -\$180 -\$395 -\$372 -\$372 -\$372 -\$409	\$1,308 \$1,268 \$1,207 \$1,470 \$1,405 \$1,308 \$1,308 \$1,271	15 13 11 12 3 4 4 4 6			
	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	2BR-2BA-895sf	\$1,057	\$0	\$1,057	\$687	\$163	\$1,220	7			
	Culpeper Commons Phase 2	3BR-2BA-1101sf	\$1,215	\$0	\$1,215	\$409	-\$83	\$1,132	1			
037-01	Greens At Northridge	1BR-1BA-661sf	\$1,048	\$0	\$1,048	\$1,109	\$211	\$1,259	14			
037-02	Greens At Northridge	2BR-2BA-1050sf	\$1,255	\$0	\$1,255	\$747	-\$111	\$1,144	9			
	Mintbrook Senior Apartments	2BR-1BA-960sf	\$1,315	\$0	\$1,315	\$706	\$78	\$1,393	8			
	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	2BR-2BA-857sf	\$1,053	\$0	\$1,053	\$756	\$316	\$1,369	10			
065-04	Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	3BR-2BA-1069sf	\$1,203	\$0	\$1,203	\$512	\$144	\$1,347	2			

Adjusted Rent, Minimum	\$1,132
Adjusted Rent, Maximum	\$1,470
Adjusted Rent, Average	\$1,294
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average	\$1,293
Rent, Concluded	\$1,300

Our analysis suggests a rent of \$1,300 for the 3BR-2BA-1270sf units at the subject property.

In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1101sf units at Culpeper Commons Phase 2 (Property # 021), the 3BR-2BA-1069sf units at Oakbrook Terrace Apartments (Property # 065), the 3BR-2BA-1171sf units at Aspen Club Apartments (Property # 007), the 3BR-2BA-1336sf units at Aspen Village (Property # 008), and the 3BR-2BA-1461sf units at Aspen Village (Property # 008) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

Comparable		Subject	1		2		3		4		5	
Property-Unit Key		Subject Sub-08	007-02		008-01		008-03		4 021-02		065-04	
Unit Type		3BR-2BA-1270sf	3BR-2BA-117	71sf	3BR-2BA-13	36sf	3BR-2BA-14	61sf	3BR-2BA-110	1sf	3BR-2BA-106	69sf
Property Name		Parkside Apartments	Aspen Club Apa	rtments	Aspen Villa	ge	Aspen Villa	ge	Culpeper Commons Phase 2		Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	
Address		250 Gardner Street	6337 Village Cent		6337 Village Cen		6337 Village Cent		1301 Spring Mead	ow Lane	109 Oakbrook	Drive
City		Culpeper	Bealeton		Bealeton	l	Bealeton		Culpeper		Orange	
State Zip		Virginia 22701	Virginia 22712		Virginia 22712		Virginia 22712		Virginia 22701		Virginia 22960	
Latitude		38.47564	38.58113	3	38.57932)	38.57932		38.48425		38.25706	
Longitude		-78.00188	-77.76483		-77.76279		-77.76279		-77.97945		-78.11925	
Miles to Subject		0.00	14.69		14.76		14.76		1.36		14.05	
Year Built		2023	2001		2003		2003		1998		1999	
Year Rehab		na	2021		na		na		na		na	
Project Rent		Restricted	Restricted	t	Restricted	b	Restricted	ł	Restricted		Restricted	k
Project Type		Family	Family		Family		Family		Family		Family	
Project Status		Prop Const	Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized		Stabilized	
Phone Effective Date		na 21-Feb-23	(540) 340-52 13-Jan-23		(540) 340-52 13-Jan-23		(540) 340-52 13-Jan-23		(540) 829-85 05-Jan-23		(540) 672-67 06-Jan-23	
Effective Date		21-Feb-25	15-Jan-23)	13-3411-23		15-Jan-23)	05-Jan-25		00-Jan-25)
Project Level												
Units		37	108		30		30		20		70	
Vacant Units		37	0		0		0		1		2	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		0%		5%		3%	
Unit Type												
Units		5	12		3		10		6		42	
Vacant Units		5	0		0 0%		0 0%		0		2 5%	
Vacancy Rate		100%	0%		0%		0%		0%		5%	
Street Rent		\$900	\$1,800		\$1,680		\$1,680		\$1,215		\$1,203	
Concessions		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0	
Net Rent		\$900	\$1,800		\$1,680		\$1,680		\$1,215		\$1,203	
	Adj	Data	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj	Data	Adj
Tenant-Paid Utilities	TPU	\$254	\$166	-\$88	\$169	-\$85	\$169	-\$85	\$82	-\$172	\$310	\$56 \$0
Cable	\$0 \$0	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Internet Bedrooms	\$0 \$200	no 3	no 3	\$0 \$0	no 3	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0	no 3	\$0 \$0	no 3	\$0 \$0
Bathrooms	\$25	2.00	2.00	\$0	2.00	\$0	2.00	\$0	2.00	\$0 \$0	2.00	\$0 \$0
Square Feet	\$0.30	1270	1171	\$30	1336	-\$20	1461	-\$57	1101	\$51	1069	\$60
Visibility	\$100	2.50	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50	3.00	-\$50	2.50	\$0	3.00	-\$50
Access	\$100	2.00	3.25	-\$125	3.00	-\$100	3.00	-\$100	2.50	-\$50	3.00	-\$100
Neighborhood	\$100	3.50	4.50	-\$100	4.50	-\$100	4.50	-\$100	3.60	-\$10	2.00	\$150
Area Amenities	\$0	4.50	3.50	\$0	2.90	\$0	2.90	\$0	4.40	\$0	2.80	\$0 \$0
Median HH Income	\$0.0000	\$65,278	\$82,365	\$0 \$0	\$82,365	\$0 \$0	\$82,365	\$0 \$0	\$69,154	\$0	\$37,063	\$0 \$0
Average Commute Public Transportation	\$0 \$0	36.35	45.39	\$0 \$0	45.39	\$0 \$0	45.39	\$0 \$0	46.60	\$0 \$0	34.99	\$0 \$0
Public Transportation Personal Crime	\$0 \$0	na 1.2%	na 0.9%	\$0 \$0	na 0.9%	\$0 \$0	na 0.9%	\$0 \$0	na 1.2%	\$0 \$0	na 2.1%	\$0 \$0
Condition	\$10	4.50	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10	3.50	\$10	3.00	\$15
Effective Age	\$1.00	2022	2000	\$22	2005	\$17	2005	\$17	2000	\$22	2000	\$22
Ball Field	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
BBQ Area	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0
Billiards	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Bus/Comp Center	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0
Car Care Center	\$2 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$0
Community Center Elevator	\$10 \$10	yes no	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$10 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0
Fitness Center	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2
Gazebo	\$10	no	no	\$0	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0
Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Horseshoe Pit	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Lake	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Library	\$2	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0
Movie Theatre	\$2 \$10	no	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$10	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$10
Picnic Area Playground	\$10 \$10	no yes	yes yes	-\$10 \$0	yes yes	-\$10 \$0	yes yes	-\$10 \$0	no yes	\$0 \$0	yes yes	-\$10 \$0
Pool	\$10 \$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	پ 0 -\$10	yes	پ 0 -\$10	yes	\$0 -\$10
Sauna	\$2	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Sports Court	\$10	no	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	yes	-\$10	no	\$0	no	\$0
Walking Trail	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Blinds	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Ceiling Fans	\$10 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	no	\$10 \$0	no	\$10 \$0	some	\$10 \$0	no	\$10 \$0
Carpeting Fireplace	\$2 \$2	yes no	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0
Patio/Balcony	\$2 \$2	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Storage	\$50	yes	yes	\$0	no	\$50	no	\$50	no	\$50	yes	\$0
Stove	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Refrigerator	\$2	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
Disposal	\$2	no	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2	yes	-\$2
Dishwasher Microwaye	\$2 \$10	yes	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$10	yes	\$0 \$10
Microwave Garage	\$10	yes no	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	yes no	\$0 \$0	no	\$10 \$0	no no	\$10 \$0
Covered	\$30 \$20	no	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0	no	\$0 \$0
Assigned	\$10	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Open	\$0	yes	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0	yes	\$0
None	\$0	no	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0
Central	\$5	yes	no	\$5	no	\$5	no	\$5	yes	\$0	no	\$5
W/D Units	\$50	no	yes	-\$50	yes	-\$50	yes	-\$50	no	\$0	no	\$0 \$0
W/D Hookups Call Buttons	\$5 \$2	yes	no	\$5 \$0	no	\$5 \$0	no	\$5 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0	yes	\$0 \$0
Call Buttons Controlled Access		no no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
	(C)		10		no		10		10		10	
	\$2 \$2			\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	<u>\$0</u>	no	\$0
Courtesy Officer Monitoring	\$2 \$2 \$2	no no	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0	no no	\$0 \$0
Courtesy Officer	\$2	no	no									
Courtesy Officer Monitoring	\$2 \$2	no no	no no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0	no	\$0

Restricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were a restricted property:

Restricted Market Rent Conclusion										
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Market						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$775						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$775						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$775						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,000						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,000						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,000						
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,300						
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,300						
Total / Average			37	\$1,014						

Our analysis suggests an average restricted market rent of \$1,014 for the subject property.

We selected a total of 7 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 100 percent.

The occupancy rate of the selected rent compatrables is broken out in the tables below:

	Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables										
	Subsidized	20% of AMI	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	80% of AMI	Market			
0-Bedroom											
1-Bedroom				100%	100%			100%			
2-Bedroom				96%	100%			100%			
3-Bedroom				100%	98%						
4-Bedroom											
Total				98%	100%			100%			

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

	Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties										
	Subsidized	20% of AMI	30% of AMI	40% of AMI	50% of AMI	60% of AMI	80% of AMI	Market			
0-Bedroom											
1-Bedroom	99%		100%	99%	100%		100%	100%			
2-Bedroom	94%		100%	98%	100%		100%	100%			
3-Bedroom	91%			99%	98%		100%	98%			
4-Bedroom											
Total	96%		100%	98%	100%		100%	100%			

Rents at rent restricted properties tend to move with median household incomes for an area. Given HUD's published median incomes, we were able to derive 1, 2 and 3-bedroom 60% of AMI rent limits for the subject's primary market area. According to our analysis, maximum 2-bedroom rents for the area grew from \$963 to \$1347 since 2010. This represents an average 3.3% annual increase over this period.

	Maximum Tax Credit Rents, 60% of AMI										
		Rent		Change							
Year	1BR	2BR	3BR	1BR	2BR	3BR					
2009	\$786	\$944	\$1,090	5.4%	5.5%	5.4%					
2010	\$802	\$963	\$1,112	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%					
2011	\$878	\$1,053	\$1,217	9.5%	9.3%	9.4%					
2012	\$889	\$1,067	\$1,232	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%					
2013	\$902	\$1,083	\$1,251	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%					
2014	\$922	\$1,107	\$1,279	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%					
2015	\$922	\$1,107	\$1,279								
2016	\$870	\$1,044	\$1,206	-5.6%	-5.7%	-5.7%					
2017	\$864	\$1,037	\$1,198	-0.7%	-0.7%	-0.7%					
2018	\$925	\$1,110	\$1,282	7.1%	7.0%	7.0%					
2019	\$911	\$1,094	\$1,264	-1.5%	-1.4%	-1.4%					
2020	\$958	\$1,150	\$1,329	5.2%	5.1%	5.1%					
2021	\$1,014	\$1,216	\$1,406	5.8%	5.7%	5.8%					
2022	\$1,123	\$1,347	\$1,557	10.7%	10.8%	10.7%					

Source: HUD

Achievable Rent Conclusion

The next step in our analysis is to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property.

Our analysis begins by establishing the applicable program rent limits for the subject property. Program rent limits include any applicable LIHTC and FMR rent limits. LIHTC rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from tax credit and/or bond financing. The LIHTC rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

	LIHTC Rent Limits										
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Gross Rent	Utilities	Net Rent					
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$561	\$169	\$392					
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$936	\$169	\$767					
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$1,123	\$169	\$954					
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$674	\$210	\$464					
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,123	\$210	\$913					
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,348	\$210	\$1,138					
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,297	\$254	\$1,043					
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,557	\$254	\$1,303					
Total / Average			37	\$1,165	\$211	\$954					

Our analysis suggests an average net LIHTC rent limit of \$954 for 37 applicable units at the subject property.

FMR rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from HOME funds. The FMR rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

	FMR Rent	Limits				
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Gross Rent	Utilities	Net Rent
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	-	-	-	-
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	-	-	-	-
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	-	-	-	-
Total / Average			-	-	-	-

HOME funding is not proposed for the subject property.

Units benefitting exclusively from tax credits and/or bond financing are subject to LIHTC rent limits. Units benefitting from HOME funds in addition to tax credit and/or bond financing are subject to the lesser of LIHTC rent limits or FMR rent limits. Units benefitting from project-based rental assistance are normally limited to unrestricted market rent. With these parameters in mind, the following table sets forth the concluded program rent limits for applicable units at the subject property:

Program Rent Limits													
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	LIHTC	FMR	Market	Program						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$392	-	\$1,475	\$1,475						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$767	-	-	\$767						
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$954	-	-	\$954						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$464	-	\$1,675	\$1,675						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$913	-	-	\$913						
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,138	-	-	\$1,138						
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,043	-	-	\$1,043						
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,303	-	-	\$1,303						
Total / Average			37	\$954	-	\$1,575	\$1,140						

Our analysis suggests an average program rent limit of \$1,140 for 37 applicable units at the subject property.

Now that we have established program rent limits, we are in a position to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering unrestricted and restricted market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property. The following table summarizes our findings:

Achievable Rents													
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Program	Unrestricted	Restricted	Achievable	Proposed	Advantage				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,475	\$1,475	\$775	\$1,475	\$653	55.7%				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$767	\$1,475	\$775	\$767	\$600	21.8%				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$954	\$1,475	\$775	\$775	\$625	19.4%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	Yes	3	\$1,675	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$1,675	\$871	48.0%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$913	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$913	\$750	17.9%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,138	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$775	22.5%				
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,043	\$1,825	\$1,300	\$1,043	\$850	18.5%				
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,303	\$1,825	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$900	30.8%				
Total / Average			37	\$1,140	\$1,666	\$1,014	\$1,088	\$770	29.2%				

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of \$1,088 for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of \$770, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 29.2 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area.

Finally, assuming no rent subsidies, we arrive at the following achievable rents for units at this property:

Achievable Rents, No Rent Subsidies													
Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit	HOME	Subsidized	Units	Program	Unrestricted	Restricted	Achievable	Proposed	Advantage				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	No	3	\$392	\$1,475	\$775	\$392	\$653	-66.6%				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	1	\$767	\$1,475	\$775	\$767	\$600	21.8%				
1BR-1BA-742sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	3	\$954	\$1,475	\$775	\$775	\$625	19.4%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 30% of AMI / 30% of AMI	No	No	3	\$464	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$464	\$871	-87.7%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	10	\$913	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$913	\$750	17.9%				
2BR-1.5BA-947sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	10	\$1,138	\$1,675	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$775	22.5%				
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 50% of AMI / 50% of AMI	No	No	2	\$1,043	\$1,825	\$1,300	\$1,043	\$850	18.5%				
3BR-2BA-1270sf / 60% of AMI / 60% of AMI	No	No	5	\$1,303	\$1,825	\$1,300	\$1,300	\$900	30.8%				
Total / Average			37	\$954	\$1,666	\$1,014	\$902	\$770	14.6%				

DEMAND ANALYSIS

Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the recommended demand methodology promulgated by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as the number of income-qualified renter households (by household size and unit type) that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of the developer's proposed rents or achievable rents.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

	2023	\$				2025			
Min		Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	Total
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6	593
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31	1,607
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47	2,609
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92	3,713
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281	4,882
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324	5,594
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396	6,706
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483	7,657
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551	8,572
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558	9,035
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576	9,333
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585	9,653

Source: ESRI & Ribbon Demographics

Our analysis includes an estimate of demand along with capture rate and penetration rate estimates. Capture rates were computed two ways: (1) On a <u>gross</u> basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand) and (2) On a <u>net</u> basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing & pipeline units). Penetration rates are defined as the number of proposed units plus competing & pipeline units divided by incomequalified demand. In the following pages we provide detailed listings of competing & pipeline units in the market area broken by unit type.

rview Renovated 2015 2018 2020 2021 na 2003 1991 2015	Rent Type Subsidized Restricted Market Rate Restricted Restricted Restricted	Occ Type Family Family Family Family Family	Status Stabilized Stabilized Stabilized Stabilized	Sub 8	30%	40% 8	Total 50%	Units 60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Sub	30%	40%	Vacan 50%	t Units 60%	70%	80%	Mkt
2015 2018 2020 2021 na 2003 1991 2015	Subsidized Restricted Market Rate Restricted Restricted	Family Family Family Family	Stabilized Stabilized Stabilized		30%		50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
2018 2020 2021 na 2003 1991 2015	Restricted Market Rate Restricted Restricted	Family Family Family	Stabilized Stabilized	8		0													
2020 2021 na 2003 1991 2015	Market Rate Restricted Restricted	Family Family	Stabilized			0													
2021 na 2003 1991 2015	Restricted Restricted	Family				0													
na 2003 1991 2015	Restricted		Stabilized								40								
2003 1991 2015		Family	Stabilized																
1991 2015	Restricted	i anny	Stabilized																
2015		Family	Stabilized	22			2					1							
	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								4								
	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								20								
1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				4												
2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					12											
na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const				7	5							7	5			
2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				18												
2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				2												
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	26															
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								25								
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const			3	8	7						3	8	7			
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								16								
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					8											
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								4								
2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								48								
na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								45								
2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	2			10								1				
na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	18						12									
	Market Rate	Fomily	Ctabilized								2								
na	mainer hale	Family	Stabilized								2								
na 2018	Market Rate	Family Family	Stabilized								2								
	2018 na na na na na na na 2018 na 2008 na	2018RestrictednaRestrictednaMarket RatenaRestrictednaRestrictednaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket Rate2018Market RateaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RatenaMarket RateaRestrictednaRestricted	2018 Restricted Family na Market Rate Family na Restricted Family <td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyProp ConstnaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized</td> <td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyProp Const26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018naMarket RateFamilyStabilized208naMarket RateFamilyStabilized22naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2</td> <td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyProp ConstnaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2008RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized2008RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized18Stabilized18</td> <td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestricted<td< td=""><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestric</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized38naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized88naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized18</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452018Market RateFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1616naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized45naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1212</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized253naMarket RateFamilyProp Const3873naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16316naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4552008RestrictedFamilyStabilized1212</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2626naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized263naMarket RateFamilyProp Const387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized161naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized411naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized<t< td=""><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized416naMarket RateFamilyStabilized442018Market RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized9naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized43naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1<</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized481naMarket RateFamilyStabilized482018Market RateFamilyStabilized482008RestrictedFamilyStabilized41</td></t<></td></td<></td>	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyProp ConstnaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyProp Const26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018naMarket RateFamilyStabilized208naMarket RateFamilyStabilized22naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyProp ConstnaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2008RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized2008RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized18Stabilized18	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestricted <td< td=""><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestric</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized38naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized88naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized18</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452018Market RateFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1616naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized45naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1212</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized253naMarket RateFamilyProp Const3873naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16316naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4552008RestrictedFamilyStabilized1212</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2626naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized263naMarket RateFamilyProp Const387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized161naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized411naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized<t< td=""><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized416naMarket RateFamilyStabilized442018Market RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized9naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized43naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1<</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized481naMarket RateFamilyStabilized482018Market RateFamilyStabilized482008RestrictedFamilyStabilized41</td></t<></td></td<>	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilized2018Market RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestric	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized38naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized88naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized10naMarket RateFamilyStabilized18	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaMarket RateFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrictedFamilyStabilizednaRestrict	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naMarket RateFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452018Market RateFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized12	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1616naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4naMarket RateFamilyStabilized42018Market RateFamilyStabilized45naMarket RateFamilyStabilized452008RestrictedFamilyStabilized210naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1212	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2525naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized253naMarket RateFamilyProp Const3873naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16316naMarket RateFamilyStabilized84naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized44naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4848naMarket RateFamilyStabilized4552008RestrictedFamilyStabilized1212	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2626naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized2625naRestrictedFamilyStabilized263naMarket RateFamilyProp Const387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized161naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized811naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized441naMarket RateFamilyStabilized411naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naMarket RateFamilyStabilized <t< td=""><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized416naMarket RateFamilyStabilized442018Market RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized9naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized43naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1<</td><td>2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized481naMarket RateFamilyStabilized482018Market RateFamilyStabilized482008RestrictedFamilyStabilized41</td></t<>	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naMarket RateFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized816naMarket RateFamilyStabilized416naMarket RateFamilyStabilized442018Market RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized11naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized8naMarket RateFamilyStabilized9naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized48naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1naRestrictedFamilyStabilized1naMarket RateFamilyStabilized43naMarket RateFamilyStabilized1<	2018RestrictedFamilyStabilized2naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naMarket RateFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized26naRestrictedFamilyStabilized25naRestrictedFamilyStabilized387naMarket RateFamilyStabilized16naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized81naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized41naMarket RateFamilyStabilized481naMarket RateFamilyStabilized482018Market RateFamilyStabilized482008RestrictedFamilyStabilized41

opting & Dipolino Lipite 1 Rodroom Lipit ~

				(Competing & Pip	eline Unit	s, 2-Bedı	oom Unit	S												
		/erview								Units								t Units			
Key Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
001 Academy Hill Apartments	1983	2015	Subsidized	Family	Stabilized	19															
003 Ann Wingfield Commons	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized			3	11	10											
006 Aspen Apartments South	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								60								
007 Aspen Club Apartments	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					96											
008 Aspen Village	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
011 Belle Courts	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	73			15					6			2				
015 Brandywine Apartments	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								24								
018 Chestnut Forks	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								32								
019 Countryside Townhomes	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					8											
020 Culpeper Commons Phase 1	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				88												
021 Culpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				14								1				
022 Culpeper Crossing	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				13	11											
034 Grandview Apartments	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								19								
037 Greens At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					72											
049 Lightfoot Apartments	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const				17	19							17	19			
056 Meadowbrook Heights	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				19												
058 Millview Apartments	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				15	11											
060 Mountain Run Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				24												
061 Mountain View	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								51								
065 Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				8	8											
066 Madison Raod Apartments	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const					3								3			
072 Parkview Apartments	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								16								
074 Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Sunset	na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								3								
076 Poplar Ridge	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					8											
077 Redbud Street Apartments	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								42								
079 Remington Gardens	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								28								
081 Round Hill Meadows	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				42												
082 Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								24								
085 Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								68								
088 Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								45								
089 Spicer's Mill Apartments	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	3			25					1			2				
095 Village of Culpeper	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	16						11									
096 Williams Street Apartment	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	-							10								
097 Woodscape Apartments	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								90								
Total				,		111		3	291	246		11	512	7			22	22			

Competing & Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

Source: Allen & Associates

					Competing & Pip	eline Unit	s, 3-Beo	Iroom Unit													
		verview								Units								it Units			
Key Property Name	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Status	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
001 Academy Hill Apartments	1983	2015	Subsidized	Family	Stabilized	4															
003 Ann Wingfield Commons	1935	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					10											
006 Aspen Apartments South	1983	2020	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
007 Aspen Club Apartments	2001	2021	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					12											
008 Aspen Village	2003	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					30											
011 Belle Courts	1975	2003	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	27			15					3			1				
015 Brandywine Apartments	1939	1991	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
018 Chestnut Forks	1970	2015	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
019 Countryside Townhomes	1989	1999	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
020 Culpeper Commons Phase 1	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				34												
021 Culpeper Commons Phase 2	1998	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				6												
022 Culpeper Crossing	1939	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
034 Grandview Apartments	1985	2008	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								4								
037 Greens At Northridge	2005	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized					24											
049 Lightfoot Apartments	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const				6	6							6	6			
056 Meadowbrook Heights	1986	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				5												
058 Millview Apartments	1974	2018	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
060 Mountain Run Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
061 Mountain View	1969	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								10								
065 Oakbrook Terrace Apartments	1999	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				12	42								2			
066 Madison Raod Apartments	2023	na	Restricted	Family	Prop Const																
072 Parkview Apartments	2005	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								32								1
074 Piedmont Realty Of Virginia - Suns	et na	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
076 Poplar Ridge	1994	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
077 Redbud Street Apartments	1985	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								3								
079 Remington Gardens	1974	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
081 Round Hill Meadows	2013	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized				18												
082 Round Hill Meadows Place	2013	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								12								
085 Southridge Apartments Homes	1989	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized								12								
088 Spark Culpeper Apartments	1988	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized	1							120								3
089 Spicer's Mill Apartments	1987	2008	Restricted	Family	Stabilized																
095 Village of Culpeper	1978	na	Restricted	Family	Stabilized	2						2									
096 Williams Street Apartment	1965	na	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
097 Woodscape Apartments	1985	2018	Market Rate	Family	Stabilized																
Total				,		33			96	124		2	193	3			7	8			4

Source: Allen & Associates

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 30% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 30% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details	8
Target Population	Family Households
Unit Type	1-Bedroom
Rent Type	Subsidized
Income Limit	30% of AMI
Total Units	3
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3
Minimum Qualified	Income
Net Rent	\$0
Utilities	\$169
Gross Rent	\$169
Income Qualification Ratio	35%
Minimum Qualified Income	\$483
Months/Year	12
Minimum Qualified Income	\$5,794

Renter Households,	hv	Income	hy Size
Trenter Flousenoius	IJУ	meonie,	Dy OIZC

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

	Maximu	m Allowable	Income			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Maximum Allowable Income	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	\$32,340	\$34,740

Size Qualified						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Demand Estimate						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	963	319	0	0	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	244	34	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	720	285	0	0	0	0
	Demand Estimate		1,005			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,005 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 1 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	50% of AMI					
Total Units	1					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	1					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$600					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$769					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,197					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$26,366					

Renter Households,	hv	Income	hy Size
	, Dy	meonie,	Dy OIZC

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

Maximum Allowable Income							
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person							
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900	
Size Qualified							

		Size Qualifie	d			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Demand Estimate						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,512	662	0	0	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	1,177	367	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	335	295	0	0	0	0
	Demand Estimate		630			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 630 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	60% of AMI					
Total Units	3					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$625					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$794					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,269					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$27,223					

Renter Households,	hv	Income	hy Size
	, Dy	meonie,	Dy OIZC

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

Maximum Allowable Income							
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person							
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480	
Size Qualified							

		Size Qualifie	d			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Demand Estimate						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,766	927	0	0	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	1,216	386	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	550	541	0	0	0	0
	Demand Estimate			1,091		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,091 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 30% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 30% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	2-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Subsidized						
Income Limit	30% of AMI						
Total Units	3						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3						
Minimum Qualified Income							
Net Rent	\$0						
Utilities	\$210						
Gross Rent	\$210						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$600						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$7,200						

Renter Households,	hv	Income	by Size
Trenter Flousenoius	, Dy	meonie,	by Olze

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

Maximum Allowable Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
Maximum Allowable Income	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	\$32,340	\$34,740	

Size Qualified										
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No				
	Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
HH Below Maximum Income	963	319	354	275	0	0				
HH Below Minimum Income	310	43	40	11	0	0				
Subtotal	653	276	314	264	0	0				
	Demand Estimate		1,507							

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,507 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	2-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	50% of AMI							
Total Units	10							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10							
Minimum Qualified	d Income							
Net Rent	\$750							
Utilities	\$210							
Gross Rent	\$960							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,743							
Months/Year	12							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$32,914							

Renter Households,	bv	Income.	by Size
	IJУ	meonie,	by 0120

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

Maximum Allowable Income								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900		

Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
HH Below Maximum Income	1,512	662	723	512	0	0			
HH Below Minimum Income	1,432	501	501	312	0	0			
Subtotal	80	161	223	200	0	0			
	Demand Estimate		664						

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 664 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	2-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	60% of AMI							
Total Units	10							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10							
Minimum Qualified Income								
Net Rent	\$775							
Utilities	\$210							
Gross Rent	\$985							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,814							
Months/Year	12							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$33,771							

Renter Households,	hv	Income	by Size
Trenter Flousenoius	, Dy	meonie,	by Olze

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480				
Size Qualified										
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No				

Demand Estimate							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
HH Below Maximum Income	1,766	927	801	561	0	0	
HH Below Minimum Income	1,472	524	525	324	0	0	
Subtotal	294	403	276	237	0	0	
	Demand Es	timate		1,210			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,210 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	3-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Restricted						
Income Limit	50% of AMI						
Total Units	2						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	2						
Minimum Qualified In	come						
Net Rent	\$850						
Utilities	\$254						
Gross Rent	\$1,104						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,154						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$37,851						

Renter Households,	hv	Income	by Size
Renter Flousenoius	, Dy	meonie,	by Olze

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900
	:	Size Qualifie	d			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	De	emand Estim	ate			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	0	662	723	512	364	0

Demand Estimate

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 536 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Subtotal

HH Below Minimum Income

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 5 units, 5 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2025. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	3-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	60% of AMI							
Total Units	5							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	5							
Minimum Qualified Income								
Net Rent	\$900							
Utilities	\$254							
Gross Rent	\$1,154							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,297							
Months/Year	12							

Minimum Qualified Income

\$39.566

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585

Maximum Allowable Income									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480			
Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			

Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No				
	Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
HH Below Maximum Income	1,766	927	801	561	431	0				
HH Below Minimum Income	1,712	662	673	395	127	0				
Subtotal	54	265	128	166	304	0				
	Demand Es	timate		916						

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 916 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	2023 to to	\$ \$9,999	1 Person					
\$0 \$0		000 0¢		2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	49,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
	10	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585
			Demand	Estimate, Su	ubsidized			
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Income, 0BR			-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 1BR		\$20,970	\$23,970	-	-	-	-	
Maximum Income, 2BR		\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	-	-	
Maximum Income, 3BR		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Aaximum Inco	ome, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
laximum Allo	wable Inc	come	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	-	-
/linimum Inco	me, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
/linimum Inco	me, 1BR		\$5,794	\$5,794	-	-	-	-
/linimum Inco	me, 2BR		\$7,200	\$7,200	\$7,200	\$7,200	-	-
/linimum Inco	me, 3BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
/linimum Inco	me, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
/linimum Qua	lified Inco	ome	\$5,794	\$5,794	\$7,200	\$7,200	-	-
H Below Up	per Incom	e	963	319	354	275	0	0
H Below Lov			244	34	40	11	0	0
Subtotal			720	285	314	264	0	0

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,583 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 50% of AMI at the subject property.

			Renter House	2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585
		De	emand Estim	ate, Restrict	ed, 50% of A	MI		
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Ir	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 1BR		\$34,950	\$39,950	-	-	-	-
Maximum Ir	come, 2BR		\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	-	-
Maximum Ir	icome, 3BR		-	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
Maximum Ir	come, 4BR		-	_	_	_	_	-
Maximum A	llowable Inc	ome	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In			\$26,366	\$26,366	-	-	-	-
Minimum In			\$32,914	\$32,914	\$32,914	\$32,914	-	-
Minimum In			-	\$37,851	\$37,851	\$37,851	\$37,851	-
Minimum In	•		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ualified Inco	me	\$26,366	\$26,366	\$32,914	\$32,914	\$37,851	-
HH Below L	lpper Incom	е	1,512	662	723	512	364	0
	ower Incom		1,177	367	501	312	114	0
Subtotal				295	223	200	250	0
			Demand Est	imate		1,303		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,303 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 60% of AMI at the subject property.

		F	Renter House	holds, by Inc 2025	come, by Siz	е		
	2023	\$	1 Person	2025 2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585
		De	emand Estim	ate. Restrict	ed. 60% of A	MI		
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Ir	ncome, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 1BR			\$41,940	\$47,940	-	-	-	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 2BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	-	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 3BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 4BR		-	_	-	-	-	-
	llowable Inc		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 1BR		\$27,223	\$27,223	-	-	-	-
	come, 2BR		\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	-	-
	come, 3BR		\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	-
	come, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ualified Inco	me	\$27,223	\$27,223	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$39,566	-
HH Below l	Jpper Incom	e	1,766	927	801	561	431	0
	ower Incom		1,216	386	525	324	127	0
Subtotal				541	276	237	304	0
			Demand Est	timate		1,908		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,908 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

				2025				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	443	61	57	16	11	6
\$0	to	\$19,999	944	252	196	140	45	31
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,332	443	439	282	66	47
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,732	673	685	401	130	92
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,958	1,011	770	518	343	281
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,263	1,183	858	563	402	324
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,438	1,491	1,088	797	497	396
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,654	1,670	1,251	991	608	483
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,759	2,119	1,350	1,106	688	551
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,850	2,245	1,559	1,121	703	558
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,933	2,350	1,612	1,134	727	576
\$0	or	more	3,051	2,423	1,639	1,218	738	585
			Demand E	Estimate, Pro	ject-Level			
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
Maximum In	icome, Sub	sidized	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	-	-
Maximum In	100 come, 30	6 of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	come, 40%	6 of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	come, 50%	6 of AMI	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
Maximum In	100 come, 60	6 of AMI	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Maximum In	10% icome, 70%	6 of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	icome, 80%	6 of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	icome, Mar	ket Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum A	llowable Ind	come	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Minimum In	come, Subs	sidized	\$5,794	\$5,794	\$7,200	\$7,200	-	-
Minimum In	come, 30%	of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In	come, 40%	of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Ine	come, 50%	of AMI	\$26,366	\$26,366	\$32,914	\$32,914	\$37,851	-
Minimum In	come, 60%	of AMI	\$27,223	\$27,223	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$39,566	-
Minimum In	come, 70%	of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In	come, 80%	of AMI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In	come, Marl	ket Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Qu	ualified Inco	ome	\$5,794	\$5,794	\$7,200	\$7,200	\$37,851	-
HH Below U	lpper Incom	ne	1,766	927	801	561	431	0
HH Below L	ower Incom	ne	244	34	40	11	114	0
Subtotal			1,522	893	761	550	317	0
			Demand Est	imate		4,042		

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 4,042 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Capture Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the capture rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

	Subject Property Units (Total)											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot			
0BR												
1BR	3			1	3				7			
2BR	3			10	10				23			
3BR				2	5				7			
4BR												
Tot	6			13	18				37			

	Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot			
0BR												
1BR	3			1	3				7			
2BR	3			10	10				23			
3BR				2	5				7			
4BR												
Tot	6			13	18				37			

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

	Gross Demand											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot			
0BR												
1BR	1,005			630	1,091				2,726			
2BR	1,507			664	1,210				3,381			
3BR				536	916				1,452			
4BR												
Tot	1,583			1,303	1,908				4,042			

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 25 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		
0BR											
1BR	0.3%			0.2%	0.3%				0.3%		
2BR	0.2%			1.5%	0.8%				0.7%		
3BR				0.4%	0.5%				0.5%		
4BR											
Tot	0.4%			1.0%	0.9%				0.9%		

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Gross Demand)

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing & pipeline units in the market area by

unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing & pipeline units is found below.

	vacant Competing & Pipeline Units											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot			
0BR												
1BR	1			16	12				29			
2BR	7			22	22				51			
3BR				7	8				15			
4BR												
Tot	8			45	42				95			

Vacant Competing & Pipeline Units

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing & pipeline units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

Net Demand (Gloss Demand - Vacant Competing & Fipeline Onits)										
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot	
0BR										
1BR	1,004			614	1,079				2,697	
2BR	1,500			642	1,188				3,330	
3BR				529	908				1,437	
4BR										
Tot	1,575			1,258	1,866				3,947	

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. A capture rate in excess of 20 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot			
0BR												
1BR	0.3%			0.2%	0.3%				0.3%			
2BR	0.2%			1.6%	0.8%				0.7%			
3BR				0.4%	0.6%				0.5%			
4BR												
Tot	0.4%			1.0%	1.0%				0.9%			

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand)

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Penetration Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the penetration rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

Subject Property Units (Total)											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot		
0BR											
1BR	3			1	3				7		
2BR	3			10	10				23		
3BR				2	5				7		
4BR											
Tot	6			13	18				37		

_		Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)								
		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
	0BR									
	1BR	3			1	3				7
	2BR	3			10	10				23
	3BR				2	5				7
_	4BR									
	Tot	6			13	18				37

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

				Gross I	Demand				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
0BR									
1BR	1,005			630	1,091				2,726
2BR	1,507			664	1,210				3,381
3BR				536	916				1,452
4BR									
Tot	1,583			1,303	1,908				4,042

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of competing & pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to derive our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of competing & pipeline units is found below.

			C	competing &	Pipeline Un	its			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
0BR									
1BR	76			51	32				159
2BR	111			291	246				648
3BR				96	124				220
4BR									
Tot	188			438	402				1,028

The next step in our analysis is to compute inclusive supply for the market area by unit/income type. Inclusive

supply will be taken into account in our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. For purposes of this estimate, inclusive supply consists of vacant subject property units plus competing & pipeline units.

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot
0BR									
1BR	79			52	35				166
2BR	114			301	256				671
3BR				98	129				227
4BR									
Tot	194			451	420				1,065

Inclusive Supply (Subject Property Units + Competing & Pipeline Units)

The next step in our analysis is to compute the penetration rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, penetration rate is defined as inclusive supply divided by gross demand. A penetration rate in excess of 100 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

	r chetration rates (inclusive oupply / Gloss Demand)									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	Tot	
0BR										
1BR	7.9%			8.3%	3.2%				6.1%	
2BR	7.6%			45.3%	21.2%				19.9%	
3BR				18.3%	14.1%				15.6%	
4BR										
Tot	12.2%			34.6%	22.0%				26.3%	

Penetration Rates (Inclusive Supply / Gross Demand)

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption Period

In this section, we estimate the absorption period for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

	Subject Property Units (Total)												
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt					
0BR													
1BR	3			1	3								
2BR	3			10	10								
3BR				2	5								
4BR													

Subject	Property Units (Vacant at Market F	-ntrv)

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
0BR											
1BR	3			1	3						
2BR	3			10	10						
3BR				2	5						
4BR											

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Our analysis uses the unit-level demand estimates derived previously.

				Gross Deman	d			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	1,005			630	1,091			
2BR	1,507			664	1,210			
3BR				536	916			
4BR								

The next step in our analysis is to apply an annual growth & movership rate to derive an annual rental household growth & movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

Annual Growth & Move	ership Rate
Growth	0.8%
Movership	28.0%
Total	28.7%

Growth & Movership Estimate

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	289			181	314			
2BR	433			191	348			
3BR				154	263			
4BR								

The next step in our analysis is to account for secondary market area migration in our annual rental household growth & movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

Secondary Market Area 20%

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	361			226	392			
2BR	541			239	435			
3BR				193	329			
4BR								

The next step in our analysis is to estimate fair share, or the proportion of growth and movership that we would expect the subject property to capture. The fair share analysis is used extensively in single-family, multifamily, commercial, and retail market studies. The books entitled <u>Market Analysis for Valuation Appraisals</u> (1994, Appraisal Institute) and <u>Market Analysis and Highest & Best Use</u> (2005, Appraisal institute) provide a good overview of this technique and its application to a variety of property types.

Based on our review of the subject and competing properties, along with their relative conditions/locations, we arrive at the following fair share estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

			Cor	mpeting Prope	rties			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	5		2	7	4		1	9
2BR	4		1	12	10		1	14
3BR	3			7	6		1	7
4BR								

				Fair Share				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	50.0%			20.0%	15.0%			
2BR	50.0%			20.0%	15.0%			
3BR				20.0%	15.0%			
4BR								

Applying the concluded fair share estimates to annual growth & movership and dividing by twelve yields the following monthly absorption rate estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

Monthly Absorption Rate Estimate								
30%	40%	50%	60%	70%				
					Ī			

0BR						
1BR	15.0		3.8	4.9		
2BR	22.6		4.0	5.4		
3BR			3.2	4.1		
4BR						

The next step in our analysis is to estimate stabilized occupancy by unit/income type for the subject property. These estimates, which were based on data previously presented in the supply analysis and rent comparability analysis sections of this report, are found below.

	Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy							
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	99%		100%	97%	100%		100%	100%
2BR	94%		100%	98%	100%		100%	100%
3BR	91%			99%	98%		100%	98%
4BR								

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy

Sub

80%

Mkt

			Occupancy	Rate, Select C	Comparables			
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR				100%	100%			100%
2BR				96%	100%			100%
3BR				100%	98%			98%
4BR								

Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	97%			97%	97%			
2BR	97%			97%	97%			
3BR				97%	97%			
4BR								

Applying the stabilized occupancy rate estimates to the number of vacant subject property units at market entry, yields the number of occupied units by unit/income type at stabilization as set forth below.

Occupied Units at Stabilization

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
0BR								
1BR	3			1	3			
2BR	3			10	10			
3BR				2	5			
4BR								

Dividing the number of occupied units at stabilization by the monthly absorption rate yields an absorption period estimate by unit/income type for the various units at the subject property. Underwriters often utilize absorption period limits of 12 to 18 months for projects similar to the subject property. Our absorption period estimates are found below.

Absorption Period (Month's to Stabilization)									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	
0BR									
1BR	<1			<1	<1				
2BR	<1			2	2				
3BR				<1	1				
4BR									

Absorption Period (Months to Stabilization)

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy. We estimate 2 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 14.7 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.


Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

As part of our analysis, we inquired about the absorption history for every property we surveyed. The following list summarizes our findings:

Key	Project	Built	Renovated	Rent Type	Осс Туре	Tot Units	Ab Rte
038	Hawk's Landing	2020	na	Restricted	Family	50	12.4
050	Lily Ridge	2015	na	Restricted	Family	48	16.0
094	Treetops at Terrace Greene Apartments Phase 2	2019	na	Market Rate	Family	90	4.9

Absorption Analysis

In this section, we analyze the anticipated lease up for the subject property. We begin our analysis by taking the the absorption period conclusions from the previous section and restating them graphically as illustrated below.




Our analysis suggests that the subject property will achieve 70 percent occupancy in 0 months, 80 percent occupancy in 1 months, and 90 percent occupancy in 1 months. We anticipate that the subject property will stabilize at 97 percent occupancy in 2 months.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

Sensitivity Analysis

We also explored the relationship between rent level, capture rates, penetration rates, and absorption period. For purposes of this analysis, we forecasted demand and fill rates at 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% and 100% of achievable rent (derived earlier in this report). Our analysis is summarized below:



Our analysis suggests the following relationship between rent levels and fill rates: At the developer's proposed rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period; at 100% of achievable rent we anticipate a 10-month absorption period; at 75% of achievable rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period.

VHDA DEMAND ANALYSIS

Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the VHDA demand methodology. For purposes of this analysis, we define VHDA demand as the number of new income-qualified and existing income-qualified overburdened and substandard renter households that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of achievable rents or the sponsor's proposed rents. Our analysis accounts for any rent subsidies for the subject property.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

			Ne	nter Housend	ius, by incom				
	2023	\$				2023			
Min		Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	Total
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5	571
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30	1,548
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45	2,513
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88	3,576
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271	4,702
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312	5,388
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381	6,460
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465	7,375
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531	8,257
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537	8,703
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555	8,989
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563	9,298

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

Source: ESRI & Ribbon Demographics

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Detail	S
Target Population	Family Households
Unit Type	1-Bedroom
Rent Type	Subsidized
Income Limit	60% of AMI
Total Units	3
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3
Minimum Qualified	d Income
Net Rent	\$0
Utilities	\$169
Gross Rent	\$169
Income Qualification Ratio	35%
Minimum Qualified Income	\$483
Months/Year	12
Minimum Qualified Income	\$5,794

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480		

		Size Qualifie	d				
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	
Demand Estimate							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	0	0	0	0	
HH Below Minimum Income	234	32	0	0	0	0	
Subtotal	1,466	861	0	0	0	0	
Demand Estimate							

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 2,327 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 1 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	50% of AMI					
Total Units	1					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	1					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$600					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$769					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,197					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$26,366					

Renter Households	bv	Income	by Size
	, юу	moonic,	by OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900

Size Qualified							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	
	Demand Estimate						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
HH Below Maximum Income	1,456	637	0	0	0	0	
HH Below Minimum Income	1,134	353	0	0	0	0	
Subtotal	322	284	0	0	0	0	
	Demand Estimate			606			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 606 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	60% of AMI					
Total Units	3					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$625					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$794					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,269					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$27,223					

Renter Households,	hv	Income	hy Size
	, Dy	meonie,	Dy OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income						
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person						
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480
Size Qualified						

		Size Qualifie	d			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
	De	emand Estim	ate			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	0	0	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	1,171	372	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	530	521	0	0	0	0
Demand Estimate			1,051			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,051 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Subsidized, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Subsidized / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details					
Target Population	Family Households				
Unit Type	2-Bedroom				
Rent Type	Subsidized				
Income Limit	60% of AMI				
Total Units	3				
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3				
Minimum Qualified In	come				
Net Rent	\$0				
Utilities	\$210				
Gross Rent	\$210				
Income Qualification Ratio	35%				
Minimum Qualified Income	\$600				
Months/Year	12				
Minimum Qualified Income	\$7,200				

Renter Households,	hy Income	hy Size
Renter Flousenoids,	Dy Income	, by Size

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income						
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Perso									
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480			
Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			

	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	771	541	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	298	41	39	11	0	0
Subtotal	1,403	852	733	530	0	0

Demand Estimate

3,517

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 3,517 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	2-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Restricted						
Income Limit	50% of AMI						
Total Units	10						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10						
Minimum Qualified I	ncomo						
Net Rent							
	\$750						
Utilities	\$210						
Gross Rent	\$960						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,743						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$32,914						

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person								
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900		

Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No			
	De	emand Estim	ate						
	1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person								
HH Below Maximum Income	1,456	637	696	493	0	0			
HH Below Minimum Income	1,379	482	482	301	0	0			
Subtotal	77	155	215	193	0	0			
	Demand Es	timate		639					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 639 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	2-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	60% of AMI							
Total Units	10							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10							
Minimum Qualified Inco	ome							
Net Rent	\$775							
Utilities	\$210							
Gross Rent	\$985							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,814							
Months/Year	12							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$33,771							

Renter Households,	bv	Income.	by Size
	N y	moonio,	0,0120

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person								
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480		
Size Qualified								
	1 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4.5		<u> </u>		

	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	De	emand Estimation	ate			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	771	541	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	1,418	504	505	312	0	0
Subtotal	283	388	266	229	0	0
	Demand Es	timate		1,166		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,166 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	3-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	50% of AMI							
Total Units	2							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	2							
Minimum Qualified Inco	me							
Net Rent	\$850							
Utilities	\$254							
Gross Rent	\$1,104							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,154							
Months/Year	12							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$37,851							

Renter Households	bv	Income	by Size
	, юу	moonie,	Dy OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900				
Size Qualified										
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No				
	De	mand Estimation	ate							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
HH Below Maximum Income	0	637	696	493	351	0				
HH Below Minimum Income	0	593	601	358	110	0				

44

96

Demand Estimate

0

517

241

136

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 517 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Subtotal

0

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 5 units, 5 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details									
Target Population	Family Households								
Unit Type	3-Bedroom								
Rent Type	Restricted								
Income Limit	60% of AMI								
Total Units	5								
Vacant Units at Market Entry	5								
Minimum Qualified Income									
Net Rent	\$900								
Utilities	\$254								
Gross Rent	\$1,154								
Income Qualification Ratio	35%								
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,297								
Months/Year	12								

Minimum Qualified Income

\$39.566

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income						
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Pe									
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480			
	5	Size Qualifie	d						

			<i>.</i>						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	771	541	415	0			
HH Below Minimum Income	1,649	637	648	380	122	0			
Subtotal	52	256	123	161	293	0			
	Demand Es	timate		884					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 884 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Subsidized

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the subsidized units at the subject property.

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
			Demand	Estimate, Su	ubsidized			
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Income, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Maximum In	come, 1BF	R	\$41,940	\$47,940	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	come, 2BF	R	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	-	-
Maximum In	come, 3BF	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum In	come, 4BF	R	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum A	llowable Ind	come	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	-	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In			\$5,794	\$5,794	-	-	-	-
Minimum In			\$7,200	\$7,200	\$7,200	\$7,200	-	-
Minimum In	come, 3BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum In			-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Qu			\$5,794	\$5,794	\$7,200	\$7,200	-	-
HH Below U	pper Incom	ne	1,701	892	771	541	0	0
HH Below L			234	32	39	11	0	0
Subtotal			1,466	861	733	530	0	0
			Demand Est	imate		3,589		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 3,589 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 50% of AMI at the subject property.

to to to to to to to to to or	\$ \$9,999 \$19,999 \$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$74,999 \$124,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more De	1 Person 426 910 1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	2 Person 58 242 427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264 2,333	3 Person 55 188 422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553 1,579	4 Person 16 134 272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092 1,173	5 Person 11 43 63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700 711	6+ Persor 5 30 45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555 563
to to to to to to to to to or	\$19,999 \$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	910 1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	242 427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	188 422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	134 272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	43 63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	30 45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to to to or	\$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to to or	\$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to or	\$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	331 388 479 586 662 677 700	271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to or	\$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	388 479 586 662 677 700	312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to or	\$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	479 586 662 677 700	381 465 531 537 555
to to to to or	\$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	954 1,065 1,080 1,092	586 662 677 700	465 531 537 555
to to or	\$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	2,041 2,163 2,264	1,300 1,501 1,553	1,065 1,080 1,092	662 677 700	531 537 555
to to or	\$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,745 2,825 2,938	2,163 2,264	1,501 1,553	1,080 1,092	677 700	537 555
to or	\$199,999 more	2,825 2,938	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
or	more	2,938					
_			2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
	De	mand Estim					
			ate, Restricte	ed, 50% of A	MI		
		1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Income, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
, 1BR		\$34,950	\$39,950	-	-	-	-
, 2BR		\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	-	-
, 3BR		-	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
ole Inco	me	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
, 1BR		\$26,366	\$26,366	-	-	-	-
, 2BR				\$32,914	\$32,914	-	-
, 3BR		-				\$37,851	-
, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ne	\$26,366	\$26,366	\$32,914	\$32,914	\$37,851	-
Income		1,456	637	696	493	351	0
Income		1,134	353	482	301	110	0
		322	284	215	193	241	0
	, 3BR , 4BR ole Inco 0BR 1BR 2BR 3BR 4BR d Income	, 3BR , 4BR ole Income 0BR 1BR 2BR 3BR 4BR d Income	, 3BR - , 4BR - ole Income \$34,950 0BR - 1BR \$26,366 2BR \$32,914 3BR - 4BR - d Income \$26,366 Income 1,456 Income 1,134 322	, 3BR - \$39,950 , 4BR - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 0BR - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 4BR - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 Income 1,456 637 Income 1,134 353	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 , 4BR - - - oble Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 0BR - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 \$32,914 1,456 637 696 \$32,914 1,222 284 215	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 , 4BR - - - - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 0BR - - - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 \$32,914 \$32,914 1,134 353 482 301 322 284 215 193	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 \$53,900 , 4BR - - - - - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 \$53,900 0BR - - - - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - - - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 - - 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - - - d Income 1,456 637 696 493 351 1ncome 1,456 637 696 493 351 322 284 215 193 241

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,256 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 60% of AMI at the subject property.

		F	Renter House		come, by Siz	е		
	2023	\$	1 Person	2023 2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
		D	emand Estim	ate. Restrict	ed. 60% of A	MI		
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Income, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Maximum Income, 1BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	-	-	-	-	
Maximum Ir	ncome, 2BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	-	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 3BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 4BR		-	_	-	-	-	-
Maximum A	llowable Inc	ome	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 1BR		\$27,223	\$27,223	-	-	-	-
	come, 2BR		\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	-	-
	come, 3BR		\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	-
	come, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ualified Inco	ome	\$27,223	\$27,223	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$39,566	-
HH Below L	Jpper Incom	е	1,701	892	771	541	415	0
	ower Incom		1,171	372	505	312	122	0
Subtotal			530	521	266	229	293	0
			Demand Est	timate		1,839		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,839 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate

In this section, we derive our overburdened demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property. Our analysis, which begins with the income-qualified renter household estimates developed above, is found below.

 Income Qualified Renter Households								
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
 Tot	3,589			1,256	1,839			

The next step in our analysis is to account for 2 years of growth to estimate the demand stemming from new income qualified rental households. Our estimates are found below.

Annual Renter Hous	ehold Growth Rate

0.8%	
------	--

New Rental Households									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	
Tot	56			20	29				

The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified overburdened renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

Overburdened Renter Households

28.2%

Existing Households - Rent Overburdened										
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt		
Tot	1,013			354	519					

The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified substandard renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

Substandard Renter Households

6.6%

Existing Households - Substandard									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	
Tot	237			83	121				

The next step in our analysis is to account for elderly homeowners likely to convert to rental housing. This component may not comprise more than 20 percent of total demand. Our estimates are found below.

Elderly Homeowners Likely to Convert to Rental Housing

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot								

The next step in our analysis is to account for existing qualifying tenants likely to remain after renovation. Our estimates are found below.

 Subject Property Units (Total)									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	
 Tot	6			13	18				

Evicting Ouglifyin	a Toponto Likoly ta	o Remain after Renovation

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt	
Tot									

The next step in our analysis is to tally up total demand for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

	Total Demand									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt		
Tot	1,305			457	669					

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing & pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing & pipeline units is found below.

Vacant Competing & Pipeline Units								
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot	8			45	42			

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing & pipeline units from total demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

Net Demand (Total Demand - Vacant Competing & Pipeline Units)

		Hot Bollian	a (Total Bollian	a racan e				
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot	1,297			412	627			

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the total number of subject property units divided by net demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand)

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot	0.5%			3.2%	2.9%			

Our findings are summarized below.

Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units	1.6%
Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units	
Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units	1.6%
Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months)	2 months

Please note: Project-wide capture rate estimates do not account for income band overlap at the project level.

VHDA DEMAND ANALYSIS

Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the VHDA demand methodology. For purposes of this analysis, we define VHDA demand as the number of new income-qualified and existing income-qualified overburdened and substandard renter households that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of achievable rents or the sponsor's proposed rents. Our analysis accounts for any rent subsidies for the subject property.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

			Ne	nter Housend	ius, by incom				
	2023	\$				2023			
Min		Max	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	Total
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5	571
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30	1,548
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45	2,513
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88	3,576
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271	4,702
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312	5,388
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381	6,460
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465	7,375
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531	8,257
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537	8,703
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555	8,989
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563	9,298

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

Source: ESRI & Ribbon Demographics

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 30% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 30% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	30% of AMI					
Total Units	3					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3					
Minimum Qualified Inco	ome					
Net Rent	\$392					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$561					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$1,603					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$19,234					

Renter Households	bv	Income	by Size
	, юу	moonic,	by OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
Maximum Allowable Income	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	\$32,340	\$34,740	

Size Qualified								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No		
	De	emand Estim	ate					
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
HH Below Maximum Income	929	307	0	0	0	0		
HH Below Minimum Income	862	224	0	0	0	0		
Subtotal	67	83	0	0	0	0		
	Demand Es	timate		150				

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 150 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 1 units, 1 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	1-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	50% of AMI					
Total Units	1					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	1					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$600					
Utilities	\$169					
Gross Rent	\$769					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,197					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$26,366					

Renter Households	bv	Income	by Size
	, юу	moonic,	by OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900		

Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No			
Demand Estimate									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
HH Below Maximum Income	1,456	637	0	0	0	0			
HH Below Minimum Income	1,134	353	0	0	0	0			
Subtotal	322	284	0	0	0	0			
	Demand Es	timate		606					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 606 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	1-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Restricted						
Income Limit	60% of AMI						
Total Units	3						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3						
Minimum Qualified	Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$625						
Utilities	\$169						
Gross Rent	\$794						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,269						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$27,223						

Renter Households	. bv	Income.	by Size
	, Dy	moonic,	

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480		
Size Qualified								

		Size Qualifie	d					
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No		
Demand Estimate								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	0	0	0	0		
HH Below Minimum Income	1,171	372	0	0	0	0		
Subtotal	530	521	0	0	0	0		
	Demand Es	timate		1,051				

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,051 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 30% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 30% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 3 units, 3 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	2-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	30% of AMI					
Total Units	3					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	3					
Minimum Qualified Income						
Net Rent	\$464					
Utilities	\$210					
Gross Rent	\$674					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$1,926					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$23,109					

Renter Households,	bv	Income.	by Size
	N y	moonio,	0,0120

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income							
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Pers							
Maximum Allowable Income	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	\$32,340	\$34,740	

Size Qualified								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		
	De	emand Estimation	ate					
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
HH Below Maximum Income	0	307	340	265	0	0		
HH Below Minimum Income	0	298	258	175	0	0		
Subtotal	0	9	82	90	0	0		
	Demand Estimate		181					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 181 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details						
Target Population	Family Households					
Unit Type	2-Bedroom					
Rent Type	Restricted					
Income Limit	50% of AMI					
Total Units	10					
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10					
Minimum Qualified I	200mo					
Net Rent						
	\$750					
Utilities	\$210					
Gross Rent	\$960					
Income Qualification Ratio	35%					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,743					
Months/Year	12					
Minimum Qualified Income	\$32,914					

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person	
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900	

Size Qualified								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		
	De	emand Estim	ate					
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
HH Below Maximum Income	1,456	637	696	493	0	0		
HH Below Minimum Income	1,379	482	482	301	0	0		
Subtotal	77	155	215	193	0	0		
	Demand Estimate		639					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 639 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 10 units, 10 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	2-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Restricted						
Income Limit	60% of AMI						
Total Units	10						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	10						
Minimum Qualified Income							
Net Rent	\$775						
Utilities	\$210						
Gross Rent	\$985						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$2,814						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$33,771						

Renter Households,	bv	Income.	by Size
	N y	moonio,	0,0120

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income							
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person							
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480	
Size Qualified							

	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
	_					
	De	emand Estimation	ate			
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	771	541	0	0
HH Below Minimum Income	1,418	504	505	312	0	0
Subtotal	283	388	266	229	0	0
	Demand Estimate		1,166			

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,166 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 50% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 2 units, 2 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details							
Target Population	Family Households						
Unit Type	3-Bedroom						
Rent Type	Restricted						
Income Limit	50% of AMI						
Total Units	2						
Vacant Units at Market Entry	2						
Minimum Qualified Income							
Net Rent	\$850						
Utilities	\$254						
Gross Rent	\$1,104						
Income Qualification Ratio	35%						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,154						
Months/Year	12						
Minimum Qualified Income	\$37,851						

Renter Households	bv	Income	by Size
	, юу	moonie,	Dy OIZC

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

	Maximu	ım Allowable	Income							
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
Maximum Allowable Income	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	\$57,900				
Size Qualified										
1 Person 2 Person 3 Person 4 Person 5 Person 6+ Person										
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No				
Demand Estimate										
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person				
HH Below Maximum Income	0	637	696	493	351	0				

Demand	Estimate

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 517 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Project-level demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

Subtotal

HH Below Minimum Income

Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60% of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 5 units, 5 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a 35% income qualification ratio and 5-person households.

Unit Details								
Target Population	Family Households							
Unit Type	3-Bedroom							
Rent Type	Restricted							
Income Limit	60% of AMI							
Total Units	5							
Vacant Units at Market Entry	5							
Minimum Qualified Income								
Net Rent	\$900							
Utilities	\$254							
Gross Rent	\$1,154							
Income Qualification Ratio	35%							
Minimum Qualified Income	\$3,297							
Months/Year	12							

Minimum Qualified Income

\$39.566

				2023				
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563

Maximum Allowable Income								
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person		
Maximum Allowable Income	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	\$69,480		
Size Qualified								

Size Qualified									
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
Size Qualified	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
	De	emand Estim	ate						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Person			
HH Below Maximum Income	1,701	892	771	541	415	0			
HH Below Minimum Income	1,649	637	648	380	122	0			
Subtotal	52	256	123	161	293	0			
	Demand Es	timate		884					

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 884 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 30% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 30% of AMI at the subject property.

		ŀ	Renter House	2023 2023	come, by Siz	e		
	2023	\$	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
		De	emand Estim	ate, Restrict	ed, 30% of A	MI		
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
Maximum Ir	ncome, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 1BR			\$20,970	\$23,970	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 2BR		-	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	-	-	
Maximum Ir	ncome, 3BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum A	llowable Inc	ome	\$20,970	\$23,970	\$26,970	\$29,940	-	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 1BR		\$19,234	\$19,234	-	-	-	-
	come, 2BR		-	\$23,109	\$23,109	\$23,109	-	-
Minimum In			-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Minimum Q	ualified Inco	me	\$19,234	\$19,234	\$23,109	\$23,109	-	-
HH Below L	Jpper Incom	е	929	307	340	265	0	0
	ower Incom		862	224	258	175	0	0
Subtotal	-		67	83	82	90	0	0
			Demand Est	timate		322		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 322 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 50% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 50% of AMI at the subject property.

to to to to to to to to to or	\$ \$9,999 \$19,999 \$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$74,999 \$124,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more De	1 Person 426 910 1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	2 Person 58 242 427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264 2,333	3 Person 55 188 422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553 1,579	4 Person 16 134 272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092 1,173	5 Person 11 43 63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700 711	6+ Persor 5 30 45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555 563
to to to to to to to to to or	\$19,999 \$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	910 1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	242 427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	188 422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	134 272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	43 63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	30 45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to to to or	\$29,999 \$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,283 1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	427 648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	422 660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	272 386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	63 125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	45 88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to to or	\$39,999 \$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,668 1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	648 974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	660 741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	386 499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	125 331 388 479 586 662 677 700	88 271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to to or	\$49,999 \$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	1,886 2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	974 1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	741 827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	499 543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	331 388 479 586 662 677 700	271 312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to or	\$59,999 \$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,180 2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,140 1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	827 1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	543 768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	388 479 586 662 677 700	312 381 465 531 537 555
to to to to to or	\$74,999 \$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,349 2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,436 1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	1,048 1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	768 954 1,065 1,080 1,092	479 586 662 677 700	381 465 531 537 555
to to to to or	\$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,557 2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	1,609 2,041 2,163 2,264	1,205 1,300 1,501 1,553	954 1,065 1,080 1,092	586 662 677 700	465 531 537 555
to to or	\$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,657 2,745 2,825 2,938	2,041 2,163 2,264	1,300 1,501 1,553	1,065 1,080 1,092	662 677 700	531 537 555
to to or	\$149,999 \$199,999 more	2,745 2,825 2,938	2,163 2,264	1,501 1,553	1,080 1,092	677 700	537 555
to or	\$199,999 more	2,825 2,938	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
or	more	2,938					
_			2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
	De	mand Estim					
			ate, Restricte	ed, 50% of A	MI		
		1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 1BR			\$39,950	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 2BR			\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	-	-
, 3BR		-	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
ole Inco	me	\$34,950	\$39,950	\$44,950	\$49,900	\$53,900	-
, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
, 1BR		\$26,366	\$26,366	-	-	-	-
, 2BR				\$32,914	\$32,914	-	-
, 3BR		-				\$37,851	-
, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ne	\$26,366	\$26,366	\$32,914	\$32,914	\$37,851	-
Income		1,456	637	696	493	351	0
Income		1,134	353	482	301	110	0
		322	284	215	193	241	0
	, 3BR , 4BR ole Inco 0BR 1BR 2BR 3BR 4BR d Income	, 3BR , 4BR ole Income 0BR 1BR 2BR 3BR 4BR d Income	, 3BR - , 4BR - ole Income \$34,950 0BR - 1BR \$26,366 2BR \$32,914 3BR - 4BR - d Income \$26,366 Income 1,456 Income 1,134 322	, 3BR - \$39,950 , 4BR - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 0BR - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 4BR - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 Income 1,456 637 Income 1,134 353	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 , 4BR - - - oble Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 0BR - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 \$32,914 1,456 637 696 \$32,914 1,222 284 215	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 , 4BR - - - - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 0BR - - - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - - d Income \$26,366 \$26,366 \$32,914 \$32,914 1,134 353 482 301 322 284 215 193	, 3BR - \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 \$53,900 , 4BR - - - - - - ole Income \$34,950 \$39,950 \$44,950 \$49,900 \$53,900 0BR - - - - - - 1BR \$26,366 \$26,366 - - - 2BR \$32,914 \$32,914 \$32,914 - - 3BR - \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 \$37,851 4BR - - - - - d Income 1,456 637 696 493 351 1ncome 1,456 637 696 493 351 322 284 215 193 241

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,256 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 60% of AMI at the subject property.

		F	Renter House		come, by Siz	е		
	2023	\$	1 Person	2023 2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Persor
\$0	to	\$9,999	426	58	55	16	11	5
\$0	to	\$19,999	910	242	188	134	43	30
\$0	to	\$29,999	1,283	427	422	272	63	45
\$0	to	\$39,999	1,668	648	660	386	125	88
\$0	to	\$49,999	1,886	974	741	499	331	271
\$0	to	\$59,999	2,180	1,140	827	543	388	312
\$0	to	\$74,999	2,349	1,436	1,048	768	479	381
\$0	to	\$99,999	2,557	1,609	1,205	954	586	465
\$0	to	\$124,999	2,657	2,041	1,300	1,065	662	531
\$0	to	\$149,999	2,745	2,163	1,501	1,080	677	537
\$0	to	\$199,999	2,825	2,264	1,553	1,092	700	555
\$0	or	more	2,938	2,333	1,579	1,173	711	563
		D	emand Estim	ate. Restrict	ed. 60% of A	MI		
			1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6+ Perso
Maximum Ir	ncome, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 1BR			\$41,940	\$47,940	-	-	-	-
Maximum Income, 2BR			\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	-	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 3BR		\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Maximum Ir	ncome, 4BR		-	_	-	-	-	-
Maximum A	llowable Inc	ome	\$41,940	\$47,940	\$53,940	\$59,880	\$64,680	-
Minimum In	come, 0BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	come, 1BR		\$27,223	\$27,223	-	-	-	-
	come, 2BR		\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$33,771	-	-
	come, 3BR		\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	\$39,566	-
	come, 4BR		-	-	-	-	-	-
	ualified Inco	ome	\$27,223	\$27,223	\$33,771	\$33,771	\$39,566	-
HH Below L	Jpper Incom	е	1,701	892	771	541	415	0
	ower Incom		1,171	372	505	312	122	0
Subtotal			530	521	266	229	293	0
			Demand Est	timate		1,839		

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,839 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

Demand Estimate

In this section, we derive our overburdened demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property. Our analysis, which begins with the income-qualified renter household estimates developed above, is found below.

				Income Qu	alified Renter I	Households			
_		Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
	Tot		322		1,256	1,839			

The next step in our analysis is to account for 2 years of growth to estimate the demand stemming from new income qualified rental households. Our estimates are found below.

Annual Renter Household Growth Rate
0.8%
New Rental Households

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt		
Tot		5		20	29					

The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified overburdened renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

Overburdened Renter Households

28.2%

Existing Households - Rent Overburdened											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
Tot		91		354	519						

The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified substandard renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.

Substandard Renter Households

6.6%

Existing Households - Substandard											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
Tot		21		83	121						

The next step in our analysis is to account for elderly homeowners likely to convert to rental housing. This component may not comprise more than 20 percent of total demand. Our estimates are found below.

Elderly Homeowners Likely to Convert to Rental Housing

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot								

The next step in our analysis is to account for existing qualifying tenants likely to remain after renovation. Our estimates are found below.

 Subject Property Units (Total)										
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt		
 Tot		6		13	18					

Evicting Qual	ifving Tonante Likoly	to Remain after Renovation

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
Tot											

The next step in our analysis is to tally up total demand for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

Total Demand											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
Tot		117		457	669						

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing & pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing & pipeline units is found below.

Vacant Competing & Pipeline Units											
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt			
Tot				45	42						

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing & pipeline units from total demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

Net Demand (Total Demand - Vacant Competing & Pipeline Units)

	Hot Bolhana (Fotal Bolhana									
	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt		
Tot		117		412	627					

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the total number of subject property units divided by net demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand)

	Sub	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	Mkt
Tot		5.1%		3.2%	2.9%			

Our findings are summarized below.

Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units	3.2%
Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units	
Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units	3.2%
Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months)	2 months

Please note: Project-wide capture rate estimates do not account for income band overlap at the project level.

RENT COMPARABLES, MARKET RATE


	Project Information	
Property Name		Aspen Apartments South
Street Number		11166
Street Name		Willow
Street Type		Drive
City		Bealeton
State		Virginia
Zip		22712
Phone Number		(540) 340-5274
Year Built		1983
Year Renovated		2020
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		Surety Bond
Other Fees		\$145
Waiting List		yes
Project Rent		Market Rate
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing		Conventional
Vouchers		
Latitude		38.5755
Longitude		-77.7626
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	180 &23-(006
AAC COUE	100 &23-(000

Interview Notes	
Person Interviewed	Ms. Mellissa, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 340-5274
Interview Date	13-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
Also manages Aspen Club and Aspen Village.	All 3 managed

Also manages Aspen Club and Aspen Village. All 3 managed properties share amenities. 2020-2021 renovations include new cabinetry, countertops, flooring, hardwood, and others. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.



Location Map



						Unit Con	figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	584	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	40		\$1,500		\$1,500	\$110	\$1,610
2	2.0	742	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	60		\$1,650		\$1,650	\$163	\$1,813
						L,	7 9							
Total / /	Average	679				1	2	100		\$1,590		\$1,590	\$142	\$1,732

Photo

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	9
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	}
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	yes	no	Wall Units	no	ſ
Other Electric	•		Billiard/Game			Window Units		
Air Cond	yes	yes		no	no		no	
	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	yes	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	3
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid	I Technolog	ду	Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	I
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	I
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	,	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no	, · · , - · · · · , - · · · · · , - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	0		Picnic Area	yes	no	Pa	rking	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Vici	bility		Pool		no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	yes		Covered Pkg		
		<u> </u>		no	no	0	no	l
Visibility	2.50	2.50	Sports Court	yes	no	Assigned Pkg	no	I
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	У
			Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	None	no	
						Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
	cess		Unit Ar	nenities				
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lou	indry	
	oomp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lat	andry	
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Access	3.00							
	3.00	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans	yes yes	yes yes	Amenity	Comp no	У
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	yes yes yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no yes)
Access Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	yes yes yes no	yes yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp no yes no	ر ا ک
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	3.00 Sup	2.00 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes yes yes no yes	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no yes no)
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup porhood Comp	2.00 erior Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	yes yes yes no yes yes	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sim	ر ا ک
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	3.00 Sup porhood Comp 4.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes yes yes no yes yes	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See	Comp no yes no Sim	ہ ا nilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Supporthood Comp 4.50	2.00 erior Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes yes no yes yes Sim	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp	y ı y nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	3.00 Supporthood Comp 4.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes yes no yes yes Sim	yes yes no yes yes nilar	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no	S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes nilar	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no	y ı nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no	y ı y nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup srea Ameni Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup srea Ameni Comp 2.10	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup srea Ameni Comp 2.10	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup srea Ameni Comp 2.10	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes nilar Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 4.50 Sup srea Ameni Comp 2.10	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	y nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- trea Ameni Comp 2.10 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sim curity Comp no no no no no no Sim) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup Comp 4.50 Sup 4.50 Sup 2.10 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Comp no yes no Sim curity Comp no no no no no no Sim vices) nilar S I I I I I I S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- 4.50 Sup- 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- 4.50 Sup- 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no) nilar S nilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- 4.50 Sup- 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- 4.50 Sup- 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no) nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject Effectir	3.00 Sup Comp 4.50 Sup 4.50 Sup 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no) nilar S nilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 4.50 Sup- 4.50 Sup- 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes no yes yes Sim Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes hilar Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	y nilar S

Aspen Apartments South is an existing multifamily development located at 11166 Willow Drive in Bealeton, Virginia. The property, which consists of 100 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1983 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Information	
Property Name		Parkview Apartments
Street Number		14827
Street Name		Porterfield
Street Type		Drive
City		Orange
State		Virginia
Zip		22960
Phone Number		(540) 825-2931
Year Built		2005
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		1 month
Other Fees		\$50
Waiting List		no
Project Rent		Market Rate
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing		Conventional
Vouchers		1
Latitude		38.2502
Longitude		-78.1199
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	-180 &23-(072
	Interview Notes	

	.62
Person Interviewed	Ms. Mellisa, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 825-2931
Interview Date	03-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
2021 property updated HVAC system. Th	ere are no new apartments or

2021 property updated HVAC system. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.



Location Map



	Unit Configuration													
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	480	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	16		\$1,000		\$1,000	\$110	\$1,110
2	2.0	1188	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	16		\$1,200		\$1,200	\$180	\$1,380
3	2.0	1244	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	32	1	\$1,300		\$1,300	\$232	\$1,532
Total / /	Average	1,039					4	64	1	\$1,200		\$1,200	\$189	\$1,389

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond		2	Bus/Comp Ctr			None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	oilo
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	311	IIIa
	yes	yes		no	yes		aat	
Sewer Trash	yes	yes	Elevator Fitness Ctr	no	no		eat	
	no	yes	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Amenity	Comp	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	llar		no	no	Central	yes	
Tanant Daid	Tashnala	~	Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nia
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ular	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no	_		
			Picnic Area	no	no		rking	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
	bility		Pool	no	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	4.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	
			Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior	None Comp vs. Subject	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 4.00	Subj 2.00	Amenity Blinds	Comp yes	Subj yes	Lau Amenity	undry Comp	
Comp vs. Subject	4.00 Supe		Ceiling Fans	no	yes	Central	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Supe	enor	Carpeting	yes	yes	W/D Units	yes	
			Fireplace	no	no	W/D Hookups	no	
Neighb	orbood		Patio/Balcony	no	yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nila
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Storage	no	yes		OIII	ma
	2.00			110	yes			
		3 50	Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior	Sec	surity	
Neighborhood		3.50 rior	Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior		curity Comp	
Comp vs. Subject	Infe				rior	Amenity	Comp	
U			Kitchen /	Amenities		Amenity Call Buttons	Comp no	
Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior	Kitchen / Amenity	Amenities Comp	Subj	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp no no	
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Infe Area Ameni	rior	Kitchen / Amenity Stove	Amenities Comp yes	Subj yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Infe srea Ameni Comp	rior ties Subj	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	Amenities Comp yes yes	Subj yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp no no no no	
Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Infe srea Amenii Comp 2.00	rior ties Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	Amenities Comp yes yes no	Subj yes yes no	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no no no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Infe srea Ameni Comp	rior ties Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes	Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp no no no no no no	
Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Infe srea Amenii Comp 2.00	rior ties Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no no no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Infe srea Amenii Comp 2.00	rior ties Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no no no no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond	Infe trea Ameni Comp 2.00 Infe dition	rior ties Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no no no no no Sim	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no no no no no Sim vices	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp 3.50	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp no no no no no Sim vices Comp na	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp (1-5 Scale)	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Comp no no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp 3.50	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conc Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na na	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject Effective	Infe <u>comp</u> 2.00 Infe dition <u>comp</u> 3.50 Infe ve Age	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50 rior	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na na na na	nila
Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conc Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Infe Comp 2.00 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	rior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Amenities Comp yes yes no yes no	Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na na	nila

Parkview Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 14827 Porterfield Drive in Orange, Virginia. The property, which consists of 64 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2005 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 98 percent occupancy.

Project Information											
Property Name	Redbud Street Apartments										
Street Number	2217-3067										
Street Name	Redbud										
Street Type	Street										
City	Culpeper										
State	Virginia										
Zip	22701										
Phone Number	(540) 825-7500										
Year Built	1985										
Year Renovated	na										
Minimum Lease	12										
Min. Security Dep.	1 month										
Other Fees	\$25										
Waiting List	yes										
Project Rent	Market Rate										
Project Type	Family										
Project Status	Stabilized										
Financing	Conventional										
Vouchers	1										
Latitude	38.4539										
Longitude	-78.0120										
Nearest Crossroads	adjacent to Culpeper Hospital										
AAC Code	-180 &23-(077										

Interview Notes

Person InterviewedMs. Rachel, Finance DirectorPhone Number(540) 825-7500Interview Date06-Jan-23Interviewed ByPLLocated near the Novant Health UVA Culpeper Medical Center and Rt.

29, Redbud Apartments include 45 apartments and townhomes. There are several floorplans and options, ranging from single-level apartments with large bedrooms and carpet to three-level townhomes with hardwood floors and basements. There are no new apartments or



Location Map



	Unit Configuration													
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
2	1.0	750	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	4		\$1,100		\$1,100	\$302	\$1,402
2	1.0	900	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	16		\$1,100		\$1,100	\$273	\$1,373
2	1.0	976	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	12		\$1,100		\$1,100	\$302	\$1,402
2	1.5	1024	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	6		\$1,400		\$1,400	\$302	\$1,702
2	1.5	1024	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	4		\$1,400		\$1,400	\$302	\$1,702
3	2.0	1024	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	3		\$1,550		\$1,550	\$425	\$1,975
							76							
Total /	Average	943				1	0	45		\$1,197		\$1,197	\$300	\$1,497

Photo

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp		
Heat-Electric			Ball Field	no	,	Central			
	yes	yes			no	Wall Units	yes		
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	yes	no		no		
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no		
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no		
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Similar		
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	no	yes				
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat		
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	no	no	Amenity	Comp		
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	yes	no	Central	yes		
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	no no	
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no		
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no		
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no		
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no				
			Picnic Area	yes	no	Pa	rking		
			Playground	no	yes	Amenity	Comp	Ş	
Visi	bility		Pool	no	no	Garage	no		
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no		
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no		
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes		
			Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	None	no		
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	Indry		
	0.50	0.00	DEL			A	-		
Access	3.50	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp		
Access Comp vs. Subject		2.00 erior	Ceiling Fans	no	yes	Central	yes		
			Ceiling Fans Carpeting	no yes	yes yes	Central W/D Units	yes no		
Comp vs. Subject	Sup		Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	no yes no	yes yes no	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	yes no some		
Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Sup orhood	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	no yes no no	yes yes no yes	Central W/D Units	yes no some		
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	no yes no no some	yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	yes no some Infe		
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup orhood Comp 2.60	erior Subj 3.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	no yes no no	yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	yes no some Infe	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.60	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	no yes no no some Infe	yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	yes no some Infe curity Comp	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup orhood Comp 2.60	erior Subj 3.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen	no yes no no <u>some</u> Infe	yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons	yes no some Infe curity Comp no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe	Subj 3.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity	no yes no some Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes erior	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni	Subj 3.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator	no yes no some Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50	erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal	no yes no some Infe Amenities Comp yes yes some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	no yes no some Infe Amenities Comp yes yes some some	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50	erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	no yes no some Infe Amenities Comp yes yes some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no Sin	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no Sin Vices	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no sin Vices Comp no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no no sin Vices Comp no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50 Infe	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no Sin Vices Comp no no no no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject Effectiv	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	erior	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.60 Infe rea Ameni Comp 3.50 Infe dition Comp 2.50 Infe	subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	no yes no some Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes some some some	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	yes no some Infe curity Comp no no no no no Sin Vices Comp no no no no no no no no	erior	

Redbud Street Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 2217-3067 Redbud Street in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 45 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1985 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	
Street Name Street Type City State Zip Phone Number (540) 6 Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	vs Place
Street Type City State Zip Phone Number (540) 6 Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Mart Project Type	7102
City State Zip Phone Number (540) 6 Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Mart Project Type	North
State Zip Phone Number (540) 6 Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Mart Project Type	Street
Zip Phone Number (540) 6 Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	Orange
Phone Number(540) 6Year BuiltYear RenovatedYear RenovatedMinimum LeaseMin. Security Dep.Other FeesOther FeesYear RenovatedWaiting ListProject RentProject TypeMart	Virginia
Year Built Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	22942
Year Renovated Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	72-4827
Minimum Lease Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	2013
Min. Security Dep. Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	na
Other Fees Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	12
Waiting List Project Rent Project Type	1 month
Project Rent Mar Project Type	\$40
Project Type	na
	ket Rate
Project Status	Family
Project Status S	tabilized
Financing Conv	rentional
Vouchers	
Latitude	38.2588
Longitude -	78.1210
Nearest Crossroads	na
AAC Code 180 &23-0	082

Interview Notes
Person Interviewed Ms. Teresa, Asst. Manager
Phone Number (540) 672-4827
Interview Date 13-Jan-23
Interviewed By PL
Order State State

Sister property, sharing office with Round Hill Meadows (TC prop). Larger 2BR units have a loft. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.



Location Map



							figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	1196	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	4		\$1,115		\$1,115	\$110	\$1,225
2	2.0	1263	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	12		\$1,215		\$1,215	\$163	\$1,378
2	2.0	1494	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	12		\$1,325		\$1,325	\$163	\$1,488
3	2.0	1421	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	12		\$1,385		\$1,385	\$232	\$1,617
Total /	Average	1,373				1	78	40		\$1,289		\$1,289	\$178	\$1,467

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	(
Heat-Electric			Ball Field	no	no	Central			
	yes	yes	BBQ Area			Wall Units	yes		
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes		no	no		no		
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no		
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no	ile.	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	niiar	
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes				
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat		
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	ŝ	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes		
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units		no no no no	
Tenant-Paic			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no		
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no		
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no		
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no				
			Picnic Area	no	no	Pai	rking		
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	9	
Visi	bility		Pool	no	no	Garage	no		
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no		
Visibility	2.25	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no		
Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes		
. ,			Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	None	no		
				•		Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	
Aco	cess		Unit Ar	nenities		. ,			
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	undry		
Access	2.50	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S	
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Ceiling Fans	no	yes	Central	no		
			Carpeting	yes	yes	W/D Units	yes		
							-		
				-	no	W/D Hookups	no		
Neight	orhood		Fireplace	yes	no	W/D Hookups	no Sim		
Ū.	orhood	Subi	Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes no	yes	W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject			
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	yes no no	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim		
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 2.00	3.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes no	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	3.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no no Infe	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Sim curity Comp	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 2.00	3.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen	yes no no Infe Amenities	yes yes rior	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons	Sim curity Comp no	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.00 Infe	3.50 prior	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity	yes no no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes rior Subj	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Sim curity Comp no no	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 2.00 Infe vrea Ameni	3.50 erior	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen Amenity Stove	yes no no Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes rior Subj yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Sim curity Comp no no no	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.00 Infe Area Ameni Comp	3.50 rrior ties Subj	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	ves no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Sim curity Comp no no no no	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.00 Infe srea Ameni Comp 2.30	3.50 rrior ties Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	ves no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Sirr curity Comp no no no no no no	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.00 Infe srea Ameni Comp 2.30	3.50 rrior ties Subj	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	ves no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Sirr curity no no no no no no no no	nilar S	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.00 Infe srea Ameni Comp 2.30	3.50 rrior ties Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Sirr curity no no no no no no no no	nilar S	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.00 Infe Area Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe	3.50 rrior ties Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	ves no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Sim curity no no no no no Sim	nilar S	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.00 Infe area Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition	3.50 rrior ties Subj 4.50 rrior	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser	Sim curity Comp no no no no no Sim vices	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conn Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.75	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Sim curity Comp no no no no no Sim vices	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conn Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.75	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp na	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conn Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.75	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Sirr Comp no no no no no no Sirr vices Comp na na	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Condition Comp vs. Subject Effecti	Comp 2.00 Infe Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.75	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na	nilar	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.00 Infe <u>Area Ameni</u> Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.75 Sup	3.50 srior ties Subj 4.50 srior Subj 4.50	Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	ves no no Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Sim curity no no no no no no Sim vices Comp na na na na na na	nilar s	

Round Hill Meadows Place is an existing multifamily development located at 7102 North Street in Orange, Virginia. The property, which consists of 40 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2013 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Information
Property Name	Southridge Apartments Homes
Street Number	601
Street Name	Southview
Street Type	Court
City	Culpeper
State	Virginia
Zip	22701
Phone Number	(540) 825-5393
Year Built	1989
Year Renovated	2018
Minimum Lease	12
Min. Security Dep.	\$500
Other Fees	\$35
Waiting List	20 people
Project Rent	Market Rate
Project Type	Family
Project Status	Stabilized
Financing	Conventional
Vouchers	
Latitude	38.4545
Longitude	-78.0175
Nearest Crossroads	off Southridge Parkway
AAC Code	-180 &23-(085

Interview Notes	
Person Interviewed	Ms. Andrea, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 825-5393
Interview Date	03-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
In 2021, contact advised, they added a new b	uilding with eight (8) units

In 2021, contact advised, they added a new building with eight (8) units several years ago. They are also renovating units at turnover and adding microwaves in these units. In 2023, contact advised they are renovating about 12 units a year, with 8 units renovated to date. Rent range due to unit location.



Location Map



	Unit Configuration													
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	704	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	48		\$1,249		\$1,249	\$110	\$1,359
2	1.5	900	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	40		\$1,354		\$1,354	\$163	\$1,517
2	2.0	1000	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	28		\$1,449		\$1,449	\$163	\$1,612
3	3.0	2100	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	12		\$1,599		\$1,599	\$232	\$1,831
							80							
Total / J	Average	961				10		128		\$1,358		\$1,358	\$150	\$1,508

Tenant-Pa Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	
Heat-Electric		<u> </u>	Ball Field	no	,	Central		
	yes	yes			no		yes	
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	yes	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	no	yes			
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	no	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	yes	no	Pa	rking	
			Playground	no	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	2.50	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject		nilar	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	
	-		Comp vs. Subject	Supe		None	no	
						Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
	cess			menities				mai
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	undry	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 2.50	2.00	Amenity Blinds	Comp yes	yes	Lat Amenity	undry Comp	0
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.50		Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans	Comp yes no	yes yes	Lau Amenity Central	undry Comp no	c,
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 2.50	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	Comp yes no yes	yes yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units	undry Comp no yes	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	Comp yes no yes no	yes yes yes no	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	undry Comp no yes no	ę
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood	2.00 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	Comp yes no yes no yes	yes yes yes no yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units	undry Comp no yes no	ę
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	Comp yes no yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	undry Comp no yes no Sin	ç
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	Comp yes no yes no yes	yes yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes no yes no Infe	yes yes no yes yes rrior	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen /	Comp yes no yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes vrior	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove	Comp yes no yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.50 Sup porhood Comp 2.60 Infe srea Ameni Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	Comp yes no yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe urea Ameni Comp 2.90	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	Comp yes no yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe urea Ameni Comp 2.90	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	undry Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp no no no no no no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe urea Ameni Comp 2.90	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	undry Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp no no no no no no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe trea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices	nilar nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup oorhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Comp Comp	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Law Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices	nilar nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Lau Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp	nilar nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Comp Comp	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Law Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no	nilar e
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Comp Comp	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Law Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no	nilar e
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup borhood Comp 2.60 Infe xrea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Law Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no	nilar e
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.50 Sup Dorhood Comp 2.60 Infe Urea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes no yes no lnfe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	undry Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no sin Vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	nilar

Southridge Apartments Homes is an existing multifamily development located at 601 Southview Court in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 128 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1989 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Informati	ion
Property Name		Spark Culpeper Apartments
Street Number		500
Street Name		Concord
Street Type		Place
City		Culpeper
State		Virginia
Zip		22701
Phone Number		(540) 825-5050
Year Built		1988
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		\$600
Other Fees		\$300
Waiting List		no
Project Rent		Market Rate
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing		Conventional
Vouchers		
Latitude		38.4492
Longitude		-78.0180
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	·180 &23-(088

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed Ms. Heather, Leasing Agent Phone Number (540) 825-5050 Interview Date 05-Jan-23 Interviewed By PL Peot reflects special pricing of \$500 off 1st month. A few units at this

Rent reflects special pricing of \$500 off 1st month. A few units at this property with kitchen and bath upgrades at about \$100 per month more. 3BR ground floor units at higher rate. 2015-2016 new roofs. Kitchen updates include granite/quartz countertops, new cabinets, and appliances. In 2023, contact advised they will build clubhouse and add



Location Map



						Unit Con	figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	492	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	45		\$1,115	\$42	\$1,073	\$170	\$1,243
2	1.5	1216	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	8		\$1,438	\$42	\$1,396	\$253	\$1,649
2	2.0	1140	Townhome	Mar	Mar	No	No	37		\$1,538	\$42	\$1,496	\$253	\$1,749
3	2.0	1100	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	100	2	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$246	\$1,811
3	2.0	1100	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	20	1	\$1,607	\$42	\$1,565	\$246	\$1,811
Tabl		004					32	240	2	64.400	¢10	64 444	#004	P4 070
Total / J	Average	981					r-	210	3	\$1,483	\$42	\$1,441	\$231	\$1,672

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	
Heat-Gas	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric			Billiard/Game			Window Units		
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Gas	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	no Sim	ilo
	yes	yes		no	no	Comp vs. Subject	511	mar
Water	no	yes	Comm Center	no	yes		1	
Sewer	no	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	no	no	Amenity	Comp	
Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	
T (D)			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paic			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	
Cable	-	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	,	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
	Visibility ng (1-5 Scale) Comp Subj		Picnic Area	no	no		king	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
	net yes yes pp vs. Subject Similar Visibility ng (1-5 Scale) Comp Subj pility 2.50 2.50		Pool	no	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)			Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	2.50	2.50	Sports Court	yes	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	
			Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar	None	no	
						Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar
Aco	cess		Unit Ar	nenities				
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	indry	
Access	2.50	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Ceiling Fans	yes	yes	Central	no	
			Carpeting	yes	yes	W/D Units	yes	
			Fireplace	no	no	W/D Hookups	no	
Neight	orhood		Patio/Balcony	yes	yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	ilar
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Storage	some	yes			
Neighborhood	3.40	3.50	Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior	Sec	curity	
Comp vs. Subject	Infe	rior				Amenity	Comp	Ś
			Kitchen /	Amenities		Call Buttons	no	
						Cont Access	20	
			Amenity	Comp	Subj	Cont Access	no	
Proximity to A	Area Ameni	ties	Amenity Stove			Cont Access Courtesy Officer		
Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Area Ameni Comp		Stove	yes	yes	Courtesy Officer	yes no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Stove Refrigerator	yes yes	yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring	yes no	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.10	Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal	yes yes some	yes yes no	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	yes no no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes yes some some	yes yes no yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	yes no no no	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 2.10	Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	yes no no	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.10 Infe	Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes yes some some	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	yes no no No Supe	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com	Comp 2.10 Infe dition	Subj 4.50 rior	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser	yes no no no Supo vices	ərio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp	Subj 4.50 rior Subj	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	yes no no No Sup vices Comp	ərio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conn Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	yes no no Supr vices <u>Comp</u> na	ərio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	yes no no Supe vices Comp na na	ərio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Conn Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	yes no no Supe vices Comp na na na	ərio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Con Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	yes no no Supo vices <u>Comp</u> na na na na na	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Con Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject Effecti	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe ve Age	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50 rior	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	yes no no Supr vices Comp na na na na na na	erio
Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Con Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 2.10 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes yes some some no	yes yes no yes yes	Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	yes no no Supo vices <u>Comp</u> na na na na na	ərio

Spark Culpeper Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 500 Concord Place in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 210 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1988 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 99 percent occupancy.

RENT COMPARABLES, RESTRICTED RENT

Property NameArbors (The) at CulpeperStreet Number15255Street NameIra HoffmanStreet TypeLaneCityCulpeperStateVirginiaZip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest CrossroadsnaAAC Code·180 &23-(Other Stata005		Project Informatio	n
Street NameIra HoffmanStreet TypeLaneCityCulpeperStateVirginiaZip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Property Name		Arbors (The) at Culpeper
Street TypeLaneCityCulpeperStateVirginiaZip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Number		15255
CityCulpeperStateVirginiaZip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Name		Ira Hoffman
StateVirginiaZip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Type		Lane
Zip22701Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	City		Culpeper
Phone Number(855) 279-2710Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	State		Virginia
Year Built2018Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax Credit38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Zip		22701
Year Renovated na Minimum Lease 12 Min. Security Dep. \$250 Other Fees \$32 Waiting List yes Project Rent Restricted Project Type Elderly Project Status Stabilized Financing 2017 Tax Credit Vouchers 13 Latitude 38.4975 Longitude -77.9830 Nearest Crossroads na	Phone Number		(855) 279-2710
Minimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vauchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Year Built		2018
Min. Security Dep.\$250Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Year Renovated		na
Other Fees\$32Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Minimum Lease		12
Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Min. Security Dep.		\$250
Project RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Other Fees		\$32
Project TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Waiting List		yes
Project StatusStabilizedFinancing2017Tax CreditVouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Rent		Restricted
Financing2017Tax CreditVouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Type		Elderly
Vouchers13Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Status		Stabilized
Latitude38.4975Longitude-77.9830Nearest Crossroadsna	Financing	2017	Tax Credit
Longitude -77.9830 Nearest Crossroads na	Vouchers		13
Nearest Crossroads na	Latitude		38.4975
	Longitude		-77.9830
AAC Code .180 &23-(005	Nearest Crossroads		na
	AAC Code	-180 &23-(005

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed	Ms. Allie, Leasing Consultant
Phone Number	(540) 445-5597
Interview Date	09-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL

2017 TC's awarded for construction of this 132-unit senior living complex without units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.

Photo



Location Map



						Unit Con	figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	650	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	6		\$1,062		\$1,062	\$61	\$1,123
1	1.0	782	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	33		\$1,062		\$1,062	\$61	\$1,123
1	1.0	782	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	6		\$1,415		\$1,415	\$61	\$1,476
2	1.5	1030	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	78		\$1,274		\$1,274	\$74	\$1,348
2	1.5	1030	Garden/Flat	Mar	Mar	No	No	9		\$1,615		\$1,615	\$74	\$1,689
Total / /	Average	939		1	1	1	5	132		\$1,241		\$1,241	\$70	\$1,311

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	5
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	<u> </u>
Cooking-Electric	•	yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	ر
-	yes							
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	yes	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	yes	no	None	no	. 1
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Water	no	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	no	yes	Elevator	yes	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	У
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid	d Technolog	ду	Herb Garden	yes	no	Baseboards	no	I
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	I
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	I
Internet	yes	yes	Library	yes	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sirr	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	yes	no			
. , ,			Picnic Area	no	no	Pa	rking	
			Playground	no	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	no	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	I
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	I
Comp vs. Subject		erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	y
	Oup	chor	Comp vs. Subject	Supe	-	None	no	ر
				Oup	Chor	Comp vs. Subject		nilar
						Comp vs. Subject	011	mai
1.00	2000		Linit Ar	monition				
-	cess	Cubi		nenities	Cubi		un din i	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj		undry	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 3.00	2.00	Amenity Blinds	Comp yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00		Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans	Comp yes yes	yes yes	Amenity Central	Comp no	У
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 3.00	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	Comp yes yes yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no yes	У
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	Comp yes yes	yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp no yes no)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Comp 3.00 Sup	2.00 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	Comp yes yes yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no yes no) I
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	Comp yes yes yes no	yes yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp no yes no)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Comp 3.00 Sup	2.00 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	Comp yes yes yes no yes	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neight Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	Comp yes yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sim)) nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See	Comp no yes no Sim	y I y
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes vrior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp yes) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities	yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp yes yes) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rrior Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp yes yes no)) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup strea Ameni Comp 2.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes vrior Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no) hilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup strea Ameni Comp 2.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rrior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no no)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup strea Ameni Comp 2.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no no Sup)
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes no yes no yes no no Sup Vices) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes no yes no yes no sup vices Comp) nilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp 4.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes no yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na) hilar S erior S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Security After School Concierge	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na na) hilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp 4.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Security After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na na yes) hilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp 4.50 Sirr	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na na) hilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp 4.50 Sim ve Age	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 anilar	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no yes no Sin Curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na na yes) hilar S erion
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup Area Ameni Comp 2.50 Infe dition Comp 4.50 Sirr	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp yes yes no yes no yes no Sup vices Comp na na yes na)

Arbors (The) at Culpeper is an existing multifamily development located at 15255 Ira Hoffman Lane in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 132 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2018. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Information	
Property Name		Aspen Club Apartments
Street Number		6337
Street Name		Village Center
Street Type		Drive
City		Bealeton
State		Virginia
Zip		22712
Phone Number		(540) 340-5274
Year Built		2001
Year Renovated		2021
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		Surety Bond
Other Fees		\$145
Waiting List		yes
Project Rent		Restricted
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing	2000	Bond
Vouchers		8
Latitude		38.5811
Longitude		-77.7648
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	-180 &23-(007

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed	Ms. Mellissa, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 340-5274
Interview Date	13-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
2000 Bonds awarded for new construction p	property without project

2000 Bonds awarded for new construction property without project based rental assistance. Also manages Aspen Village and Aspen Apts South. All 3 managed properties share amenities. 2020-2021 renovations include new cabinetry, countertops, flooring, hardwood, and others. Contact advised in December, 2021 that some units are



Location Map



						Unit Con								
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
2	2.0	979	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	96		\$1,650		\$1,650	\$124	\$1,774
3	2.0	1171	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	12		\$1,800		\$1,800	\$166	\$1,966
Total / /	Average	1,000				1	87	108		\$1,667		\$1,667	\$129	\$1,795

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	S
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	<u>د</u>
Cooking-Electric	•	•	BBQ Area		no	Wall Units	no	
-	yes	yes		yes				
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	yes	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	niar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	У
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	I
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	I
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	I
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	l
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	yes	no	Par	king	
	p vs. Subject Similar Visibility ng (1-5 Scale) Comp Subj ility 3.00 2.50		Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	l
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	I
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	yes	no	Assigned Pkg	no	I
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	v
	•		Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior	None	no	1
						Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Acc	ess		Unit Ar	nenities		, ,		
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	ndry	
Access	3.25	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject		erior	Ceiling Fans	yes	yes	Central	no	y
	Oup		Carpeting	yes	yes	W/D Units	yes	ر
			Fireplace	no	no	W/D Hookups	no	y
Neighb	orhood		Patio/Balcony	yes	yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Storage	yes	yes		OIII	mai
Neighborhood	4.50	3.50	Comp vs. Subject	Sim		Soc	urity	
Comp vs. Subject	4.50 Sup		Comp vs. Subject	011	lia	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	enor	Kitahan	Amenities		Call Buttons		
					Subi		no	
Drovimity to A	roo 1	tion	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Cont Access	no	
Proximity to A			Stove	yes	yes	Courtesy Officer	no	1
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Refrigerator	yes	yes	Monitoring	no	1
	3.50	4.50	Disposal	yes	no	Security Alarms	no	I
Area Amenities	Infe	erior	Dishwasher	yes	yes	Security Patrols	no	
Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject			Microwave	yes	yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Comp vs. Subject			Comp vs. Subject	Supe	erior			
Comp vs. Subject	dition			Supe	erior		vices	
Comp vs. Subject	Comp	Subj		Supe	erior	Ser Amenity	vices Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject Conc Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition		Subj 4.50		Supe	erior			
Comp vs. Subject Con Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.50			Supe	erior	Amenity	Comp	
Comp vs. Subject Conc Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 3.50	4.50		Sup	erior	Amenity After School	Comp no	
Comp vs. Subject Conc Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 3.50	4.50		Supe	erior	Amenity After School Concierge	Comp no no	
Comp vs. Subject Cont Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.50 Infe	4.50		Sup	erior	Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Cont Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject Effecti	Comp 3.50 Infe ve Age	4.50 erior		Sup	ərior	Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no no no no no	
Comp vs. Subject Cont Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.50 Infe	4.50		Sup	ərior	Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no no no no	S

Aspen Club Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 6337 Village Center Drive in Bealeton, Virginia. The property, which consists of 108 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2001. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Information	
Property Name		Aspen Village
Street Number		6337
Street Name		Village Center
Street Type		Drive
City		Bealeton
State		Virginia
Zip		22712
Phone Number		(540) 340-5274
Year Built		2003
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		Surety Bond
Other Fees		\$145
Waiting List		no
Project Rent		Restricted
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing	2001	Tax Credit
Vouchers		2
Latitude		38.5793
Longitude		-77.7628
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	·180 &23-(008

	Interview Notes
Person Interviewed	Ms. Mellissa, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 340-5274

Interview Date

Interviewed By

13-Jan-23 PL 2001 TC's awarded for construction of this HUD property without project based rental assistance. Tenants have access to project

amenities of Aspen Club, but this property doesn't have these amenities. Also manages Aspen South and Aspen Club. All 3 managed properties share amenities. During our 2023 survey, contact advised all



Location Map



						Unit Con								
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
3	2.0	1336	Duplex	60%	50%	No	No	3		\$1,680		\$1,680	\$169	\$1,849
3	2.0	1336	Duplex	60%	50%	No	No	17		\$1,680		\$1,680	\$169	\$1,849
3	2.0	1461	Duplex	60%	50%	No	No	10		\$1,680		\$1,680	\$169	\$1,849
Total / /	Average	1,378					89	30		\$1,680		\$1,680	\$169	\$1,849

Photo

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	S
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	<u> </u>
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	yes	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric			Billiard/Game	•		Window Units		
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no		no	
	yes	yes	•	yes	no	None	no	lor
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	illar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	yes	no	Central	yes	}
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid	l Technolog		Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	I
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	I
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
-			Picnic Area	yes	no	Par	rking	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	yes	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Supe		Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	\$
	Oup		Comp vs. Subject	Supe	_	None	no	ſ
				Oup		Comp vs. Subject		nilar
٨٥	cess		Linit Ar	nenities		Comp vs. Subject	011	mai
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lou	indry	
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds		<u> </u>		Comp	S
				yes	yes	Amenity		
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Ceiling Fans	no	yes	Central	no	3
			Carpeting	yes	yes	W/D Units	yes	
							no)
			Fireplace	no	no	W/D Hookups		
Ų	orhood		Patio/Balcony	yes	yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Patio/Balcony Storage	yes no	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 4.50	3.50	Patio/Balcony	yes	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject		
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	3.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no Infe	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 4.50	3.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no	yes yes rior	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 4.50	3.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no Infe	yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Sim curity Comp	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 4.50 Supe	3.50 erior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen /	yes no Infe Amenities	yes yes rior	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons	Sim curity Comp no	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Comp 4.50 Supe	3.50 erior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity	yes no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes rior Subj	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Sim curity Comp no no	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 4.50 Supe	3.50 erior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes rior Subj yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Sim curity Comp no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 4.50 Supo srea Ameni Comp	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Sim curity Comp no no no no	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 4.50 Supe trea Ameni Comp 2.90	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Sim curity Comp no no no no no no no	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 4.50 Supe trea Ameni Comp 2.90	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Sim curity Comp no no no no no no no	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Sim curity No No No No No Sim	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 4.50 Supe trea Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Sim curity Comp no no no no no Sim vices	S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp	S nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 4.50 Super Area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp no	S nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Sim curity no no no no no no Sim vices Comp no no	s nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 4.50 Super Area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp no no no no	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp no no no no no no	s nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Condition Comp vs. Subject Effecti	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50 rior	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Sim curity Comp no no no no No No no no no no no no no no no n	nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 4.50 Supe area Ameni Comp 2.90 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 rior Subj 4.50	Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes rior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Sim curity no no no no no Sim vices Comp no no no no no no	S

Aspen Village is an existing multifamily development located at 6337 Village Center Drive in Bealeton, Virginia. The property, which consists of 30 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2003. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

	Project Informa	ation
Property Name		Culpeper Commons Phase 2
Street Number		1301
Street Name		Spring Meadow
Street Type		Lane
City		Culpeper
State		Virginia
Zip		22701
Phone Number		(540) 829-8595
Year Built		1998
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		\$200
Other Fees		\$40
Waiting List		yes
Project Rent		Restricted
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing	1998	Tax Credit
Vouchers		4
Latitude		38.4842
Longitude		-77.9795
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	-180 &23-0	021

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed	Ms. Leslie, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 917-5262
Interview Date	05-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
1007 TC's awarded for construction of th	his property without project

1997 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Washer/dryer hookups accommodate stackable units only. Property has 2 non-revenue units for management and security. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.



Location Map



Unit Configuration

			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	figuration Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
2	2.0	895	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	14	1	\$1,057		\$1,057	\$66	\$1,123
3	2.0	1101	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	6		\$1,215		\$1,215	\$82	\$1,297
Total / /	Average	957				l	91	20	1	\$1,104		\$1,104	\$71	\$1,175
10101/1										$\varphi_{1}, 104$		φ , φ	Ψιι	<i>.,</i>

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	9
Heat-Gas	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	
Cooking-Gas	yes	yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Gas	•		Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject		nilar
	yes	yes				Comp vs. Subject	311	IIIai
Water	no	yes	Comm Center	no	yes		1	
Sewer	no	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	
Tanan (Dail			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid		07	Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	no	no	Par	king	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	2.50	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	
			Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	None	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	lau	Indry	
Access	2 50		,				,	
Access	2.50 Sup	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
Access Comp vs. Subject			Blinds Ceiling Fans	yes some	yes yes	Amenity Central	Comp yes	}
		2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	yes some yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp yes no	2
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	yes some yes no	yes yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp yes no yes	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Sup	2.00 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes some yes no yes	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp yes no yes	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup porhood Comp	2.00 erior Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	yes some yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sin	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes some yes no yes no	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec	Comp yes no yes Sin	nilar
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes some yes no yes no Infe	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp	؛ nilar
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes some yes no yes no Infe	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no	י nilar פ
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject Kitchen /	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no	י nilar פ
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity Stove	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen Amenity Stove Refrigerator	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup strea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin	י nilar S
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices	nilar s
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup corhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Comp yes no yes Sin surity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp	nilar s
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity Amenity	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no	nilar e
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity Amenity After School Concierge	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no	nilar s
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup srea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no	nilar nilar s
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup area Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	nilar nilar <u>s</u>
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject Effectin	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup wrea Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	nilar s
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup porhood Comp 3.60 Sup area Ameni Comp 4.40 Infe dition Comp 3.50 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen A Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes some yes no yes no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	S

Culpeper Commons Phase 2 is an existing multifamily development located at 1301 Spring Meadow Lane in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 20 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1998. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 95 percent occupancy.

	Project Information	
Property Name		Greens At Northridge
Street Number		1050
Street Name		Claire Taylor
Street Type		Court
City		Culpeper
State		Virginia
Zip		22701
Phone Number		(540) 825-6300
Year Built		2005
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		\$500
Other Fees		\$32
Waiting List		no
Project Rent		Restricted
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing	2003	Tax Credit
Vouchers		23
Latitude		38.4988
Longitude		-77.9915
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	-180 &23-(037
	Interview Notes	

Interview N	lotes
Person Interviewed	Ms. Tara, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 347-3361
Interview Date	03-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
2002 Bandla awarded for construction	of this property without project

2003 Bond's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. Amenities shared with Meadows at Northridge. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.







							figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	661	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	12		\$1,048		\$1,048	\$59	\$1,107
2	2.0	1050	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	72		\$1,255		\$1,255	\$79	\$1,334
3	2.0	1280	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	24		\$1,454		\$1,454	\$97	\$1,551
Total / A	Verane	1,058		1		1	33	108		\$1,276		\$1,276	\$81	\$1,357

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	S
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	y
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	yes	no	Wall Units	no	y I
Other Electric	•	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	י ז
Air Cond	yes		Bus/Comp Ctr		no	None	no	ı I
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	yes no		Comp vs. Subject		nilar
	yes	yes	Comm Center		no	Comp vs. Subject	311	IIIdi
Water	no	yes		yes	yes		1	
Sewer	no	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	<u> </u>
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	у
Transf Daid	T		Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	r
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	r
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	r
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	r
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	yes	no		rking	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	r
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	r
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	r
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	У
			Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	None	no	r
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	indry	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subi	Amenity	Comp	Subi	l ai	indry	
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 3.00	Subj 2.00	Amenity Blinds	Comp yes	Subj yes	Lau Amenity	indry Comp	S
	3.00				,		,	S
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans	yes no	yes yes	Amenity Central	Comp no	y r
Access	3.00 Sup	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	yes no yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no yes no	y I
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	3.00 Sup	2.00	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	yes no yes no	yes yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp no yes no	y ı y
Access Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup	2.00 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	yes no yes no yes yes	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no	y r y
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	yes no yes no yes yes	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin	y r y nilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no yes no yes yes	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec	Comp no yes no Sin	y r y nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	3.00 Sup porhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no yes no yes yes Infe	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp	y r y nilar S r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	yes no yes no yes yes Infe	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no	y r y nilar S r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject Kitchen /	yes no yes yes yes Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no	y r y nilar S r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove	yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no	y r y nilar S r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenites	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	yes no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no	y r y nilar S r r r r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	y r y nilar S r r r r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenites	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no no no no no	y r y nilar S r r r r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup borhood Comp 3.60 Sup sup 2.30 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin	y nilar S
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- sup- 2.30 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices	y r y nilar r r r r r r r r r r r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup Comp 3.60 Sup 3.60 Sup 2.30 Infe dition	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp	y y hilar s r r n hilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no no Sin vices Comp no	y n hilar s r r n hilar S r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale)	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no sin vices Comp no no no	y nilar S nilar s s
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Com Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	3.00 Sup- borhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no	y r y hilar r r r hilar S S r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- corhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	y y hilar S n hilar
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject Effectiv	3.00 Sup- orhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	y r y y nilar r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r
Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	3.00 Sup- corhood Comp 3.60 Sup- 3.60 Sup- 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ties Subj 4.50 erior	Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no yes no Sin curity Comp no no no no no Sin vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	y r y nilar S r r r r r r r

Greens At Northridge is an existing multifamily development located at 1050 Claire Taylor Court in Culpeper, Virginia. The property, which consists of 108 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2005. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

Property NameMintbrook Senior ApartmentsStreet Number4475Street NameBaconStreet TypeStreetCityBealetonStateVirginiaZip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchersLatitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna4AC Code:180.823-(059:180.823-(Project Information					
Street NameBaconStreet TypeStreetCityBealetonStateVirginiaZip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Property Name		Mintbrook Senior Apartments			
Street TypeStreetCityBealetonStateVirginiaZip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax Credit38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Number		4475			
CityBealetonStateVirginiaZip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Name		Bacon			
StateVirginiaZip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchersVouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Street Type		Street			
Zip22712Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax Credit1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	City		Bealeton			
Phone Number(540) 402-6005Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchersUouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	State		Virginia			
Year Built2014Year RenovatednaMinimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchers11Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Zip		22712			
Year Renovated na Minimum Lease 12 Min. Security Dep. 1 month Other Fees \$35 Waiting List yes Project Rent Restricted Project Type Elderly Project Status Stabilized Financing 2014 Tax Credit Vouchers 1 Latitude 38.5847 Longitude -777.7702 Nearest Crossroads na	Phone Number		(540) 402-6005			
Minimum Lease12Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchersLatitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Year Built		2014			
Min. Security Dep.1 monthOther Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Year Renovated		na			
Other Fees\$35Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Minimum Lease		12			
Waiting ListyesProject RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Min. Security Dep.		1 month			
Project RentRestrictedProject TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Other Fees		\$35			
Project TypeElderlyProject StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Waiting List		yes			
Project StatusStabilizedFinancing2014Tax CreditVouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Rent		Restricted			
Financing2014Tax CreditVouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Type		Elderly			
Vouchers1Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Project Status		Stabilized			
Latitude38.5847Longitude-77.7702Nearest Crossroadsna	Financing	2014	Tax Credit			
Longitude -77.7702 Nearest Crossroads na	Vouchers		1			
Nearest Crossroads na	Latitude		38.5847			
	Longitude		-77.7702			
AAC Code 180 & 23-0 059	Nearest Crossroads		na			
	AAC Code	·180 &23-(059			

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed	Ms. Anna, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 645-5187
Interview Date	05-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL
0044T 0 111 1 1 (a at the second se

2014 Tax Credit's awarded for new construction of this property without project based rental assistance available to tenants. Developed by The Humanities Foundation. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.



Location Map



						Unit Con	figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
1	1.0	660	Garden/Flat	50%	40%	No	No	9		\$930		\$930	\$115	\$1,045
1	1.0	660	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	21		\$1,110		\$1,110	\$115	\$1,225
2	1.0	960	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	34	1	\$1,250		\$1,250	\$148	\$1,398
2	1.0	960	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	16		\$1,315		\$1,315	\$148	\$1,463
Total / /	Average	848		1		<u> </u>	95	80	1	\$1,190		\$1,190	\$136	\$1,326

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	S
Heat-Electric	yes	yes	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	3
Cooking-Electric	yes	yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	ر
Other Electric	yes	yes	Billiard/Game	no	no	Window Units	no	
Air Cond	•		Bus/Comp Ctr	no		None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr		no	Comp vs. Subject		nilar
	yes	yes		no	no	Comp vs. Subject	311	mar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	no	yes	Elevator	yes	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Gazebo/Patio	yes	no	Central	yes	y
Town Daid	T		Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	I
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	yes	no	Baseboards	no	I
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	1
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	yes	no		rking	
			Playground	no	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visil	bility		Pool	no	no	Garage	no	I
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	I
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	I
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Walking Trail	yes	no	Open	yes	У
			Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	None	no	I
Acc Rating (1.5 Scalo)		Subi		nenities	Subi		undn/	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj		undry	9
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 3.00	2.00	Amenity Blinds	Comp yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00	<u> </u>	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans	Comp yes yes	yes yes	Amenity Central	Comp yes	У
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access	Comp 3.00	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting	Comp yes yes yes	yes yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp yes no	y I
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup	2.00	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	Comp yes yes yes no	yes yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp yes no yes	y ı y
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood	2.00 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	Comp yes yes yes no no	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp yes no yes	y I
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	Comp yes yes no no no	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sim	y ı y
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	Comp yes yes no no no	yes yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sim	y ı <u>y</u> nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes yes no no no Infe	yes yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp	y ı y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60	2.00 erior Subj 3.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities	yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes	y ı y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes vrior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Se Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes	y ı y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Se Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no	y ı y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator	Comp yes yes no no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no no no	y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no no no no) nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no no no no no	y r hilar S r r r r
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no no no no no	y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior tites Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sea Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no Sup	S y nilar s r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp yes no yes Sin curity Comp yes yes no no no no Sup vices	y nilar S
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no Sup vices Comp	y nilar S I I I I erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no Sup vices Comp na	y nilar S I I I I Eerior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no sup vices Comp na na	y nilar s s erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comg Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Security Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no sup vices Comp na na na) hilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no no Sup vices Comp na na na na na na) nilar S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no no Sup vices Comp na na na na na na na	y nilar S S erior
Rating (1-5 Scale) Access Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject	Comp 3.00 Sup orhood Comp 3.60 Sup rea Ameni Comp 2.30 Infe dition Comp 4.00 Infe	2.00 erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 Subj 4.50	Amenity Blinds Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher Microwave	Comp yes yes no no no Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes srior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject See Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp yes no yes Sin Curity Comp yes yes no no no no no no Sup vices Comp na na na na na na	y nilar S S erior

Mintbrook Senior Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 4475 Bacon Street in Bealeton, Virginia. The property, which consists of 80 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2014. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 99 percent occupancy.

	Project Informa	ation
Property Name		Oakbrook Terrace Apartments
Street Number		109
Street Name		Oakbrook
Street Type		Drive
City		Orange
State		Virginia
Zip		22960
Phone Number		(540) 672-6791
Year Built		1999
Year Renovated		na
Minimum Lease		12
Min. Security Dep.		1 month
Other Fees		\$35
Waiting List		no
Project Rent		Restricted
Project Type		Family
Project Status		Stabilized
Financing	1996	Tax Credit
Vouchers		20
Latitude		38.2571
Longitude		-78.1193
Nearest Crossroads		na
AAC Code	-180 &23-(065

Interview Notes

Person Interviewed	Ms. Ann Anderson, Manager
Phone Number	(540) 672-6791
Interview Date	06-Jan-23
Interviewed By	PL

1996 TC's awarded for construction of this property without project based rental assistance. There are no new apartments or businesses nearby.

Photo



Location Map MONTEBELLO RD UNIVERSITY LN RADNEY RD APLELN OAKBROOK DR 65 15 PARKER PL SDICERS MILL RO AUAN WAY PARK ST LAFAYETTEST AIDAN DR DOGWOOD NOOREST @2015 CALIPER; @2014 HERE

						Unit Con	figuration							
			Unit	Inc	Rent	HOME	Subs	Total	Vac	Street		Net		Gross
BR	BA	SF	Туре	Limit	Limit	Units	Units	Units	Units	Rent	Disc	Rent	UA	Rent
2	2.0	857	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	8		\$847		\$847	\$218	\$1,065
2	2.0	857	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	8		\$1,053		\$1,053	\$218	\$1,271
3	2.0	1069	Garden/Flat	50%	50%	No	No	12		\$965		\$965	\$310	\$1,275
3	2.0	1069	Garden/Flat	60%	60%	No	No	42	2	\$1,203		\$1,203	\$310	\$1,513
Total /	Average	1,021				L	7	70	2	\$1,104		\$1,104	\$289	\$1,393
TUIAL/	Average	1,021						70	_	φ1,104		φ1,104	φ∠09	φ1,393

Utility	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	5
Heat-Electric	yes	ves	Ball Field	no	no	Central	yes	
Cooking-Electric		yes	BBQ Area	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Other Electric	yes	2	Billiard/Game			Window Units		
	yes	yes		no	no		no	
Air Cond	yes	yes	Bus/Comp Ctr	no	no	None	no	
Hot Water-Electric	yes	yes	Car Care Ctr	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar
Water	yes	yes	Comm Center	yes	yes			
Sewer	yes	yes	Elevator	no	no		eat	
Trash	no	yes	Fitness Ctr	yes	no	Amenity	Comp	S
Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar	Gazebo/Patio	no	no	Central	yes	
			Hot Tub/Jacuzzi	no	no	Wall Units	no	
Tenant-Paid			Herb Garden	no	no	Baseboards	no	
Technology	Comp	Subj	Horseshoes	no	no	Boiler/Radiators	no	
Cable	yes	yes	Lake	no	no	None	no	
Internet	yes	yes	Library	no	no	Comp vs. Subject	Sim	nilar
Comp vs. Subject	Sin	nilar	Movie/Media Ctr	no	no			
			Picnic Area	yes	no	Pai	[.] king	
			Playground	yes	yes	Amenity	Comp	S
Visi	bility		Pool	yes	no	Garage	no	
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Sauna	no	no	Covered Pkg	no	
Visibility	3.00	2.50	Sports Court	no	no	Assigned Pkg	no	
Comp vs. Subject	Sup	erior	Walking Trail	no	no	Open	yes	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		Comp vs. Subject		erior	None	no	
Acc	ess		Unit Ar	nenities		Comp vs. Subject	511	nilar
Rating (1-5 Scale)	Comp	Subj	Amenity	Comp	Subj	Lau	indry	
<u> </u>								
Access	3.00	2.00	Blinds	ves	ves			S
				yes no	yes ves	Amenity Central	Comp no	
Access Comp vs. Subject		2.00 erior	Ceiling Fans	no	yes	Amenity	Comp	
			Ceiling Fans Carpeting	•	•	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no no	
Comp vs. Subject	Sup		Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace	no yes no	yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups	Comp no no yes	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb	Sup	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	no yes no yes	yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units	Comp no no yes	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage	no yes no yes yes	yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject	Comp no no yes Infe	
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup orhood Comp 2.00	erior Subj 3.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony	no yes no yes yes	yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec	Comp no no yes Infe	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.00	erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject	no yes no yes yes Infe	yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood	Sup orhood Comp 2.00	erior Subj 3.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen	no yes no yes yes Infe	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec	Comp no no yes Infe	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> Amenity	no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp	yes yes no yes yes erior	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A	orhood Comp 2.00 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove	no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator	no yes no yes yes Infe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal	no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes	yes yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no no	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	no yes no yes yes Infe Amenities Comp yes yes yes yes yes	yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no yes	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no no	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony Storage Comp vs. Subject Kitchen / Amenity Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes no yes yes erior Subj yes yes no yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no no no yes	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no yes Sup	erior eerio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject	Comp no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no yes Sup vices Comp	erior
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Condition	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe .rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no	erior c eerio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp Rating (1-5 Scale)	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe .rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no no no	erio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Comp vs. Subject Condition	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe .rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	erio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	erior serio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Condition Comp vs. Subject Effectiv	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50 erior	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Ser Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care Housekeeping	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	eerio eerio
Comp vs. Subject Neighb Rating (1-5 Scale) Neighborhood Comp vs. Subject Proximity to A Rating (1-5 Scale) Area Amenities Comp vs. Subject Cond Rating (1-5 Scale) Condition Comp vs. Subject	Sup orhood Comp 2.00 Infe rea Ameni Comp 2.80 Infe dition Comp 3.00 Infe	erior Subj 3.50 erior ities Subj 4.50 erior Subj 4.50	Ceiling Fans Carpeting Fireplace Patio/Balcony <u>Storage</u> Comp vs. Subject <u>Kitchen /</u> <u>Amenity</u> Stove Refrigerator Disposal Dishwasher <u>Microwave</u>	no yes no yes lnfe <u>Amenities</u> <u>Comp</u> yes yes yes yes yes no	yes yes no yes yes prior Subj yes yes no yes yes	Amenity Central W/D Units W/D Hookups Comp vs. Subject Sec Amenity Call Buttons Cont Access Courtesy Officer Monitoring Security Alarms Security Patrols Comp vs. Subject Amenity After School Concierge Hair Salon Health Care	Comp no no yes Infe curity Comp no no no no yes Sup vices Comp no no no no no no no no no no no no no	erior E eerio

Oakbrook Terrace Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 109 Oakbrook Drive in Orange, Virginia. The property, which consists of 70 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1999. This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS & LIMITING CONDITIONS

- The title to the subject property is merchantable, and the property is free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except as noted.
- No liability is assumed for matters legal in nature.
- Ownership and management are assumed to be in competent and responsible hands.
- No survey has been made by the appraiser. Dimensions are as supplied by others and are assumed to be correct.
- The report was prepared for the purpose so stated and should not be used for any other reason.
- All direct and indirect information supplied by the owner and their representatives concerning the subject property is assumed to be true and accurate.
- No responsibility is assumed for information supplied by others and such information is believed to be reliable and correct. This includes zoning and tax information provided by Municipal officials.
- The signatories shall not be required to give testimony or attend court or be at any governmental hearing with respect to the subject property unless prior arrangements have been made with the client.
- Disclosure of the contents of this report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute.
- The legal description is assumed to be accurate.
- This report specifically assumes that there are no site, subsoil, or building contaminates present resulting from residual substances or construction materials, such as asbestos, radon gas, PCB, etc. Should any of these factors exist, the appraiser reserves the right to review these findings, review the value estimates, and change the estimates, if deemed necessary.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of this property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is operated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is constructed/rehabilitated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is financed as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes the timing set forth in this report.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I performed a market study for the subject property in 2022.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Debbie Rucker (Allen & Associates Consulting) assisted in compiling the data used in this report.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, I have completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Members of the Appraisal Institute.
- I am presently licensed in good standing as a Certified General Real Estate Appraiser in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia, allowing me to appraise all types of real estate.

Respectfully submitted: ALLEN & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING, INC.

Jeff Carroll

VHDA CERTIFICATION

I affirm the following:

1) I have made a physical inspection of the site and market area.

2) The appropriate information has been used in the comprehensive evaluation of the need and demand for the proposed rental units.

3) To the best of my knowledge the market can support the demand shown in this study. I understand that any misrepresentation in this statement may result in the denial of participation in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program in Virginia as administered by the VHDA.

4) Neither I nor anyone at my firm has any interest in the proposed development or a relationship with the ownership entity.

5) Neither I nor anyone at my firm nor anyone acting on behalf of my firm in connection with the preparation of this report has communicated to others that my firm is representing VHDA or in any way acting for, at the request of, or on behalf of VHDA.

6) Compensation for my services is not contingent upon this development receiving a LIHTC reservation or allocation.

February 26, 2023

Jeff Carroll

Date

NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

Executive Summary								
1	Executive Summary	Executive Summary						
	Scope of Work							
2	Scope of Work	Letter of Transmittal						
	Project Description							
3	Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents, and income	Section 1						
4	targeting Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent	Section 2						
5	Target market/population description	Section 1						
6	Project description including unit features and community amenities	Section 2						
7	Date of construction/preliminary completion	Section 1						
8	If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents, and existing vacancies	Section 1						
	Location							
9	Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels	Sections 3 & 4						
10	Site photos/maps	Section 5						
11	Map of community services	Section 4						
12	Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility, and crime	Section 4						
	Market Area							
13	PMA description	Section 6						
14	РМА Мар	Section 6						
	Employment and Economy							
15	At-Place employment trends	Section 7						
16	Employment by sector	Section 7						
17	Unemployment rates	Section 7						
18	Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site	Section 7						
19	Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions	Section 7						
	Demographic Characteristics							
20	Population and household estimates and projections	Section 8						
21	Area building permits	Section 7						
22	Population and household characteristics including income, tenure, and size	Section 8						
23	For senior or special needs projects, provide data specific to target market	Section 8						
	Competitive Environment							
24	Comparable property profiles and photos	Appendix						
25	Map of comparable properties	Section 10						
26	Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents	Section 9						
27	Comparison of subject property to comparable properties	Section 10						
28	Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including	NA						
29	homeownership, if applicable Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed	Section 9						
30	For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target	Section 8						
	market							

NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

	Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis							
31	Estimate of demand	Section 11						
32	Affordability analysis with capture rate	Section 11						
33	Penetration rate analysis with capture rate	Section 11						
	Analysis/Conclusions							
34	Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject	Section 11						
35	Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents.	Section 10						
36	Precise statement of key conclusions	Executive Summary						
37	Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project	Executive Summary						
38	Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject	Executive Summary						
39	Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing	Executive Summary						
40	Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject	Executive Summary						
41	Interviews with area housing stakeholders	Appendix						
	Other Requirements							
42	Certifications	Appendix						
43	Statement of qualifications	Appendix						
44	Sources of data not otherwise identified	NA						

MISCELLANEOUS

ALLEN & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING

Real Estate Advisory Services

QUALIFICATIONS

Allen & Associates Consulting is a real estate advisory firm specializing in affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, HUD assisted and financed multifamily, USDA-RD assisted and financed properties, public housing, historic tax credits, conventional multifamily, and manufactured housing. Services include development consulting, rent comparability studies, market analysis, feasibility studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies.

Allen & Associates Consulting and its sister organization Allen & Associates Appraisal maintain offices in Charlotte, North Carolina and Detroit, Michigan, respectively. Allen & Associates is approved to provide its services throughout the United States.

The following is a listing of key personnel for Allen & Associates Consulting:

Jeffrey B. Carroll

Jeffrey B. Carroll is President of Allen & Associates Consulting. Since 2000, Mr. Carroll has completed over 3000 development consulting assignments in 46 states. Major projects include:

- *Market Feasibility* Completed market studies for 13 proposed tax credit apartment developments on behalf of the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The portfolio included 5 family and 8 senior communities. Our analysis identified the 4 best deals for the housing finance agency to consider funding.
- *Valuation* Developed a disposition plan for a 30-property portfolio of apartments on behalf of a private owner. The 921-unit portfolio (located in MD, DE, PA and VA) was valued at \$23 million. Our client relied on our valuations and advice to maximize sales proceeds for the portfolio.
- *Capital Needs Assessments* Completed capital needs assessments for an 8property portfolio of RD-financed apartments on behalf of a private developer. The portfolio (located in FL) included 6 family and 2 senior communities. Our client utilized our assessments to develop a scope of work for the proposed acquisition and renovation of the 214-unit portfolio.
- *Utility Allowance Studies* Completed utility allowance studies for a portfolio of tax credit apartments on behalf of a large national owner/developer. The portfolio (located in CT, DC, IL, IN, MA, NC, OH, PA and VA) included 31 properties. Our client utilized our research to maximize rents and net operating income for the portfolio.
- *Underwriting* Conducted a financial review on behalf of a local housing authority for the proposed redevelopment of a vacant historic textile mill into loft apartments. Our client had been asked to issue \$4 million in tax-exempt bonds for

the \$15 million project. Our assistance in underwriting the transaction resulted in the green light for the development.

Mr. Carroll is a certified general appraiser, licensed to appraise real estate in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Mr. Carroll is also a designated member of the Appraisal Institute (MAI).

Mr. Carroll is a peer-reviewed member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts, where he served on the Executive Committee and chaired the Data and Ethics Committees.

In addition, Mr. Carroll has also served as a market study reviewer for the Georgia and Michigan housing finance agencies.

Mr. Carroll has written articles on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for <u>Urban Land</u> magazine, <u>The</u> <u>Journal of Property Management, Community Management</u> magazine, <u>Merchandiser</u> magazine, <u>HousingThink</u>, and a publication of the Texas A&M Real Estate Research Center known as <u>Terra Grande</u>.

Mr. Carroll has conducted seminars on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for the American Planning Association, <u>Community Management</u> magazine, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the National Association of State and Local Equity Funds, the Virginia Community Development Corporation, and the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts.

Mr. Carroll is also an experienced developer and property manager. His experience includes the development of tax credit apartment communities, conventional market rate apartments, manufactured home communities, and single-family subdivisions. He has also managed a portfolio of apartment complexes and manufactured home communities.

The following is a summary of Mr. Carroll's relevant educational background:

Clemson University, Bachelor of Science Degree Major in Engineering	
Minor Concentration in Economics	1983
Harvard University, Master's Degree in Business Administration Major in General Management	
Minor Concentration in Economics and Real Estate	1988
Appraisal Institute Qualifying Education for Licensure	2001
Continuing Education for Licensure & MAI Designation	2020
ASTM International	
Property Condition Assessments E2018.01	September 2006

The Institute for Professional and Executive Development Tax Credit Property Disposition	October 2007
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts Semi-Annual Meeting & Continuing Education	2002 - 2014
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Utility Allowance Guidebook MAP Training & Certification	September 2007 September 2007
USDA Rural Development Capital Needs Assessment Provider Training Accessibility Standards Training	September 2007 September 2007

Mr. Carroll, who was awarded a scholarship on the Clemson University varsity wrestling team, has served as an assistant coach for a local high school wrestling team. Mr. Carroll resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife Becky and his two children, Luke and Brittany.

Debbie Rucker

Debbie Rucker is an analyst with Allen & Associates Consulting, coordinating market research for the company. Mrs. Rucker has worked on over 2000 assignments and has conducted over 40,000 rent surveys.

Mrs. Rucker was also responsible for compiling the database of detailed information on of every tax credit and tax-exempt bond transaction in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Texas since 1999.

The following is a summary of Mrs. Rucker's relevant educational background:

National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts	
Semi-Annual Meeting & Continuing Education	September 2005
Semi-Annual Meeting & Continuing Education	October 2006
Carolinas Council for Affordable Housing	
Spectrum C ³ P Certification	October 2008

Mrs. Rucker is active in her church and helps run a local judo club. Mrs. Rucker is the mother of three and resides in Weddington, North Carolina.

Michael W. Lash

Michael W. Lash is President of Lash Engineering, an engineering firm located in Charlotte, North Carolina that works closely with Allen & Associates Consulting on utility allowance studies and other specific engagements. Since 1981, Mr. Lash has completed hundreds of assignments including the design of industrial, commercial, multifamily, and single family developments. Mr. Lash is an expert in the design of utility systems, including wastewater and storm water treatment facilities. Mr. Lash is a certified professional engineer, licensed in the states of Kansas, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. Mr. Lash graduated from Louisiana Tech University in Civil Engineering in 1981 and has conducted seminars on advanced wastewater treatment, storm water quality treatment and automated engineering drafting and design with Eagle Point Software.

Mr. Lash is active in his church and volunteers his time teaching karate at a local martial arts academy. Mr. Lash resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife and three children.

JEFFREY B. CARROLL P.O. Box 79196 Charlotte, North Carolina 28271 Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470 E-Mail: jeffcarroll2018@gmail.com

Summary

Specialist in the development of workforce housing utilizing structural insulated exterior wall panels. Current activities include:

- Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the development of workforce housing utilizing structural insulated exterior wall panels. Panelization delivers cost savings/benefits that put newly-constructed units within reach for workforce housing renters.
- Co-Founder of the Workforce Housing Development Corporation, a non-profit firm that provides technical assistance, soft debt, and equity financing to developers building workforce housing with structural insulated exterior wall panels. Participating projects are positioned to deliver a triple bottom line (financial, social, environmental) to investors.
- Founder of Allen & Associates Consulting, a real estate advisory firm specializing in workforce and affordable housing.

Current Activities

President | Tartan Residential, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 1997 - present

Founder of Tartan Residential, a firm specializing in the development of workforce housing utilizing structural insulated exterior wall panels. Panelization delivers cost savings/benefits that put newly-constructed units within reach for workforce housing renters. Major projects include:

- Buchanan's Crossing Subdivision A 40-unit duplex development serving families in Kansas City, Kansas. The estimated cost of this three-phase project is \$11.0 million. This mixed income project, targeting families between 50% and 120% of area median income, is financed with a mixture of conventional debt, conventional equity, and tax credit equity. Construction commenced in 2016.
- Davidson's Landing A proposed 115-unit garden apartment community serving families in Kansas City, Kansas. The estimated cost of this project is \$26 million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between 30% and 80% of area median income, is financed with tax-exempt bonds. Construction commenced in 2021.
- Johnston Farms A proposed 120-unit apartment community serving families in Rock Hill, South Carolina. The estimated cost of this project is \$33 million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between 50% and 100% of area median income, is proposed to be financed with 501c3 bonds. Construction to begin in 2022.
- Dunbar Place A proposed 100-unit apartment community serving families in Rock Hill, South Carolina. The estimated cost of this project is \$25 million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between 50% and 80% of area median income, is proposed to be financed with LIHTCs and tax-exempt bonds. Secured the entitlements and initiated the bond inducement process prior to selling to a large non-profit in 2021.
- McLelland Village A proposed 96-unit garden apartment community serving families in Mooresville, North Carolina. The estimated cost of this project is \$25 million. This workforce housing development project, which targets families between 50% and 80% of area median income, is proposed to be financed with LIHTCs and tax-exempt bonds. Secured the entitlements and initiated the bond inducement process prior to selling to a large non-profit in 2021.

<u>Co-Founder | Workforce Housing Development Corporation, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 2019 - present</u> Co-Founder and non-voting Advisory Board Member of the Workforce Housing Development Corporation, a non-profit firm that provides technical assistance, soft debt, and equity financing to developers of workforce housing. Major projects include:

- Attracted an affordable housing REIT to provide private equity for as many as 100 workforce housing developments over the next 10 years.
- Developed an intern program known as Workforce Housing University. Hosted 3 interns during the Summer of 2022 introducing them to the development, construction, management, and finance of rental workforce housing. The program was a huge success.
- Developing an exchange program with a twofold purpose: (1) to notify participating employers of vacant units at participating developments, and (2) to notify residents at participating developments of job openings with participating employers.
- Establishing a training incentive program for residents at participating developments seeking to work in manufacturing, the trades, and other select professions.
- Developing a comprehensive set of planning tools for workforce housing developers to utilize in land use, zoning, and entitlement matters.

President | Allen & Associates Consulting, Inc. | Charlotte, NC | 2000 - present

Founder of Allen & Associates Consulting, a real estate advisory firm specializing in workforce and affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, HUD assisted and financed multifamily, USDA-RD assisted and financed properties, public housing, historic tax credits, conventional multifamily, and factory-built housing. Services include development consulting, feasibility studies, market analysis, rent comparability studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies. Performed over 3800 development consulting assignments in 46 states since 2000.

Prior Experience

Co-Founder | Delphin Properties LLC | Charlotte, NC | 1998 - present

Co-founder of Delphin Properties, a firm specializing in the acquisition and development of manufactured home communities. This entity is currently inactive. Major projects included:

- Crystal Lakes A 338-unit manufactured home community serving seniors in Fort Myers, Florida. Purchased the partially-constructed development in 1998, completed construction, and sold it in 2001 for a \$1 million profit.
- Mahler's Glen A 348-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Garner, North Carolina. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property (including a private wastewater treatment facility), and sold it to a national homebuilder in 2000 for a \$2 million profit.
- Beacon Wood A 363-unit development originally planned as a manufactured home community serving families in Crockery Township, Michigan. Secured zoning and site plan approval, engineered the property, and sold it to a regional homebuilder in 2001 for a \$1 million profit.

<u>Development Director | Clayton, Williams & Sherwood, Inc. | Austin, TX | 1995 - 1997</u> Development Director for Clayton, Williams & Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Development Managed the construction and lease-up of two apartment communities consisting of 564 units and valued at \$38 million. Each property leased up in excess of 25 units per month.
- Manufactured Home Community Development Put together development plans for 4 landlease manufactured home communities consisting of 1800 units and valued at \$54 million.
- Modular Home Subdivision Development Put together development plans for 2 fee simple modular home subdivisions consisting of 200 units and valued at \$20 million.

Assistant to the President | Southwest Property Trust | Dallas, TX | 1993 - 1995

Assistant to the President for Southwest Property Trust, a large apartment REIT. Provided support to management personnel operating a 12,000-unit apartment portfolio.

Investment Analyst/Manager | GE Capital | Dallas, TX | 1991 - 1993

Investment Analyst/Manager for GE Capital's Residential Construction Lending business. Assisted in the management of a \$500 million investment portfolio including 30 single family residential land development investments and 70 single family construction lines of credit.

Regional Manager | Clayton, Williams & Sherwood, Inc. | Newport Beach, CA | 1989 - 1991

Regional Manager for Clayton, Williams & Sherwood, a privately-owned operator of manufactured home communities and apartment complexes. Major projects included:

- Multifamily Management Management of a 1200-unit apartment portfolio valued at over \$72 million. Implemented a portfolio-wide 10 percent rent increase while cutting operating expenses 3 percent resulting in a \$7 million increase in portfolio value.
- Manufactured Home Community Management Management of a 1200-unit manufactured home community portfolio valued at over \$36 million. Implemented a 15 percent rent increase in a 500-unit community resulting in a \$4 million increase in property value.

Manufacturing Management | Milliken & Company | Pendleton, SC | 1983 - 1986

Manufacturing Manager for Milliken & Company, a specialty textile manufacturer headquartered in Spartanburg, South Carolina. Assigned to a dyeing and finishing facility. Exposed to a wide range of manufacturing issues: facility layout, purchasing, scheduling, material handling, automation, process improvement, quality control, inventory management, logistics, personnel, safety, environmental, and customer service.

Education

Harvard Business School | MBA, General Management, Real Estate, Economics | 1986 - 1988 Graduated in 1988 with an MBA from Harvard Business School. Emphasis in General Management and Real Estate with a minor concentration in Economics. Educational highlights include:

- Conducted a study of the passenger tire industry in 1986. Evaluated Firestone's competitive position relative to other tiremakers. Observed that other manufacturers enjoyed a cost advantage over Firestone because of superior scale, better capacity utilization, and more accumulated experience in tire production. Concluded that Firestone should merge with another tiremaker to bolster its competitive position. One year after completing this study, Firestone was acquired by Bridgestone Japan's largest tiremaker.
- Performed financial analysis for a Boston-based tax credit syndicator to help pay for school. Evaluated two elderly tax credit deals that ultimately closed in 1989.

Clemson University | BS, Engineering, Economics | 1978 - 1983

Graduated in 1983 with a BS in Engineering from Clemson University. Minor concentration in Economics. Honors included Dean's List and Alpha Lambda Delta honorary. Elected officer for Phi Delta Theta social fraternity. Awarded scholarship on Clemson's varsity wrestling team.

Certifications, Designations and Affiliations

Mr. Carroll is a member of the Harvard Real Estate Alumni Organization, the HBS Real Estate Alumni Association, the HBS Social Enterprise Initiative, and the North Carolina Building Performance Association.

Mr. Carroll is a certified general appraiser, licensed to appraise real estate in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. Mr. Carroll is also a designated member of the Appraisal Institute (MAI).

Mr. Carroll is a peer-reviewed member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA), where he served on the Executive Committee and chaired the Data and Ethics Committees.

Specialties

Specialties include workforce and affordable housing, low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, development, development consulting, land use, zoning, entitlements, structured real estate investments, multifamily, manufactured housing, modular construction, panelization, HVAC system design, and manufacturing management.

Certificate of Professional Designation

This certificate verifies that

Jeff Carroll

Allen & Associates Consulting Inc.

Has completed NCHMA's Professional Designation Requirements and is hence an approved member in good standing of:



National Council of Housing Market Analysts 1400 16th St. NW Suite 420 Washington, DC 20036 202-939-1750

> **Membership Term** 1/1/2023 to 12/31/2023

Kaittyn Drugeler

Kaitlyn Snyder Managing Director, NCHMA