## MARKET STUDY

Property:
Poplar Creek Homes
Poplar Creek Street
South Boston, Virginia 24592


Type of Property:
Affordable Multifamily Development Family
New Construction

Date of Report:
March 14, 2021

Effective Date:
March 5, 2021
Date of Site Visit:
February 15, 2021

Prepared For:
Mr. Earl Howerton
Southside Outreach Group
P.O. Box 375

South Boston, Virginia 24592

Prepared By:
Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc.
P.O. Box 79196

Charlotte, North Carolina 28271
Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470
E-mail: jcarroll@allenadvisors.com
AAC File Number:
21-019


Copyright © 2021 Allen \& Associates Consulting. Material in this report may not be reprinted, reproduced, or recorded in any manner, in whole or in part, without the specific written permission of Allen \& Associates Consulting. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. Allen \& Associates Consulting and its representatives make no guarantee, warranty or representation regarding the accuracy of information obtained from third-party sources. For more information contact Allen \& Associates Consulting at 704-905-2276.

Allen \& Associates Consulting, Inc.
P.O. Box 79196

Charlotte, North Carolina 28271
Phone: 704-905-2276 | Fax: 704-220-0470
E-mail: jcarroll@allenadvisors.com
March 14, 2021

Mr. Earl Howerton<br>Southside Outreach Group<br>P.O. Box 375<br>South Boston, Virginia 24592<br>Re: Poplar Creek Homes<br>Dear Mr. Earl Howerton:

The subject property, known as Poplar Creek Homes is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located on the south side of Poplar Creek Street in South Boston, Halifax County, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3 -bedroom garden apartments and townhome units. A total of 32 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; no units are proposed to benefit from projectbased rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

The scope of this assignment consists of a comprehensive market analysis for the subject property. The market study was completed in accordance with VHDA, National Council for Housing Market Analyst (NCHMA) guidelines and the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice (USPAP). The completion of this report involved a site visit, interviews with local property managers, and the collection of market data through discussions with persons knowledgeable of the local real estate market.

The purpose, intended use, and function of the report is to assess the marketability of the subject property for tax credit application purposes. This report should not be used for any other purposes without the express written permission of Allen \& Associates Consulting.

The report has been generated for the benefit of our client Southside Outreach Group. VHDA is named as an additional user of the report. No other person or entity may use the report for any reason whatsoever without our express written permission.

A summary of our findings and conclusions is found in the following pages. The conclusions reported are based on the conditions that exist as of the effective date of this report. These factors are subject to change and may alter, or otherwise affect the findings and conclusions presented in this report.

To the best of our knowledge, this report presents an accurate evaluation of market conditions for the subject property as of the effective date of this report. While the analysis that follows is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, no guarantee is made of its accuracy.

Feel free to contact us with any questions or comments.
Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING


Jeff Carroll

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following is a summary of our key findings and conclusions with respect to the subject property:

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Poplar Creek Homes is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located on the south side of Poplar Creek Street in South Boston, Halifax County, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

## Proposed Unit Mix

The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3 -bedroom garden apartments and townhome units. A total of 32 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI ; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; no units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | UA | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$438 | \$113 | \$325 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$513 | \$113 | \$400 |
| 1BR-1BA-871 sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$533 | \$113 | \$420 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$526 | \$138 | \$388 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$657 | \$138 | \$519 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$657 | \$138 | \$519 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$708 | \$138 | \$570 |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$759 | \$162 | \$597 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$761 | \$164 | \$597 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$861 | \$164 | \$697 |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$861 | \$164 | \$697 |
| Total/Average |  |  | 32 | \$711 | \$146 | \$565 |

## Site Description

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 4.42 acres and approximately 800 feet of road frontage.

A total of 64 parking spaces are planned for this development ( 59 regular / 5 accessible / 2.00 spaces per unit). Privatelyowned parking areas are planned for the subject property. We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like the subject. Public transportation is not found in the area. In our opinion, the current parking appears adequate for the subject property.

Additional Considerations:

| Zoning | R2 Medium Density Residential. Legal, conforming use. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental | 2021 construction. No suspected environmental conditions. |
| Topography | No issues detected. |
| Flood | Zone X. Outside the 100-year flood zone. |
| DDA Status | Halifax County, Virginia. Not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. |
| QCT Status | Tract 9308.00. Not designated as a Qualified Census Tract. |
| Access | Fair to good. Located two blocks from a heavily-traveled road. |
| Visibility | Fair to good. Significant frontage but little drive-by traffic. |

In our opinion, the site is suitable for development.

## Neighborhood Description

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area amenities.

Additional Considerations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crime } & \text { Lower crime rates than market average. } \\
\text { Schools } & \text { Similar graduation rates to market average. } \\
\text { Average Commute } & \text { Shorter commutes than market average. }
\end{array}
$$

In our opinion, the neighborhood is suitable for development.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 25 -minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

The primary market area includes a population of 35,620 persons and covers a total of 826.0 square miles, making it 32.4 miles across on average.

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.

## Demogaphic Characteristics

We anticipate moderate population and household growth for the market area. Renter households are anticipated to increase modestly as well. Finally, we anticipate that rents will grow with CPI over the next few years. Additional details follow:

| Population | Market area population currently stands at 35,620 and is projected to <br> decline 0.1 percent this year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Households | Market area households currently stand at 14,569 and is projected to <br> decline 0.0 percent this year. |
| Renter Households | Market area renter households currently stand at 3,908 and is projected <br> to decline 0.1 percent this year. |
| Renter Tenure | Market area renter tenure currently stands at 26.8 percent. <br> Rent Growth |
| Market area rents have grown $2.50 \%$ annually since 2010. |  |

## Regional Economic Outlook

We anticipate moderate economic growth for the region. Additional details follow:

| Est Employment | Regional establishment employment currently stands at 17,602 and is <br> projected to grow 0.9 percent this year. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Civ Employment | Regional civilian employment currently stands at 15,368 and is <br> projected to decline 0.1 percent this year. |
| Empl by Industry | Regional establishment employment currently stands at 17,602. The <br> data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest <br> employment category accounting for $13.7 \%$ of total regional <br> employment. State and Local Government is the second largest <br> category accounting for $12.9 \%$ of total employment. Manufacturing is <br> the third largest category accounting for $11.4 \%$ of total employment. <br> Retail Trade is the fourth largest category accounting for 10.2\% of total <br> employment. Other Services is the fifth largest category accounting for |
|  | 6.8\% of total employment. |


| Top Employers | The top employers include: (1) Sentara Halifax Regional Hosp (633 <br> employees); (2) Dollar General Dstrbtn Ctr (500 employees) and; (3) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Layoffs/Expansions | ABB Inc (372 employees). <br> Major employers laid off staff last year due to COVID. Many of these <br> employers are currently rehiring. |

## Supply Analysis

Our analysis includes a total of 19 confirmed market area properties consisting of 791 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 98 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

The following tables summarize our findings for this market area:

| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 9 | 283 | 10 | $96 \%$ |
| Restricted | 5 | 129 | 5 | $96 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 5 | 379 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 19 | 791 | 16 | $98 \%$ |


| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family |  |  |  |  |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 9 | 283 | 10 | $96 \%$ |
| Restricted | 5 | 129 | 5 | $96 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 2 | 263 | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 16 | 675 | 16 | $98 \%$ |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Restricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 3 | 116 | 0 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 3 | 116 | 0 | $100 \%$ |


| Pipeline |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family |  |  |  |  |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Restricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Type | Properties | Units | Vacant | Occupancy |
| Market Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Restricted | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Subsidized | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |

## Most Comparable Properties

An overview of the market rate comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Key | Property | Units | Occupancy | Built | Renovated | Rents | Type | Miles to Sub |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 65 | $100 \%$ | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | 0.64 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 44 | $100 \%$ | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | 2.68 |
| 011 | Forest View | 8 | $75 \%$ | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | 3.68 |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 65 | $97 \%$ | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | 1.81 |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 30 | $100 \%$ | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | 3.81 |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 11 | $64 \%$ | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | 1.16 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 48 | $100 \%$ | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | 1.75 |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 71 | $100 \%$ | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | 0.60 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 52 | $100 \%$ | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | 20.65 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 46 | $96 \%$ | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | 0.05 |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 27 | $96 \%$ | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | 0.72 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 40 | $100 \%$ | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | 21.55 |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 36 | $97 \%$ | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | 0.37 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 47 | $94 \%$ | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | 0.71 |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 22 | $100 \%$ | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | 0.28 |

An overview of the restricted rent comparables selected for purposes of our analysis follows. The properties we consider to be the best comparables are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Key | Property | Units | Occupancy | Built | Renovated | Rents | Type | Miles to Sub |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 65 | $100 \%$ | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | 0.64 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 44 | $100 \%$ | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | 2.68 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 48 | $100 \%$ | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | 1.75 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 52 | $100 \%$ | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | 20.65 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 46 | $96 \%$ | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | 0.05 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 40 | $100 \%$ | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | 21.55 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 47 | $94 \%$ | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | 0.71 |

## Achievable Rents

In the following table we present our concluded achievable rents and rent advantage for the subject property:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$354 | \$325 | 8.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$460 | \$400 | 13.0\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$460 | \$420 | 8.7\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$423 | \$388 | 8.3\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$563 | \$519 | 7.8\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$563 | \$519 | 7.8\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$570 | \$570 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$600 | \$597 | 0.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$640 | \$597 | 6.7\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$640 | \$697 | -8.9\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$640 | \$697 | -8.9\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$566 | \$565 | 0.1\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 566$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 565$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 0.1 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area (although one or more units appear to exceed achievable rents).

NCHMA Demand Analysis
In the following tables we present our concluded demand, capture rate, penetration rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the NCHMA demand methodology:

| Unit Type / <br> Rent Type / Income Limit | Vac Units at Market Entry | Gross <br> Demand | Vacant \& Pipeline Units | Capture Rate Gross | Capture Rate Net | Penetration Rate | Absorption Pd (Mos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 4 | 761 | 1 | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.2\% | <1 |
| 2-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 14 | 722 | 1 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 9.6\% | 2 |
| 3-Bedroom / Restricted / 60\% of AMI | 14 | 243 | 1 | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 11.9\% | 5 |
|  | Project-Wide Gross Capture Rate |  |  | 3.2\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Net Capture Rate |  |  | 3.2\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Penetration Rate |  |  | 11.5\% |  |  |  |
|  | Stabilized Occupancy |  |  | 95\% |  |  |  |
|  | Project-Wide Absorption Period |  |  | 5 mos |  |  |  |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 95 percent occupancy. We estimate 5 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 5.7 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## VHDA Demand Analysis

In the following table we present our concluded capture rate and absorption period estimates for the subject property using the VHDA demand methodology:

| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | $8.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units | $0.0 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units | $8.9 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) | 5 mos |

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the subject property appears to be feasible from a market standpoint, subject to the recommendations in this report. Some of the units appears to be priced above achievable rents; the sponsor should revisit the rents for these units. Assuming that this happens, we anticipate a rapid lease-up after construction.

Because of the demonstrated depth of demand in this area, we do not believe the construction of this property will have an adverse impact on existing projects in the market area.

Poplar Creek Homes
Poplar Creek Street
South Boston, Virginia 24592
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## PROJECT OVERVIEW

## Project Description

The subject property, known as Poplar Creek Homes is a proposed affordable multifamily development to be located on the south side of Poplar Creek Street in South Boston, Halifax County, Virginia. The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units to be constructed with an allocation of tax credits. The subject property is an open age community.

Select project details are summarized below:
Project Description

| Property Name | Poplar Creek Homes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Street Name | Poplar Creek |
| Street Type | Street |
| City | South Boston |
| County | Halifax County |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24592 |
| Units | 32 |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Prop Const |
| Financing Type | Tax Credit |
| Latitude | 36.7033 |
| Longitude | -78.9143 |

## Construction and Lease-Up Schedule

We anticipate a 12-month construction period for this project. Assuming a June 1, 2022 closing, this yields a date of completion of June 1, 2023. Our demand analysis (found later in this report) suggests a 5-month absorption period. This yields a date of stabilization of November 1, 2023.

## Unit Configuration

The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units including 1, 2 and 3 -bedroom garden apartments and townhome units. A total of 32 units are proposed to be income restricted to $60 \%$ of AMI; no units are proposed to be set aside as market rate units; no units are proposed to benefit from project-based rental assistance; no units are proposed to benefit from HOME financing. The rent and income restrictions associated with the proposed allocation of tax credits will run for the next 30 years.

| Proposed Unit Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Income Limit | Rent Limit | HOME Units | Subs Units | Total Units | Gross Rent | Net Rent |
| 1 | 1.0 | 616 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 40\% | No | No | 2 | \$438 | \$325 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 871 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 50\% | No | No | 1 | \$513 | \$400 |
| 1 | 1.0 | 871 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 60\% | No | No | 1 | \$533 | \$420 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 945 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 40\% | No | No | 2 | \$526 | \$388 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 945 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 50\% | No | No | 4 | \$657 | \$519 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 950 | Townhome | 60\% | 50\% | No | No | 3 | \$657 | \$519 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 950 | Townhome | 60\% | 60\% | No | No | 5 | \$708 | \$570 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,120 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 50\% | No | No | 3 | \$759 | \$597 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,375 | Townhome | 60\% | 50\% | No | No | 1 | \$761 | \$597 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,375 | Townhome | 60\% | 60\% | No | No | 2 | \$861 | \$697 |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,201 | Garden/Flat | 60\% | 60\% | No | No | 8 | \$861 | \$697 |
| Tota | age | 1,042 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | \$711 | \$565 |

## Income \& Rent Limits

The subject property is operated subject to certain income restrictions. The following table gives the applicable income limits for this area:

|  | Income Limits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Size | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $70 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 1.0 Person | $\$ 13,080$ | $\$ 17,440$ | $\$ 21,800$ | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 30,520$ | $\$ 34,880$ |
| 2.0 Person | $\$ 14,940$ | $\$ 19,920$ | $\$ 24,900$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 34,860$ | $\$ 39,840$ |
| 3.0 Person | $\$ 16,830$ | $\$ 22,440$ | $\$ 28,050$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 39,270$ | $\$ 44,880$ |
| 4.0 Person | $\$ 18,690$ | $\$ 24,920$ | $\$ 31,150$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 43,610$ | $\$ 49,840$ |
| 5.0 Person | $\$ 20,190$ | $\$ 26,920$ | $\$ 33,650$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 47,110$ | $\$ 53,840$ |
| 6.0 Person | $\$ 21,090$ | $\$ 28,120$ | $\$ 35,150$ | $\$ 42,180$ | $\$ 49,210$ | $\$ 56,240$ |
| 7.0 Person | $\$ 23,190$ | $\$ 30,920$ | $\$ 38,650$ | $\$ 46,380$ | $\$ 54,110$ | $\$ 61,840$ |
| 8.0 Person | $\$ 24,660$ | $\$ 32,880$ | $\$ 41,100$ | $\$ 49,320$ | $\$ 57,540$ | $\$ 65,760$ |

Source: HUD; State Housing Finance Agency
The income limits found above were based (in part) on HUD's published median household income for the area. The table below shows how this statistic has increased/decreased over the past several years:

| Historical Median Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\$$ | Change |
| 2008 | $\$ 48,200$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 49,400$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 49,600$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 47,200$ | $-4.8 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 47,900$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 47,300$ | $-1.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 48,100$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 47,400$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 46,200$ | $-2.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 48,700$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 51,800$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 55,800$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 58,900$ | $5.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Source: HUD |  |  |

The subject property is operated subject to certain rent restrictions. The following table gives the maximum housing expense (net rent limit + tenant-paid utilities) for this area:

Maximum Housing Expense

| Unit Type | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $70 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 327$ | $\$ 436$ | $\$ 545$ | $\$ 654$ | $\$ 763$ | $\$ 872$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 350$ | $\$ 467$ | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 817$ | $\$ 934$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 420$ | $\$ 561$ | $\$ 701$ | $\$ 841$ | $\$ 981$ | $\$ 1,122$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 486$ | $\$ 648$ | $\$ 810$ | $\$ 972$ | $\$ 1,134$ | $\$ 1,296$ |
| 4 Bedroom | $\$ 527$ | $\$ 703$ | $\$ 878$ | $\$ 1,054$ | $\$ 1,230$ | $\$ 1,406$ |

Source: HUD
The following table sets forth the gross fair market rents (net fair market rents + tenant-paid utilities) that would apply to any Section 8 voucher recipients or any units benefiting from HOME financing at the subject property:

| Fair Market Rents |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Gross Rent |
| 0 Bedroom | $\$ 472$ |
| 1 Bedroom | $\$ 532$ |
| 2 Bedroom | $\$ 701$ |
| 3 Bedroom | $\$ 901$ |
| 4 Bedroom |  |
| Source: HUD |  |
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## IMPROVEMENT DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our improvement analysis includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Building Features; (2) Unit Features; (3) Project Amenities, (4) Utility Configuration; and (5) Useful Life Analysis.

## Building Features

The subject property is proposed to consist of 32 revenue-producing units in 16 residential buildings and 0 nonresidential buildings. The development is proposed to include approximately 33,337 square feet of net rentable area and 41,044 square feet of gross building area.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed major building systems is found below.
Foundation - Concrete Slab, Basements, Crawl Spaces, etc.
The subject property is proposed to include slab on grade foundations.
Structural Frame - Floor, Wall, Roof Structural Systems, etc.
The subject property is proposed to be constructed with wood frame surfaced with plywood. Floor/ceiling assemblies are proposed to consist of wood joists \& plywood or concrete subfloors. Roof assmeblies are proposed to consist of wood trusses \& plywood sheathing.

Exterior Wall - Exterior Finishes, Doors, Windows, Exterior Stairs, etc.
The subject is proposed to include fiber cement siding \& brick veneer, single hung vinyl double pane windows, steel clad insulated six-panel unit entry doors, and steel clad insulated glazed patio doors.

Roof - Sheathing, Coverings, Warranties, Gutters \& Downspouts, Soffit \& Fascia, etc.
The subject is proposed to include gabled asphalt shingle roofs.
Vertical Transportation - Elevator, Interior Stair Systems
The subject property is not proposed to include elevators.

## Plumbing - Sanitary, Storm, Sewer, Fixtures, Domestic Hot Water

Domestic water piping is proposed to be constructed of CPVC pipe and fittings. Wastewater lines consist of PVC pipe and fittings. Potable hot water is proposed to be supplied via individual electric hot water heaters.

## HVAC - Heating, Air Conditioning, Ventilation

The subject property is proposed to include individual interior-mounted electric heat, individual exterior-mounted a/c compressors with interior-mounted air handlers.

Electrical and Communications - Distribution, Aluminum Wiring, etc.
Buildings are proposed to receive electrical power from exterior pad-mounted transformers. Electrical service to units is proposed to consist of $120 / 240 \mathrm{~V}$ AC with 100 amps available for each panel. Electrical wiring is proposed to consist of copper. Properly grounded, three-prong outlets are proposed in each dwelling unit. The outlets located in the wet areas are proposed to be Ground Fault Circuit Interrupter (GFCI) outlets. Surface-mounted flourescent \& LED fixtures are proposed.

## Fire Suppression

The subject property is not proposed to be equipped with an NFPA-13 fully automatic fire suppression (sprinkler) system. Hard-wired smoke detectors with battery backup, however, are proposed in each bedroom area.

## Unit Features

The subject property is proposed to contain 32 revenue-producing units including 27 regular units and 5 accessible units, including 74 bedrooms, 46 full bathrooms and 14 half bathrooms.

Additional information regarding the subject property's proposed unit features is found below.

## Walls / Ceilings / Interior Doors

Subject property units are proposed to include 8 foot ceilings, painted gypsum wallboard \& ceilings, wood solid-core flat panel interior doors and wood solid-core flat panel closet doors.

## Floor Covering

Floor covering is proposed to consist of luxury vinyl plank in the entryways, bathrooms, and kitchens along with luxury vinyl plank in the living areas and bedrooms.

## Kitchens

Kitchens are proposed to include electric four-top ranges, range hoods, frost-free refrigerators, dishwashers, wood cabinets, laminated countertops and stainless steel sinks.

## Bathrooms

Bathrooms are proposed to include composite wood vanities, cultured marble countertops, porcelain sinks \& toilets, along with fiberglass tubs \& surrounds.

## Project Amenities

A discussion of the development's proposed project amenities is found below.

## Site \& Common Area Amenities

No project amenities are proposed for the subject property.
Parking
Assigned parking is proposed for the subject property.

## Laundry

Washer/dryer units are proposed for the subject property.
Security
No security amenities are proposed for the subject property.

## Services

No special services are proposed for the subject property.
Tables comparing the subject property's proposed amenities to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section.

## Utility Configuration

The subject property is proposed to include electric heat, electric cooking and electric hot water. All utilities - with the exception of trash - are proposed to be paid by the resident.

In the table that follows we compare the subject's proposed utility allowances (also known as tenant paid utilities) to the estimated allowances using the HUD Utility Schedule Model:

Utility Allowances

| BR | BA | SF | Unit Type | Inc Lmt | Rnt Lmt | HOME | Subs | Units | UA | HUD UA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 616 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 115$ |
| 1 | 1.0 | 871 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 115$ |
| 1 | 1.0 | 871 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 115$ |
| 2 | 1.5 | 945 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | $\$ 138$ | $\$ 155$ |
| 2 | 1.5 | 945 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 4 | $\$ 138$ | $\$ 155$ |
| 2 | 1.5 | 950 | Townhome | $60 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 3 | $\$ 138$ | $\$ 171$ |
| 2 | 1.5 | 950 | Townhome | $60 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 5 | $\$ 138$ | $\$ 171$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,120 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 3 | $\$ 162$ | $\$ 208$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,375 | Townhome | $60 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 226$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,375 | Townhome | $60 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 226$ |
| 3 | 2.0 | 1,201 | Garden/Flat | $60 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 8 | $\$ 164$ | $\$ 208$ |
| Total/Average |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 179$ |  |

The HUD utility allowances are a good measure of the energy costs for a given property. Our analysis suggests that the proposed utility allowances are lower than those established using the HUD model.

Tables comparing the subject property's utility configuration to that of the most comparable properties are found at the end of this section. Outputs from the HUD Utility Schedule Model are also found there.

## Useful Life Analysis

We anticipate a useful/economic life of 50 years for this development, assuming that appropriate replacement reserves are established for this property.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the condition of the subject property and the most comparable properties on a $1-5$ scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). We also evaluated the actual and effective ages of the subject and select comparables. A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Actual Age \| Effective Age | Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rating |  |  |  |  | Rank |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | 2021 | 2019 | 4.50 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 1995 | 2.50 | 6 | 11 | 12 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2006 | 3.50 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 011 | Forest View | 1958 | 1975 | 2.00 | 11 | 16 | 15 |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 1995 | 3.00 | 9 | 11 | 9 |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2008 | 4.00 | 13 | 4 | 3 |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 1958 | 1980 | 2.00 | 11 | 15 | 15 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2000 | 3.00 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | 4.50 | 15 | 2 | 1 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2006 | 3.50 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2004 | 3.50 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | 4.00 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | 2000 | 2.75 | 2 | 9 | 11 |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 1985 | 2.50 | 10 | 13 | 12 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2005 | 3.50 | 14 | 7 | 5 |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 1985 | 2.25 | 7 | 13 | 14 |

[^0]| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & E \text { N } \\ & \text { 훙 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¹ }}{\text { ® }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 딤 } \\ & \text { 흘 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{0}{6} \frac{0}{2} \frac{0}{2} \frac{0}{2}$ |  | 힌 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 惑気 } \\ & \frac{5}{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | yes |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |


|  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |  | Air Conditioning |  |  |  | Heat |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ®ิ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o. } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\overline{0} 5}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{0}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{0} \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{W}} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\overline{0}}{3} \frac{0}{5}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ O 0.0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\delta} \\ & \text { ¿n } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | es | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 011 | Forest View | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |  |
|  |  | Parking |  |  |  |  | Laundry |  |  | Secuirty |  |  |  |  |  | Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ® $\stackrel{\text { a }}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{3} \frac{0}{5}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { O2 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{i}{\bar{I}}}{\text { 읕 }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\phi} . \stackrel{o}{0} \\ & \text { oे } \\ & \text { 훙 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \frac{10}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | no | no | yes | some | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 011 | Forest View | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | some | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | no | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | some | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no |

Utilities

|  |  | Tenant－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner－Paid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { ®̀ }}{\text { ® }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\overline{0}$ | 主 © | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 交苟 } \\ & \frac{0}{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \sum_{0}^{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 으․․․ } \\ & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 断 | $\sum_{1}{ }^{3} \tilde{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 定苞 } \\ & \frac{0}{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ © 0 |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 011 | Forest View | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | no | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes |

Source：Allen \＆Associates；Sponsor

| HUD Utility Schedule Model Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 Bedroom | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | 4 Bedroom |
| Heat－Gas | 29 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 40 |
| Heat－Elec | 11 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 18 |
| Cooking－Gas | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Cooking－Elec | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Other Electric | 14 | 17 | 23 | 30 | 37 |
| Air Conditioning | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| Hot Water－Gas | 5 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 14 |
| Hot Water－Elec | 9 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 |
| Water | 24 | 26 | 38 | 55 | 72 |
| Sewer | 37 | 39 | 52 | 72 | 91 |
| Trash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Source：Local Utility Providers；HUD |  |  |  |  |  |

## SITE DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

Our assessment of the site included an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Survey; (2) Site Plan; (3) Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental; (4) Topography; (5) Flood Zone; (6) Difficult to Develop Area Status; (7) Qualified Census Tract Status; and (8) Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility.

## Survey

A survey for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Current surveys should be evaluated to ascertain whether there are any easements encumbering the subject property. Our review/inspection suggested that the site is currently encumbered by standard utility easements that do not adversely affect its marketability and that the site is serviced by municipal utilities.

## Site Plan

A site plan for the subject property was provided to the analyst for review. Site plans are necessary to analyze the site improvements, parking configuration, internal traffic flow, location of building improvements and landscaping improvements for the subject property. Our review did not identify any problem areas with respect to the subject property. A summary of the development's site features is found below.

## Acres / Lot Shape / Frontage

The subject property includes an irregular-shaped parcel consisting of approximately 4.42 acres and approximately 800 feet of road frontage.

## Zoning

According to the sponsor, the subject property is currently zoned R2 Medium Density Residential. It is our understanding that the current zoning for the subject is a legal, conforming use.

## Parking / Streets / Curbs / Sidewalks

A total of 64 parking spaces are planned for this development ( 59 regular / 5 accessible / 2.00 spaces per unit). Privately-owned parking areas are planned for the subject property. We normally see 1.5 to 2.0 spaces per unit for projects like the subject. Public transportation is not found in the area. In our opinion, the current parking appears adequate for the subject property.

## Dumpsters / Dumpster Enclosures

The subject includes 32 publicly-owned Super Cans.

## Landscaping / Perimeter Fence / Retaining Walls / Entry Sign

Trees, shrubs \& lawns are found at the subject property. A perimeter fence is not found at the subject property. Retaining walls are not found at this property. One unlighted entry sign is found at this property.

## Stormwater Management / Site Lighting / Water Service / Wastewater Service

Stormwater management consists of catch basins and concrete pipe connecting to a public system. Site lighting consists of publicly-owned HID poles. Domestic water service to buildings consists of ductile iron pipe connecting to a public system. Wastewater service to buildings consists of PVC pipe connecting to a public system.

## Nuisances, Hazards, Detrimental Influences \& Environmental

We did not observe any nuisances, hazards, detrimental influences or recognized environmental conditions on our inspection of the subject property. Nevertheless, we recommend that the sponsor obtain a comprehensive environmental assessment from a qualified professional.

Topography
The USGS map showing the topography of the subject property and surrounding area is found below:


The topographic map shows that the site is flat and drains to adjacent properties to the south and west. In our opinion, there do not appear to be any topographic issues with respect to the subject property.

Flood Zone
The map showing the location of the subject property relative to nearby areas prone to flooding (identified in purple) is found below:

RiskMeter

LATITUDE: 36.703300, LONGITUDE: -78.914291
LOCATION ACCURACY: Usendemhedication LATITUDE: 36.703300 LONGITUDE: -7B.914291 MATCH CODE: SOURCE: CENSUS BLOCKID: 510039308003056

Flood Zone Determination Report

Flood Zone Determination: OUT


O 2021 CoreLogic. Inc. Al rights reserved. CORELOGIC, RISKMETER, PXPOINT and the CoreLogic logo are trademarks of CoreLogic, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. All other trademarks are the property of their respective holders
Report generated Mar 15, 2021 by jcarrollgallenadvisorscom

According to FEMA map number 51083C0408D dated October 16, 2009, the subject property is located in Zone X . This is an area that is identified as being located outside the 100-year flood zone.

## Difficult to Develop Area Status

The subject proprterty is located in Halifax County, Virginia - an area that is not designated as a Difficult to Develop Area. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special DDA funding under state and federal programs.

## Qualified Census Tract Status

The federal government has identified census tracts throughout the United States that include high concentrations of low-income households and substandard housing units. These areas, known as Qualified Census Tracts, qualify for special funding under various state and federal programs. A QCT map showing the location of the subject property is found below:


The subject property is located in Census Tract 9308.00 - an area that is not designated as a Qualified Census Tract. Consequently, the subject property does not appear to qualify for special QCT funding under state and federal programs.

## Traffic Patterns, Access \& Visibility

A traffic map identifying the subject property is found below:


## Access

The subject property is located on Poplar Creek Street, approximately 2 blocks west of Wilborn Avenue in South Boston, Virginia. Poplar Creek Street is a lightly-traveled east-west residential road carrying a limited volume of traffic and providing access to the subject property. Wilborn Avenue is a heavily-travelled north-south road carrying approximately 10,000 vehicles per day. We did not observe any road or infrastructure improvements taking place in the immediate vicinity of the subject property. In our opinion, therefore, accessibility is fair to good by virtue of the location of the subject property relative to existing streets and thoroughfares.

Visibility
The subject property is visible from Poplar Creek Street with significant frontage and a limited volume of drive-by traffic. Consequently, in our opinion visibility is fair to good by virtue of the exposure of the subject property to existing drive-by traffic volumes.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the access and visibility for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a $1-5$ scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). A table summarizing our findings is found below:

| Access \& Visibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rating |  |  |  | Rank |  |
| $\underset{\underset{\text { ® }}{2}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๗ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { Ó } \end{aligned}$ | 7 $=0$ 7 7 | 0 0 0 0 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \frac{\pi}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | 2.50 | 2.50 | 10 | 8 |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 2.50 | 2.00 | 10 | 15 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 2.75 | 2.50 | 7 | 8 |
| 011 | Forest View | 2.50 | 2.50 | 10 | 8 |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 2.50 | 2.50 | 10 | 8 |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 3.50 | 3.50 | 2 | 2 |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 2.75 | 2.75 | 7 | 7 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 4.00 | 4.00 | 1 | 1 |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4 | 4 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 3.50 | 3.25 | 2 | 3 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2.50 | 2.00 | 10 | 15 |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 2.50 | 2.50 | 10 | 8 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4 | 4 |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 2.50 | 2.50 | 10 | 8 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4 | 4 |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 2.75 | 2.50 | 7 | 8 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

## NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION \& ANALYSIS

## Neighborhood

Our assessment of the neighborhood includes an evaluation of the following factors with respect to the subject property: (1) Life Cycle; (2) Surrounding Properties; (3) Economic Characteristics; (4) Crime Rates; (5) Educational Attainment; and (6) Commuting Patterns.

## Life Cycle

Neighborhoods are sometimes thought to evolve through four distinct stages:

- Growth - A period during which the area gains public favor and acceptance.
- Stability - A period of equilibrium without marked gains or loses.
- Decline - A period of diminishing demand.
- Revitalization - A period of renewal, redevelopment, modernization, and increasing demand.

Based on our evaluation of the neighborhood, the subject property is located in an area that appears to be in the stability stage of its life cycle. Modest population growth is anticipated for the next several years.

## Surrounding Properties

The subject property is located in South Boston, Virginia. The immediate area consists of residential land uses.
Multifamily in good condition is located to the north, east and west of the subject property; vacant land is located to the south, east and west. Neighboring land uses appear to be complimentary to the use of the subject property. The condition of the neighboring properties appears to be complimentary as well.

Surrounding property uses are summarized in the table found below:

| Surrounding Properties |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Direction | Use | Condition |
| North | Multifamily | Good |
| South | Vacant Land | - |
| East | Multifamily/Vacant Land | Good |
| West | Multifamily/Vacant Land | Good |
|  | Source: Allen \& Associates |  |

## Economic Characteristics

The subject property is located in an area with average household incomes of $\$ 23,480$ (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 27,291$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with median cash rents of $\$ 576$ (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 529$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

Finally, the subject property is located in an area with median single family home values of $\$ 100,700$ (in 2015 dollars); this is compared with $\$ 115,088$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Crime Rates

The subject property is located in an area with personal crime rates of $2.1 \%$. Personal crime includes offenses such as rape, murder, robbery and assault. Our research suggests that the average personal crime rate for the most comparable properties stands at $4.7 \%$.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with property crime rates of $4.9 \%$. Property crimes include offenses such as burglary, larceny and theft. Our research suggests that the average property crime rate for the most comparable properties stands at $4.2 \%$.

Please note: The crime statistics included in this analysis are historical area-wide figures. These statistics make no consideration for changing demographics or the implementation of an affirmative crime prevention program at the subject property.

## Educational Attainment

The subject property is located in an area with high school graduation rates of $85.4 \%$; this is compared with $81.7 \%$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with college graduation rates of $12.2 \%$; this is compared with $16.0 \%$ for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Commuting Patterns

The subject property is located in an area with an average drive to work of 14.9 minutes; this is compared with 17.3 minutes for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

In addition, the subject property is located in an area with an average of 1.36 vehicles per household; this is compared with 1.43 vehicles per household for the most comparable properties included in this analysis.

## Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to neighborhood characteristics.

## Proximity to Area Amenities

Our assessment included an evaluation of the proximity of various amenities to the subject and the most comparable properties. We looked at the following amenities in our analysis: (1) Banks; (2) Grocery; (3) Emergency Clinics; (4) Pharmacies; and (5) Discount Stores.

A listing of some of the area amenities is found below. An amenity map is found in the following pages:

| Amenity | Proximity to Area Amenities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | Name | Miles |
| Grocery | First Horizon Bank | 0.8 mi SE |
| Emergency Clinic | Jiffy Food Store | 0.4 mi NE |
| Pharmacy | Food Lion Pharmacy | 0.6 mi N |
| Discount Store | Falifax Regional Hospital Emergency Room | 1.5 mi SE |
| Elementary School | South Boston Elementary | 0.7 mi N |
| Middle School | The Halifax County Middle School | 1.7 mi NE |
| High School | Halifax County High School | 1.5 mi N |
| Bus Stop | - | 2.0 mi N |

Source: Google Maps
First Horizon Bank, Jiffy Food Store, Food Lion Pharmacy, and Family Dollar are all located less than 1.5 miles away from the subject property. Sentara Halifax Regional Hospital is located 0.6 miles away.

## Number of Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft Streets \& Trips to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the number of amenities in the immediate area.

- Microsoft Streets \& Trips identified 5 banks within 2.0 miles of the subject property. The subject is ranked 4 out of the 16 properties included in this analysis.
- A total of 7 grocery stores are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 4 for the area.
- A total of 2 hospital are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 1 for the area.
- A total of 3 pharmacies are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 6 for the area.
- A total of 22 shopping centers are in the vicinity of the subject property. The subject is ranked 5 for the area.


## Nearest Area Amenities

We utilized Microsoft Streets \& Trips to evaluate the subject and the most comparable properties with respect to the nearest area amenities.

- According to Microsoft Streets \& Trips, the nearest bank is 0.81 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 6 out of the 16 properties included in this analysis.
- The nearest grocery store is 0.31 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 4 for the area.
- The nearest hospital is 0.52 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 5 for the area.
- The nearest pharmacy is 0.47 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 6 for the area.
- The nearest shopping center is 0.13 miles away from the subject property. The subject is ranked 4 for the area.


## Conclusion

In our opinion, the subject property has a very good location relative to competing properties with respect to area amenities.

Tables comparing the subject property's proximity to area amenities to that of the most comparable properties is found on the next page. Maps showing the proximity of the subject property to area amenities and area employers is also found in the following pages.

In the course of completing this study, we rated the neighborhood and the proximity to area amenities for the subject property and the most comparable properties on a 1-5 scale ( 1 being the worst and 5 being the best). The tables on the following pages give these ratings.

| Neighborhood Ratings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank (1 = Property with Highest Rating) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  | Commute | Surrounding Area |  |  | Crime Rates |  | Education |  | Commute |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | \$24,297 | \$324 | \$96,500 | 3.3\% | 2.1\% | 73.8\% | 9.4\% | 14.14 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 3.10 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | \$29,583 | \$519 | \$80,500 | 8.8\% | 4.6\% | 69.0\% | 10.2\% | 24.23 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 2.00 |
| 011 | Forest View | \$39,583 | \$677 | \$122,500 | 14.8\% | 7.8\% | 80.3\% | 17.7\% | 20.87 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 12 | 3.60 |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | \$24,297 | \$324 | \$96,500 | 3.3\% | 2.1\% | 73.8\% | 9.4\% | 14.14 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 3.10 |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | \$39,583 | \$677 | \$122,500 | 14.8\% | 7.8\% | 80.3\% | 17.7\% | 20.87 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 12 | 3.60 |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | \$41,875 | \$706 | \$171,100 | 3.8\% | 5.0\% | 91.9\% | 37.3\% | 17.98 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.50 |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | \$23,984 | \$566 | \$177,300 | 3.6\% | 2.2\% | 88.8\% | 31.3\% | 23.08 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 4.00 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | \$23,984 | \$566 | \$177,300 | 3.6\% | 2.2\% | 88.8\% | 31.3\% | 23.08 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 4.00 |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | \$24,297 | \$324 | \$96,500 | 3.3\% | 2.1\% | 73.8\% | 9.4\% | 14.14 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 3.10 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | \$23,480 | \$576 | \$100,700 | 2.1\% | 4.9\% | 85.4\% | 12.2\% | 14.91 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4.30 |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | \$24,297 | \$324 | \$96,500 | 3.3\% | 2.1\% | 73.8\% | 9.4\% | 14.14 | 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 3.10 |


| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rank (1 = Property with Highest Rating) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property, Miles |  |  | Number within 2.0 miles of Property |  |  |  |  | Nearest to Property, Miles |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 지 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { ⿹ㅠ }} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 무 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 잏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 잏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z্টি } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\dddot{0}} \\ & \text { "̀i" } \\ & \text { 우 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅊ̈ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ত} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\xi}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 잏 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { 엫 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 너 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Sub | Poplar Creek Homes | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4.10 |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 8 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 25 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 3.80 |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 5 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 2.70 |
| 011 | Forest View | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 2.20 |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 3.60 |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 2.00 |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 3.40 |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 2.70 |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 3.50 |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 19.1 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 2.30 |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 23 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4.20 |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 4.00 |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 19.1 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 2.20 |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 3.80 |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 7 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4.20 |

Source: US Census; Claritas; Google Maps

Proximity to Area Amenities


Proximity to Area Employers


## SUBJECT PROPERTY PHOTOS

Photos of the subject property and the surrounding area are found below:


Subject Property


Looking North From Entrance


Looking East From Entrance


Looking South From Entrance


Looking West From Entrance

## MARKET AREA

## Overview

Market areas are influenced by a variety of interrelated factors. These factors include site location, economic, and demographic characteristics (tenure, income, rent levels, etc.), local transportation patterns, physical boundaries (rivers, streams, topography, etc.), census geographies, and the location of comparable and/or potentially competing communities.

In areas where the county seat is the largest city, centrally located, and draws from the entire county, the county may be the market area. In the case where there are potentially competing communities in one county, the market area may be part of the county. In fact, the market area could include portions of adjacent counties. In this case, a combination of county subdivisions may be used to define the market area. In urban or suburban areas, the market area will be adjacent to the site extending to all locations of similar character with residents or potential residents likely to be interested in the project. In this case, county subdivisions, townships, or a combination of census tracts may be used to define the market area.

Allen \& Associates recently conducted a series of property management interviews to better understand market areas and resident moving patterns for multifamily properties. Our study suggested that markets may be classified into the following general categories: urban, suburban and rural. Renters in urban markets are typically willing to move 5 to 10 minutes when looking for a new apartment. Our research also shows that renters in suburban markets are normally willing to move 10 to 15 minutes when looking for a new place to live. Renters in rural markets are typically willing to move 15 to 20 minutes when looking for a new apartment. We considered these general guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our study suggested that secondary market areas were generally a function of whether the proposed development was family or elderly. Our research suggested that secondary market demand for family properties ranged from 10 to 30 percent. Secondary market demand for elderly properties ranged from 10 to 50 percent. Although seniors move less frequently than younger renters, they are often willing to move longer distances when looking for housing. We considered these general secondary market guidelines in our evaluation of the subject property.

Our primary and secondary market area definitions are found below.

## Primary Market Area

We defined the primary market area by generating a 25 -minute drive time zone around the subject property. We also considered existing concentrations of multifamily properties and the nearest census tract boundaries in our analysis.

Primary market area, drive time and existing multifamily maps are found in the following pages. The primary market area included all or part of the following census tracts:

| Census Tract | County | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51083930100 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930201 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930202 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930301 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930302 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930400 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930500 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930600 | Halifax County | Virginia |
| 51083930800 | Halifax County | Virginia |

The primary market area includes a population of 35,620 persons and covers a total of 826.0 square miles, making it 32.4 miles across on average.

## Secondary Market Area

We estimate that up to 20 percent of demand will come from areas outside of the primary market area.


Drive Time


Existing Multifamily


## ECONOMIC OUTLOOK

In this section we conduct an analysis of the regional economy. For purposes of our analysis, we define the region as Halifax County, Virginia. A map depicting the Region is found below.


## Employment by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks establishment employment by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and percent distribution. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Region | Reg \% | US \% |
| Farm Employment | 1,061 | $6.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | 89 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Mining Employment | 65 | $0.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Utilities Employment | 60 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Construction Employment | 1,034 | $5.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Manufacturing Employment | 2,015 | $11.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | 632 | $3.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Retail Trade Employment | 1,792 | $10.2 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | 1,046 | $5.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Information Employment | 86 | $0.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | 408 | $2.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | 434 | $2.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | 373 | $2.1 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | 62 | $0.4 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | 695 | $3.9 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Educational Services Employment | 2,417 | $0.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | 419 | $13.7 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | 1,089 | $2.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | 1,189 | $6.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $9.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |  |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | 109 | $0.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Federal Military Employment | 2,268 | 17,602 | $12.9 \%$ |
| State And Local Government Employment |  |  | $0.9 \%$ |
| Establishment Employment |  | $9.8 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $100.0 \%$ |

Regional establishment employment currently stands at 17,602. The data suggests that Health Care and Social Assistance is the largest employment category accounting for $13.7 \%$ of total regional employment. State and Local Government is the second largest category accounting for $12.9 \%$ of total employment. Manufacturing is the third largest category accounting for $11.4 \%$ of total employment. Retail Trade is the fourth largest category accounting for $10.2 \%$ of total employment. Other Services is the fifth largest category accounting for 6.8\% of total employment.

Economists generally classify employment two ways: basic and non-basic. Basic employment, which is considered to be the engine of a local economy, includes industries that rely on external factors to fuel demand. For instance, mining, logging and manufacturers are frequently considered basic employers. Goods for these industries are shipped outside the location where they are produced. Non-basic employers depend largely on local demand and usually employ local workers. For example, grocery stores and restaurants are sometimes considered non-basic employers.

The Location Quotient (LQ) technique is the most common method of identifying basic industries for a given economy. The LQ technique compares the share of workers in each industry of a given economy with that of a larger reference economy. If the number of workers in the given economy is greater than that of the reference economy, these are considered to be basic industries because they fill needs beyond those of the reference community.

In the table above we highlight the basic industries for the region. The distribution of employment in these industries exceeds that for the United States. These basic industries represent about 12,230 employees or about $69.5 \%$ of total regional employment. These are the industries that drive the regional economy.

## Earnings by Industry

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) tracks average earnings by major industry. In the table below we present the current breakdown and rank. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via Woods \& Pool Economics.

|  | Average Earnings (2009 \$) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Earnings | Rank |
| Farm Employment | $\$ 6,573$ | 23 |
| Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities And Other Employment | $\$ 23,449$ | 17 |
| Mining Employment | $\$ 36,138$ | 13 |
| Utilities Employment | $\$ 102,067$ | 1 |
| Construction Employment | $\$ 41,126$ | 11 |
| Manufacturing Employment | $\$ 52,448$ | 6 |
| Wholesale Trade Employment | $\$ 57,761$ | 4 |
| Retail Trade Employment | $\$ 22,084$ | 18 |
| Transportation And Warehousing Employment | $\$ 47,489$ | 9 |
| Information Employment | $\$ 56,523$ | 5 |
| Finance And Insurance Employment | $\$ 28,522$ | 15 |
| Real Estate And Rental And Lease Employment | $\$ 10,846$ | 22 |
| Professional And Technical Services Employment | $\$ 37,164$ | 12 |
| Management Of Companies And Enterprises Employment | $\$ 65,790$ | 3 |
| Administrative And Waste Services Employment | $\$ 18,109$ | 20 |
| Educational Services Employment | $\$ 41,772$ | 10 |
| Health Care And Social Assistance Employment | $\$ 48,227$ | 7 |
| Arts, Entertainment, And Recreation Employment | $\$ 19,260$ | 19 |
| Accommodation And Food Services Employment | $\$ 14,567$ | 19 |
| Other Services, Except Public Administration Employment | $\$ 26,240$ | 21 |
| Federal Civilian Government Employment | $\$ 70,891$ | 16 |
| Federal Military Employment | $\$ 32,037$ | 2 |
| State And Local Government Employment | $\$ 47,724$ | $\$ 36,368$ |
| Establishment Employment |  | 14 |

The data suggests that Utilities is the highest paid industry averaging $\$ 102,067$ per employee. Federal Civilian Government is the second highest paid industry averaging $\$ 70,891$ per employee. Management of Companies is the third highest paid profession averaging $\$ 65,790$ per employee. Wholesale trade is the fourth highest paid industry averaging $\$ 57,761$ per employee. Information Technology is the fifth highest paid category averaging $\$ 56,523$ per employee. These figures are compared with regional Average Earnings of $\$ 36,368$ per employee.

The highlighted industries represent basic industries for the region. Average earnings for these basic industries comes to $\$ 42,942$ or $18.1 \%$ higher than average for the region.

## Top Employers

The table below gives a listing of the region's top employers. The data comes from InfoUSA and includes a primary industry description for each employer.

|  | Top Employers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Employees | SIC Code | Lndustry Description |
| Sentara Halifax Regional Hosp | 633 | $8062-02$ | Hospitals |
| Dollar General Dstrbtn Ctr | 500 | $5099-98$ | Distribution Centers (Whls) |
| ABB Inc | 372 | $3559-34$ | Semiconductor Manufacturing Equip (Mfrs) |
| Aquatic | 314 | $5074-24$ | Bath Equipment \& Supplies-Whls |
| Presto Products Co | 300 | $3089-02$ | Plastics \& Plastic Products (Mfrs) |
| Walmart Supercenter | 300 | $5311-02$ | Department Stores |
| Virginia International Raceway | 220 | $7948-01$ | Race Tracks |
| Halifax County High School | 200 | $8211-03$ | Schools |
| Woodview | 200 | $8051-01$ | - |
| Seasons At The Woodview | 190 | $8051-01$ | Nursing \& Convalescent Homes |

The top employers include: (1) Sentara Halifax Regional Hosp (633 employees); (2) Dollar General Dstrbtn Ctr (500 employees) and; (3) ABB Inc (372 employees).

## Population

In this section we present population data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the US Census; the forecast comes from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pop | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 36,155 |  |
| 2011 | 36,017 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | 35,791 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 35,393 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 35,219 | $-0.5 \%$ |
| 2015 | 35,128 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 34,992 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 34,952 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 34,936 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2019 | 34,918 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 34,897 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2021 | 34,873 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2022 | 34,847 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2023 | 34,819 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2024 | 34,787 | $-0.1 \%$ |



Population decreased from 36,155 in 2010 to 34,897 in 2020 and is anticipated to decrease to 34,787 in 2024.

## Establishment Employment

In this section we present establishment employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast comes from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Establishment Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Est Emp | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 16,459 |  |
| 2011 | 16,356 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | 16,245 | $-0.7 \%$ |
| 2013 | 16,258 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 16,367 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 2015 | 16,553 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 2016 | 16,554 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2017 | 16,875 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2018 | 17,108 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 17,284 | $1.0 \%$ |
| 2020 | 17,439 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2021 | 17,602 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2022 | 17,757 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2023 | 17,910 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2024 | 18,058 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Source: | BLS; W\&P Economics |  |



Establishment employment increased from 16,459 in 2010 to 17,439 in 2020 and is anticipated to increase to 18,058 in 2024.

## Civilian Employment

In this section we present civilian employment data for the region. The table and graph below show historic data since 2010. The historic data comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); the forecast utilizes data from Woods \& Pool Economics.

| Civilian Employment Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 16,687 |  |
| 2011 | 16,360 | $-2.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 16,051 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| 2013 | 15,858 | $-1.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | 15,542 | $-2.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 15,198 | $-2.2 \%$ |
| 2016 | 14,848 | $-2.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 15,544 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 15,155 | $-2.5 \%$ |
| 2019 | 15,682 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 2020 | 15,378 | $-1.9 \%$ |
| 2021 | 15,368 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2022 | 15,356 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2023 | 15,344 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2024 | 15,330 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Source: |  | BLS; W\&P Economics |



Source: BLS; W\&P Economics
Civilian employment decreased from 16,687 in 2010 to 15,378 in 2020 and is anticipated to decrease to 15,330 in 2024.

## Labor Force and Unemployment

In this section we take a look at the labor force and unemployment. The table below shows civilian employment, unemployment and labor force statistics for the region since 2010. The data set comes from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) via the Texas A\&M Real Estate Center.

| Labor Force \& Unemployment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Civ Emp | Unemp | Lab Force | Unemp Rate |
| 2010 | 16,687 | 2,036 | 14,651 | $12.2 \%$ |
| 2011 | 16,360 | 1,796 | 14,564 | $11.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | 16,051 | 1,523 | 14,528 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | 15,858 | 1,449 | 14,409 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 15,542 | 1,214 | 14,328 | $7.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 15,198 | 967 | 14,231 | $6.4 \%$ |
| 2016 | 14,848 | 875 | 13,973 | $5.9 \%$ |
| 2017 | 15,544 | 759 | 14,785 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2018 | 15,155 | 630 | 14,525 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 2019 | 15,682 | 616 | 15,066 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 2020 | 15,378 | 993 | 14,385 | $6.5 \%$ |

Unemployment decreased from 2,036 in 2010 to 993 in 2020. The unemployment rate decreased from $12.2 \%$ in 2010 to $6.5 \%$ in 2020.

The table and graph below show the unemployment rate for the region for the past 12 months.

| Unemployment Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Month | Unemp Rate |
| Dec-19 | $3.6 \%$ |
| Jan-20 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Feb-20 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Mar-20 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Apr-20 | $10.9 \%$ |
| May-20 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Jun-20 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Jul-20 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Aug-20 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Sep-20 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Oct-20 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Nov-20 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Dec-20 | $5.1 \%$ |
| Source: TAMU |  |



The Unemployment Rate for the Region came in at 3.6\% in December 2019 and $5.1 \%$ in December 2020.
Building Permits
In this section we look at building permits. The table and graph below show historical data for the region since 2000. The data set comes from the US Census.

| Building Permits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 Family | 2-4 Family | 5+ Family | Total |
| 2000 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 2001 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 2002 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 2003 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 2004 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 2005 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 2006 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 2007 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 2008 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 2009 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 2010 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 2011 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 2012 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 2013 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 2014 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 2015 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2017 | 42 | Source: US Census |  | 38 |
| 2018 |  | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 2019 |  |  | 0 | 82 |

Building permits for the region increased from 72 in 2000 to 104 in 2005, before decreasing to 49 in 2012 and increasing to 82 in 2019.

## Conclusion

As of today, much of the United States is under a COVID-19 lockdown. The long term economic effects associated with this are enormous, but still unclear. We could be looking at a strong V-shaped recovery. We could be looking at a long, slow recovery. That said, the reader is cautioned that the opinions presented in this report do not reflect these effects.

## DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Population

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation population projection for the Market Area.

| Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 36,132 | - |
| 2011 | 35,833 | $-0.8 \%$ |
| 2012 | 35,849 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | 35,866 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2014 | 35,882 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2015 | 35,898 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 35,852 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2017 | 35,805 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 35,759 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | 35,712 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 35,666 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2021 | 35,620 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2022 | 35,573 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2023 | 35,527 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2024 | 35,481 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Source | Calip |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation 55+ population projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 12,509 | - |
| 2011 | 12,738 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2012 | 12,972 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 13,210 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | 13,454 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 13,697 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | 13,941 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2017 | 14,185 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 14,428 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 14,672 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | 14,764 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2021 | 14,855 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2022 | 14,947 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2023 | 15,038 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2024 | 15,130 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Source: Caliper; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Caliper Corporation 65+ population projection for the Market Area.

| 65+ Population Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Population | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 6,998 | - |
| 2011 | 7,248 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | 7,508 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 7,777 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 8,057 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2015 | 8,336 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | 8,616 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 8,895 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 9,174 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | 9,454 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2020 | 9,604 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2021 | 9,755 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2022 | 9,906 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2023 | 10,056 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2024 | 10,207 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Source: Caliper; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



## Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas household projection for the Market Area.

| Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 14,135 | - |
| 2011 | 14,184 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 14,233 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | 14,282 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 14,331 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | 14,380 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 14,429 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 14,479 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 14,528 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | 14,577 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 14,573 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2021 | 14,569 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2022 | 14,564 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2023 | 14,560 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2024 | 14,556 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Source: Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 55+ household projection for the Market Area

| $55+$ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 7,369 | - |
| 2011 | 7,504 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2012 | 7,641 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2013 | 7,782 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | 7,926 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2015 | 8,069 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2016 | 8,213 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2017 | 8,356 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 8,500 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2019 | 8,643 | $1.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | 8,697 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2021 | 8,751 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2022 | 8,805 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2023 | 8,859 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2024 | 8,913 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Source: Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 65+ household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 4,146 | - |
| 2011 | 4,295 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2012 | 4,449 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | 4,608 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 4,774 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 2015 | 4,939 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | 5,105 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 5,270 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 5,436 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | 5,601 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2020 | 5,691 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2021 | 5,780 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2022 | 5,869 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2023 | 5,958 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2024 | 6,048 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Source: Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



## Renter Households

In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas renter household projection for the Market Area.

| Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 3,818 | - |
| 2011 | 3,828 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | 3,838 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | 3,849 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2014 | 3,859 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2015 | 3,870 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 3,880 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 3,891 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2018 | 3,901 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | 3,912 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2020 | 3,910 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2021 | 3,908 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2022 | 3,906 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2023 | 3,904 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 2024 | 3,902 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Source: Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 55+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 1,288 | - |
| 2011 | 1,332 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2012 | 1,376 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | 1,423 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | 1,471 | $3.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 1,519 | $3.3 \%$ |
| 2016 | 1,567 | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 1,615 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | 1,662 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2019 | 1,710 | $2.9 \%$ |
| 2020 | 1,726 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2021 | 1,742 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2022 | 1,757 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2023 | 1,773 | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2024 | 1,788 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Source Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



In the table below we give the 2010-2024 Claritas 65+ renter household projection for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Renter Household Forecast |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Households | Growth \% |
| 2010 | 660 | - |
| 2011 | 694 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 730 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2013 | 768 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | 808 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | 848 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 2016 | 888 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | 927 | $4.5 \%$ |
| 2018 | 967 | $4.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | 1,007 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 2020 | 1,027 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2021 | 1,046 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2022 | 1,066 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2023 | 1,085 | $1.8 \%$ |
| 2024 | 1,105 | $1.8 \%$ |
| Source: Claritas; Allen \& Associates |  |  |



## Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

Households, by Income, by Size

| $2021 \$$ |  |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,999$ | 972 | 213 | 115 | 145 | 28 | 8 | 1,481 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,257 | 538 | 116 | 48 | 40 | 10 | 2,009 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 29,999$ | 801 | 794 | 215 | 73 | 90 | 51 | 2,024 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 39,999$ | 429 | 585 | 347 | 57 | 151 | 72 | 1,641 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 49,999$ | 316 | 594 | 151 | 120 | 75 | 38 | 1,293 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 59,999$ | 139 | 458 | 240 | 97 | 54 | 22 | 1,010 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 74,999$ | 155 | 539 | 371 | 325 | 86 | 52 | 1,528 |
| $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 99,999$ | 149 | 611 | 387 | 328 | 77 | 34 | 1,586 |
| $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 124,999$ | 175 | 346 | 161 | 137 | 50 | 25 | 893 |
| $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 149,999$ | 75 | 212 | 117 | 79 | 16 | 5 | 503 |
| $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 199,999$ | 42 | 120 | 62 | 86 | 16 | 3 | 328 |
| $\$ 200,000$ | more | 46 | 107 | 40 | 32 | 33 | 15 | 273 |
| Total |  | 4,556 | 5,116 | 2,322 | 1,526 | 715 | 334 | 14,569 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ household incomes for the Market Area.

| $55+$ Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2021 \$$ |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 9,999$ | 768 | 139 | 24 | 10 | 15 | 3 | 959 |
| $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,080 | 342 | 45 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 1,504 |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 29,999$ | 628 | 594 | 63 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 1,312 |
| $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 39,999$ | 301 | 498 | 125 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 955 |
| $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 49,999$ | 256 | 497 | 54 | 66 | 14 | 5 | 891 |
| $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 59,999$ | 114 | 374 | 121 | 22 | 21 | 8 | 661 |
| $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 74,999$ | 114 | 340 | 87 | 53 | 36 | 19 | 651 |
| $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 99,999$ | 134 | 440 | 117 | 12 | 24 | 11 | 739 |
| $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 124,999$ | 172 | 243 | 78 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 513 |
| $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 149,999$ | 65 | 120 | 26 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 237 |
| $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 199,999$ | 30 | 88 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 152 |
| $\$ 200,000$ | more | 36 | 78 | 17 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 177 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ household incomes for the Market Area.

| $65+$ Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 \$ |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 545 | 61 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 625 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 918 | 227 | 15 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1,174 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 460 | 455 | 41 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 966 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 262 | 377 | 100 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 763 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 197 | 403 | 38 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 664 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 77 | 235 | 51 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 375 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 94 | 166 | 14 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 306 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 87 | 218 | 28 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 362 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 103 | 135 | 27 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 277 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 36 | 74 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 130 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 14 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| \$200,000 | more | 28 | 45 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
|  |  | 2,822 | 2,426 | 346 | 93 | 75 | 17 | 5,780 |

[^1]
## Renter Household Income

The following table shows the current distribution of renter household incomes for the Market Area. The data set comes from Claritas and Ribbon Demographics.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2021 \$ |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 731 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 568 | 169 | 87 | 26 | 21 | 8 | 879 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 228 | 271 | 79 | 41 | 39 | 21 | 678 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 112 | 90 | 57 | 29 | 45 | 22 | 354 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 99 | 55 | 60 | 36 | 24 | 13 | 286 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 48 | 69 | 71 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 213 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 43 | 62 | 43 | 21 | 5 | 3 | 177 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 53 | 80 | 88 | 77 | 6 | 0 | 303 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 36 | 43 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 99 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 26 | 34 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 81 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 62 |
| \$200,000 | more | 13 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 46 |
|  |  | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 | 3,908 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 55+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

| 2021 \$ |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 318 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 360 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 394 | 57 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 480 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 136 | 133 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 279 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 37 | 55 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 106 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 104 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 44 | 37 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 123 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 27 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 45 | 20 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 97 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 33 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| \$200,000 | more | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 20 |
|  |  | 1,105 | 407 | 158 | 45 | 24 | 3 | 1,742 |

The following table shows the current distribution of 65+ renter household incomes for the Market Area.

65+ Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| 2021 \$ |  | 2021 Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 + Person | Total |
| \$0 | \$9,999 | 226 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 233 |
| \$10,000 | \$19,999 | 298 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 327 |
| \$20,000 | \$29,999 | 88 | 58 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 151 |
| \$30,000 | \$39,999 | 35 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 86 |
| \$40,000 | \$49,999 | 19 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 49 |
| \$50,000 | \$59,999 | 25 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| \$60,000 | \$74,999 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 38 |
| \$75,000 | \$99,999 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| \$100,000 | \$124,999 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 32 |
| \$125,000 | \$149,999 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| \$150,000 | \$199,999 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| \$200,000 | more | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 786 | 170 | 48 | 24 | 16 | 3 | 1,046 |

Source: Claritas \& Ribbon Demographics

## Overburdened Renter Households

The following tables give overburdened renter household data for the Market Area. The data set comes from the U.S. Census Bureau.

| Overburdened Renter Households |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| $20 \%$ of Total Income Spent on Housing | $45.7 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $12.1 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $11.8 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.1 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $24.3 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 24.3 percent of the renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 30.4 percent of the renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| $55+$ Overburdened Renter Households |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $<20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $\%$ of Total |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $13.5 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $9.4 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $10.5 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $35.2 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 35.2 percent of the $55+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 45.7 percent of the 55+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

| $65+$ Overburdened Renter Households |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\%$ of Total |
| $20 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $28.1 \%$ |
| $20-24 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $6.0 \%$ |
| $25-29 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $12.0 \%$ |
| $30-34 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $9.8 \%$ |
| $>35 \%$ of Income Spent on Housing | $44.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 44.0 percent of the $65+$ renter households in this market area are overburdened, paying more than 35 percent of their income towards housing-related costs. Our research also suggests that 53.8 percent of the 65+ renter households are overburdened to 30 percent of income.

## Owner Substandard Units

The U.S. Census Bureau defines substandard housing units as follows: (1) Units without complete plumbing; or (2) Units with 1.00 or more persons per room.

The following tables give owner substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

| Owner Substandard Units |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $95.9 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $2.0 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.5 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $98.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $1.6 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.0 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $1.6 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Standard | $95.9 \%$ |
| Substandard | $4.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 4.1 percent of occupied owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

## Renter Substandard Units

The following tables give renter substandard housing unit data for occupied housing units in the nation, state, region and market area. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau:

| Renter Substandard Units |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\%$ of Total |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $88.3 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $3.5 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.7 \%$ |
| Complete Plumbing | $92.5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 1.00 persons per room or less | $6.9 \%$ |
| 1.01 to 1.50 persons per room | $0.3 \%$ |
| 1.51 persons per room or more | $0.3 \%$ |
| Lacking Complete Plumbing | $7.5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Standard | $88.3 \%$ |
| Substandard | $11.7 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau


Our research suggests that 11.7 percent of renter owner housing units in the market area are substandard.

## Owner Movership

The following tables give owner household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

| Owner Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
|  | $1.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Owner to Owner | $1.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $3.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Owner Movership Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an owner movership rate of 4.7 percent.

Elderly Owner Movership, by Size

|  | AHS Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Owner to Owner | $2.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Owner to Renter | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |
| Owner Movership Rate | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly owner movership rate of 3.7 percent.

## Renter Movership

The following tables give renter household movership data for the market area with an estimated breakout by household size. The data comes from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Housing Survey:

| Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $8.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $2.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $10.3 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests a renter movership rate of 24.6 percent.

| Elderly Renter Movership, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6 Person | 7+ Person | Total |
| Renter to Renter | $7.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| Renter to Owner | $0.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| Renter Movership Rate | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Housing Survey; Allen \& Associates
Our research suggests an elderly renter movership rate of 8.0 percent.

## SUPPLY ANALYSIS

In conducting our analysis, we began by attempting to compile a list of every multifamily property with 10 or more units in the market area. We included conventionally-financed multifamily communities as well as properties financed by the local housing authority and the state housing finance agency in our listing. We even included properties financed by and/or subsidized by USDA and/or HUD. Finally, we included properties that are either proposed or currently under construction. The result was a listing of projects with 10 or more units whether existing, under construction, or proposed - for this area. Our rental property inventory listing is found in the pages that follow.

A map showing the location of the properties included in the rental property inventory is found in the pages that follow. Properties identified with red pushpins have 100 percent market rate units (market rate properties), properties identified with yellow pushpins have a mixture of market rate / restricted / subsidized units (restricted properties), and properties identified with blue pushpins have 100 percent project-based rental assistance (subsidized properties).

After accounting for any unconfirmed properties and any properties that are located outside the defined market area, we arrived at a list of confirmed market area properties. This was the listing of properties upon which our analysis is based. In our opinion, the properties included on this list give a credible picture of market conditions as of the effective date of this report. This listing is found in the pages that follow.

Our next step was to compile a master list of unrestricted market rate rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We eliminated any properties which were either under construction, being renovated, in lease up, or which were unstabilized for one reason or another. We identified market rate properties of similar age and condition to the subject property. If we were unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables in the market area, we included market rate properties from outside the market area. If we were still unable to identify a sufficient number of market rate comparables, we included rent restricted properties provided, however, that the rents charged at these properties were below statuatory limits and similar to the rents charged at the market rate properties in the market area (suggesting that these rent restricted properties were de facto market rate properties).

Finally, we compiled a master list of restricted rent comparables from the listing of confirmed properties. We used the same approach described above for unrestricted market rate properties.

The resulting master lists of rent comparables and accompanying locator maps are found in this section as well. Detailed write-ups for the properties included on these lists are found in the Appendix. We include writeups for all of the rent comparables identified on our master lists, regardless of whether they ended up being selected as one of the best rent comparables. We did this for two reasons: (1) To be transparent; and (2) To provide the reader with context regarding our selection process.

The balance of this section includes a breakdown of confirmed market area properties by rent type, project status, year built, and financing source. We also include a rent, unit mix, and amenity summary for confirmed market area properties. Finally, we provide summary of vouchers, concessions, and waiting lists for the properties included in this report.

Rental Property Inventory

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | Brandon Home | 36.7044 | -78.9215 | na | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | HUD | 4 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 002 | Cannery Row Apartments | 36.7982 | -78.4625 | 1917 | 1999 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 9 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 36.7133 | -78.9198 | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | RD | 65 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 004 | Chase Place Apartments | 36.7985 | -78.4470 | 1998 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | HUD | 35 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 005 | Chase Run Apartments | 36.8009 | -78.4445 | 1982 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | RD | 42 | 9 | 78.6\% |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 36.7397 | -78.9445 | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 007 | Country Squire Rentals | 36.8028 | -78.4587 | 1950 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 13 | 4 | 69.2\% |
| 008 | Crescent Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9125 | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 13 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 009 | E M Harris Developments LTD | 36.7341 | -78.9258 | na | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Conventional | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 010 | Fairmont Apartments | 36.7042 | -78.9145 | 1973 | na | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 47 | 8 | 83.0\% |
| 011 | Forest View | 36.7636 | -78.9383 | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 2 | 75.0\% |
| 012 | Gateway Halifax Apartments | 36.6986 | -78.9193 | 1996 | na | Subsidized | Family | Special Needs | HUD | 10 | 1 | 90.0\% |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 36.7336 | -78.9246 | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 2 | 96.9\% |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 36.7652 | -78.9409 | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 36.7034 | -78.8939 | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 11 | 4 | 63.6\% |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 36.7111 | -78.8843 | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 36.6977 | -78.9054 | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 71 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 36.6032 | -78.5636 | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 019 | Maple Manor Apartments | 36.7976 | -78.4615 | 1907 | 2009 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 26 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 36.7042 | -78.9145 | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 36.7005 | -78.9019 | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 27 | 1 | 96.3\% |
| 022 | Poplar Creek Homes | 36.7033 | -78.9143 | 2021 | na | Restricted | Family | Prop Const | Tax Credit | 32 | 32 | 0.0\% |
| 023 | Randolph (The) Phases 1 \& 2 | 36.6971 | -78.9013 | 1926 | 2005 | Restricted | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 41 | 41 | 0.0\% |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 36.6023 | -78.5475 | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 025 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 1 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1992 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 026 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 2 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1995 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 027 | South Boston Chris Sterling Project | 36.7033 | -78.9143 | 2018 | na | Market Rate | Family | Non-Inventory | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 36.7097 | -78.9127 | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 36.6974 | -78.9033 | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 47 | 3 | 93.6\% |
| 030 | Tom Coats Rentals | 36.7282 | -78.9778 | 1988 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 6 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 031 | Village Apartments of Sinai | 36.7415 | -78.9229 | 1974 | 2010 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Tax Credit | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9121 | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 22 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 033 | Westside Village Apartments | 36.7421 | -78.9424 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 70 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 034 | Willow Oaks Apartments | 36.7045 | -78.9121 | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 035 | Woodcrest Apartments | 36.7137 | -78.9170 | 1991 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 036 | Woods Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9121 | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Duplicate | Conventional | 21 | 0 | 100.0\% |



Rental Property Inventory, Unconfirmed

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007 | Country Squire Rentals | 36.8028 | -78.4587 | 1950 | na | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 13 | 4 | 69.2\% |
| 030 | Tom Coats Rentals | 36.7282 | -78.9778 | 1988 | 2004 | Market Rate | Family | Unconfirmed | Conventional | 6 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 36.7133 | -78.9198 | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | RD | 65 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 36.7397 | -78.9445 | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 008 | Crescent Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9125 | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 13 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 011 | Forest View | 36.7636 | -78.9383 | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 2 | 75.0\% |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 36.7336 | -78.9246 | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 2 | 96.9\% |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 36.7652 | -78.9409 | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 36.7034 | -78.8939 | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 11 | 4 | 63.6\% |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 36.7111 | -78.8843 | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 36.6977 | -78.9054 | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 71 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 36.7042 | -78.9145 | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 36.7005 | -78.9019 | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 27 | 1 | 96.3\% |
| 025 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 1 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1992 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 026 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 2 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1995 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 36.7097 | -78.9127 | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 36.6974 | -78.9033 | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 47 | 3 | 93.6\% |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9121 | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 22 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 033 | Westside Village Apartments | 36.7421 | -78.9424 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 70 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 034 | Willow Oaks Apartments | 36.7045 | -78.9121 | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% |
| 035 | Woodcrest Apartments | 36.7137 | -78.9170 | 1991 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |

Master List of Market Rate Comparables

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 | Forest View | 36.7636 | -78.9383 | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 2 | 75.0\% |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 36.7336 | -78.9246 | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 2 | 96.9\% |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 36.7652 | -78.9409 | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 36.7034 | -78.8939 | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 11 | 4 | 63.6\% |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 36.6977 | -78.9054 | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 71 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 36.7005 | -78.9019 | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 27 | 1 | 96.3\% |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 36.7097 | -78.9127 | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9121 | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 22 | 0 | 100.0\% |



Master List of Restricted Rent Comparables

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 36.7133 | -78.9198 | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | RD | 65 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 36.7397 | -78.9445 | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 36.7111 | -78.8843 | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 018 | Lakewood Apartments | 36.6032 | -78.5636 | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 52 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 36.7042 | -78.9145 | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% |
| 024 | River Wynd Apartments | 36.6023 | -78.5475 | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 36.6974 | -78.9033 | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 47 | 3 | 93.6\% |



## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Rent Type

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by rent type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Total Properties |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Market Rate |  | 9 | 9 |
| Restricted |  | 5 | 5 |
| Subsidized | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 3 | 16 | 19 |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate |  | 283 | 283 |
| Restricted |  | 129 | 129 |
| Subsidized | 116 | 263 | 379 |
| Total | 116 | 675 | 791 |

Vacant Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate |  | 10 | 10 |
| Restricted |  | 5 | 5 |
| Subsidized |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total |  | 16 | 16 |

Occupancy Rate

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate |  | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Restricted |  | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Subsidized | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our analysis includes a total of 19 confirmed market area properties consisting of 791 units. The occupancy rate for these units currently stands at 98 percent. This rate reflects the occupancy for all confirmed market area units, regardless of project status (stabilized, under construction, proposed, etc.).

Confirmed market area properties break down by rent type and tenure as shown in the tables above.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Project Status

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by project status:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 |  |  |  |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 2 | 5 | 9 | 16 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const <br> Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 | 5 | 9 | 16 |

Total Units

|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized | 116 |  |  | 116 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 116 |  |  | 116 |


| Total Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 263 | 129 | 283 | 675 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction <br> Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab <br> Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 129 | 283 | 675 |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stabilized | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 1 | 5 | 10 | 16 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation <br> Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 5 | 10 | 16 |

Source: Allen \& Associates
Our survey includes a total of 19 stabilized market area properties consisting of 791 units standing at 98 percent occupancy.

Our research suggests that there are no properties in the market area that are not yet stabilized. Unstabilized units (also referred to as pipeline units) include vacant units in lease up, construction, rehabilitation, proposed new construction, and units with proposed renovation plans.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Elderly |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 100\% |  |  | 100\% | Stabilized | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 98\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100\% |  |  | 100\% | Total | 100\% | 96\% | 96\% | 98\% |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Occupancies of stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and rent type (subsidized, restricted or market rate) are found below:


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 116 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 100 percent (116 units in survey)
- Restricted, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- Market Rate, not applicable (0 units in survey)

Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 675 stabilized family units in this market area:

- Subsidized, 100 percent (263 units in survey)
- Restricted, 96 percent (129 units in survey)
- Market Rate, 96 percent (283 units in survey)

Occupancy rates for stabilized market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family) and unit type are found below (supporting data is found in the pages that follow):


Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 116 stabilized elderly units in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 100 percent (114 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 100 percent (2 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
-4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
Our research suggests the following occupancy levels for the 675 stabilized family units in this market area:
- 0-Bedroom, 100 percent ( 7 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 99 percent (164 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 98 percent (406 units in survey)
- 3 -Bedroom, 95 percent ( 86 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 100 percent (12 units in survey)

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, 0-Bedroom Units

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | 100\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | Stabilized | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 16 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | Total | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 16 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 | Stabilized | 45 |  | 2 | 5 | 13 |  |  | 99 | 164 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 | Total | 45 |  | 2 | 5 | 13 |  |  | 99 | 164 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | Stabilized | 100\% |  | 100\% | 100\% | 92\% |  |  | 99\% | 99\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | Total | 100\% |  | 100\% | 100\% | 92\% |  |  | 99\% | 99\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Stabilized | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 9 | 22 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Total | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 9 | 22 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Stabilized | 161 |  | 2 | 29 | 55 |  | 1 | 158 | 406 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | Total | 161 |  | 2 | 29 | 55 |  | 1 | 158 | 406 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 10 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 10 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | Stabilized | 100\% |  | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% |  | 100\% | 94\% | 98\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% | Total | 100\% |  | 100\% | 100\% | 98\% |  | 100\% | 94\% | 98\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 11 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 11 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 45 |  | 1 | 6 | 15 |  |  | 19 | 86 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 45 |  | 1 | 6 | 15 |  |  | 19 | 86 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 98\% |  | 100\% | 67\% | 93\% |  |  | 100\% | 95\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 98\% |  | 100\% | 67\% | 93\% |  |  | 100\% | 95\% |


| Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Properties with Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |  | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Tot |
| Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stabilized | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lease Up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Const |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Prop Rehab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unstabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Year Built

The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by year built:

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Properties |  |  |  |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| $<1960$ |  | 8 | 8 |
| $1960-1969$ |  | 1 | 1 |
| $1970-1979$ |  | 3 | 3 |
| $1980-1989$ |  | 4 | 4 |
| $1990-1999$ | 3 |  | 3 |
| $2000+$ |  |  |  |
| Unknown |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 | 16 | 19 |

Total Units

|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<1960$ |  | 243 | 243 |
| $1960-1969$ |  | 65 | 65 |
| 1970-1979 |  | 138 | 138 |
| 1980-1989 |  | 229 | 229 |
| 1990-1999 | 116 |  | 116 |
| 2000+ |  |  |  |
| Unknown |  |  |  |
| Total | 116 | 675 | 791 |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 19 confirmed market area properties ( 791 units) included in this report, 8 properties ( 243 units) were constructed before 1960, 1 property ( 65 units) was constructed between 1960 and 1969, 3 properties ( 138 units) between 1970 and 1979, 4 properties ( 229 units) between 1980 and 1989, 3 properties ( 116 units) between 1990 and 1999, and 0 properties ( 0 units) after 2000. In addition, 0 properties ( 0 units) had an unknown date of construction.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, by Financing Source
The following tables and graph provide a summary of the confirmed market area properties included in this analysis broken out by financing source:

| Total Properties |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Conventional |  | 9 | 9 |
| Tax Credit | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Bond |  |  |  |
| USDA-RD |  | 1 | 1 |
| HUD |  | 1 | 1 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Total | 3 | 16 | 19 |
| Total Units |  |  |  |
|  | Elderly | Family | Total |
| Conventional |  | 283 | 283 |
| Tax Credit | 116 | 257 | 373 |
| Bond |  |  |  |
| USDA-RD |  | 65 | 65 |
| HUD |  | 70 | 70 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Total | 116 | 675 | 791 |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests that of the 19 confirmed properties in the market area, 9 properties (consisting of 283 units) are conventionally financed, 8 properties (consisting of 373 units) include tax credit financing, 0 properties (consisting of 0 units) are bond financed, 1 property (consisting of 65 units) is exclusively USDA-RD financed, and 1 property (consisting of 70 units) is exclusively HUD financed.

The average project size for this market area is 42 units. The smallest projects are conventionally financed, averaging 31 units in size. The largest projects are exclusively HUD financed, averaging 70 units in size.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Rent Summary

The following tables and graphs provide a summary of the rents charged at confirmed market area properties broken out by unit type:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\$ 895$ | $\$ 895$ | $\$ 895$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 384$ | $\$ 593$ | $\$ 495$ | $\$ 372$ | $\$ 607$ | $\$ 486$ | $\$ 320$ | $\$ 995$ | $\$ 679$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 481$ | $\$ 669$ | $\$ 556$ | $\$ 452$ | $\$ 674$ | $\$ 577$ | $\$ 350$ | $\$ 1,300$ | $\$ 693$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 639$ | $\$ 767$ | $\$ 703$ | $\$ 501$ | $\$ 670$ | $\$ 599$ | $\$ 370$ | $\$ 625$ | $\$ 490$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 745$ | $\$ 815$ | $\$ 780$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Unit Size

|  | Subsidized |  |  | Restricted |  |  | Market |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg | Min | Max | Avg |
| 0-Bedroom | - | - | - | - | - | - | 573 | 573 | 573 |
| 1-Bedroom | 563 | 700 | 630 | 587 | 651 | 629 | 353 | 608 | 518 |
| 2-Bedroom | 811 | 869 | 842 | 757 | 965 | 876 | 741 | 1,178 | 904 |
| 3-Bedroom | 975 | 1,157 | 1,075 | 905 | 1,528 | 1,293 | 904 | 1,250 | 1,051 |
| 4-Bedroom | 1,171 | 1,352 | 1,261 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Rent per Square Foot

|  | Subsidized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Avg | Min |  |  | Max | Avg | Min |
|  | Max | Avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-Bedroom | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\$ 1.56$ | $\$ 1.56$ | $\$ 1.56$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $\$ 0.68$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.79$ | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 0.93$ | $\$ 0.77$ | $\$ 0.91$ | $\$ 1.64$ | $\$ 1.31$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $\$ 0.59$ | $\$ 0.77$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.60$ | $\$ 0.70$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.47$ | $\$ 1.10$ | $\$ 0.77$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $\$ 0.55$ | $\$ 0.46$ | $\$ 0.41$ | $\$ 0.50$ | $\$ 0.47$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $\$ 0.60$ | $\$ 0.64$ | $\$ 0.62$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed restricted rent units:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable
- 1-Bedroom, \$0.77 per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, \$0.66 per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, \$0.46 per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable

Our research suggests the following average rent levels for confirmed market rate units:

- 0-Bedroom, $\$ 1.56$ per square foot
- 1-Bedroom, $\$ 1.31$ per square foot
- 2-Bedroom, $\$ 0.77$ per square foot
- 3-Bedroom, $\$ 0.47$ per square foot
- 4-Bedroom, not applicable

A detailed listing of rents and floor areas for confirmed market area properties by unit type and income target is found in the following pages.

## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

In the tables and graphs found below we present a breakdown of unit mix for confirmed market area properties broken out by occupancy type (elderly or family):

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Unit Mix Summary

| Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | 114 |  |  | 114 |
| 2-Bedroom | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 116 |  |  | 116 |


| Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Retal | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| 1-Bedroom | 45 | 20 | 99 | 164 |
| 2-Bedroom | 161 | 87 | 158 | 406 |
| 3-Bedroom | 45 | 22 | 19 | 86 |
| 4-Bedroom | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Total | 263 | 129 | 283 | 675 |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  | $98 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $98 \%$ |  |  | 98 |
| 2-Bedroom | $2 \%$ |  |  | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |


| Unit Mix |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | Res | Mkt | Tot |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 1-Bedroom | $17 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 2-Bedroom | $61 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 3-Bedroom | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 4-Bedroom | $5 \%$ |  |  | $2 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: Allen \& Associates


Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 116 confirmed elderly units located in this market area:

- 0-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 98 percent (114 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 2 percent ( 2 units in survey)
- 3-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
-4-Bedroom, not applicable (0 units in survey)
Our research suggests the following unit mix for the 675 confirmed family units located in this market area:
- 0-Bedroom, 1 percent ( 7 units in survey)
- 1-Bedroom, 24 percent (164 units in survey)
- 2-Bedroom, 60 percent (406 units in survey)
- 3 -Bedroom, 13 percent ( 86 units in survey)
- 4-Bedroom, 2 percent (12 units in survey)


## Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

In the table found below we present a summary of amenities found at confirmed market area properties:

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Amenity Summary

| Building Type |  | Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 Story | $26 \%$ |  | Central | $95 \%$ |
| $2-4$ Story | $74 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $0 \%$ |
| $5-10$ Story | $0 \%$ |  | Window Units | $0 \%$ |
| $>10$ Story | $0 \%$ |  | None | $0 \%$ |


| Project Amenities |  |  |  | Heat |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Ball Field | $0 \%$ |  | Central | $95 \%$ |
| BBQ Area | $16 \%$ |  | Wall Units | $0 \%$ |
| Billiards | $0 \%$ |  | Baseboards | $0 \%$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | $21 \%$ |  | Radiators | $0 \%$ |
| Car Care Ctr | $0 \%$ |  | None | $0 \%$ |

Comm Center 42\%
Elevator 5\%

Fitness Center 16\%
Gazebo 0\%

| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | $0 \%$ | Assigned | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Horseshoe Pit | $0 \%$ | Open | $100 \%$ |
| Lake | $0 \%$ | None | $0 \%$ |

Library 0\%
Movie Theatre 0\%
Picnic Area 16\%
Playground 32\%

| Laundry |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Central | $58 \%$ |
| W/D Units | $16 \%$ |
| W/D Hookups | $26 \%$ |
|  |  |
|  | Security |
| Call Buttons | $21 \%$ |
| Cont Access | $21 \%$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $0 \%$ |
| Monitoring | $21 \%$ |
| Security Alarms | $0 \%$ |
| Security Patrols | $5 \%$ |

Sauna 0\%
Sports Court 0\%
Walking Trail 11\%

| Unit Amenities |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Blinds | $95 \%$ |
| Ceiling Fans | $21 \%$ |
| Upgraded Flooring | $89 \%$ |
| Fireplace | $0 \%$ |

Patio/Balcony 32\%
Storage 26\%

| Parking |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Garage | $0 \%$ |
| Covered | $0 \%$ |
| Assigned | $0 \%$ |
| Open | $100 \%$ |
| None | $0 \%$ |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  | After School | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Concierge | $0 \%$ |
| Stove | $100 \%$ |  | Hair Salon | $0 \%$ |
| Refrigerator | $100 \%$ |  | Health Care | $0 \%$ |
| Disposal | $21 \%$ |  | Linens | $0 \%$ |
| Dishwasher | $47 \%$ |  | Meals | $0 \%$ |
| Microwave | $21 \%$ |  | Transportation | $0 \%$ |

Our research suggests that 26 percent of confirmed market area properties are 1 story in height, 74 percent are 2-4 stories in height, 0 percent are $5-10$ stories in height, and 0 percent are over 10 stories in height. In addition, surveyed properties benefit from the following project amenities: 21 percent have a business/computer center, 42 percent have a community center, 16 percent have a fitness center, 32 percent have a playground, and 0 percent have a sports court.

Our research also suggests that the following unit amenities are present at surveyed properties: 95 percent have blinds, 89 percent have carpeting, 32 percent have patios/balconies, and 26 percent have outside storage. Surveyed properties also include the following kitchen amenities: 100 percent have a stove, 100 percent have a refrigerator, 21 percent have a disposal, 47 percent have a dishwasher, and 21 percent have a microwave.

In addition, 95 percent of confirmed market area properties have central heat while 95 percent have central air. Our research also suggests that 100 percent of surveyed properties have open parking. A total of 58 percent of area properties have central laundry facilities, while 26 percent have washer/dryer hookups, and 16 percent have washer/dryer units in each residential unit.

A total of 21 percent of confirmed market area properties have call buttons, 21 percent have controlled access, and 0 percent have security alarms.

It is also our understanding that the majority of confirmed market area properties provide cable access.
Finally, in the following pages we provide a summary of vouchers, concessions and waiting lists for the confirmed market area properties included in this report. We also include any absorption information we have uncovered as part of our research.

Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area

| Key | Project | Latitude | Longitude | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Financing | Tot Units | Vac Units | Occupancy | Concessions | Vouchers | Abs Rate | Waiting List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | Cavalier Apartments | 36.7133 | -78.9198 | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | RD | 65 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 8 people |
| 006 | Country Green Apartments | 36.7397 | -78.9445 | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 44 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 2\% | - | 45 people |
| 008 | Crescent Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9125 | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 13 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 12 people |
| 011 | Forest View | 36.7636 | -78.9383 | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 8 | 2 | 75.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 50-75 people |
| 013 | Green Folly Apartments | 36.7336 | -78.9246 | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 65 | 2 | 96.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 50-75 people |
| 014 | Halifax Lofts | 36.7652 | -78.9409 | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 30 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 015 | Haskins Grove | 36.7034 | -78.8939 | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 11 | 4 | 63.6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 50-75 people |
| 016 | Honeytree Apartments | 36.7111 | -78.8843 | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 48 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 2\% |  | no |
| 017 | Imperial Lofts | 36.6977 | -78.9054 | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 71 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | yes |
| 020 | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 36.7042 | -78.9145 | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 46 | 2 | 95.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 7 people |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 36.7005 | -78.9019 | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 27 | 1 | 96.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3.38 | - |
| 025 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 1 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1992 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 10 people |
| 026 | Rose Hill Apartments Phase 2 | 36.7339 | -78.9208 | 1995 | na | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 36 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 10 people |
| 028 | Tanglewylde Apartments | 36.7097 | -78.9127 | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 36 | 1 | 97.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 50-75 people |
| 029 | Taylor Lofts Apartments | 36.6974 | -78.9033 | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 47 | 3 | 93.6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 5 people |
| 032 | West Wood Apartments | 36.7078 | -78.9121 | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized | Conventional | 22 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 5 people |
| 033 | Westside Village Apartments | 36.7421 | -78.9424 | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | HUD | 70 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | no |
| 034 | Willow Oaks Apartments | 36.7045 | -78.9121 | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 72 | 1 | 98.6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 135 people |
| 035 | Woodcrest Apartments | 36.7137 | -78.9170 | 1991 | 2010 | Subsidized | Elderly | Stabilized | Tax Credit | 40 | 0 | 100.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 25 people |

## RENT COMPARABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we develop restricted and unrestricted market rent conclusions for the subject property on an "as if complete \& stabilized" basis. Our analysis begins with an evaluation of unrestricted market rents.

## Unrestricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop an unrestricted market rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was an unrestricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized market rate properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with restricted rents are used when a sufficient number of market rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Market Rate, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in an unrestricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$520 |  |  |  | \$520 |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$430 |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$950 |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$384 |  |  | \$607 | \$523 |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$995 |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$452 |  |  |  | \$452 |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$372 |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700 |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$320 |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$390 |  | \$506 |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$598 |  |  |  |  |  | \$598 |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$582 |  |  |  | \$582 |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$350 |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$460 |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$540 |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,195 |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$510 |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$544 |  |  | \$669 | \$674 |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,300 |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$543 |  |  |  | \$543 |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$452 |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$900 |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$628 |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$530 |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$459 | \$589 | \$589 |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$450 |

Source: Allen \& Associates

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

|  | Overview |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$639 |  |  |  | \$639 |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$370 |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$625 |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$501 |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$785 |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$522 | \$665 | \$670 |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$475 |



## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20, \$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of $\$ 80, \$ 70, \$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at $\$ 50$.

| Technology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Cable | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | Concluded |
| Internet | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ |

## Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 25$ per bedroom.

| Bedrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Bedrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 200$ | $\$ 25$ |  |

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 75$ per bathroom.

| Bathrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bathrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 75$ |  |

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.20$ per square foot.

| Square Feet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Square Feet | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 0.20$ |

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 100$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Visibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | Concluded |  |

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Access |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | Concluded |  |

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Neighborhood |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Area Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.0000$ per dollar of median household income.

| Median Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Med HH Inc | $\$ 0.0000 \quad \$ 0.0000$ | $\$ 0.0000$ |  |

## Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ per each minute of commute.

| Average Commute |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Avg Commute | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ for publc transportation.

| Public Transportation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Public Trans | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per 0.01 percentage points.

| Personal Crime |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Personal Crime | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 50$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 10 \quad \$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ |  |

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 3.65$ per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

| Effective Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Rating | $\$ 1.00 \quad \$ 5.00$ | $\$ 3.65$ |  |

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Project Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Ball Field | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| BBQ Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Billiards | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctrs | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Car Care Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Community Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Elevator | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fitness Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Gazebo | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Horseshoe Pit | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Lake | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Library | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Movie Theatre | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Picnic Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Playground | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Pool | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sauna | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sports Court | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Walking Trail | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Unit Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Blinds | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Ceiling Fans | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Carpeting | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fireplace | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Patio/Balcony | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Storage | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ |

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Stove | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Refrigerator | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Disposal | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Dishwasher | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Microwave | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2)
Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 20$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 10$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Parking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Garage | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 200$ | Concluded |
| Covered | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 50$ |
| Assigned | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Open | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| None | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 5$ per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at $\$ 50$; washer/dryer hookups were valued at $\$ 25$.

| Laundry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Central | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 5$ |
| W/D Units | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 25$ |

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Security |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Call Buttons | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Controlled Access | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Monitoring | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Security Alarms | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Patrols | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-616sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-616sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 38 unit types found at 15 properties. We selected the 38 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 38 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text { N}}{1} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbb{O}} \\ & \stackrel{Y}{\Psi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\underset{\sim}{C}} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-01 Poplar Creek Homes | 1BR-1BA-616sf | \$325 | \$0 | \$325 | - | \$0 | \$325 |  |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$428 | \$175 | \$773 | 26 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$261 | \$65 | \$585 | 4 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$337 | -\$22 | \$560 | 11 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$386 | -\$71 | \$568 | 17 |
| 011-01 Forest View | 2BR-1BA-890sf | \$460 | \$0 | \$460 | \$492 | \$323 | \$783 | 30 |
| 013-01 Green Folly Apartments | 1BR-1BA-550sf | \$430 | \$0 | \$430 | \$301 | \$175 | \$605 | 7 |
| 013-02 Green Folly Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$540 | \$0 | \$540 | \$339 | \$110 | \$650 | 12 |
| 013-03 Green Folly Apartments | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$383 | \$66 | \$691 | 16 |
| 014-01 Halifax Lofts | 1BR-1BA-483sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | \$427 | -\$219 | \$731 | 23 |
| 014-02 Halifax Lofts | 2BR-2BA-815sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$525 | -\$371 | \$824 | 32 |
| 015-01 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$573 | \$90 | \$600 | 34 |
| 015-02 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$557 | \$106 | \$616 | 33 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$428 | -\$12 | \$511 | 25 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$479 | -\$62 | \$612 | 28 |
| 017-01 Imperial Lofts | OBR-1BA-573sf | \$895 | \$0 | \$895 | \$364 | -\$266 | \$629 | 14 |
| 017-02 Imperial Lofts | 1BR-1BA-608sf | \$995 | \$0 | \$995 | \$324 | -\$290 | \$705 | 10 |
| 017-03 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-1BA-1001sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$410 | -\$378 | \$817 | 21 |
| 017-04 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1061sf | \$1,395 | \$0 | \$1,395 | \$497 | -\$465 | \$930 | 31 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$364 | -\$45 | \$407 | 14 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$407 | -\$88 | \$455 | 19 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$249 | \$111 | \$495 | 2 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$248 | \$110 | \$494 | 1 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$283 | \$71 | \$523 | 5 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$284 | \$70 | \$522 | 6 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$321 | \$33 | \$534 | 8 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$323 | \$30 | \$531 | 9 |
| 021-01 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1BR-1BA-595sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$261 | -\$49 | \$651 | 3 |
| 021-02 New Brick Historic Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | \$900 | \$0 | \$900 | \$454 | -\$251 | \$649 | 27 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$607 | -\$174 | \$454 | 36 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$663 | -\$229 | \$556 | 37 |
| 028-01 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1BR-1BA-353sf | \$320 | \$0 | \$320 | \$409 | \$273 | \$593 | 20 |
| 028-02 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-724sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$388 | \$189 | \$699 | 18 |
| 028-03 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-924sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$428 | \$149 | \$699 | 24 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$351 | -\$25 | \$481 | 13 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$415 | -\$89 | \$500 | 22 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$593 | -\$240 | \$430 | 35 |
| 032-01 West Wood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-1000sf | \$450 | \$0 | \$450 | \$479 | \$206 | \$656 | 29 |
| 032-02 West Wood Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$475 | \$0 | \$475 | \$681 | \$108 | \$583 | 38 |

Adjusted Rent, Minimum ..... \$407
Adjusted Rent, Maximum ..... $\$ 930$
Adjusted Rent, Average ..... \$608
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average ..... $\$ 605$
Rent, Concluded ..... $\$ 610$

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 610$ for the 1BR-1BA-616sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-606sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 1BR-1BA-595sf units at New Brick Historic Lofts (Property \# 021), the 1BR-1BA-587sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 1BR-1BA550sf units at Green Folly Apartments (Property \# 013), and the 1BR-1BA-608sf units at Imperial Lofts (Property \# 017) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-01 | 006-03 | 013-01 | 017-02 | 020-03 | 021-01 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-616sf | 1BR-1BA-587sf | 1BR-1BA-550sf | 1BR-1BA-608sf | 1BR-1BA-606sf | 1BR-1BA-595sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Green Folly Apartments | Imperial Lofts | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | New Brick Historic Lofts |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 1005 Harris Drive | 700 Watkins Avenue | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 701 Jefferson Avenue |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.73363 | 36.69769 | 36.70416 | 36.70050 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.92462 | -78.90538 | -78.91448 | -78.90187 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.72 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1966 | 1890 | 1973 | 1890 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2012 | 2018 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 476-7878 | (434) 362-0116 | (434) 575-5318 | (336) 215-7849 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 11-Feb-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 65 | 71 | 46 | 27 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 1 | 27 | 22 | 3 | 13 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$325 | \$520 | \$430 | \$995 | \$384 | \$700 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$325 | \$520 | \$430 | \$995 | \$384 | \$700 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$113 | \$72 -\$41 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 50 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$25 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$75 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 616 | 587 \$6 | 550 \$ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 608 \$2 | 606 \$2 | 595 \$4 |
| Visibilility | \$100 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | $3.00-\$ 50$ | 2.00 \$50 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 3.60 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$50 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$50 | 3.00 \$75 | 4.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$50 | 4.00 \$25 |
| Effective Age | \$3.65 | 2019 | 2006 \$47 | 1995 \$88 | 2018 \$4 | 2004 \$55 | 2015 \$15 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | \$0 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$50 | no | yes -\$50 | no ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | yes - $\$ 50$ | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no \$2 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 10 | no \$0 | yes |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | no | yes -\$5 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$5 |
| W/D Units | \$50 | yes | no $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | some $\quad \$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | some $\$ 0$ | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| Call Buttons | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$610 | \$585 | \$605 | \$705 | \$494 | \$651 |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-871sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-871sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 38 unit types found at 15 properties. We selected the 38 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 38 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text { n }}{1} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & .0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ \stackrel{y}{\Psi} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{Q}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-03 Poplar Creek Homes | 1BR-1BA-871sf | \$420 | \$0 | \$420 |  | \$0 | \$420 |  |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$396 | \$226 | \$824 | 21 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$312 | \$116 | \$636 | 10 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$302 | \$29 | \$611 | 7 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$335 | -\$20 | \$619 | 12 |
| 011-01 Forest View | 2BR-1BA-890sf | \$460 | \$0 | \$460 | \$441 | \$374 | \$834 | 27 |
| 013-01 Green Folly Apartments | 1BR-1BA-550sf | \$430 | \$0 | \$430 | \$352 | \$226 | \$656 | 13 |
| 013-02 Green Folly Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$540 | \$0 | \$540 | \$307 | \$161 | \$701 | 8 |
| 013-03 Green Folly Apartments | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$332 | \$117 | \$742 | 11 |
| 014-01 Halifax Lofts | 1BR-1BA-483sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | \$478 | -\$168 | \$782 | 31 |
| 014-02 Halifax Lofts | 2BR-2BA-815sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$496 | -\$320 | \$875 | 32 |
| 015-01 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$537 | \$141 | \$651 | 34 |
| 015-02 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$521 | \$157 | \$667 | 33 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$465 | \$39 | \$562 | 30 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$437 | -\$11 | \$663 | 26 |
| 017-01 Imperial Lofts | OBR-1BA-573sf | \$895 | \$0 | \$895 | \$415 | -\$215 | \$680 | 24 |
| 017-02 Imperial Lofts | 1BR-1BA-608sf | \$995 | \$0 | \$995 | \$375 | -\$239 | \$756 | 16 |
| 017-03 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-1BA-1001sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$359 | -\$327 | \$868 | 14 |
| 017-04 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1061sf | \$1,395 | \$0 | \$1,395 | \$446 | -\$414 | \$981 | 28 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$398 | \$6 | \$458 | 22 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$385 | -\$37 | \$506 | 18 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$300 | \$162 | \$546 | 6 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$299 | \$161 | \$545 | 5 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$280 | \$122 | \$574 | 4 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$279 | \$121 | \$573 | 3 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$270 | \$84 | \$585 | 1 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$272 | \$81 | \$582 | 2 |
| 021-01 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1BR-1BA-595sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$312 | \$2 | \$702 | 9 |
| 021-02 New Brick Historic Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | \$900 | \$0 | \$900 | \$403 | -\$200 | \$700 | 23 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$556 | -\$123 | \$505 | 36 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$612 | -\$178 | \$607 | 37 |
| 028-01 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1BR-1BA-353sf | \$320 | \$0 | \$320 | \$460 | \$324 | \$644 | 29 |
| 028-02 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-724sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$396 | \$240 | \$750 | 20 |
| 028-03 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-924sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$377 | \$200 | \$750 | 17 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$391 | \$26 | \$532 | 19 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$364 | -\$38 | \$551 | 15 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$542 | -\$189 | \$481 | 35 |
| 032-01 West Wood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-1000sf | \$450 | \$0 | \$450 | \$428 | \$257 | \$707 | 25 |
| 032-02 West Wood Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$475 | \$0 | \$475 | \$630 | \$159 | \$634 | 38 |

Adjusted Rent, Minimum ..... \$458
Adjusted Rent, Maximum ..... $\$ 981$
Adjusted Rent, Average ..... \$659
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average ..... \$656
Rent, Concluded ..... $\$ 660$

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 660$ for the 1BR-1BA-871sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-606sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 1BR-1BA-595sf units at New Brick Historic Lofts (Property \# 021), the 1BR-1BA-587sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 1BR-1BA550sf units at Green Folly Apartments (Property \# 013), and the 1BR-1BA-608sf units at Imperial Lofts (Property \# 017) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-03 | 006-03 | 013-01 | 017-02 | 020-03 | 021-01 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-871sf | 1BR-1BA-587sf | 1BR-1BA-550sf | 1BR-1BA-608sf | 1BR-1BA-606sf | 1BR-1BA-595sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Green Folly Apartments | Imperial Lofts | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | New Brick Historic Lofts |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 1005 Harris Drive | 700 Watkins Avenue | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 701 Jefferson Avenue |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.73363 | 36.69769 | 36.70416 | 36.70050 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.92462 | -78.90538 | -78.91448 | -78.90187 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.72 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1966 | 1890 | 1973 | 1890 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2012 | 2018 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Market Rate | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 476-7878 | (434) 362-0116 | (434) 575-5318 | (336) 215-7849 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 11-Feb-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 65 | 71 | 46 | 27 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 1 | 1 | 27 | 22 | 3 | 13 |
| Vacant Units |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$420 | \$520 | \$430 | \$995 | \$384 | \$700 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$420 | \$520 | \$430 | \$995 | \$384 | \$700 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$113 | \$72 -\$41 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 | \$50 -\$63 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 50 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$25 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$75 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 871 | 587 \$57 | $550 \quad \$ 64$ | 608 \$53 | 606 \$53 | 595 \$55 |
| Visibilility | \$100 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 -\$50 | 2.00 \$50 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 3.60 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$50 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$50 | 3.00 \$75 | 4.50 \$0 | 3.50 \$50 | 4.00 \$25 |
| Effective Age | \$3.65 | 2019 | 2006 \$47 | 1995 \$88 | 2018 \$4 | 2004 \$55 | 2015 \$15 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | \$0 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$10 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes $\quad$-\$2 | \$0 | \$0 | yes | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$50 | no | yes -\$50 | no ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | yes - $\$ 50$ | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no \$2 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 10 | no \$0 | yes |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | no | yes -\$5 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$5 |
| W/D Units | \$50 | yes | no $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | some $\quad \$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | some $\$ 0$ | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| Call Buttons | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$660 | \$636 | \$656 | \$756 | \$545 | \$702 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1.5BA-950sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1.5BA-950sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 38 unit types found at 15 properties. We selected the 38 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 38 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & .0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbb{D}} \\ & \stackrel{Y}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-07 Poplar Creek Homes | 2BR-1.5BA-950sf | \$570 | \$0 | \$570 | - | \$0 | \$570 |  |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$449 | \$279 | \$877 | 23 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$416 | \$170 | \$690 | 18 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$280 | \$82 | \$664 | 1 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$281 | \$33 | \$672 | 2 |
| 011-01 Forest View | 2BR-1BA-890sf | \$460 | \$0 | \$460 | \$437 | \$427 | \$887 | 20 |
| 013-01 Green Folly Apartments | 1BR-1BA-550sf | \$430 | \$0 | \$430 | \$455 | \$279 | \$709 | 24 |
| 013-02 Green Folly Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$540 | \$0 | \$540 | \$360 | \$214 | \$754 | 10 |
| 013-03 Green Folly Apartments | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$354 | \$170 | \$795 | 9 |
| 014-01 Halifax Lofts | 1BR-1BA-483sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | \$581 | -\$115 | \$835 | 38 |
| 014-02 Halifax Lofts | 2BR-2BA-815sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$475 | -\$266 | \$929 | 26 |
| 015-01 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$515 | \$194 | \$704 | 32 |
| 015-02 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$499 | \$210 | \$720 | 29 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$569 | \$93 | \$616 | 37 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$490 | \$42 | \$716 | 28 |
| 017-01 Imperial Lofts | OBR-1BA-573sf | \$895 | \$0 | \$895 | \$519 | -\$161 | \$734 | 33 |
| 017-02 Imperial Lofts | 1BR-1BA-608sf | \$995 | \$0 | \$995 | \$479 | -\$185 | \$810 | 27 |
| 017-03 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-1BA-1001sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$380 | -\$274 | \$921 | 11 |
| 017-04 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1061sf | \$1,395 | \$0 | \$1,395 | \$392 | -\$361 | \$1,034 | 12 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$501 | \$59 | \$511 | 30 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$438 | \$16 | \$559 | 21 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$404 | \$216 | \$600 | 15 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$402 | \$214 | \$598 | 14 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$333 | \$175 | \$627 | 7 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$332 | \$174 | \$626 | 6 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$313 | \$137 | \$638 | 5 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$311 | \$135 | \$636 | 3 |
| 021-01 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1BR-1BA-595sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$415 | \$55 | \$755 | 17 |
| 021-02 New Brick Historic Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | \$900 | \$0 | \$900 | \$350 | -\$147 | \$754 | 8 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$503 | -\$69 | \$559 | 31 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$558 | -\$125 | \$660 | 35 |
| 028-01 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1BR-1BA-353sf | \$320 | \$0 | \$320 | \$563 | \$377 | \$697 | 36 |
| 028-02 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-724sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$449 | \$293 | \$803 | 22 |
| 028-03 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-924sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$409 | \$253 | \$803 | 16 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$420 | \$80 | \$586 | 19 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$311 | \$15 | \$604 | 4 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$462 | -\$136 | \$534 | 25 |
| 032-01 West Wood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-1000sf | \$450 | \$0 | \$450 | \$400 | \$310 | \$760 | 13 |
| 032-02 West Wood Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$475 | \$0 | \$475 | \$527 | \$212 | \$687 | 34 |

Adjusted Rent, Minimum ..... \$511
Adjusted Rent, Maximum ..... \$1,034
Adjusted Rent, Average ..... $\$ 712$
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average ..... $\$ 709$
Rent, Concluded ..... $\$ 680$

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 680$ for the 2BR-1.5BA-950sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-1.5BA-831sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 2BR-1.5BA-965sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 2BR-1BA-757sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 2BR-2BA-1178sf units at New Brick Historic Lofts (Property \# 021), and the 2BR-1BA-825sf units at Green Folly Apartments (Property \# 013) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-07 | 006-06 | 013-02 | 020-05 | 021-02 | 029-05 |
| Unit Type |  | 2BR-1.5BA-950sf | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | 2BR-1BA-825sf | 2BR-1BA-757sf | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Green Folly Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | New Brick Historic Lofts | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 1005 Harris Drive | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 701 Jefferson Avenue | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.73363 | 36.70416 | 36.70050 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.92462 | -78.91448 | -78.90187 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.05 | 0.72 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1966 | 1973 | 1890 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2012 | 2016 | 2015 | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 476-7878 | (434) 575-5318 | (336) 215-7849 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 65 | 46 | 27 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 5 | 6 | 29 | 27 | 14 | 13 |
| Vacant Units |  | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$570 | \$582 | \$540 | \$452 | \$900 | \$589 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$570 | \$582 | \$540 | \$452 | \$900 | \$589 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$138 | \$96 -\$42 | \$65 -\$73 | \$65 -\$73 | \$65 -\$73 | \$102 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$25 | 2 | \$0 | 2 \$0 | 2 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$75 | 1.50 | 1.50 \$0 | 1.00 \$38 | 1.00 \$38 | 2.00 -\$38 | 1.50 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 950 | 831 \$24 | 825 \$25 | 757 \$39 | 1178 -\$46 | 965 -\$3 |
| Visibility | \$100 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$50 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 -\$50 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 3.60 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$50 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$50 | 3.00 \$75 | 3.50 \$50 | 4.00 \$25 | 3.50 \$50 |
| Effective Age | \$3.65 | 2019 | 2006 \$47 | 1995 \$88 | 2004 \$55 | 2015 \$15 | 2005 \$51 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | yes -\$10 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - $\$ 10$ | yes -\$10 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no \$10 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$50 | no | yes -\$50 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Disposal | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Microwave | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {c }} 10$ | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no \$10 | no $\$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | no | yes -\$5 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$5 | yes -\$5 |
| W/D Units | \$50 | yes | no $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | some $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | some $\$ 0$ | no \$0 | yes -\$25 | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 10 | yes $\quad$ - 10 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$680 | \$664 | \$754 | \$626 | \$754 | \$604 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1120sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1120sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 38 unit types found at 15 properties. We selected the 38 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 38 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\hbar} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-08 Poplar Creek Homes | 3BR-2BA-1120sf | \$597 | \$0 | \$597 | - | \$0 | \$597 |  |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$570 | \$352 | \$950 | 30 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$536 | \$242 | \$762 | 24 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$401 | \$155 | \$737 | 7 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$342 | \$106 | \$745 | 2 |
| 011-01 Forest View | 2BR-1BA-890sf | \$460 | \$0 | \$460 | \$510 | \$500 | \$960 | 18 |
| 013-01 Green Folly Apartments | 1BR-1BA-550sf | \$430 | \$0 | \$430 | \$576 | \$352 | \$782 | 31 |
| 013-02 Green Folly Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$540 | \$0 | \$540 | \$481 | \$287 | \$827 | 15 |
| 013-03 Green Folly Apartments | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$405 | \$243 | \$868 | 8 |
| 014-01 Halifax Lofts | 1BR-1BA-483sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | \$702 | -\$42 | \$908 | 38 |
| 014-02 Halifax Lofts | 2BR-2BA-815sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$520 | -\$194 | \$1,001 | 19 |
| 015-01 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$561 | \$267 | \$777 | 28 |
| 015-02 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$545 | \$283 | \$793 | 26 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$689 | \$165 | \$688 | 37 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$610 | \$114 | \$788 | 33 |
| 017-01 Imperial Lofts | 0BR-1BA-573sf | \$895 | \$0 | \$895 | \$639 | -\$89 | \$806 | 35 |
| 017-02 Imperial Lofts | 1BR-1BA-608sf | \$995 | \$0 | \$995 | \$599 | -\$113 | \$882 | 32 |
| 017-03 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-1BA-1001sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$480 | -\$202 | \$993 | 14 |
| 017-04 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1061sf | \$1,395 | \$0 | \$1,395 | \$393 | -\$289 | \$1,106 | 6 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$621 | \$131 | \$583 | 34 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$558 | \$88 | \$631 | 27 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$524 | \$288 | \$672 | 21 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$523 | \$287 | \$671 | 20 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$453 | \$247 | \$699 | 12 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$452 | \$246 | \$698 | 11 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$383 | \$209 | \$710 | 4 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$381 | \$207 | \$708 | 3 |
| 021-01 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1BR-1BA-595sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$536 | \$128 | \$828 | 23 |
| 021-02 New Brick Historic Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | \$900 | \$0 | \$900 | \$327 | -\$74 | \$826 | 1 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$505 | \$3 | \$631 | 17 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$486 | -\$52 | \$733 | 16 |
| 028-01 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1BR-1BA-353sf | \$320 | \$0 | \$320 | \$684 | \$450 | \$770 | 36 |
| 028-02 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-724sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$569 | \$365 | \$875 | 29 |
| 028-03 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-924sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$529 | \$325 | \$875 | 22 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$540 | \$152 | \$658 | 25 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$426 | \$88 | \$677 | 10 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$390 | -\$64 | \$607 | 5 |
| 032-01 West Wood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-1000sf | \$450 | \$0 | \$450 | \$463 | \$383 | \$833 | 13 |
| 032-02 West Wood Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$475 | \$0 | \$475 | \$407 | \$285 | \$760 | 9 |

Adjusted Rent, Minimum ..... \$583
Adjusted Rent, Maximum ..... \$1,106
Adjusted Rent, Average ..... $\$ 785$
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average ..... $\$ 781$
Rent, Concluded ..... \$735

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 735$ for the 3BR-2BA-1120sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-1.5BA-975sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 3BR-1BA-908sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 3BR-2BA-1528sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 3BR-1BA-1000sf units at Green Folly Apartments (Property \# 013), and the 3BR-2BA-1250sf units at West Wood Apartments (Property \# 032) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-08 | 006-09 | 013-03 | 020-07 | 029-08 | 032-02 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1120sf | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | 3BR-1BA-908sf | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | 3BR-2BA-1250sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Green Folly Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Taylor Lofts Apartments | West Wood Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 1005 Harris Drive | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 340 Ferry Street | 433 Crescent Drive |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.73363 | 36.70416 | 36.69736 | 36.70779 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.92462 | -78.91448 | -78.90334 | -78.91213 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.28 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1966 | 1973 | 1920 | 1975 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2012 | 2016 | 2007 | 2001 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 476-7878 | (434) $575-5318$ | (434) 517-0006 | (434) 454-4301 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | $10-\mathrm{Feb}-21$ | 04-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 65 | 46 | 47 | 22 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 3 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Vacant Units |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$597 | \$639 | \$625 | \$501 | \$670 | \$475 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$597 | \$639 | \$625 | \$501 | \$670 | \$475 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$162 | \$101 -\$61 | \$81 -\$81 | \$81 -\$81 | \$126 -\$36 | \$209 \$47 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$25 | 3 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$75 | 2.00 | 1.50 \$38 | 1.00 \$ $\quad$ 75 | $1.00 \quad \$ 75$ | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 1120 | 975 \$29 | 1000 \$24 | 908 \$42 | 1528 -\$82 | $1250-$ - 26 |
| Visibilility | \$100 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$50 | $3.00-\$ 50$ | 2.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 3.60 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$50 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$50 | 3.00 \$75 | 3.50 \$ ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 3.50 \$50 | 2.25 \$113 |
| Effective Age | \$3.65 | 2019 | 2006 \$47 | 1995 \$88 | 2004 \$55 | 2005 \$51 | 1985 \$124 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$50 | no | yes -\$50 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | no | yes -\$5 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$5 | no \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$50 | yes | no $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ | yes \$0 | no \$50 | no $\quad \$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | some \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| Call Buttons | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$735 | \$745 | \$868 | \$708 | \$607 | \$760 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1375sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1375sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 38 unit types found at 15 properties. We selected the 38 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 38 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & .0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-10 Poplar Creek Homes | 3BR-2BA-1375sf | \$697 | \$0 | \$697 | - | \$0 | \$697 |  |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$623 | \$401 | \$999 | 30 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$589 | \$291 | \$811 | 24 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$454 | \$204 | \$786 | 8 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$395 | \$155 | \$794 | 3 |
| 011-01 Forest View | 2BR-1BA-890sf | \$460 | \$0 | \$460 | \$559 | \$549 | \$1,009 | 18 |
| 013-01 Green Folly Apartments | 1BR-1BA-550sf | \$430 | \$0 | \$430 | \$629 | \$401 | \$831 | 31 |
| 013-02 Green Folly Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$540 | \$0 | \$540 | \$534 | \$336 | \$876 | 16 |
| 013-03 Green Folly Apartments | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | \$625 | \$0 | \$625 | \$458 | \$292 | \$917 | 9 |
| 014-01 Halifax Lofts | 1BR-1BA-483sf | \$950 | \$0 | \$950 | \$755 | \$7 | \$957 | 38 |
| 014-02 Halifax Lofts | 2BR-2BA-815sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$573 | -\$145 | \$1,050 | 19 |
| 015-01 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$614 | \$316 | \$826 | 28 |
| 015-02 Haskins Grove | 2BR-2BA-832sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$598 | \$332 | \$842 | 26 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$742 | \$214 | \$737 | 37 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$663 | \$163 | \$837 | 33 |
| 017-01 Imperial Lofts | 0BR-1BA-573sf | \$895 | \$0 | \$895 | \$692 | -\$40 | \$855 | 35 |
| 017-02 Imperial Lofts | 1BR-1BA-608sf | \$995 | \$0 | \$995 | \$652 | -\$64 | \$931 | 32 |
| 017-03 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-1BA-1001sf | \$1,195 | \$0 | \$1,195 | \$533 | -\$153 | \$1,042 | 15 |
| 017-04 Imperial Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1061sf | \$1,395 | \$0 | \$1,395 | \$446 | -\$240 | \$1,155 | 7 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$674 | \$180 | \$632 | 34 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$611 | \$137 | \$680 | 27 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$577 | \$337 | \$721 | 21 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$576 | \$336 | \$720 | 20 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$506 | \$296 | \$748 | 13 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$505 | \$295 | \$747 | 12 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$436 | \$258 | \$759 | 6 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$434 | \$256 | \$757 | 5 |
| 021-01 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1BR-1BA-595sf | \$700 | \$0 | \$700 | \$589 | \$177 | \$877 | 23 |
| 021-02 New Brick Historic Lofts | 2BR-2BA-1178sf | \$900 | \$0 | \$900 | \$357 | -\$25 | \$875 | 2 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$558 | \$52 | \$680 | 17 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$471 | -\$3 | \$782 | 10 |
| 028-01 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1BR-1BA-353sf | \$320 | \$0 | \$320 | \$737 | \$499 | \$819 | 36 |
| 028-02 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-724sf | \$510 | \$0 | \$510 | \$622 | \$414 | \$924 | 29 |
| 028-03 Tanglewylde Apartments | 2BR-1BA-924sf | \$550 | \$0 | \$550 | \$582 | \$374 | \$924 | 22 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$593 | \$201 | \$707 | 25 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$479 | \$137 | \$726 | 11 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$341 | -\$15 | \$656 | 1 |
| 032-01 West Wood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-1000sf | \$450 | \$0 | \$450 | \$516 | \$432 | \$882 | 14 |
| 032-02 West Wood Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1250sf | \$475 | \$0 | \$475 | \$404 | \$334 | \$809 | 4 |

Adjusted Rent, Minimum ..... \$632
Adjusted Rent, Maximum ..... \$1,155
Adjusted Rent, Average ..... \$834
Adjusted Rent, Modified Average ..... \$830
Rent, Concluded ..... $\$ 785$

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 785$ for the 3BR-2BA-1375sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1528sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 3BR-1.5BA-975sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 3BR-2BA-1250sf units at West Wood Apartments (Property \# 032), the 3BR-1BA908sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), and the 3BR-1BA-1000sf units at Green Folly Apartments (Property \# 013) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-10 | 006-09 | 013-03 | 020-07 | 029-08 | 032-02 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1375sf | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | 3BR-1BA-1000sf | 3BR-1BA-908sf | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | 3BR-2BA-1250sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Green Folly Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Taylor Lofts Apartments | West Wood Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 1005 Harris Drive | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 340 Ferry Street | 433 Crescent Drive |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.73363 | 36.70416 | 36.69736 | 36.70779 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.92462 | -78.91448 | -78.90334 | -78.91213 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.81 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.28 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1966 | 1973 | 1920 | 1975 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2012 | 2016 | 2007 | 2001 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate | Restricted | Restricted | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 476-7878 | (434) $575-5318$ | (434) 517-0006 | (434) 454-4301 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | $10-\mathrm{Feb}-21$ | 04-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 65 | 46 | 47 | 22 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$697 | \$639 | \$625 | \$501 | \$670 | \$475 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$697 | \$639 | \$625 | \$501 | \$670 | \$475 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$164 | \$101 -\$63 | \$81 -\$83 | \$81 -\$83 | \$126 -\$38 | \$209 \$45 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$25 | 3 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$75 | 2.00 | 1.50 \$38 | 1.00 \$ | $1.00 \quad \$ 75$ | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.20 | 1375 | 975 \$80 | 1000 \$75 | 908 \$93 | 1528 -\$31 | 1250 \$ ${ }^{\text {25 }}$ |
| Visibilility | \$100 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$50 | $3.00-\$ 50$ | 2.50 \$0 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 3.10 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 3.60 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$24,297 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.14 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 3.3\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$50 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$50 | 3.00 \$75 | 3.50 \$ ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 3.50 \$50 | 2.25 \$113 |
| Effective Age | \$3.65 | 2019 | 2006 \$47 | 1995 \$88 | 2004 \$55 | 2005 \$51 | 1985 \$124 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Fitness Center | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$2 | no | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$2 | no \$2 | no \$2 |
| Storage | \$50 | no | yes -\$50 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$5 | no | yes -\$5 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$5 | no \$0 |
| W/D Units | \$50 | yes | no $\quad \$ 50$ | no $\quad \$ 50$ | yes \$0 | no \$50 | no $\quad \$ 50$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | some ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| Call Buttons | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 | no \$0 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$785 | \$794 | \$917 | \$757 | \$656 | \$809 |

## Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were an unrestricted property:

| Unrestricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$610 | \$325 | 46.7\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$660 | \$400 | 39.4\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$660 | \$420 | 36.4\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$680 | \$388 | 42.9\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$680 | \$519 | 23.7\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$680 | \$519 | 23.7\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$680 | \$570 | 16.2\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$735 | \$597 | 18.8\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf/ $60 \%$ of $\mathrm{AMI} / 50 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$785 | \$597 | 23.9\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$785 | \$697 | 11.2\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf/60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$785 | \$697 | 11.2\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$716 | \$565 | 21.0\% |

Our analysis suggests an average unrestricted market rent of $\$ 716$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 565$, yielding an unrestricted market rent advantage of 21 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below unrestricted market rents for the area.

We selected a total of 8 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 96 percent.

Occupancy rates for the selected rent comparables are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | 20\% of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | 50\% of AMI | 60\% of AMI | 80\% of AMI | Market |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99\% |
| 2-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93\% |
| 3-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96\% |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |

HUD conducts an annual rent survey to derive Fair Market Rent estimates for an area. Based on this, 2bedroom rents for the area grew from $\$ 571$ to $\$ 714$ since 2010. This represents an average $2.5 \%$ annual increase over this period.

Fair market rent data for the area is found below:

HUD Fair Market Rents

| HUD Fair Market Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rent |  |  | Change |  |
| Year | 1 BR | 2 BR | $3 B R$ | 1 BR | $2 B R$ | - |
| 2007 | $\$ 453$ | $\$ 502$ | $\$ 674$ | - | - | - |
| 2008 | $\$ 483$ | $\$ 535$ | $\$ 719$ | $6.6 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 503$ | $\$ 557$ | $\$ 748$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 516$ | $\$ 571$ | $\$ 767$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 531$ | $\$ 588$ | $\$ 790$ | $2.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 489$ | $\$ 541$ | $\$ 727$ | $-7.9 \%$ | $-8.0 \%$ | $-8.0 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 488$ | $\$ 626$ | $\$ 799$ | $-0.2 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 481$ | $\$ 617$ | $\$ 787$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 501$ | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 820$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 547$ | $\$ 658$ | $\$ 817$ | $9.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 541$ | $\$ 633$ | $\$ 792$ | $-1.1 \%$ | $-3.8 \%$ | $-3.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 562$ | $\$ 684$ | $\$ 858$ | $3.9 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 529$ | $\$ 700$ | $\$ 877$ | $-5.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 890$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

Source: HUD

## Restricted Rent Analysis

In this section we develop a restricted market rent conclusion and an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property units. Our analysis began by selecting comparable rentals to use to develop estimates of market rents for the units at the subject property, assuming that the subject was a restricted property. Our selection of comparables was based on location, age, condition, unit mix and amenities of the comparable properties relative to the subject property.

## Rental Property Inventory, by Unit Type

In the following pages we present an inventory of properties included in this analysis. Rents for these properties, broken out by unit type, were used in selecting the rent comparables used in this analysis.

The properties that we consider to be comparable to the subject property are highlighted in the tables found in the following pages. We attempted to select stabilized restricted rent properties as comparables for purposes of our rent comparability analysis.

Comparables with market rents are used when a sufficient number of restricted rent comparables are not available and when maximum allowable rents for properties with restricted rents exceed prevailing rents in the area. In the event that program rental rates exceed market rental rates, restricted units are, in fact, de facto market rate units.

## Rent Comparables, Restricted Rent, Map

A map showing the location of the properties selected as comparables in this analysis is found in the following pages. Properties identified with red pushpins have market rents, properties identified with yellow pushpins have restricted rents, and properties identified with blue pushpins have subsidized rents. Detailed write-ups for the select rent comparables are found in the Appendix to this report.

## Rent Comparability Grids

Our analysis employed the use of rent comparability grids and resulted in a restricted market rent estimate for each of the subject's unit types. These grids and a narrative describing our rent adjustments are found in the following pages.

Rental Property Inventory, 1-Bedroom Units

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$520 |  |  |  | \$520 |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$384 |  |  | \$607 | \$523 |  |  |  |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$452 |  |  |  | \$452 |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$372 |  |  |  |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$390 |  | \$506 |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$598 |  |  |  |  |  | \$598 |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$582 |  |  |  | \$582 |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$544 |  |  | \$669 | \$674 |  |  |  |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$543 |  |  |  | \$543 |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$452 |  |  |  |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$628 |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$459 | \$589 | \$589 |  |  |  |

Rental Property Inventory, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | \$639 |  |  |  | \$639 |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 018 Lakewood Apartments | 1982 | 2017 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | \$501 |  |  |  |
| 024 River Wynd Apartments | 2002 | na | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  | \$785 |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | \$522 | \$665 | \$670 |  |  |  |



## Rent Adjustments

Our analysis included a property management survey and a technique known as "statistical extraction" to help us identify the best adjustments to use. Statistical extraction, which is similar to the matched pair method, helped us derive the optimal adjustments for our particular data set.

Here's a hypothetical example to illustrate how we derived our rent adjustments. Assume that property managers tell us we should expect rent adjustments ranging from $\$ 0.00$ to $\$ 0.50$ per square foot for a particular market. Next, assume that we select 25 rent comparables with an adjusted sample standard deviation (a statistical measure of variability) of $\$ 100$. We employ a square foot rent adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ for each comparable resulting in an adjusted sample standard deviation of $\$ 90$. This tells us that the assumed adjustment "explained" some of the variability in the data. We repeat this process for adjustments of $\$ 0.20, \$ 0.30, \$ 0.40$ and $\$ 0.50$ which yielded adjusted sample standard deviations of $\$ 80, \$ 70, \$ 65$ and $\$ 75$, respectively. The $\$ 0.40$ square foot adjustment "explains" the most variability because any other adjustment yields a higher adjusted sample standard deviation. Consequently, a $\$ 0.40$ rent adjustment is the best adjustment for purposes of this example. This is a simplified example because we actually adjusted for numerous variables simultaneously in our analysis.

Many adjustments (bedroom count, bathroom count and square footage) are highly interrelated. Statistical extraction helped us unravel the interrelationships between these variables. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is superior a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior a "minus" adjustment is made.

We used the Excel Solver function to help us with our analysis. The Solver function was programmed to minimize the adjusted standard deviation for our data set. We evaluated a total of 62 variables in 22 categories (AC systems, heating systems, technology, bedrooms, bathrooms, square feet, visibility, access, neighborhood, area amenities, condition, effective age, project amenities, elevator, unit amenities, storage, kitchen amenities, parking, laundry, security, on-site management, on-site maintenance) in an effort to identify the mix of adjustments that explained the most variability found in our raw data.

A discussion of our surveyed and concluded adjustments is found below.

## Concessions

The first step in our analysis was to account for any concessions at the subject and the comparables. We considered the advertised street rent and concessions being offered and derived a net nent estimate for each comparable. Net rent, defined as advertised street rent minus monthly concessions, represents the cash rent paid by new residents at the various properties. This is the best measure of market value (prior to any other adjustments) for the comparables included in this analysis.

## Tenant-Paid Utilities

The next step in our analysis was to account for differences in tenant-paid utilities between the comparable properties and the subject. We used the HUD Utility Schedule Model to derive our adjustments. The HUD model includes a current utility rate survey for the area. In the event that the tenant-paid utilities associated with a particular property are higher or lower than the subject, adjustments were made to account for the differences. Adjustments reflect the difference between the tenant-paid utilities for the comparable property minus that for the subject.

## Technology

We accounted for technology (cable and internet access) offered in the rent for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per month for cable; internet access was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Technology |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Cable | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | Concluded |
| Internet | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Bedrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bedrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 70$ per bedroom.

| Bedrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Bedrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 200$ | $\$ 70$ |  |

## Bathrooms

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the number of bathrooms at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 35$ per bathroom.

| Bathrooms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Bathrooms | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 35$ |  |

## Square Feet

Our analysis also included an adjustment for square footage at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.10$ per square foot.

| Square Feet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Square Feet | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 0.10$ |

## Visibility

We also accounted for differences in visibility at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review, we assigned a visibility rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 60$ per point for differences in visibility ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Visibility |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 100$ | Concluded |

## Access

Our analysis also included an adjustment for access at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned an access rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in access ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Access |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | Concluded |  |

## Neighborhood

We considered differences in neighborhood at each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local demographic and crime data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a neighborhood rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in neighborhood ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Neighborhood |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Area Amenities

We also accounted for area amenities for each of the comparables as compared to the subject property in our analysis. Based on our field review and our evaluation of local amenity data (presented earlier in this report), we assigned a local amenity rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per point for differences in amenity ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Area Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Rating | $\$ 0 \quad \$ 100$ | $\$ 0$ |  |

## Median Household Income

Our analysis also included an adjustment for median household income for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.0000$ per dollar of median household income.

| Median Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Med HH Inc | $\$ 0.0000 \quad \$ 0.0000$ | $\$ 0.0000$ |  |

## Average Commute

Our analysis also included an adjustment for average commute for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ per each minute of commute.

| Average Commute |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Avg Commute | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Public Transportation

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the existence of public transportation within walking distance of each of the comparables as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0.00$ for publc transportation.

| Public Transportation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Public Trans | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Personal Crime

Our analysis also included an adjustment for personal crime rates for the area in which each of the comparables is located as compared to the subject property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 0$ per 0.01 percentage points.

| Personal Crime |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Personal Crime | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Condition

Our analysis also included an adjustment for the condition of each comparable as compared to the subject property. Based on our field review, we assigned a condition rating for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our ratings ranged from 1 to 5 with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 10$ per point for differences in condition ratings between the subject and the comparables.

| Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Rating | $\$ 10 \quad \$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |  |

## Effective Age

We considered differences in effective age in our analysis. Based on our field review, we estimated the effective age for each of the properties included in this analysis. Our estimates reflected the condition-adjusted age and remaining useful life of each property. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 1.00$ per year for differences in effective age between the subject and the comparables.

| Effective Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range | Concluded |  |
| Rating | $\$ 1.00 \quad \$ 5.00$ | $\$ 1.00$ |  |

## Project Amenities

We considered the presence of various project amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Project amenities include ball fields, BBQ areas, billiards, business/computer centers, car care centers, community centers, elevators, fitness centers, gazebos, hot tubs/Jacuzzis, horseshoe pits, lakes, libraries, movie theatres, picnic areas, playgrounds, pools, saunas, sports courts and walking trails. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Project Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Ball Field | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| BBQ Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 6$ |
| Billiards | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Bus/Comp Ctrs | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Car Care Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Community Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Elevator | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fitness Center | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Gazebo | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Horseshoe Pit | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Lake | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Library | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Movie Theatre | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Picnic Area | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Playground | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Pool | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sauna | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Sports Court | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Walking Trail | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Unit Amenities

We considered the presence of various unit amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Unit amenities include blinds, ceiling fans, carpeting/upgraded flooring, fireplaces, patios/balconies and storage. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Unit Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Blinds | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Ceiling Fans | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Carpeting | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Fireplace | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Patio/Balcony | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Storage | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 15$ |

## Kitchen Amenities

We considered the presence of various kitchen amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Kitchen amenities include stoves, refrigerators, disposals, dishwashers and microwaves. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  | Concluded |
| Stove | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Refrigerator | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Disposal | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Dishwasher | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Microwave | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Parking

We also adjusted for differing types of parking configurations. We classified parking five ways: (1) Garage, (2)
Covered; (3) Assigned, (4) Open and (5) No parking offered. Statistical extraction resulted in an adjustment of \$50 per month for garages; covered parking was valued at $\$ 20$; assigned parking was valued at $\$ 10$; open parking was valued at $\$ 0$; no parking was valued at $\$ 0$.

| Parking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Garage | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 200$ | Concluded |
| Covered | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 50$ |
| Assigned | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| Open | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| None | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Laundry

We also evaluated differing types of laundry configurations. We classified laundry amenities three ways: (1) Central Laundry, (2) Washer/Dryer Units; and (3) Washer/Dryer Hookups. Our analysis resulted in an adjustment of $\$ 25$ per month for central laundries; washer/dryer units were valued at $\$ 10$; washer/dryer hookups were valued at $\$ 25$.

| Laundry |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Central | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 25$ |
| W/D Units | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 50$ | $\$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 25$ |

## Security

We considered the presence of various security amenities at the comparables as compared to the subject property. Security amenities include call buttons, controlled access, courtesy officers, monitoring, security alarms and security patrols. The survey range and our concluded adjustment for each amenity is summarized below.

| Security |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustment | Survey Range |  |  |
| Call Buttons | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | Concluded |
| Controlled Access | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Courtesy Officer | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Monitoring | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Alarms | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |
| Security Patrols | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 2$ |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-616sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-616sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 19 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 19 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 19 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-01 Poplar Creek Homes | 1BR-1BA-616sf | \$325 | \$0 | \$325 | - | \$0 | \$325 | - |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$265 | -\$53 | \$545 | 10 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$137 | -\$45 | \$475 | 1 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$219 | -\$133 | \$449 | 6 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$298 | -\$212 | \$427 | 13 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$251 | -\$119 | \$405 |  |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$326 | -\$194 | \$480 | 16 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$208 | -\$102 | \$350 | 5 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$282 | -\$176 | \$367 | 12 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$164 | \$10 | \$394 |  |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$163 | \$9 | \$393 | 2 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$231 | -\$61 | \$391 | 7 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$231 | -\$61 | \$391 | 8 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$299 | -\$129 | \$372 | 14 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$300 | -\$130 | \$371 | 15 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$369 | -\$256 | \$372 | 17 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$446 | -\$333 | \$452 | 19 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$195 | -\$87 | \$419 | 4 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$272 | -\$164 | \$425 | 11 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$418 | -\$284 | \$386 | 18 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 350$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 545$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 414$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 410$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 435$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 435$ for the 1BR-1BA-616sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-587sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 1BR-1BA-606sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 1BR-1.5BA-642sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 1BR-1BA-660sf units at Lakewood Apartments (Property \# 018), and the 1BR-1BA-651sf units at Honeytree Apartments (Property $\# 016$ ) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-01 | 006-03 | 016-03 | 018-04 | 020-03 | 029-02 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-616sf | 1BR-1BA-587sf | 1BR-1BA-651sf | 1BR-1BA-660sf | 1BR-1BA-606sf | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Honeytree Apartments | Lakewood Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 2313 Hamilton Boulevard | 500 Meadow Court | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | Clarksville | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 23927 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.71111 | 36.60320 | 36.70416 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.88432 | -78.56357 | -78.91448 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.75 | 20.65 | 0.05 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1986 | 1982 | 1973 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2004 | 2017 | 2016 | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 572-1602 | (434) 374-5000 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 48 | 52 | 46 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$325 | \$520 | \$523 | \$452 | \$384 | \$506 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$325 | \$520 | \$523 | \$452 | \$384 | \$506 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$113 | \$72 -\$41 | \$57 -\$56 | \$61 -\$52 | \$50 -\$63 | \$77 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$70 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.50 - \$18 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 616 | 587 \$3 | 651 -\$4 | 660 -\$4 | 606 \$1 | 642 -\$3 |
| Visibility | \$60 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | $4.00-\$ 90$ | 3.25 | 2.00 \$30 | $3.00-$ - 30 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 4.50 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 2.70 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$41,875 \$0 | \$23,984 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 17.98 \$0 | 23.08 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.8\% \$0 | 3.6\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.00 \$15 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2006 \$13 | 2000 \$19 | 2006 \$13 | 2004 \$15 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$6 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$10 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | \$15 | no | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Disposal | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Microwave | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$25 | no | yes -\$25 | yes -\$25 | yes -\$25 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$435 | \$475 | \$405 | \$350 | \$393 | \$419 |

## Rent Conclusion, 1BR-1BA-871sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 1BR-1BA-871sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 19 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 19 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 19 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\hbar} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & .0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{N}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{Z}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | ¢ |
| Sub-03 Poplar Creek Homes | 1BR-1BA-871sf | \$420 | \$0 | \$420 | - | \$0 | \$420 | - |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$249 | -\$27 | \$571 | 10 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$162 | -\$20 | \$500 | 1 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$202 | -\$108 | \$475 | 4 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$273 | -\$187 | \$452 | 13 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$269 | -\$93 | \$430 | 11 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$305 | -\$169 | \$505 | 16 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$225 | -\$77 | \$375 | 6 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$271 | -\$151 | \$392 | 12 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$189 | \$35 | \$419 | 3 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$189 | \$35 | \$419 | 2 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$229 | -\$35 | \$417 | 8 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$228 | -\$36 | \$416 | 7 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$274 | -\$104 | \$397 | 14 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$275 | -\$105 | \$396 | 15 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$343 | -\$230 | \$398 | 17 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$420 | -\$307 | \$478 | 19 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$215 | -\$62 | \$444 | 5 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$247 | -\$139 | \$450 | 9 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$393 | -\$259 | \$411 | 18 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 375$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 571$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 439$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 435$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 460$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 460$ for the 1BR-1BA-871sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 1BR-1BA-587sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 1BR-1BA-606sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 1BR-1.5BA-642sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 1BR-1BA-660sf units at Lakewood Apartments (Property \# 018), and the 1BR-1BA-651sf units at Honeytree Apartments (Property $\# 016$ ) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-03 | 006-03 | 016-03 | 018-04 | 020-03 | 029-02 |
| Unit Type |  | 1BR-1BA-871sf | 1BR-1BA-587sf | 1BR-1BA-651sf | 1BR-1BA-660sf | 1BR-1BA-606sf | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Honeytree Apartments | Lakewood Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 2313 Hamilton Boulevard | 500 Meadow Court | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | Clarksville | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 23927 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.71111 | 36.60320 | 36.70416 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.88432 | -78.56357 | -78.91448 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 1.75 | 20.65 | 0.05 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1986 | 1982 | 1973 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2004 | 2017 | 2016 | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 572-1602 | (434) 374-5000 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 48 | 52 | 46 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Vacant Units |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$420 | \$520 | \$523 | \$452 | \$384 | \$506 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$420 | \$520 | \$523 | \$452 | \$384 | \$506 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$113 | \$72 -\$41 | \$57 -\$56 | \$61 -\$52 | \$50 -\$63 | \$77 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$70 | 1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 1.00 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.00 \$0 | 1.50 -\$18 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 871 | 587 \$28 | 651 \$22 | 660 \$21 | 606 \$27 | 642 \$23 |
| Visibility | \$60 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 4.00 -\$90 | $3.25-\$ 45$ | 2.00 \$30 | 3.00 -\$30 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 4.50 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 2.70 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$41,875 \$0 | \$23,984 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 17.98 \$0 | 23.08 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.8\% \$0 | 3.6\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.00 \$15 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2006 \$13 | 2000 \$19 | 2006 \$13 | 2004 \$15 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$6 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$10 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | yes $\quad$ - 10 | yes $\quad$ - ${ }^{\text {c }} 10$ | yes - $\quad$ \$10 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$10 | yes | yes $\quad$ \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | \$15 | no | yes -\$15 | no ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no \$2 | yes $\$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$25 | no | yes -\$25 | yes -\$25 | yes -\$25 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$460 | \$500 | \$430 | \$375 | \$419 | \$444 |

## Rent Conclusion, 2BR-1.5BA-950sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 2BR-1.5BA-950sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 19 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 19 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 19 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | sұuәuıssn!p $\forall$ ssodo |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\substack{\check{N} \\ \text { ¢ }}}{ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-07 Poplar Creek Homes | 2BR-1.5BA-950sf | \$570 | \$0 | \$570 |  | \$0 | \$570 | - |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$229 | \$43 | \$641 | 6 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$283 | \$51 | \$571 | 11 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$147 | -\$37 | \$545 | 1 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$203 | -\$117 | \$523 | 3 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$389 | -\$23 | \$500 | 19 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$286 | -\$99 | \$576 | 12 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$346 | -\$7 | \$446 | 17 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$252 | -\$81 | \$463 | 9 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$310 | \$106 | \$490 | 16 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$309 | \$105 | \$489 | 15 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$209 | \$35 | \$487 | 5 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$209 | \$35 | \$487 | 4 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$249 | -\$33 | \$468 | 8 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$248 | -\$34 | \$467 | 7 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$273 | -\$160 | \$468 | 10 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$350 | -\$237 | \$548 | 18 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$301 | \$9 | \$515 | 14 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$177 | -\$69 | \$521 | 2 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$296 | -\$188 | \$482 | 13 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 446$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 641$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 510$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 506$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 570$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 570$ for the 2BR-1.5BA-950sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 2BR-1.5BA-831sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 2BR-1.5BA-965sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 2BR-1BA-757sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 2BR-1BA-825sf units at Cavalier Apartments (Property \# 003), and the 2BR-1BA-800sf units at Lakewood Apartments (Property \# 018) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-07 | 003-03 | 006-06 | 018-06 | 020-05 | 029-05 |
| Unit Type |  | 2BR-1.5BA-950sf | 2BR-1BA-825sf | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | 2BR-1BA-800sf | 2BR-1BA-757sf | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Cavalier Apartments | Country Green Apartments | Lakewood Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 200 Hamilton Boulevard | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 500 Meadow Court | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | Clarksville | South Boston | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 23927 | 24592 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.71326 | 36.73973 | 36.60320 | 36.70416 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.91979 | -78.94451 | -78.56357 | -78.91448 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 0.64 | 2.68 | 20.65 | 0.05 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1981 | 1986 | 1982 | 1973 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2004 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-7702 | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 374-5000 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 12-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 65 | 44 | 52 | 46 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 27 | 13 |
| Vacant Units |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$570 | \$598 | \$582 | \$543 | \$452 | \$589 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$570 | \$598 | \$582 | \$543 | \$452 | \$589 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$138 | \$90 -\$48 | \$96 -\$42 | \$71 -\$67 | \$65 -\$73 | \$102 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$70 | 2 | 2 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 2 \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 1.50 | 1.00 \$18 | 1.50 \$0 | 1.00 \$18 | 1.00 \$18 | 1.50 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 950 | 825 \$13 | 831 \$12 | 800 \$15 | 757 \$ $\quad 19$ | 965 -\$2 |
| Visibility | \$60 | 2.50 | 2.00 \$30 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.25 -\$45 | 2.00 \$30 | $3.00-$ - 30 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.75 \$0 | 3.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 3.10 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 3.80 \$0 | 2.70 \$0 | 2.30 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$24,297 \$0 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$23,984 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 14.14 \$0 | 24.23 \$0 | 23.08 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 3.3\% \$0 | 8.8\% \$0 | 3.6\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 2.50 \$20 | 3.50 \$ ${ }^{\text {10 }}$ | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 1995 \$24 | 2006 \$13 | 2006 \$13 | 2004 \$15 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$6 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | no | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 | \$0 |
| Playground | \$10 | no | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | no \$10 | yes \$0 |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | \$15 | no | no \$0 | yes -\$15 | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Disposal | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | no \$2 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Microwave | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$25 | no | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 25 | yes -\$25 | no \$0 | yes -\$25 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {d0 }}$ | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$570 | \$641 | \$545 | \$463 | \$487 | \$521 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1120sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1120sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 19 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 19 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 19 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\substack{\underset{C}{c} \\ \tilde{\sim} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sub-08 Poplar Creek Homes | 3BR-2BA-1120sf | \$597 | \$0 | \$597 | - | \$0 | \$597 | - |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$358 | \$124 | \$722 | 11 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$411 | \$131 | \$651 | 13 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$275 | \$43 | \$625 | 6 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$186 | -\$36 | \$603 | 1 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$518 | \$58 | \$581 | 19 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$414 | -\$18 | \$656 | 14 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$474 | \$74 | \$526 | 18 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$380 | \$0 | \$543 | 12 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$438 | \$186 | \$570 | 17 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$437 | \$185 | \$569 | 16 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$338 | \$116 | \$568 | 9 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$337 | \$115 | \$567 | 8 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$237 | \$47 | \$548 | 4 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$236 | \$46 | \$547 | 3 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$345 | -\$79 | \$549 | 10 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$270 | -\$157 | \$629 | 5 |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$429 | \$89 | \$595 | 15 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$302 | \$12 | \$601 | 7 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$216 | -\$108 | \$562 | 2 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 526$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 722$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 590$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 586$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 600$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 600$ for the 3BR-2BA-1120sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-1.5BA-975sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 3BR-2BA-1528sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 3BR-1BA-908sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), the 3BR-1BA897sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), and the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at River Wynd Apartments (Property \# 024) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-08 | 006-09 | 020-06 | 020-07 | 024-02 | 029-08 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1120sf | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | 3BR-1BA-897sf | 3BR-1BA-908sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 3BR-2BA-1528sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | River Wynd Apartments | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 600 River Wynd Drive | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | Clarksville | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 23927 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.70416 | 36.70416 | 36.60234 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.91448 | -78.91448 | -78.54746 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 21.55 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1973 | 1973 | 2002 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | na | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 374-9320 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 6 |
| Vacant Units |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$597 | \$639 | \$501 | \$501 | \$785 | \$670 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$597 | \$639 | \$501 | \$501 | \$785 | \$670 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$162 | \$101 -\$61 | \$81 -\$81 | \$81 -\$81 | \$81 -\$81 | \$126 -\$36 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$70 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 2.00 | 1.50 \$18 | 1.00 \$35 | 1.00 \$35 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1120 | 975 \$15 | 897 \$22 | 908 \$21 | 1290 - \$17 | 1528 -\$41 |
| Visibility | \$60 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$30 | 2.00 \$30 | $3.00-$ - 30 | 3.00 -\$30 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,984 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 23.08 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 3.6\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$ ${ }^{\text {10 }}$ | 3.50 \$10 | 2.75 \$18 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2006 \$13 | 2004 \$15 | 2004 \$15 | 2000 \$19 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$6 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$6 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$10 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | \$15 | no | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$15 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Disposal | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Microwave | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$25 | no | yes -\$25 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 25 | yes $\quad$ - 25 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$600 | \$603 | \$548 | \$547 | \$629 | \$562 |

## Rent Conclusion, 3BR-2BA-1375sf

The development of our rent conclusion for the 3BR-2BA-1375sf units is found below.
Our analysis included the evaluation of a total of 19 unit types found at 7 properties. We selected the 19 most comparable units to utilize as rent comparables for purposes of this analysis. A write-up for each of the properties included in this analysis is found in the Appendix.

Our analysis included the adjustments developed in the previous section. Adjustments represent dollar amounts by which the subject property varies from the comparable properties. If the subject is better, a "plus" adjustment is made. If the subject is inferior, a "minus" adjustment is made. In the table below, we summarize the adjustments and the resulting indicated rent for the top 19 comparables included in this analysis. The units that we consider most comparable are highlighted for the reader's reference.

| Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparable |  | Unadjusted Rent |  |  | Adjusted Rent |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\hbar} \\ & \stackrel{1}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & .0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{N}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{Z}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | ¢ |
| Sub-10 Poplar Creek Homes | 3BR-2BA-1375sf | \$697 | \$0 | \$697 | - | \$0 | \$697 | - |
| 003-03 Cavalier Apartments | 2BR-1BA-825sf | \$598 | \$0 | \$598 | \$385 | \$147 | \$745 | 11 |
| 006-03 Country Green Apartments | 1BR-1BA-587sf | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 | \$439 | \$155 | \$675 | 13 |
| 006-06 Country Green Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-831sf | \$582 | \$0 | \$582 | \$303 | \$67 | \$649 | 6 |
| 006-09 Country Green Apartments | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | \$639 | \$0 | \$639 | \$214 | -\$13 | \$627 | 2 |
| 016-03 Honeytree Apartments | 1BR-1BA-651sf | \$523 | \$0 | \$523 | \$545 | \$81 | \$604 | 19 |
| 016-07 Honeytree Apartments | 2BR-1BA-850sf | \$674 | \$0 | \$674 | \$442 | \$6 | \$680 | 14 |
| 018-04 Lakewood Apartments | 1BR-1BA-660sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$502 | \$98 | \$550 | 18 |
| 018-06 Lakewood Apartments | 2BR-1BA-800sf | \$543 | \$0 | \$543 | \$408 | \$24 | \$567 | 12 |
| 020-02 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-598sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$466 | \$210 | \$594 | 17 |
| 020-03 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1BR-1BA-606sf | \$384 | \$0 | \$384 | \$465 | \$209 | \$593 | 16 |
| 020-04 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-752sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$365 | \$139 | \$591 | 9 |
| 020-05 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 2BR-1BA-757sf | \$452 | \$0 | \$452 | \$365 | \$139 | \$591 | 8 |
| 020-06 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-897sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$265 | \$71 | \$572 | 5 |
| 020-07 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 3BR-1BA-908sf | \$501 | \$0 | \$501 | \$264 | \$70 | \$571 | 4 |
| 024-01 River Wynd Apartments | 2BR-2BA-1058sf | \$628 | \$0 | \$628 | \$372 | -\$56 | \$572 | 10 |
| 024-02 River Wynd Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | \$785 | \$0 | \$785 | \$263 | -\$133 | \$652 |  |
| 029-02 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1BR-1.5BA-642sf | \$506 | \$0 | \$506 | \$457 | \$113 | \$619 | 15 |
| 029-05 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 2BR-1.5BA-965sf | \$589 | \$0 | \$589 | \$330 | \$36 | \$625 | 7 |
| 029-08 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 3BR-2BA-1528sf | \$670 | \$0 | \$670 | \$192 | -\$84 | \$586 | 1 |


| Adjusted Rent, Minimum | $\$ 550$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted Rent, Maximum | $\$ 745$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Average | $\$ 614$ |
| Adjusted Rent, Modified Average | $\$ 610$ |
| Rent, Concluded | $\$ 640$ |

Our analysis suggests a rent of $\$ 640$ for the 3BR-2BA-1375sf units at the subject property.
In our opinion, the 3BR-2BA-1528sf units at Taylor Lofts Apartments (Property \# 029), the 3BR-1.5BA-975sf units at Country Green Apartments (Property \# 006), the 3BR-2BA-1290sf units at River Wynd Apartments (Property \# 024), the 3BR-1BA908sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020), and the 3BR-1BA-897sf units at Miller Homes at Poplar Creek (Property \# 020) are the best comparables for the units at the subject property.

| Comparable |  | Subject | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property-Unit Key |  | Sub-10 | 006-09 | 020-06 | 020-07 | 024-02 | 029-08 |
| Unit Type |  | 3BR-2BA-1375sf | 3BR-1.5BA-975sf | 3BR-1BA-897sf | 3BR-1BA-908sf | 3BR-2BA-1290sf | 3BR-2BA-1528sf |
| Property Name |  | Poplar Creek Homes | Country Green Apartments | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | River Wynd Apartments | Taylor Lofts Apartments |
| Address |  | Poplar Creek Street | 1000 E Bishop Drive | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 130 Poplar Creek Street | 600 River Wynd Drive | 340 Ferry Street |
| City |  | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | South Boston | Clarksville | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 24592 | 23927 | 24592 |
| Latitude |  | 36.70330 | 36.73973 | 36.70416 | 36.70416 | 36.60234 | 36.69736 |
| Longitude |  | -78.91429 | -78.94451 | -78.91448 | -78.91448 | -78.54746 | -78.90334 |
| Miles to Subject |  | 0.00 | 2.68 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 21.55 | 0.71 |
| Year Built |  | 2021 | 1986 | 1973 | 1973 | 2002 | 1920 |
| Year Rehab |  | na | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | na | 2007 |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted | Restricted |
| Project Type |  | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family | Family |
| Project Status |  | Prop Const | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized | Stabilized |
| Phone |  | na | (434) 575-0230 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 575-5318 | (434) 374-9320 | (434) 517-0006 |
| Effective Date |  | 05-Mar-21 | 11-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 10-Feb-21 | 04-Mar-21 | 04-Mar-21 |
| Project Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 32 | 44 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 47 |
| Vacant Units |  | 32 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Unit Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 6 |
| Vacant Units |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vacancy Rate |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% |
| Street Rent |  | \$697 | \$639 | \$501 | \$501 | \$785 | \$670 |
| Concessions |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Rent |  | \$697 | \$639 | \$501 | \$501 | \$785 | \$670 |
|  | Adj | Data | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj | Data Adj |
| Tenant-Paid Utilities | TPU | \$164 | \$101 -\$63 | \$81 -\$83 | \$81 -\$83 | \$81 - 883 | \$126 -\$38 |
| Cable | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Internet | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bedrooms | \$70 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | 3 \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bathrooms | \$35 | 2.00 | 1.50 \$18 | 1.00 \$35 | 1.00 \$35 | 2.00 \$0 | 2.00 \$0 |
| Square Feet | \$0.10 | 1375 | 975 \$40 | 897 \$48 | 908 \$47 | 1290 \$9 | 1528 -\$15 |
| Visibility | \$60 | 2.50 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.00 \$30 | 2.00 \$30 | $3.00-$ - 30 | $3.00-$ - 30 |
| Access | \$0 | 2.50 | 2.75 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 2.50 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 | 3.00 \$0 |
| Neighborhood | \$0 | 4.30 | 2.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 | 4.00 \$0 | 4.30 \$0 |
| Area Amenities | \$0 | 4.10 | 2.70 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 4.20 \$0 | 2.20 \$0 | 3.80 \$0 |
| Median HH Income | \$0.0000 | \$23,480 | \$29,583 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 | \$23,984 \$0 | \$23,480 \$0 |
| Average Commute | \$0 | 14.91 | 24.23 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 | 23.08 \$0 | 14.91 \$0 |
| Public Transportation | \$0 | na | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 | na \$0 |
| Personal Crime | \$0 | 2.1\% | 8.8\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 | 3.6\% \$0 | 2.1\% \$0 |
| Condition | \$10 | 4.50 | 3.50 \$10 | 3.50 \$ ${ }^{\text {10 }}$ | 3.50 \$10 | 2.75 \$18 | 3.50 \$10 |
| Effective Age | \$1.00 | 2019 | 2006 \$13 | 2004 \$15 | 2004 \$15 | 2000 \$19 | 2005 \$14 |
| Ball Field | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| BBQ Area | \$6 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$6 | no \$0 |
| Billiards | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Bus/Comp Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Car Care Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Community Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 | yes -\$2 |
| Elevator | \$10 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$10 |
| Fitness Center | \$2 | no | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Gazebo | \$2 | no | \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Horseshoe Pit | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | no \$0 |
| Lake | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Library | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Movie Theatre | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Picnic Area | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 | no \$0 |
| Playground | \$10 | no | yes - ${ }^{\text {d }} 10$ | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | yes -\$10 | no \$0 |
| Pool | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sauna | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Sports Court | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Walking Trail | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Blinds | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Ceiling Fans | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Carpeting | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Fireplace | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Patio/Balcony | \$10 | yes | yes \$0 | no \$10 | no \$10 | yes \$0 | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Storage | \$15 | no | yes -\$15 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$15 | no \$0 |
| Stove | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 |
| Refrigerator | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Disposal | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes -\$2 |
| Dishwasher | \$2 | yes | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| Microwave | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Garage | \$50 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Covered | \$20 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Assigned | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no \$10 | no \$10 | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| Open | \$0 | some | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | yes $\quad \$ 0$ |
| None | \$0 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Central | \$25 | no | yes -\$25 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 25 | yes $\quad$ - 25 |
| W/D Units | \$10 | yes | no $\quad \$ 10$ | yes \$0 | yes $\quad \$ 0$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ | no $\quad \$ 10$ |
| W/D Hookups | \$25 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes | no \$0 |
| Call Buttons | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Controlled Access | \$10 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | yes $\quad$ - 10 |
| Courtesy Officer | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Monitoring | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Alarms | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Security Patrols | \$2 | no | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 | no \$0 |
| Indicated Rent |  | \$640 | \$627 | \$572 | \$571 | \$652 | \$586 |

## Restricted Market Rent Conclusion

Based on our evaluation of the rents at the select comparable properties, and considering the location, quality and amenities of the subject property, we conclude the following market rents for the subject property units, assuming that the subject were a restricted property:

| Restricted Market Rent Conclusion |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Market |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$435 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$460 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$460 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$570 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$570 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$570 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$570 |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$600 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$640 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$640 |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$640 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$582 |

Our analysis suggests an average restricted market rent of $\$ 582$ for the subject property.
We selected a total of 7 properties as comparables for purposes of our analysis. The average occupancy at the select rent comparables currently stands at 97 percent.

The occupancy rate of the selected rent compatrables is broken out in the tables below:

| Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Occupancy rates for all stabilized market area properties are broken out below:

| Occupancy Rate, Stabilized Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subsidized | $20 \%$ of AMI | $30 \%$ of AMI | $40 \%$ of AMI | $50 \%$ of AMI | $60 \%$ of AMI | $80 \%$ of AMI |
| 0-Bedroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2-Bedroom | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |
| 3-Bedroom | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 4-Bedroom | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Rents at rent restricted properties tend to move with median household incomes for an area. Given HUD's published median incomes, we were able to derive 1, 2 and 3 -bedroom $60 \%$ of AMI rent limits for the subject's primary market area. According to our analysis, maximum 2-bedroom rents for the area grew from $\$ 670$ to $\$ 795$ since 2010. This represents an average $1.9 \%$ annual increase over this period.

Maximum tax credit rent data for the area is found below:

| Maximum Tax Credit Rents, 60\% of AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3 3RR | 1 1BR | Change |  |
| 2007 | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 639$ | $\$ 738$ | - | - | - |
| 2008 | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 651$ | $\$ 752$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 556$ | $\$ 667$ | $\$ 771$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 558$ | $\$ 670$ | $\$ 774$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 531$ | $\$ 637$ | $\$ 736$ | $-4.8 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ | $-4.9 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 539$ | $\$ 647$ | $\$ 747$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 639$ | $\$ 738$ | $-1.3 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 541$ | $\$ 649$ | $\$ 750$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 533$ | $\$ 640$ | $\$ 739$ | $-1.5 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 520$ | $\$ 624$ | $\$ 721$ | $-2.4 \%$ | $-2.5 \%$ | $-2.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 548$ | $\$ 657$ | $\$ 760$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 583$ | $\$ 699$ | $\$ 808$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 628$ | $\$ 753$ | $\$ 870$ | $7.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 663$ | $\$ 795$ | $\$ 919$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |

## Achievable Rent Conclusion

The next step in our analysis is to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property.

Our analysis begins by establishing the applicable program rent limits for the subject property. Program rent limits include any applicable LIHTC and FMR rent limits. LIHTC rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from tax credit and/or bond financing. The LIHTC rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| LIHTC Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$467 | \$113 | \$354 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$583 | \$113 | \$470 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$700 | \$113 | \$587 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / $40 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$561 | \$138 | \$423 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$701 | \$138 | \$563 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$701 | \$138 | \$563 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$841 | \$138 | \$703 |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$810 | \$162 | \$648 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$810 | \$164 | \$646 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$972 | \$164 | \$808 |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$972 | \$164 | \$808 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$794 | \$146 | \$648 |

Our analysis suggests an average net LIHTC rent limit of $\$ 648$ for 32 applicable units at the subject property.
FMR rent limits typically apply to units benefitting from HOME funds. The FMR rent limits for applicable units at the subject property follow:

| FMR Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Gross Rent | Utilities | Net Rent |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 1BR-1BA-871 sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / $40 \%$ of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf/ $60 \%$ of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | - | - | - | - |
| Total / Average |  |  | - | - | - | - |

HOME funding is not proposed for the subject property.

Units benefitting exclusively from tax credits and/or bond financing are subject to LIHTC rent limits. Units benefitting from HOME funds in addition to tax credit and/or bond financing are subject to the lesser of LIHTC rent limits or FMR rent limits. Units benefitting from project-based rental assistance are normally limited to unrestricted market rent. With these parameters in mind, the following table sets forth the concluded program rent limits for applicable units at the subject property:

| Program Rent Limits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | LIHTC | FMR | Market | Program |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$354 | - | - | \$354 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$470 | - | - | \$470 |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$587 | - | - | \$587 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / $40 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$423 | - | - | \$423 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$563 | - | - | \$563 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$563 | - | - | \$563 |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$703 | - | - | \$703 |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$648 | - | - | \$648 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$646 | - | - | \$646 |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$808 | - | - | \$808 |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$808 | - | - | \$808 |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$648 | - | - | \$648 |

Our analysis suggests an average program rent limit of $\$ 648$ for 32 applicable units at the subject property.
Now that we have established program rent limits, we are in a position to develop an achievable rent conclusion for the subject property. Achievable rents represent the absolute highest rent permissible for the area, considering unrestricted and restricted market rents, program rent limits, and any other applicable rent restrictions on the subject property. The following table summarizes our findings:

| Achievable Rents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type / Income Limit / Rent Limit | HOME | Subsidized | Units | Program | Unrestricted | Restricted | Achievable | Proposed | Advantage |
| 1BR-1BA-616sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$354 | \$610 | \$435 | \$354 | \$325 | 8.2\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / $60 \%$ of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$470 | \$660 | \$460 | \$460 | \$400 | 13.0\% |
| 1BR-1BA-871sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$587 | \$660 | \$460 | \$460 | \$420 | 8.7\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 40\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$423 | \$680 | \$570 | \$423 | \$388 | 8.3\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-945sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 4 | \$563 | \$680 | \$570 | \$563 | \$519 | 7.8\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$563 | \$680 | \$570 | \$563 | \$519 | 7.8\% |
| 2BR-1.5BA-950sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 5 | \$703 | \$680 | \$570 | \$570 | \$570 | 0.0\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1120sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 3 | \$648 | \$735 | \$600 | \$600 | \$597 | 0.5\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 50\% of AMI | No | No | 1 | \$646 | \$785 | \$640 | \$640 | \$597 | 6.7\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1375sf / 60\% of AMI / 60\% of AMI | No | No | 2 | \$808 | \$785 | \$640 | \$640 | \$697 | -8.9\% |
| 3BR-2BA-1201sf / 60\% of AMI / $60 \%$ of AMI | No | No | 8 | \$808 | \$785 | \$640 | \$640 | \$697 | -8.9\% |
| Total / Average |  |  | 32 | \$648 | \$716 | \$582 | \$566 | \$565 | 0.1\% |

Our analysis suggests an average achievable rent of $\$ 566$ for the subject property. This is compared with an average proposed rent of $\$ 565$, yielding an achievable rent advantage of 0.1 percent. Overall, the subject property appears to be priced at or below achievable rents for the area (although one or more units appear to exceed achievable rents).

## DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the recommended demand methodology promulgated by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as the number of income-qualified renter households (by household size and unit type) that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of the developer's proposed rents or achievable rents.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2021 ~ \$ ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min |  | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 730 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,061 | 246 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 | 1,608 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,288 | 517 | 233 | 139 | 74 | 34 | 2,285 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,400 | 606 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 | 2,639 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,499 | 661 | 350 | 203 | 143 | 69 | 2,925 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,547 | 730 | 421 | 213 | 154 | 73 | 3,137 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,590 | 792 | 464 | 234 | 159 | 76 | 3,314 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,642 | 871 | 551 | 311 | 165 | 76 | 3,617 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,678 | 914 | 558 | 320 | 168 | 77 | 3,715 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,704 | 948 | 569 | 325 | 172 | 78 | 3,796 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,720 | 960 | 581 | 342 | 176 | 78 | 3,858 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,733 | 982 | 584 | 346 | 179 | 79 | 3,904 |

Source: ESRI \& Ribbon Demographics
Our analysis includes an estimate of demand along with capture rate and penetration rate estimates. Capture rates were computed two ways: (1) On a gross basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand) and (2) On a net basis (the number of proposed units divided by qualified demand minus competing \& pipeline units). Penetration rates are defined as the number of proposed units plus competing \& pipeline units divided by incomequalified demand. In the following pages we provide detailed listings of competing \& pipeline units in the market area broken by unit type.

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 1-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 1 |  |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 033 Westside Village Apartments | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Willow Oaks Apartments | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  | 2 | 5 | 13 |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 2-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 18 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 20 |  |  | 14 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | 2 | 15 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 033 Westside Village Apartments | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Willow Oaks Apartments | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 161 |  | 2 | 29 | 55 |  | 1 | 158 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 |

Competing \& Pipeline Units, 3-Bedroom Units

| Overview |  |  |  |  |  | Total Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacant Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Property Name | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Status | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt | Sub | 30\% | 40\% | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | 80\% | Mkt |
| 003 Cavalier Apartments | 1981 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 006 Country Green Apartments | 1986 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized | 11 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 008 Crescent Apartments | 1959 | 2008 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 011 Forest View | 1958 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 013 Green Folly Apartments | 1966 | 2012 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 014 Halifax Lofts | 1939 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 Haskins Grove | 1958 | 2014 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 016 Honeytree Apartments | 1986 | 2004 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 017 Imperial Lofts | 1890 | 2018 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 020 Miller Homes at Poplar Creek | 1973 | 2016 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 021 New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 028 Tanglewylde Apartments | 1959 | 2007 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029 Taylor Lofts Apartments | 1920 | 2007 | Restricted | Family | Stabilized |  |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 032 West Wood Apartments | 1975 | 2001 | Market Rate | Family | Stabilized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 033 Westside Village Apartments | 1975 | na | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 034 Willow Oaks Apartments | 1982 | 2013 | Subsidized | Family | Stabilized | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  | 1 | 6 | 15 |  |  | 19 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 4 units, 4 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 4 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 4 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 325$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 113$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 438$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,251$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 15,017$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,061 | 246 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,288 | 517 | 233 | 139 | 74 | 34 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,400 | 606 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,499 | 661 | 350 | 203 | 143 | 69 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,547 | 730 | 421 | 213 | 154 | 73 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,590 | 792 | 464 | 234 | 159 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,642 | 871 | 551 | 311 | 165 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,678 | 914 | 558 | 320 | 168 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,704 | 948 | 569 | 325 | 172 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,720 | 960 | 581 | 342 | 176 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,733 | 982 | 584 | 346 | 179 | 79 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,197 | 503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 778 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 420 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
761
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 761 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 14 units, 14 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 14 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 14 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 388$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 138$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 526$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,503$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 18,034$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,061 | 246 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,288 | 517 | 233 | 139 | 74 | 34 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,400 | 606 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,499 | 661 | 350 | 203 | 143 | 69 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,547 | 730 | 421 | 213 | 154 | 73 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,590 | 792 | 464 | 234 | 159 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,642 | 871 | 551 | 311 | 165 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,678 | 914 | 558 | 320 | 168 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,704 | 948 | 569 | 325 | 172 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,720 | 960 | 581 | 342 | 176 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,733 | 982 | 584 | 346 | 179 | 79 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,197 | 503 | 253 | 159 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 948 | 212 | 138 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 250 | 291 | 115 | 66 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate 722
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 722 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 14 units, 14 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2023. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 5 -person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 14 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 14 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 597$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 162$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 759$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,169$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 26,023$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,061 | 246 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,288 | 517 | 233 | 139 | 74 | 34 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,400 | 606 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,499 | 661 | 350 | 203 | 143 | 69 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,547 | 730 | 421 | 213 | 154 | 73 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,590 | 792 | 464 | 234 | 159 | 76 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,642 | 871 | 551 | 311 | 165 | 76 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,678 | 914 | 558 | 320 | 168 | 77 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,704 | 948 | 569 | 325 | 172 | 78 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,720 | 960 | 581 | 342 | 176 | 78 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,733 | 982 | 584 | 346 | 179 | 79 |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,197 | 503 | 253 | 159 | 119 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,197 | 409 | 202 | 123 | 58 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 95 | 51 | 37 | 61 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
243
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 243 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 60\% of AMI at the subject property.


Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,004 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Project-Level

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a project-level demand estimate for the subject property.

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,061 | 246 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,288 | 517 | 233 | 139 | 74 | 34 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,400 | 606 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,499 | 661 | 350 | 203 | 143 | 69 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,547 | 730 | 421 | 213 | 154 | 73 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,590 | 792 | 464 | 234 | 159 | 76 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,642 | 871 | 551 | 311 | 165 | 76 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,678 | 914 | 558 | 320 | 168 | 77 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,704 | 948 | 569 | 325 | 172 | 78 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,720 | 960 | 581 | 342 | 176 | 78 |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,733 | 982 | 584 | 346 | 179 | 79 |  |

Demand Estimate, Project-Level

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Income, Subsidized | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 60\% of AMI | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | \$33,660 | \$37,380 | \$40,380 | - |
| Maximum Income, 70\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Income, Market Rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum Allowable Income | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | \$33,660 | \$37,380 | \$40,380 | - |
| Minimum Income, Subsidized | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 30\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 40\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 50\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 60\% of AMI | \$15,017 | \$15,017 | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | \$26,023 | - |
| Minimum Income, 70\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, 80\% of AMI | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Income, Market Rate | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum Qualified Income | \$15,017 | \$15,017 | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | \$26,023 | - |
| HH Below Upper Income | 1,197 | 503 | 253 | 159 | 119 | 0 |
| HH Below Lower Income | 778 | 162 | 138 | 93 | 58 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 420 | 341 | 115 | 66 | 61 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
1,004

Our analysis suggests project-level demand for a total of 1,004 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.

## Capture Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the capture rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 32 |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 32 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 761 |  |  |  | 761 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 722 |  |  |  | 722 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 243 |  |  |  | 243 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 1,004 |  |  |  | 1,004 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by gross demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 25 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | $1.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | $5.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | $3.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by
unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units from gross demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property units. As described earlier, unit-level net demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level net demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level net demand may not add up to project-level net demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level net demand.

| Net Demand (Gross Demand - Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 760 |  |  |  | 760 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 721 |  |  |  | 721 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 242 |  |  |  | 242 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 1,001 |  |  |  | 1,001 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the number of subject property units divided by net demand. A capture rate in excess of 20 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | $1.9 \%$ |  |  |  | $1.9 \%$ |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | $5.8 \%$ |  |  |  | $5.8 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | $3.2 \%$ |  |  |  | $3.2 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level capture rate suggests an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit level capture rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Penetration Rates

In this section, we summarize our demand conclusions and estimate the penetration rate for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.
Subject Property Units (Total)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 32 |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  | 32 |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Unit-level demand estimates are found in the body of the chart found below; project-level demand estimates are found in the column and row totals.

Please note: Because of income-band overlap, unit-level demand may not add up to project-level demand. The overlap, which was quantified in the demand estimates presented earlier, has been accounted for in our estimates of project-level demand.

| Gross Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 761 |  |  |  | 761 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 722 |  |  |  | 722 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 243 |  |  |  | 243 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 1,004 |  |  |  | 1,004 |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of competing \& pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to derive our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  | 55 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 15 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 83 |  |  |  | 83 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute inclusive supply for the market area by unit/income type. Inclusive
supply will be taken into account in our penetration rate estimate for the subject property. For purposes of this estimate, inclusive supply consists of vacant subject property units plus competing \& pipeline units.

| Inclusive Supply (Subject Property Units + Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |  | 69 |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  |  | 29 |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |  | 115 |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the penetration rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, penetration rate is defined as inclusive supply divided by gross demand. A penetration rate in excess of 100 percent is considered excessive using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Penetration Rates (Inclusive Supply / Gross Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt | Tot |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | $2.2 \%$ |  |  |  | $2.2 \%$ |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | $9.6 \%$ |  |  |  | $9.6 \%$ |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | $11.9 \%$ |  |  |  | $11.9 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | $11.5 \%$ |  |  |  | $11.5 \%$ |

In our opinion, the estimated project-level penetration rate suggest an appropriate number of units for the subject property. The unit-level penetration rates suggest an appropriate mix of units for the subject property.

## Absorption Period

In this section, we estimate the absorption period for the subject property. Our analysis begins by summarizing the estimated number of vacant subject property units on the date of market entry.

| Subject Property Units (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Subject Property Units (Vacant at Market Entry)

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to summarize the demand conclusions derived previously. For purposes of this analysis, we define demand as age- and income- qualified renter households for each of the unit types proposed at the subject property. Our analysis uses the unit-level demand estimates derived previously.

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 761 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 722 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 243 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to apply an annual growth \& movership rate to derive an annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

| Annual Growth \& Movership Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Growth | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Movership | $24.6 \%$ |
| Total | $24.5 \%$ |


| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 187 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 177 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to account for secondary market area migration in our annual rental household growth \& movership estimate for the market area. Our estimates are found in the tables below.

Secondary Market Area
20\%

| Growth \& Movership Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 233 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 221 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate fair share, or the proportion of growth and movership that we would expect the subject property to capture. The fair share analysis is used extensively in single-family, multifamily, commercial, and retail market studies. The books entitled Market Analysis for Valuation Appraisals (1994, Appraisal Institute) and Market Analysis and Highest \& Best Use (2005, Appraisal institute) provide a good overview of this technique and its application to a variety of property types.

Based on our review of the subject and competing properties, along with their relative conditions/locations, we arrive at the following fair share estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Competing Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1BR | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| 2BR | 5 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 9 |
| 3BR | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 4BR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Fair Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | $40.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | $40.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | $40.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the concluded fair share estimates to annual growth \& movership and dividing by twelve yields the following monthly absorption rate estimates for the various unit/income types at the subject property.

| Monthly Absorption Rate Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to estimate stabilized occupancy by unit/income type for the subject property. These estimates, which were based on data previously presented in the supply analysis and rent comparability analysis sections of this report, are found below.

| Rental Property Inventory, Confirmed, Inside Market Area, Family, Stabilized Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| 1BR | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ |  |  | $99 \%$ |
| 2BR | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 3BR | $98 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| 4BR | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Occupancy Rate, Select Comparables

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  | $99 \%$ |
| 2BR | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| 3BR | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $93 \%$ |  |  | $100 \%$ |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Concluded Stabilized Occupancy Rate

|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OBR |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applying the stabilized occupancy rate estimates to the number of vacant subject property units at market entry, yields the number of occupied units by unit/income type at stabilization as set forth below.

| Occupied Units at Stabilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dividing the number of occupied units at stabilization by the monthly absorption rate yields an absorption period estimate by unit/income type for the various units at the subject property. Underwriters often utilize absorption period limits of 12 to 18 months for projects similar to the subject property. Our absorption period estimates are found below.

| Absorption Period (Months to Stabilization) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| OBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2BR |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 3BR |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 4BR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests that the subject property will stabilize at 95 percent occupancy. We estimate 5 months of absorption and an average absorption rate of 5.7 units per month for this project. In our opinion, the absorption period suggests an appropriate number and mix of units for the subject property.

Absorption rates for multifamily properties depend on a variety of factors: (1) The competitive environment in which the property resides; (2) The pricing of the subject property units relative to competing units, (3) The presence of rent or income restrictions at the subject property; and (4) The availability of any rent concessions or rental assistance at the subject property. Subsidized properties normally lease up at a rate of 15-20 units per month. Unsubsidized properties with rent and income restrictions tyically fill at a rate of 5-10 units per month. Market rate properties normally lease up at a rate of 10-15 units per month.

As part of our analysis, we inquired about the absorption history for every property we surveyed. The following list summarizes our findings:

| Key | Project | Built | Renovated | Rent Type | Occ Type | Tot Units | Ab Rte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021 | New Brick Historic Lofts | 1890 | 2015 | Market Rate | Family | 27 | 3.4 |

## Absorption Analysis

In this section, we analyze the anticipated lease up for the subject property. We begin our analysis by taking the the absorption period conclusions from the previous section and restating them graphically as illustrated below.

Projected Lease Up


Our analysis suggests that the subject property will achieve 70 percent occupancy in 1 months, 80 percent occupancy in 3 months, and 90 percent occupancy in 4 months. We anticipate that the subject property will stabilize at 95 percent occupancy in 5 months.

It is important to note that this analysis does not account for pent-up demand, pre-leasing efforts or rent concessions. In our opinion, an effective pre-leasing effort could result in a month-for-month reduction in the estimated absorption period for this project. In addition, any concessions or rent subsidies not accounted for already in this analysis could cut capture rates and absorption periods significantly.

## Sensitivity Analysis

We also explored the relationship between rent level, capture rates, penetration rates, and absorption period. For purposes of this analysis, we forecasted demand and fill rates at $75 \%, 80 \%, 85 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%$ and $100 \%$ of achievable rent (derived earlier in this report). Our analysis is summarized below:


Our analysis suggests the following relationship between rent levels and fill rates: At the developer's proposed rent we anticipate a 5 -month absorption period; at $100 \%$ of achievable rent we anticipate a 5 -month absorption period; at $75 \%$ of achievable rent we anticipate a 2-month absorption period.

## VHDA DEMAND ANALYSIS

## Overview

In this section we evaluate demand for the subject property using the VHDA demand methodology. For purposes of this analysis, we define VHDA demand as the number of new income-qualified and existing income-qualified overburdened and substandard renter households that would qualify to live at the subject property at the lesser of achievable rents or the sponsor's proposed rents. Our analysis accounts for any rent subsidies for the subject property.

Our analysis begins by developing a breakdown of the number of renter households, by income, by size as of the date of market entry for this development. This breakdown, which utilizes demographic data presented earlier in this report, is presented below:

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  | $2021 ~ \$ ~$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min |  | Max | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person | Total |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 | 731 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,062 | 247 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 | 1,609 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,290 | 517 | 234 | 139 | 74 | 34 | 2,287 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,402 | 607 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 | 2,641 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,500 | 662 | 350 | 204 | 143 | 69 | 2,928 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,548 | 731 | 421 | 214 | 154 | 73 | 3,140 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,591 | 793 | 464 | 235 | 159 | 76 | 3,317 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,644 | 872 | 552 | 311 | 165 | 76 | 3,620 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,680 | 915 | 559 | 320 | 168 | 77 | 3,719 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,706 | 949 | 570 | 325 | 172 | 78 | 3,800 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,722 | 961 | 582 | 342 | 177 | 78 | 3,862 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 | 3,908 |

Source: ESRI \& Ribbon Demographics

## Demand Estimate, 1-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 1-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 4 units, 4 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 2-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 1-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 4 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 4 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 325$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 113$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 438$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 1,251$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 15,017$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,062 | 247 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,290 | 517 | 234 | 139 | 74 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,402 | 607 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,500 | 662 | 350 | 204 | 143 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,548 | 731 | 421 | 214 | 154 | 73 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,591 | 793 | 464 | 235 | 159 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,644 | 872 | 552 | 311 | 165 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,680 | 915 | 559 | 320 | 168 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,706 | 949 | 570 | 325 | 172 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,722 | 961 | 582 | 342 | 177 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Qualified | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,199 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 778 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 421 | 341 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
762
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 762 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 2-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 2-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 14 units, 14 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 4-person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 2-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 14 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 14 |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent |  |
| Utilities | $\$ 388$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 138$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $\$ 526$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $35 \%$ |
| Months/Year | $\$ 1,503$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | 12 |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,062 | 247 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,290 | 517 | 234 | 139 | 74 | 34 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,402 | 607 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,500 | 662 | 350 | 204 | 143 | 69 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,548 | 731 | 421 | 214 | 154 | 73 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,591 | 793 | 464 | 235 | 159 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,644 | 872 | 552 | 311 | 165 | 76 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,680 | 915 | 559 | 320 | 168 | 77 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,706 | 949 | 570 | 325 | 172 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,722 | 961 | 582 | 342 | 177 | 78 |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,199 | 504 | 254 | 159 | 0 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 948 | 213 | 138 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 250 | 290 | 116 | 66 | 0 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
723
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 723 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, 3-Bedroom, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we estimate demand for the 3-Bedroom / Restricted / $60 \%$ of AMI units at the subject property. Our analysis assumes a total of 14 units, 14 of which are anticipated to be vacant on market entry in 2021. Our analysis assumes a $35 \%$ income qualification ratio and 5 -person households.

| Unit Details |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Target Population | Family Households |
| Unit Type | 3-Bedroom |
| Rent Type | Restricted |
| Income Limit | $60 \%$ of AMI |
| Total Units | 14 |
| Vacant Units at Market Entry | 14 |
|  |  |
| Minimum Qualified Income |  |
| Net Rent | $\$ 597$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 162$ |
| Gross Rent | $\$ 759$ |
| Income Qualification Ratio | $35 \%$ |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 2,169$ |
| Months/Year | 12 |
| Minimum Qualified Income | $\$ 26,023$ |

Renter Households, by Income, by Size

|  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2021 | $\$$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 9,999$ | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 19,999$ | 1,062 | 247 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 29,999$ | 1,290 | 517 | 234 | 139 | 74 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 39,999$ | 1,402 | 607 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 49,999$ | 1,500 | 662 | 350 | 204 | 143 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 59,999$ | 1,548 | 731 | 421 | 214 | 154 | 73 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 74,999$ | 1,591 | 793 | 464 | 235 | 159 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 99,999$ | 1,644 | 872 | 552 | 311 | 165 | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 124,999$ | 1,680 | 915 | 559 | 320 | 168 | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 149,999$ | 1,706 | 949 | 570 | 325 | 172 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | to | $\$ 199,999$ | 1,722 | 961 | 582 | 342 | 177 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0$ | or | more | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 |  |  |  |  |

Maximum Allowable Income

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | $6+$ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Income | $\$ 26,160$ | $\$ 29,880$ | $\$ 33,660$ | $\$ 37,380$ | $\$ 40,380$ | $\$ 42,180$ |


| Size Qualified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Size Qualified | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |

Demand Estimate

|  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH Below Maximum Income | 1,199 | 504 | 254 | 159 | 119 | 0 |
| HH Below Minimum Income | 1,199 | 409 | 202 | 123 | 58 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 95 | 51 | 37 | 61 | 0 |

Demand Estimate
243
Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 243 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This unit-level demand estimate does not account for income band overlap with other units. Projectlevel demand estimates taking these factors into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI

In this section we account for income-band overlap and develop a demand estimate for the units restricted to 60\% of AMI at the subject property.

| Renter Households, by Income, by Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2021 | \$ | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| \$0 | to | \$9,999 | 494 | 78 | 68 | 72 | 14 | 5 |
| \$0 | to | \$19,999 | 1,062 | 247 | 155 | 98 | 35 | 13 |
| \$0 | to | \$29,999 | 1,290 | 517 | 234 | 139 | 74 | 34 |
| \$0 | to | \$39,999 | 1,402 | 607 | 290 | 168 | 119 | 56 |
| \$0 | to | \$49,999 | 1,500 | 662 | 350 | 204 | 143 | 69 |
| \$0 | to | \$59,999 | 1,548 | 731 | 421 | 214 | 154 | 73 |
| \$0 | to | \$74,999 | 1,591 | 793 | 464 | 235 | 159 | 76 |
| \$0 | to | \$99,999 | 1,644 | 872 | 552 | 311 | 165 | 76 |
| \$0 | to | \$124,999 | 1,680 | 915 | 559 | 320 | 168 | 77 |
| \$0 | to | \$149,999 | 1,706 | 949 | 570 | 325 | 172 | 78 |
| \$0 | to | \$199,999 | 1,722 | 961 | 582 | 342 | 177 | 78 |
| \$0 | or | more | 1,735 | 983 | 585 | 346 | 180 | 79 |
| Demand Estimate, Restricted, 60\% of AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 Person | 2 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 5 Person | 6+ Person |
| Maximum | me, OB |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 1B |  | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 2B |  | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | \$33,660 | \$37,380 | - | - |
| Maximum | me, 3B |  | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | \$33,660 | \$37,380 | \$40,380 | - |
| Maximum | me, 4B |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maximum | vable I | me | \$26,160 | \$29,880 | \$33,660 | \$37,380 | \$40,380 | - |
| Minimum | me, OB |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum | me, 1B |  | \$15,017 | \$15,017 | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum | me, 2B |  | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | - | - |
| Minimum | me, 3B |  | \$26,023 | \$26,023 | \$26,023 | \$26,023 | \$26,023 | - |
| Minimum | me, 4B |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Minimum | fied In |  | \$15,017 | \$15,017 | \$18,034 | \$18,034 | \$26,023 | - |
| HH Belo | er Inco |  | 1,199 | 504 | 254 | 159 | 119 | 0 |
| HH Belo | er Inco |  | 778 | 163 | 138 | 93 | 58 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 421 | 341 | 116 | 66 | 61 | 0 |
| Demand Estimate 1,005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Our analysis suggests demand for a total of 1,005 size- and income-qualified units in the market area.
Please note: This demand estimate does not account for income band overlap at the project level. A demand estimate taking this into consideration will be developed later.

## Demand Estimate

In this section, we derive our overburdened demand and capture rate estimates for the subject property. Our analysis, which begins with the income-qualified renter household estimates developed above, is found below.

| Income Qualified Renter Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 1,005 |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to account for 2 years of growth to estimate the demand stemming from new income qualified rental households. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified overburdened renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to estimate existing demand stemming from income-qualified substandard renter households in this market area. Our estimates are found below.


The next step in our analysis is to account for elderly homeowners likely to convert to rental housing. This component may not comprise more than 20 percent of total demand. Our estimates are found below.

| Elderly Homeowners Likely to Convert to Rental Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to account for existing qualifying tenants likely to remain after renovation. Our estimates are found below.

| Subject Property Units (Total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |

Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain after Renovation

| Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain after Renovation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to tally up total demand for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

| Total Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 361 |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to tabulate the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units in the market area by unit/income type. This information will be used to further refine our capture rate estimate for the subject property. A table showing the distribution of vacant competing \& pipeline units is found below.

| Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to subtract the number of vacant competing \& pipeline units from total demand to arrive at a net demand estimate for the subject property. Our estimates are found below.

| Net Demand (Total Demand - Vacant Competing \& Pipeline Units) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | 358 |  |  |  |

The next step in our analysis is to compute the capture rate for the project. For purposes of this computation, we define capture rate as the total number of subject property units divided by net demand. Underwriters often utilize capture rate limits of 10 to 20 percent using this methodology. Our estimates are presented below:

| Capture Rates (Subject Property Units / Net Demand) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $80 \%$ | Mkt |
| Tot |  |  |  |  | $8.9 \%$ |  |  |  |

Our findings are summarized below.

| Project-Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units | $8.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - Market Units |  |
| Project-Wide Capture Rate - All Units | $8.9 \%$ |
| Project-Wide Absorption Period (Months) | 5 months |

Please note: Project-wide capture rate estimates do not account for income band overlap at the project level.

## RENT COMPARABLES, MARKET RATE

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Forest View |
| Street Number | 539 |
| Street Name | Oak |
| Street Type | Lane |
| City | Halifax |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24558 |
| Phone Number | (434) $476-7878$ |
| Year Built | 1958 |
| Year Renovated | 2012 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |
| Waiting List | $50-75$ people |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 36.7636 |
| Longitude | -78.9383 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | $21-019$ |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Tammy, Management |
| Phone Number | (434) 476-7878 |
| Interview Date | 10-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Property was rehabbed in 2012 with new HVAC, kitchens, paint etc. Units have hardwood flooring. Only one unit has stackable washer and dryer. Other units do not have hookups. In 2021 contact advised they have replaced some appliances in the past several years. The vacant units need a little work. There are no new apartments or businesses


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 3.60 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 2.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1975 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | no | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | na |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Forest View is an existing multifamily development located at 539 Oak Lane in Halifax, Virginia. The property, which consists of 8 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1958 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 75 percent occupancy.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 3.10 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.60 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1995 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | no | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | some | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Green Folly Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 1005 Harris Drive in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 65 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1966 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Halifax Lofts |
| Street Number | 722 |
| Street Name | Mountian |
| Street Type | Road |
| City | Halifax |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24558 |
| Phone Number | (434) $830-1580$ |
| Year Built | 1939 |
| Year Renovated | 2018 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |
| Waiting List | no |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 36.7652 |
| Longitude | -78.9409 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | $01-019$ |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Mr. Robert, Manager |
| Phone Number | (434) 446-7217 |
| Interview Date | 10-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Historic School Building built in 1939 and renovated into 30 apartment units in 2018. Property is within a park like setting. Furnished units available. In 2021 Mr. Robert advised the starting rates for the different size units. He advised 1X1's from 483-843 square feet rent @ \$950\$1495 and 2X2's rent from 815-1179 square feet rent @ \$ 1195 -


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | yes | Comm Center | no | no |
| Sewer | no | yes | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | no |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | no | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | no | no |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 3.50 | 2.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Walking Trail | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 2.50 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Hardwood | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | yes | no |
| Neighborhood | 3.60 | 4.30 | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.00 | 4.10 | Disposal | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Microwave | yes | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2008 | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | yes | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | yes | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | na |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Halifax Lofts is an existing multifamily development located at 722 Mountian Road in Halifax, Virginia. The property, which consists of 30 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1939 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Haskins Grove |  |
| Street Number | 1605 |  |
| Street Name | Haskins |  |
| Street Type | Street |  |
| City | South Boston |  |
| State | Virginia |  |
| Zip | 24592 |  |
| Phone Number | $(434) 476-7878$ |  |
| Year Built | 1958 |  |
| Year Renovated | 2014 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |  |
| Waiting List | $50-75$ people |  |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Conventional |  |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude | 36.7034 |  |
| Longitude | -78.8939 |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 015 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Tammy, Management |
| Phone Number | (434) 476-7878 |
| Interview Date | 10-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Property was rehabbed in 2014 with new HVAC, kitchens, paint etc. Units have hardwood flooring. Contact advised that businesses in the area are not closing or laying off employees. Mr. Mike advised in 2016 of a 2BR Garden end unit. Manager also manages Tanglewylde. In 2021, Ms. Tammy advised the vacant units need to be updated before



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.75 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.75 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.40 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 2.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1980 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Hardwood | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | no | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | na |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Haskins Grove is an existing multifamily development located at 1605 Haskins Street in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 11 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1958 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 64 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Imperial Lofts |
| Street Number | 700 |
| Street Name | Watkins |
| Street Type | Avenue |
| City | South Boston |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24592 |
| Phone Number | (434) $362-0116$ |
| Year Built | 1890 |
| Year Renovated | 2018 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 35$ |
| Waiting List | yes |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 36.6977 |
| Longitude | -78.9054 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AaC Code |  |


|  | Interview Notes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Mr. Robert, Manager |
| Phone Number | (434) 446-7217 |
| Interview Date | 10-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Historic Imperial Tobacco Building built in 1890 and renovated into 43 apartment units in 2018. Tenants have storage on bottom floor of building. 202023 units added. Property has many different floor plans and also has some business offices. In 2021, contact gave starting rent ranges in each unit size. We are reporting the smallest square footage



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | no | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.50 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2018 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | yes | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | yes | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | yes | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | yes | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | na |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Imperial Lofts is an existing multifamily development located at 700 Watkins Avenue in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 71 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1890 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | New Brick Historic Lofts |  |
| Street Number | 701 |  |
| Street Name | Jefferson |  |
| Street Type | Avenue |  |
| City | South Boston |  |
| State | Virginia |  |
| Zip | 24592 |  |
| Phone Number | (336) $215-7849$ |  |
| Year Built | 1890 |  |
| Year Renovated | 2015 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 45$ |  |
| Waiting List | na |  |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Conventional |  |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude | 36.7005 |  |
| Longitude |  | -78.9019 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 021 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ur. Patrick, Owner Rehab Dev. |
| Phone Number | (336) 215-7849 |
| Interview Date | 11-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

This historic building which was a tobacco warehouse has been adapted for reuse as 27 apartments. Financing includes Historic Tax Credits but not Low Income TC's. Select units are two levels with bedrooms above the living areas. Units have up to 17+ foot ceilings and exposed brick walls. Mr. Patrick's voice mail gave reported rents.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.00 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 4.00 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2015 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
| Hardwood | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | some | yes |
| W/D Hookups | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | na | na |
| Concierge | na | na |
| Hair Salon | na | na |
| Health Care | na | na |
| Housekeeping | na | na |
| Meals | na | na |
| Transportation | na | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

New Brick Historic Lofts is an existing multifamily development located at 701 Jefferson Avenue in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 27 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1890 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Name |  | Tanglewylde Apartments |
| Street Number |  | 310 |
| Street Name |  | Tanglewyld |
| Street Type |  | Drive |
| City |  | South Boston |
| State |  | Virginia |
| Zip |  | 24592 |
| Phone Number |  | (434) 476-7878 |
| Year Built |  | 1959 |
| Year Renovated |  | 2007 |
| Minimum Lease |  | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. |  | 1 month |
| Other Fees |  | \$25 |
| Waiting List |  | 50-75 people |
| Project Rent |  | Market Rate |
| Project Type |  | Family |
| Project Status |  | Stabilized |
| Financing |  | Conventional |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude |  | 36.7097 |
| Longitude |  | -78.9127 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | units on Crescent Dr also |
| AAC Code | 21-019 | 028 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Tammy, Management |
| Phone Number | (434) 476-7878 |
| Interview Date | 10-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

Replaced roof on 1 building in 2007. Contact stated that units have disposals but management has them disconnected. Three units in 2010 and in 2011 have received new heat pumps. 1 new heat pump in 2015. In 2021, contact advised several more HVAC units replaced, also replaced stoves and refrigerators in some units over the past



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 3.10 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.50 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 2.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1985 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Tanglewylde Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 310 Tanglewyld Drive in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 36 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1959 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 97 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | West Wood Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 433 |  |
| Street Name | Crescent |  |
| Street Type | Drive |  |
| City | South Boston |  |
| State | Virginia |  |
| Zip | 24592 |  |
| Phone Number | (434) $454-4301$ |  |
| Year Built | 1975 |  |
| Year Renovated | 2001 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |  |
| Other Fees |  |  |
| Waiting List | 5 people |  |
| Project Rent | Market Rate |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Conventional |  |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude | 36.7078 |  |
| Longitude |  | -78.9121 |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | na |
| AAC Code |  | 032 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | is. Pauline Blount, Owner Wife |
| Phone Number | (434) 454-4301 |
| Interview Date | 11-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2001 renovations included new roofs. There are 2 buildings on Tanglewylde Drive. 1 unit was renovated after sewer back up in about 2011. 2016 repaved parking lot and sidewalks. 2018 new roofs on 3 buildings, with remaining 3 to be done in 2019. Ms. Blount advised what she thinks is the rent rate for our February, 2021 survey. We did


Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Si |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.75 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 3.10 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 4.20 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 2.25 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 1985 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

West Wood Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 433 Crescent Drive in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 22 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1975 with conventional financing. All units are set aside as market rate units. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

## RENT COMPARABLES, RESTRICTED RENT

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Cavalier Apartments |
| Street Number | 200 |
| Street Name | Hamilton |
| Street Type | Boulevard |
| City | South Boston |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24592 |
| Phone Number | (434) $575-7702$ |
| Year Built | 1981 |
| Year Renovated | 2004 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 200$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |
| Waiting List | 8 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | RD |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 36.7133 |
| Longitude | -78.9198 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |
| AAC Code | 003 |




| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | yes | Comm Center | no | no |
| Sewer | no | yes | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | no |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | no |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.00 | 2.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | no |
| Neighborhood | 3.10 | 4.30 | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 3.80 | 4.10 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 2.50 | 4.50 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 1995 | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Cavalier Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 200 Hamilton Boulevard in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 65 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1981 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Country Green Apartments |
| Street Number | 1000 |
| Street Name | E Bishop |
| Street Type | Drive |
| City | South Boston |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 24592 |
| Phone Number | (434) $575-0230$ |
| Year Built | 1986 |
| Year Renovated | 2016 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 12$ |
| Waiting List | 45 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers | 1 |
| Latitude | 2015 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads | $21-019$ |



| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Keisha, Manager |
| Phone Number | $(301) 365-9314$ |
| Interview Date | 11-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2015 TC's and 1997 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this RD property |  |
| with 36 units of project based rental assistance available to tenants. |  |
| Property has one non-revenue unit for management. There are no |  |
| new apartments or businesses nearby. |  |

Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | yes | yes |
| Sewer | yes | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | S |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.75 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 2.00 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.70 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2006 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | no | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Country Green Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 1000 E Bishop Drive in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 44 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1986 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Honeytree Apartments |  |
| Street Number | 2313 |  |
| Street Name | Hamilton |  |
| Street Type | Boulevard |  |
| City | South Boston |  |
| State | Virginia |  |
| Zip | 24592 |  |
| Phone Number | (434) $572-1602$ |  |
| Year Built | 1986 |  |
| Year Renovated | 2004 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. |  | 1 month |
| Other Fees | $\$ 22$ |  |
| Waiting List |  | no |
| Project Rent |  | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status |  | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |  |
| Vouchers | 1 |  |
| Latitude |  | 36.7111 |
| Longitude |  | -78.8843 |
| Nearest Crossroads | 2003 | na |
| AAC Code |  | 016 |

Interview Notes

| Person Interviewed | ıula Williams, Housing Director |
| :--- | ---: |
| Phone Number | (434) 572-1602 |
| Interview Date | 12-Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |

2003 TC's awarded for rehabilitation of this RD property with 21 units of project based rental assistance available. In 2021 contact indicated only 44 units on her email to us, although our previous surveys reported 48 units.



| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | yes | Comm Center | no | no |
| Sewer | no | yes | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | no |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | no |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 4.00 | 2.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Walking Trail | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 4.00 | 2.50 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | no |
| Neighborhood | 4.50 | 4.30 | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 2.70 | 4.10 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Dishwasher | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.00 | 4.50 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Honeytree Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 2313 Hamilton Boulevard in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 48 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1986 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Name | Lakewood Apartments |
| Street Number | 500 |
| Street Name | Meadow |
| Street Type | Court |
| City | Clarksville |
| State | Virginia |
| Zip | 23927 |
| Phone Number | (434) $374-5000$ |
| Year Built | 1982 |
| Year Renovated | 2017 |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 250$ |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |
| Waiting List | 5 people |
| Project Rent | Restricted |
| Project Type | Family |
| Project Status | Stabilized |
| Financing | Tax Credit |
| Vouchers |  |
| Latitude | 2016 |
| Longitude |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  |
| AAC Code | $21-019$ |




| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.25 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.50 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.30 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2006 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Lakewood Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 500 Meadow Court in Clarksville, Virginia. The property, which consists of 52 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1982 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | Miller Homes at Poplar Creek |  |
| Street Number | 130 |  |
| Street Name | Poplar Creek |  |
| Street Type | Street |  |
| City | South Boston |  |
| State | Virginia |  |
| Zip | 24592 |  |
| Phone Number | (434) $575-5318$ |  |
| Year Built | 1973 |  |
| Year Renovated | 2016 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 12 |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | 1 month |  |
| Other Fees | $\$ 25$ |  |
| Waiting List | 7 people |  |
| Project Rent | Restricted |  |
| Project Type | Family |  |
| Project Status | Stabilized |  |
| Financing | Tax Credit |  |
| Vouchers |  |  |
| Latitude | 2013 | 36.7042 |
| Longitude |  | -78.9145 |
| Nearest Crossroads | na |  |
| AAC Code | $21-019$ | 020 |


| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed $\quad$ 「ameka, Temp. Asst. Manager |  |
| Phone Number | (434) $575-5318$ |
| Interview Date | $10-$ Feb-21 |
| Interviewed By | JS |
| 2013 TCs awarded for rehabilitation of this property without project |  |
| based rental assistance available to tenants. The nonprofit Southside |  |
| Outreach Group owns this property. Property has 2 non-revenue 2BR |  |
| units. 24 parking spaces are available to tenants along the street. |  |
| There are no new apartments or businesses nearby. |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  | Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes | Ball Field | no | no |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes | BBQ Area | no | no |
| Other Electric | yes | yes | Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Air Cond | yes | yes | Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | no |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes | Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Water | no | yes | Comm Center | yes | no |
| Sewer | no | yes | Elevator | no | no |
| Trash | no | no | Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
|  |  |  | Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  | Herb Garden | no | no |
| Technology | Comp | Subj | Horseshoes | no | no |
| Cable | yes | yes | Lake | no | no |
| Internet | yes | yes | Library | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
|  |  |  | Picnic Area | no | no |
|  |  |  | Playground | yes | no |
| Visibility |  |  | Pool | no | no |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Sauna | no | no |
| Visibility | 2.00 | 2.50 | Sports Court | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  | Walking Trail | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject | Su |  |
| Access |  |  | Unit Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 2.50 | 2.50 | Blinds | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  | Ceiling Fans | no | no |
|  |  |  | Carpeting | no | yes |
|  |  |  | Fireplace | no | no |
| Neighborhood |  |  | Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Storage | no | no |
| Neighborhood | 4.30 | 4.30 | Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  | Stove | yes | yes |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj | Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Area Amenities | 4.20 | 4.10 | Disposal | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  | Dishwasher | yes | yes |
|  |  |  | Microwave | no | no |
|  |  |  | Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |  |  |  |
| Effective Age | 2004 | 2019 |  |  |  |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |  |  |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | no | no |
| W/D Units | yes | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Miller Homes at Poplar Creek is an existing multifamily development located at 130 Poplar Creek Street in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 46 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1973 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 96 percent occupancy.

|  | Project Information | River Wynd Apartments |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Property Name | 600 |  |
| Street Number | River Wynd |  |
| Street Name | Drive |  |
| Street Type | Clarksville |  |
| City | Virginia |  |
| State | 23927 |  |
| Zip | (434) $374-9320$ |  |
| Phone Number | 2002 |  |
| Year Built | na |  |
| Year Renovated | 12 |  |
| Minimum Lease | 1 month |  |
| Min. Security Dep. | $\$ 25$ |  |
| Other Fees | 13 people |  |
| Waiting List | Restricted |  |
| Project Rent | Family |  |
| Project Type | Stabilized |  |
| Project Status | Tax Credit |  |
| Financing | 3 |  |
| Vouchers | 2001 | 36.6023 |
| Latitude | -78.5475 |  |
| Longitude | na |  |
| Nearest Crossroads |  | 024 |
| AAC Code | $21-019$ |  |



Unit Configuration


| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.00 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 2.20 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 2.75 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2000 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | yes | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | no | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | no |
| Elevator | no | no |
| Fitness Ctr | no | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | yes | no |
| Playground | yes | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | no | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | yes | yes |
| Storage | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | no | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | yes | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | no | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

River Wynd Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 600 River Wynd Drive in Clarksville, Virginia. The property, which consists of 40 apartment units, was originally constructed in 2002 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 100 percent occupancy.

| Interview Notes |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Person Interviewed | Ms. Camilla, Management |
| Phone Number | (434) 517-0006 |
| Interview Date | 04-Mar-21 |
| Interviewed By | DFR |
| 2006 TCs awarded for adaptive reuse of this historic tobacco prizery |  |
| property without project based rental assistance. Property has 4 HC |  |
| units and has additional parking available on the street. 2019 new roof. |  |
| In February, 2021 we spoke with Ms. Lisa, manager, who has been at |  |
| the property since September and was given information that does not |  |




| Tenant-Paid Utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Comp | Subj |
| Heat-Electric | yes | yes |
| Cooking-Electric | yes | yes |
| Other Electric | yes | yes |
| Air Cond | yes | yes |
| Hot Water-Electric | yes | yes |
| Water | no | yes |
| Sewer | no | yes |
| Trash | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Tenant-Paid Technology |  |  |
| Technology | Comp | Subj |
| Cable | yes | yes |
| Internet | yes | yes |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Visibility |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Visibility | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Access |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Access | 3.00 | 2.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Neighborhood |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Neighborhood | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Proximity to Area Amenities |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Area Amenities | 3.80 | 4.10 |
| Comp vs. Subject | In |  |
| Condition |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Condition | 3.50 | 4.50 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |
| Effective Age |  |  |
| Rating (1-5 Scale) | Comp | Subj |
| Effective Age | 2005 | 2019 |
| Comp vs. Subject |  |  |


| Site \& Common Area Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Ball Field | no | no |
| BBQ Area | no | no |
| Billiard/Game | no | no |
| Bus/Comp Ctr | yes | no |
| Car Care Ctr | no | no |
| Comm Center | yes | no |
| Elevator | yes | no |
| Fitness Ctr | yes | no |
| Gazebo/Patio | no | no |
| Hot Tub/Jacuzzi | no | no |
| Herb Garden | no | no |
| Horseshoes | no | no |
| Lake | no | no |
| Library | no | no |
| Movie/Media Ctr | no | no |
| Picnic Area | no | no |
| Playground | no | no |
| Pool | no | no |
| Sauna | no | no |
| Sports Court | no | no |
| Walking Trail | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Unit Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Blinds | yes | yes |
| Ceiling Fans | yes | no |
| Carpeting | yes | yes |
| Fireplace | no | no |
| Patio/Balcony | no | yes |
| Storage | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Kitchen Amenities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Stove | yes | yes |
| Refrigerator | yes | yes |
| Disposal | yes | no |
| Dishwasher | yes | yes |
| Microwave | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Air Conditioning |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Window Units | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Heat |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | yes |
| Wall Units | no | no |
| Baseboards | no | no |
| Boiler/Radiators | no | no |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |


| Parking |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Garage | no | no |
| Covered Pkg | no | no |
| Assigned Pkg | no | yes |
| Open | yes | some |
| None | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Laundry |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Central | yes | no |
| W/D Units | no | yes |
| W/D Hookups | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Inferior |  |


| Security |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| Call Buttons | no | no |
| Cont Access | yes | no |
| Courtesy Officer | no | no |
| Monitoring | no | no |
| Security Alarms | no | no |
| Security Patrols | no | no |
| Comp vs. Subject | Superior |  |


| Services |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Amenity | Comp | Subj |
| After School | no | na |
| Concierge | no | na |
| Hair Salon | no | na |
| Health Care | no | na |
| Housekeeping | no | na |
| Meals | no | na |
| Transportation | no | na |
| Comp vs. Subject | Similar |  |

Taylor Lofts Apartments is an existing multifamily development located at 340 Ferry Street in South Boston, Virginia. The property, which consists of 47 apartment units, was originally constructed in 1920 . This property is currently operated as a rent restricted property. The property currently stands at 94 percent occupancy.

## STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS \& LIMITING CONDITIONS

- The title to the subject property is merchantable, and the property is free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, except as noted.
- No liability is assumed for matters legal in nature.
- Ownership and management are assumed to be in competent and responsible hands.
- No survey has been made by the appraiser. Dimensions are as supplied by others and are assumed to be correct.
- The report was prepared for the purpose so stated and should not be used for any other reason.
- All direct and indirect information supplied by the owner and their representatives concerning the subject property is assumed to be true and accurate.
- No responsibility is assumed for information supplied by others and such information is believed to be reliable and correct. This includes zoning and tax information provided by Municipal officials.
- The signatories shall not be required to give testimony or attend court or be at any governmental hearing with respect to the subject property unless prior arrangements have been made with the client.
- Disclosure of the contents of this report is governed by the By-Laws and Regulations of the Appraisal Institute.
- The legal description is assumed to be accurate.
- This report specifically assumes that there are no site, subsoil, or building contaminates present resulting from residual substances or construction materials, such as asbestos, radon gas, PCB, etc. Should any of these factors exist, the appraiser reserves the right to review these findings, review the value estimates, and change the estimates, if deemed necessary.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We have not made a specific compliance survey and analysis of this property to determine whether or not it is in conformity with
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is operated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is constructed/rehabilitated as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes that the subject property is financed as described in this report.
- This analysis specifically assumes the timing set forth in this report.


## CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I performed a market study for the subject property in 2018.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Debbie Rucker (Allen \& Associates Consulting) assisted in compiling the data used in this report.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, I have completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Members of the Appraisal Institute.
- I am presently licensed in good standing as a Certified General Real Estate Appraiser in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia, allowing me to appraise all types of real estate.

Respectfully submitted:
ALLEN \& ASSOCIATES CONSULTING, INC.


Jeff Carroll

## VHDA CERTIFICATION

I affirm the following:

1) I have made a physical inspection of the site and market area.
2) The appropriate information has been used in the comprehensive evaluation of the need and demand for the proposed rental units.
3) To the best of my knowledge the market can support the demand shown in this study. I understand that any misrepresentation in this statement may result in the denial of participation in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program in Virginia as administered by the VHDA.
4) Neither I nor anyone at my firm has any interest in the proposed development or a relationship with the ownership entity.
5) Neither I nor anyone at my firm nor anyone acting on behalf of my firm in connection with the preparation of this report has communicated to others that my firm is representing VHDA or in any way acting for, at the request of, or on behalf of VHDA.
6) Compensation for my services is not contingent upon this development receiving a LIHTC reservation or allocation

March 14, 2021

Jeff Carroll
Date

## NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| Executive Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Executive Summary | Executive Summary |
| Scope of Work |  |  |
| 2 | Scope of Work | Letter of Transmittal |
| Project Description |  |  |
| 3 | Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents, and income targeting <br> Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent <br> Target market/population description <br> Project description including unit features and community amenities <br> Date of construction/preliminary completion <br> If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents, and existing vacancies | Section 1 |
| 4 |  | Section 2 |
| 5 |  | Section 1 |
| 6 |  | Section 2 |
| 7 |  | Section 1 |
| 8 |  | Section 1 |
| Location |  |  |
| 9 | Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels | Sections 3 \& 4 |
| 10 | Site photos/maps | Section 5 |
| 11 | Map of community services | Section 4 |
| 12 | Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility, and crime | Section 4 |
| Market Area |  |  |
| 13 | PMA description | Section 6 |
| 14 | PMA Map | Section 6 |
| Employment and Economy |  |  |
| 15 | At-Place employment trends <br> Employment by sector <br> Unemployment rates <br> Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site <br> Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions | Section 7 |
| 16 |  | Section 7 |
| 17 |  | Section 7 |
| 18 |  | Section 7 |
| 19 |  | Section 7 |
| Demographic Characteristics |  |  |
| 20 | Population and household estimates and projections <br> Area building permits <br> Population and household characteristics including income, tenure, and size <br> For senior or special needs projects, provide data specific to target market | Section 8 |
| 21 |  | Section 7 |
| 22 |  | Section 8 |
| 23 |  | Section 8 |
| Competitive Environment |  |  |
| 24 | Comparable property profiles and photos <br> Map of comparable properties <br> Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents <br> Comparison of subject property to comparable properties <br> Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including homeownership, if applicable <br> Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed <br> For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target market | Appendix |
| 25 |  | Section 10 |
| 26 |  | Section 9 |
| 27 |  | Section 10 |
| 28 |  | NA |
| 29 |  | Section 9 |
| 30 |  | Section 8 |

## NCHMA MARKET STUDY INDEX

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

| Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Estimate of demand | Section 11 |
| 32 | Affordability analysis with capture rate | Section 11 |
| 33 | Penetration rate analysis with capture rate | Section 11 |
| Analysis/Conclusions |  |  |
| 34 | Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents. | Section 11 |
| 35 |  | Section 10 |
| 36 | Precise statement of key conclusions Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject Interviews with area housing stakeholders | Executive Summary |
| 37 |  | Executive Summary |
| 38 |  | Executive Summary |
| 39 |  | Executive Summary |
| 40 |  | Executive Summary |
| 41 |  | Appendix |
| Other Requirements |  |  |
| 42 | Certifications <br> Statement of qualifications <br> Sources of data not otherwise identified | Appendix |
| 43 |  | Appendix |
| 44 |  | NA |

## MISCELLANEOUS

## Certificate of Membership

## Allen \& Associates Consulting Inc. Is a Member Firm in Good Standing of



Formerly known as National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts

National Council of Housing Market Analysts
1400 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St. NW
Suite 420
Washington, DC 20036
202-939-1750

## Membership Term

8/1/2020 to 7/31/2021


Thomas Amdur President, NH\&RA

## QUALIFICATIONS

Allen \& Associates Consulting is a real estate advisory firm specializing in affordable housing. Practice areas include low-income housing tax credits, tax-exempt bond transactions, HUD assisted and financed multifamily, USDA-RD assisted and financed properties, public housing, historic tax credits, conventional multifamily, and manufactured housing. Services include development consulting, rent comparability studies, market analysis, feasibility studies, appraisals, capital needs assessments, and utility studies.

Allen \& Associates Consulting and its sister organization Allen \& Associates Appraisal maintain offices in Charlotte, North Carolina and Detroit, Michigan, respectively. Allen \& Associates is approved to provide its services throughout the United States.

The following is a listing of key personnel for Allen \& Associates Consulting:

## Jeffrey B. Carroll

Jeffrey B. Carroll is President of Allen \& Associates Consulting. Since 2000, Mr. Carroll has completed over 3000 development consulting assignments in 46 states. Major projects include:

- Market Feasibility - Completed market studies for 13 proposed tax credit apartment developments on behalf of the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The portfolio included 5 family and 8 senior communities. Our analysis identified the 4 best deals for the housing finance agency to consider funding.
- Valuation - Developed a disposition plan for a 30-property portfolio of apartments on behalf of a private owner. The 921-unit portfolio (located in MD, DE, PA and VA) was valued at $\$ 23$ million. Our client relied on our valuations and advice to maximize sales proceeds for the portfolio.
- Capital Needs Assessments - Completed capital needs assessments for an 8property portfolio of RD-financed apartments on behalf of a private developer. The portfolio (located in FL) included 6 family and 2 senior communities. Our client utilized our assessments to develop a scope of work for the proposed acquisition and renovation of the 214 -unit portfolio.
- Utility Allowance Studies - Completed utility allowance studies for a portfolio of tax credit apartments on behalf of a large national owner/developer. The portfolio (located in CT, DC, IL, IN, MA, NC, OH, PA and VA) included 31 properties. Our client utilized our research to maximize rents and net operating income for the portfolio.
- Underwriting - Conducted a financial review on behalf of a local housing authority for the proposed redevelopment of a vacant historic textile mill into loft apartments. Our client had been asked to issue $\$ 4$ million in tax-exempt bonds for


## P.O. Box 79196

Charlotte, North Carolina 28271
Phone: 704-905-2276 • Fax: 704-220-0470
the $\$ 15$ million project. Our assistance in underwriting the transaction resulted in the green light for the development.

Mr. Carroll is a certified general appraiser, licensed to appraise real estate in the states of Delaware, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina and Virginia. Mr. Carroll is also a designated member of the Appraisal Institute (MAI).

Mr. Carroll is a peer-reviewed member of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts, where he served on the Executive Committee and chaired the Data and Ethics Committees.

In addition, Mr. Carroll has also served as a market study reviewer for the Georgia and Michigan housing finance agencies.

Mr. Carroll has written articles on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for Urban Land magazine, The Journal of Property Management, Community Management magazine, Merchandiser magazine, HousingThink, and a publication of the Texas A\&M Real Estate Research Center known as Terra Grande.

Mr. Carroll has conducted seminars on affordable housing, development, property management, market feasibility, and financial analysis for the American Planning Association, Community Management magazine, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the National Association of State and Local Equity Funds, the Virginia Community Development Corporation, and the National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts.

Mr. Carroll is also an experienced developer and property manager. His experience includes the development of tax credit apartment communities, conventional market rate apartments, manufactured home communities, and single-family subdivisions. He has also managed a portfolio of apartment complexes and manufactured home communities.

The following is a summary of Mr. Carroll's relevant educational background:
Clemson University, Bachelor of Science Degree
Major in Engineering
Minor Concentration in Economics 1983
Harvard University, Master's Degree in Business Administration
Major in General Management
Minor Concentration in Economics and Real Estate
1988

Appraisal Institute
Qualifying Education for Licensure
2001
Continuing Education for Licensure \& MAI Designation 2020

ASTM International
Property Condition Assessments E2018.01
September 2006

# The Institute for Professional and Executive Development 

 Tax Credit Property DispositionOctober 2007
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
2002-2014
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Utility Allowance Guidebook
MAP Training \& Certification
September 2007
September 2007
USDA Rural Development
Capital Needs Assessment Provider Training Accessibility Standards Training

September 2007
September 2007
Mr. Carroll, who was awarded a scholarship on the Clemson University varsity wrestling team, has served as an assistant coach for a local high school wrestling team. Mr. Carroll resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife Becky and his two children, Luke and Brittany.

Debbie Rucker
Debbie Rucker is an analyst with Allen \& Associates Consulting, coordinating market research for the company. Mrs. Rucker has worked on over 2000 assignments and has conducted over 40,000 rent surveys.

Mrs. Rucker was also responsible for compiling the database of detailed information on of every tax credit and tax-exempt bond transaction in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, and Texas since 1999.

The following is a summary of Mrs. Rucker's relevant educational background:
National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
Semi-Annual Meeting \& Continuing Education
September 2005
October 2006
Carolinas Council for Affordable Housing
Spectrum C ${ }^{3}$ P Certification
October 2008

Mrs. Rucker is active in her church and helps run a local judo club. Mrs. Rucker is the mother of three and resides in Weddington, North Carolina.

Michael W. Lash
Michael W. Lash is President of Lash Engineering, an engineering firm located in Charlotte, North Carolina that works closely with Allen \& Associates Consulting on utility allowance studies and other specific engagements. Since 1981, Mr. Lash has completed hundreds of assignments including the design of industrial, commercial, multifamily, and single family developments. Mr. Lash is an expert in the design of utility systems, including wastewater and storm water treatment facilities.

Mr. Lash is a certified professional engineer, licensed in the states of Kansas, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. Mr. Lash graduated from Louisiana Tech University in Civil Engineering in 1981 and has conducted seminars on advanced wastewater treatment, storm water quality treatment and automated engineering drafting and design with Eagle Point Software.

Mr. Lash is active in his church and volunteers his time teaching karate at a local martial arts academy. Mr. Lash resides in Charlotte, North Carolina with his wife and three children.

US Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Initial Eligibility Determination
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## FY 2021 Fair Market Rent Documentation System

## The FY 2021 Halifax County, VA FMRs for All Bedroom Sizes

| Final FY 2021 \& Final FY 2020 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Efficiency | One-Bedroom | Two-Bedroom | Three-Bedroom | Four-Bedroom |
| FY 2021 FMR | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 701$ | $\$ 901$ | $\$ 951$ |
| FY 2020 FMR | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 890$ | $\$ 967$ |

Halifax County, VA is a non-metropolitan county.

## Fair Market Rent Calculation Methodology

Show/Hide Methodology Narrative
Fair Market Rents for metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR areas are developed as follows:

1. 2014-2018 5-year American Community Survey (ACS) estimates of 2-bedroom adjusted standard quality gross rents calculated for each FMR area are used as the new basis for FY2021 provided the estimate is statistically reliable. For FY2021, the test for reliability is whether the margin of error for the estimate is less than $50 \%$ of the estimate itself and whether the ACS estimate is based on at least 100 survey cases. HUD does not receive the exact number of survey cases, but rather a categorical variable known as the count indicator indicating a range of cases. An estimate based on at least 100 cases corresponds to a count indicator of 4 or higher.

If an area does not have a reliable 2014-2018 5-year, HUD checks whether the area has had at least minimally reliable estimate in any of the past 3 years, or estimates that meet the $50 \%$ margin of error test described above. If so, the FY2021 base rent is the average of the inflated ACS estimates.

If an area has not had a minimally reliable estimate in the past 3 years, the estimate State for the area's corresponding metropolitan area (if applicable) or State non-metropolitan area is used as the basis for FY2021.
2. HUD calculates a recent mover adjustment factor by comparing a 2018 1-year 40 th percentile recent mover 2 -bedrooom rent to the 2014-2018 5-year 40th percentile adjusted standard quality gross rent. If either the recent mover and non-recent mover rent estimates are not reliable, HUD uses the recent mover adjustment for a larger geography. For metropolitan areas, the order of geographies examined is: FMR Area, Entire Metropolitan Area (for Metropolitan Sub-Areas), State Metropolitan Portion, Entire State, and Entire US; for non-metropolitan areas, the order of geographies examined is: FMR Area, State Non-Metropolitan Portion, Entire State, and Entire US. The recent mover adjustment factor is floored at one.
3. HUD calculates the appropriate recent mover adjustment factor between the 5-year data and the 1-year data and applies this to the 5-year base rent estimate
4. Rents are calculated as of 2019 using the relevant (regional or local) change in gross rent Consumer Price Index (CPI) from annual 2018 to annual 2019.
5. All estimates are then inflated from 2019 to FY 2021 using a trend factor based on the forecast of gross rent changes through FY2021.
6. FY2021 FMRs are then compared to a State minimum rent, and any area whose preliminary FMR falls below this value is raised to the level of the State minimum
7. FY2021 FMRs may not be less than 90\% of FY2020 FMRs.

## The results of the Fair Market Rent Step-by-Step Process

1. The following are the 2018 American Community Survey 5-year 2-Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality Gross Rent estimate and margin of error for Halifax County, VA.


| Estimate | Error | Minimally <br> Reliable? | Estimate | Error | Minimally <br> Reliable? | Estimate | Error | Minimally <br> Reliable? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 586$ | $\$ 71$ | $\$ 71 / \$ 586=0.121$ | $\$ 581$ | $\$ 42$ | $\$ 42 / \$ 581=0.072$ | $\$ 572$ | $\$ 39$ | $\$ 39 / \$ 572=0.068$ |

Since 3 of 3 ACS estimates are minimally reliable, the base rent is the average of those estimates, with each estimate first inflated to 2018 dollars (not shown).

| Area | FY2021 Base Rent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Halifax County, VA | $\$ 598$ |

2. A recent mover adjustment factor is applied based on the smallest area of geography which contains Halifax County, VA and has an ACS 2018 1-year Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover estimate with a Margin of Error Ratio that is less than . 5 .

| Area | ACS 2018 1-Year Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover Gross Rent | ACS 2018 1-Year Adjusted Standard Quality RecentMover Gross Rent Margin of Error | Ratio | Sample Size Category | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halifax County, VA 2 Bedroom | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | No ACS $_{2018}$ 1-Year 2-Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality RecentMover Gross Rent Produced For Halifax County, VA |
| Halifax County, VA All Bedroom | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | No $\mathrm{ACS}_{2018}$ 1-Year All Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality RecentMover Gross Rent Produced For Halifax County, VA |
| Virginia Nonmetropolitan Portion - 2 Bedroom | \$656 | \$30 | 0.046 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.046<.5 \\ 5 \geq 4 \end{gathered}$ <br> Use ACS $_{2018} 1$-Year Non-metropolitan Portion 2-Bedroom Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover Gross Rent |

The smallest area of geography which contains Halifax County, VA and has an $\mathrm{ACS}_{2018}$ 1-year Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover estimate with a Margin of Error Ratio that is less than .5 and with a sufficient number of sample cases is the Virginia Non-metropolitan Portion.
3. The calculation of the relevant Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor for Halifax County, VA is as follows:

| $\mathrm{ACS}_{2018} \mathbf{5 - Y e a r ~ A r e a ~}$ | ACS $_{2018}$ 5-Year 40th Percentile Adjusted Standard Quality Gross Rent | ACS $_{2018}$ 1-Year 40th Percentile Adjusted Standard Quality Recent-Mover Gross Rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia Non-metropolitan Portion - 2 Bedroom | \$643 | \$656 |


| Area | Ratio | Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halifax County, VA$\$ 656 / \$ 643$ <br> $=1.020$ | $1.0202 \geq 1.0$ Use calculated Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor of 1.0202 |  |

4. The calculation of the relevant CPI Update Factors for Halifax County, VA is as follows: HUD updates the 2018 intermediate rent with the ratio of the annual 2019 local or regional CPI to the annual 2018 local or regional CPI to establish rents as of 2019.

|  | Update Factor | Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CPI Update Factor | $\underline{1.0295}$ | Region CPI |

5. The calculation of the Trend Factor is as follows: HUD forecasts the change in national gross rents from 2019 to 2021 for each CPI area and Census Region. This makes Fair Market Rents "as of" FY2021.

| Trend Factor | Trend Factor Type |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1.0433}$ | Region |

6. The FY 2021 2-Bedroom Fair Market Rent for Halifax County, VA is calculated as follows:

| Area | ACS 2018 5-Year Estimate | Recent-Mover Adjustment Factor | Annual 2018 to 2019 CPI Adjustment | $\frac{\text { Trending } 1.0433}{\text { to FY2021 }}$ | FY 2021 2-Bedroom FMR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Halifax <br> County, VA | $\$ 598$ | 1.0202 | 1.0295 | 1.0433 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | $\$ 598 * 1.020 * 1.0295 *$ |
| :---: |
| $1.0433=\$ 655$ |

7. In keeping with HUD policy, the preliminary FY 2021 FMR is checked to ensure that is does not fall below the state minimum.

| Area | Preliminary FY2021 | 2-Bedroom FMR | FY 2021 | Virginia State Minimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ Final FY2021 2-Bedroom FMR

8. Bedroom ratios are applied to calculate FMRs for unit sizes other than two bedrooms.

Click on the links in the table to see how the bedroom ratios are calculated.

| FY 2021 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Efficiency | One-Bedroom | Two-Bedroom | Three-Bedroom | Four-Bedroom |
| FY 2021 FMR | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 701$ | $\$ 901$ | $\$ 951$ |

9. The FY2021 FMR must not be below $90 \%$ of the FY2020 FMR.

|  | Efficiency | One-Bedroom | Two-Bedroom | Three-Bedroom | Four-Bedroom |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2020 FMR | $\$ 482$ | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 714$ | $\$ 890$ | $\$ 967$ |
| FY2020 floor | $\$ 434$ | $\$ 488$ | $\$ 643$ | $\$ 801$ | $\$ 871$ |
| FY 2021 FMR | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 701$ | $\$ 901$ | $\$ 951$ |
| Use FY2020 floor for FY2021? | No | No | No | No | No |

Final FY2021 Rents for All Bedroom Sizes for Halifax County, VA

The following table shows the Final FY 2021 FMRs by bedroom sizes.

| Final FY 2021 FMRs By Unit Bedrooms |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Efficiency | One-Bedroom | Two-Bedroom | Three-Bedroom | Four-Bedroom |
| Final FY 2021 FMR | $\$ 472$ | $\$ 532$ | $\$ 701$ | $\$ 901$ | $\$ 951$ |

The FMRs for unit sizes larger than four bedrooms are calculated by adding 15 percent to the four bedroom FMR, for each extra bedroom. For example, the FMR for a five bedroom unit is 1.15 times the four bedroom FMR, and the FMR for a six bedroom unit is 1.30 times the four bedroom FMR. FMRs for single-room occupancy units are 0.75 times the zero bedroom (efficiency) FMR.

Permanent link to this page: http://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/fmr/fmrs/FY2021_code/2021summary.odn?\&year=2021\&fmrtype=Final\& selection_type $=$ county\&fips $=5108399999$

Press below to select a different county within the same state (same primary state for metropolitan areas):
Accomack County, VA Albemarte County, VA Alexandria city, VA Alleghany County, VA Amelia County, VA

## Select a different area

Press below to select a different state:
Select a new state
Select a Final FY 2021 Metropolitan FMR Area:
Abilene, TX MSA
Select Metropolitan FMR Area
$\mid$ HUD Home Page $\mid$ HUD User Home $\mid$ Data Sets $\mid$ Fair Market Rents $\mid$ Section 8 Income Limits $\mid$ FMR/IL Summary System $\mid$ Multifamily Tax Subsidy Project (MTSP) Income Limits HUD LIHTC Database

Prepared by the Program Parameters and Research Division, HUD. Technical problems or questions? Contact Us.

If you would like to engage Novogradac \& Company LLP to calculate the rent \& income limits for your property, please contact Thomas Stagg at thomas.stagg@novoco.com.
Click on the $N^{\prime \prime}$ icons below to view historical charts.

Program and Location Information

| Affordable <br> Housing <br> Program <br> Year (1)(2) | IRS Section 42 Low-Income <br> Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) |
| ---: | :--- |
| State |  |
| County |  |
| MSA |  |$\quad$| VA Halifax County |
| :--- |
| Halifax County, VA |

Service Date ${ }^{(7)}$

HUD Published Income Limits for 2020 (with no adjustments)

| O Display Income Limits |  | CHide Income Limits |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Section 8 |  |  |

LIHTC Income Limits for 2020

|  | Charts | 60.00\% | 20.00\% | 30.00\% | 40.00\% | 50.00\% | 70.00\% | 80.00\% | 140.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Person | $N$ | 26,160 | 8,720 | 13,080 | 17,440 | 21,800 | 30,520 | 34,880 | 36,624 |
| 2 Person | $N$ | 29,880 | 9,960 | 14,940 | 19,920 | 24,900 | 34,860 | 39,840 | 41,832 |
| 3 Person | $N$ | 33,660 | 11,220 | 16,830 | 22,440 | 28,050 | 39,270 | 44,880 | 47,124 |
| 4 Person | $N$ | 37,380 | 12,460 | 18,690 | 24,920 | 31,150 | 43,610 | 49,840 | 52,332 |
| 5 Person | $N$ | 40,380 | 13,460 | 20,190 | 26,920 | 33,650 | 47,110 | 53,840 | 56,532 |
| 6 Person | $N$ | 43,380 | 14,460 | 21,690 | 28,920 | 36,150 | 50,610 | 57,840 | 60,732 |
| 7 Person | $N$ | 46,380 | 15,460 | 23,190 | 30,920 | 38,650 | 54,110 | 61,840 | 64,932 |
| 8 Person | $N$ | 49,320 | 16,440 | 24,660 | 32,880 | 41,100 | 57,540 | 65,760 | 69,048 |
| 9 Person | $N$ | 52,320 | 17,440 | 26,160 | 34,880 | 43,600 | 61,040 | 69,760 | 73,248 |
| 10 Person | $N$ | 55,320 | 18,440 | 27,660 | 36,880 | 46,100 | 64,540 | 73,760 | 77,448 |
| 11 Person | $N$ | 58,320 | 19,440 | 29,160 | 38,880 | 48,600 | 68,040 | 77,760 | 81,648 |
| 12 Person | $N$ | 61,320 | 20,440 | 30,660 | 40,880 | 51,100 | 71,540 | 81,760 | 85,848 |

LIHTC Rent Limits for 2020
Based on 2020 National Non-Metropolitan Median Income)

HOME | HOME |
| ---: |
| High |

Before using the numbers from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®, we strongly recommend that you check with the applicable state housing agency to verify that the state agrees with the numbers. The numbers round down to the nearest $\$ 1$.

Revenue Ruling 89-24 require that the LIHTC rent \& income levels start their calculations with the HUD published very low-income (VLI) amounts because the HUD published VLI amounts include certain HUD adjustments, such as high housing cost for high FMR areas to increase income, and state non-metropolitan median income to provide a floor for income limits. The result is that many counties have VLI amounts that are different than $50 \%$ of the AMI published by HUD (the 4-person AMGI we have shown above). Please see this blog_post for more information about the HUD adjustments. The Novogradac Rent \& Income Calculator® starts by default with the HUD published VLI amounts in accordance with Revenue Ruling 89-24.
${ }^{(1)}$ The rent and income limits for each year are effective beginning with the effective date shown above. There is a grace period for 45 days from the release of income limits to implement the new rent and income limits, which means that the old limits can be relied upon for 45 days after the release date of the new limits. For example income limits effective 12/04/2012, can be relied on until 1/17/2013. For more information, see Revenue Ruling 94-57.

IRS LIHC Newsletter \#48 and IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50 clarify that for projects placed in service during the 45-day grace period, the owner may choose the new or the old income limits. For example, if a project was placed in service on 1/8/2013 and the 2012 income limits are higher than the 2013 income limits, an owner may use the higher from 2012 to income qualify tenants and set rents accordingly because the project was placed in service with the 45 day grace period

Please note, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® does not apply a 45-day grace period automatically. The user needs to indicate that the placed in service date and/or gross rent floor date occurred 45 days earlier (in the prior HUD Fiscal Year) if they want to apply the 45-day rule under Revenue Ruling $94-57$ that allows owners to rely on the prior year. Therefore, projects that were placed in service during the 45-day grace period, and want to use the prior year should select that they were placed in service as of the prior year. For example, if a project placed in service on $1 / 8 / 2013$, and the project wanted to use the 45-day grace period, the user should select that their project was in service prior to 12/4/2012. Similarly, projects that have a gross rent floor effective as of the carryover allocation date (or reservation letter date for bond projects) during the 45 -day grace period, and want to use the prior year, should select that the gross rent floor was effective as of the prior year. For example, if a project received a carryover allocation letter on $1 / 8 / 2013$, and the owner did not elect placed in service date as the gross rent floor, and the project wanted to use the 45-day grace period, the user should select that their gross rent floor was effective prior to 12/4/2012.
${ }^{(2)}$ For HUD FY 2013 HUD originally issued income limits on December 4, 2012 then issued revised income limits on December 11, 2012. In IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50, the IRS has stated that the effective date for the revised FY 2013 income limits is December 4, 2012. Based on this guidance, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® uses December 4 2013 for the effective date for the revised FY 2013 limits. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#50 for more detail.
${ }^{(3)}$ An area may lose its rural area status. There is no clear guidance that a project is held harmless at the national nonmetropolitan income limits when an area loses its rural status. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a project that is not indicated as rural in the current year was also not rural in the prior year, and therefore, does not receive hold harmless treatment based on the prior year national non-metro amount.

Please consult your state agency and tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(4)}$ USDA may change their determination of what projects qualify as rural during the course of a year. Please periodically check with USDA to determine the continued rural eligibility of your project.

The national non-metropolitan median income has been adjusted for household size based on the family size adjustments outlined in the HUD Briefing Materials and as shown in each year's HUD FAQ. The IRS did not specify whether or not to round to the nearest $\$ 50$, however, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® will round to the nearest $\$ 50$ in accordance with the methodology referenced in HUD Briefing Materials.
${ }^{(5)}$ A project uses HERA Special if income was determined prior to $1 / 1 / 2009$ and the project is in a HERA Special county. A project's income limits are held harmless at the prior year income limits if income was determined in the prior year or earler and the income limits have derate . lease note that the IRS has informally indicated that the definition of "determined" for purposes of the HERA Special and MTSP Hold Harmless income limits means that a project was placed in service. Please see RS LIHC Newsletter \#35 for more information about "determined" and projects with buildings that were placed in service before and after HUD income limit effective dates. Therefore, project placed in service prior to $1 / 1 / 2009$ are generally eligible for HERA Special. Please see footnote 7 for information about acquisition/rehabilitation projects
${ }^{(6)}$ Internal Revenue Code Section 142(d)(2)(i) indicates that hold harmless applies on a calendar year. The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the HUD Fiscal Year. For example, the 2009 calendar year means the HUD Fiscal Year from $3 / 19 / 2009$ through $5 / 13 / 2010$. In other words, the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that "calendar year" in the hold harmless rule means the highest income level achieved during any HUD Fiscal Year.

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that a rural project will receive hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount based on the prior year national non-metro amount if the national non-metro median income were to fall from year to year. If a rural project qualifies for HERA Special and the HERA Special is higher than the national non metro, then the HERA Special amount will be used. Please note that the IRS has not issued guidance that specifically allows hold harmless treatment at the national non-metro amount for rural projects, however, Internal Revenue Code 42(g)(4) by reference to Internal Revenue Code 142(d)(2)(E) implies that hold harmless treatment would apply at the national non-metro amount for rural projects. Please consult your tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(7)}$ Please note that for acquisition/rehabilitation projects, the IRS guidance indicates that income and rent limits are determined at the later of the acquisition date or when management begins income-qualifying households in the project. For example, if a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households in 2011 then the project would be considered placed in service in 2011 for income and rent purposes. If a project was acquired in 2011, the rehabilitation was placed-in-service in 2012, and management began income-qualifying households when the rehabilitation placed-in-service in 2012, then the project would be considered placed in service in 2012 for income and rent purposes. Please see IRS LIHC Newsletter \#35 for mor detail. Please consult your tax advisor for further clarification.
${ }^{(8)}$ Revenue Procedure 94-57 gives guidance on the gross rent floor election.
Tax credit projects without bond financing
"The Internal Revenue Service will treat the gross rent floor in section 42(g)(2)(A) as taking effect on the date an Agency initially allocates a housing credit dollar amount to the building [generally referred to as the 42 M letter] under section $42(\mathrm{~h})(1)$. However, the Service will treat the gross rent floor as taking effect on a building's placed in service date if the building owner designates that date as the date on which the gross rent floor will take effect for the building. An owner must make this designation to use the placed in service date and inform the Agency that made the allocation to the building no later than the date on which the building is placed in service."

Tax credit projects with bond financing:
"The Service will treat the gross rent floor as taking effect on a building's placed in service date if the building owner "The Service will treat the gross rent floor as taking effect on a building's placed in service date if the building owner designates that date as the date on which the gross rent floor will take effect for the building. An owner must make this
designation to use the placed in service date and inform the Agency that issued the determination letter to the building designation to use the placed in service date and inform the Agen
no later than the date on which the building is placed in service."
(9) The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes all buildings in a project have a rent floor effective date under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in the same HUD Fiscal Year. However, if your buildings have rent floor effective dates under Revenue Procedure 94-57 in different HUD Fiscal Years, then you should run the calculator separately for each group of buildings in a particular HUD Fiscal Year

The Rent \& Income Limit Calculator® assumes that different AMGI limits ( $40 \%$, $35 \%, 30 \%$, etc.) chosen by the user will also have a rent floor election under Revenue Procedure 94-57 from the same HUD Fiscal Year that applies to the federal level of $50 \%$ or $60 \%$.
https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/mtsp.html

## Terms of Use:

Utility allowances are inputted by the user and are not reviewed or verified by Novogradac \& Company LLP. Novogradac \& Company LLP provides no assurance of the accuracy of the particular results you may obtain from the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®; which is designed only to be a quick reference tool and is no from titute for professional tax and accounting advice. The Rent $\&$ Income Limit Calculator® should not be used for any final financial decisions. IRS guidelines and actual HUD amounts should be used for any final decisions. Novogradac \& Company LLP does not guarantee the accuracy of the amounts shown above. As consideration for your use of this tool, free of any requirement to pay any related monetary compensation to Novogradac \& Company LLP, you agree to hold Novogradac \& Company LLP harmless from any damages and claims related to use of the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator®. If you do not agree with the terms of this
paragraph, you may not use the Rent \& Income Limit Calculator@.
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[^0]:    Source: Allen \& Associates; Sponsor

[^1]:    Source: Claritas \& Ribbon Demographics

