



APPRAISAL & CONSULTATION

A Market Study Report Of:

Dulles Town Center Apartments (Phase II)
2433 Brook Overlook Court
Fairfax, VA 20121



Prepared For: Dulles II Redo, LLC

C/O; Mr. Michael McNamara
Castle Development Corporation
167 West Landing
Williamsburg, VA 23185

Authorized User:
Virginia Housing and Development Authority (VHDA)
601 S. Belvidere St.
Richmond, VA 23220

Date of Report: September 15, 2021
EAJoseph File No. C2107019B



September 15, 2021

Dulles II Redo, LLC

C/O; Mr. Michael McNamara
Castle Development Corporation
167 West Landing
Williamsburg, VA 23185

Authorized User:
Virginia Housing and Development Authority (VHDA)
601 S. Belvidere St.
Richmond, VA 23220

RE: Dulles Town Center Apartments (Phase II)
2433 Brook Overlook Court
Fairfax, VA 20121

Mr. McNamara:

At your request, we have completed the attached Market Analysis of family occupancy rental housing in the subject's Primary Market Area (PMA), which consists of the Reston/Herndon area. EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation was engaged to conduct an analysis of the apartment rental housing market, particularly as it relates to Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) apartments in the subject's Primary Market Area (PMA) as defined herein.

The subject property consists of Phase II of the multifamily complex known as Dulles Town Center Apartments. The sponsor currently owns and operates and is proposing to rehabilitate the existing units with below-market debt and/or tax credit financing. The community will remain a low-income property in which all 128 units will have rent and income restrictions at 60% of AMI. The subject consists of two and three-bedroom dwelling units. This study focuses on apartment housing in the Primary Market Area (PMA) surrounding the subject located in Fairfax County. The purpose of this market study is to;

- Analyze property productivity
- Delineate the market of property users
- Forecast demand
- Measure competitive supply
- Analyze market equilibrium/ disequilibrium
- Forecast subject capture

The entire PMA has been examined about economic factors, population projections, and the existing multi-family housing market. Emphasized examination was given to the subjects' macro-Primary Market Area (PMA) and sub-markets relevant to this property have also been examined. This is also the date of observation of the subject site and the surrounding market environs.

It has been a pleasure to assist you in this assignment. If you have any questions concerning the report, or if we can be of further assistance, please let us know how we may further serve you.

Respectfully submitted,

EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation



Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS
Principal Appraiser
Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
License No. 4001 009492

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Summary of Conclusions and Recommendations..... | 1 |
| SWOT Analysis | 3 |
| Purpose of the Market Study | 3 |
| A. Executive Summary | 4 |
| 1. A Concise Description Of The Site And The Immediate Surrounding Area. | 4 |
| 2. A Brief Summary Of The Project Including The Proposed Population To Be Served. | 4 |
| 3. Summary of economic conditions | 5 |
| 4. Brief Description and Support of the defined Primary Market Area; | 5 |
| 5. Summary of Key Demographic Data..... | 5 |
| 6. Summary of Competitive Market Conditions | 5 |
| 7. Summary of demand for the proposed development..... | 6 |
| 8. A summary of positive and negative attributes..... | 6 |
| 9. Precise statement of key conclusions reached by the analyst..... | 7 |
| 10. Recommendations and/ or suggest modifications to the proposed project is appropriate. | 7 |
| 11. Absorption Rate | 8 |
| B. Introduction and Scope of Work..... | 9 |
| C. Project Description | 11 |
| 1. Unit tabulations (existing / proposed)..... | 11 |
| 2. The Communities Target Market and any Tenancy Restrictions..... | 11 |
| 3. Utility..... | 13 |
| 4. Description of development..... | 13 |
| 5. For Rehabilitation Projects..... | 13 |
| 6. Include the status or date of architectural plans | 15 |
| 7. Relevant dates: | 16 |
| 8. Description of supportive services provided for residences, if provided. | 16 |
| Improvement Analysis and Conclusions..... | 17 |
| D. Location..... | 19 |
| Subject Photographs..... | 19 |
| 2. Identify land uses directly surrounding the subject site(s) | 22 |
| 3. Map of Subject Site..... | 23 |
| 4. Ingress/ Egress | 23 |
| 5. Describe and evaluate the visibility of the subject site | 23 |
| 6. Provide analysis of neighborhood amenities; | 23 |
| 7. Comment of availability of public transportation. | 28 |
| 8. Crime | 28 |
| 9. Provide Conclusion Concerning The Suitability Of The Proposed Site For The Proposed Use. . | 30 |
| Location Analysis | 30 |
| E. Market Area Definition..... | 31 |

| | | |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. | Define the Primary Market Area | 31 |
| 2. | A map outlining the subjects Primary Market Area (PMA) is as follows; | 34 |
| F. Employment and Economy | | 35 |
| 1 & 2 | Employment by Industry | 35 |
| 3. | Historical Unemployment Rate | 36 |
| 4. | Area Major Employers | 37 |
| 5. | Comment of recent or planned major employment expansions..... | 37 |
| 6. | In relevant markets, comment of availability of affordable housing | 37 |
| 7. | Typical wages by occupation | 38 |
| 8. | Commuting Patterns | 38 |
| 9. | Conclusions | 39 |
| G. Demographic Characteristics | | 40 |
| | Population and household estimates and projections | 40 |
| | Distribution of Income | 42 |
| | Affordability | 44 |
| H. Competitive Environment..... | | 47 |
| | Comparable Property Profiles | 47 |
| | Existing Stock of Competitive Properties..... | 47 |
| | Analysis of competitive supply and rating of the subject against the competition..... | 48 |
| | Conformity..... | 58 |
| I. FUNDAMENTAL MARKET ANALYSIS (FMA) & CONCLUSIONS..... | | 62 |
| | Market Equilibrium | 62 |
| | Residual Demand Concepts..... | 62 |
| | Observations on Equilibrium Analysis | 62 |
| | Capture/ Penetration Rates | 62 |
| | Evaluation of Proposed Rents..... | 65 |
| | Absorption..... | 66 |
| | Conclusions..... | 68 |
| | VHDA Net Demand Table | 70 |
| | Market Analysis Statement of Experience | 71 |
| J. Other Requirements | | 72 |
| | Analyst Statement:..... | 72 |
| | Certification | 73 |
| | Sequence of Addenda Materials | 75 |

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The subject is well positioned in the market to capture significant tenancy. The housing serves to satisfy the ever-present and growing need for affordable rental housing. The rental rates for the subject are consistent with the rental rates demonstrated in the PMA.

- The residual demand is positive throughout our mid-range forecast period. The residential demand is 4,415 dwelling units.
- The analyst anticipates adequate demand for accessible and supportive housing for persons with disabilities in the subject's primary market area.
- VHDA requires the analyst to consider the impact, if any, on the analysis for proposed communities in which 20% or more of the units contain at least 3 bedrooms. Approximately 49% of the subject units are 3-Bedroom. This is not expected to have a significant impact on demand, as this is a typical 3-bedroom ratio among income and rent restricted projects in the subject's PMA.
- The subject's capture rate is calculated as the percentage of residential demand the subject would have to capture to achieve stabilization. The total number of units for the subject is segmented by the percentage of units captured in the subject's PMA and a typical vacancy allowance. The adjusted total number of units is 109 units. The subject's overall blended capture rate is 2.48%. The capture rates are low, indicating a strong demand for the subject.
- The subject is expected to be absorbed into the market at a pace of 50 dwelling units per month. Financing is currently available at attractive terms and the feasibility rent is sufficient to cover costs of construction and return a reasonable yield to the investor. This combined with the low capture rate indicates a strong demand for the subject's product type.
- The site is attractive and well located in regard to its access and proximity to employment, community services, shopping, medical, and transportation routes which provides extended access to all points throughout the MSA.
- The location, rents, and amenity package will appeal to the low to moderate income families and professionals.
- The bedroom mix of units, the household size distribution, the capabilities, and the unit vacancy levels indicate that the subject's bedroom mix is appropriate in this market at the rent levels. Market rents are sufficient to support new construction and a positive residual demand indicates new construction in this market is likely.
- Project features are adequate in this portion of the city and county. The inventory is mixed ranging from older 30+ year inventory to newer inventory. The subject's amenity package and features are generally commensurate with other similar class properties in the subject's market.
- The subject property is currently existing. The economic infrastructure for the subject properties PMA is fundamentally sound and should continue to grow at a constant and

moderate pace.

- The overall development scheme is appropriate and well suited for the market. We make no further recommendations and/ or modifications to the development.
- There do not appear to be any detrimental influences that would impede the absorption rate already established at 50 units per month.
- Our analysis contained herein incorporated the opinions of property managers and leasing agents as well as local market participants that are considered experts in their respective fields.

Given the indications described above, it is reasonable to conclude that the subject's current actual capture rate will continue throughout the mid-range forecast. Given the positive residual demand and the availability of land and capital, new competition is anticipated to materialize in the short and midterm. We have made appropriate allowances for additional new competition.

Noteworthy Issues: None.

SWOT ANALYSIS

Strengths and weaknesses are specific to the subject whereas opportunities and threats are external.

STRENGTHS INCLUDE;

- Competitive – subject will be competitively priced and will offer commensurate product with its competition

WEAKNESSES INCLUDE;

- None.

OPPORTUNITIES

- Vacancy levels are stable in the market
- Market- the subject is in an area with strong demand and growth prospects.

THREATS

- None.

PURPOSE OF THE MARKET STUDY

EJoseph Appraisal & Consultation was engaged by The Client, to prepare an analysis of the family-oriented rental housing, particularly as it relates to Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) apartments like the subject. This study focuses on the Primary Market Area surrounding the subject. The purpose of this market study was to;

- Analyze property productivity
- Delineate the market of property users
- Forecast demand
- Measure competitive supply
- Analyze market equilibrium/ disequilibrium
- Forecast subject capture

A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section A; NCHMA)

I. A CONCISE DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND THE IMMEDIATE SURROUNDING AREA.

The subject site consists of one parcel constituting 6.05±acres, which is accessible via Dulles Town Blvd, off of Sunrise Valley Drive. The site is generally irregular in shape. The site is generally level and at road grade. The property is located in the northwestern portion of Fairfax County, adjacent to Dulles International Airport. All relevant utilities are available to the site. The shape of the sites does not appear to impose any developmental issues. Based on a physical inspection of the sites, there do not appear to be any adverse conditions that would impede the marketability of the sites. Surrounding land uses consist of multifamily, hospitality, and office. The subject is located within walking distance to the Innovation Center Metro Station on the Silver Line.

Land uses in the immediate area are consistent with and complementary to the development. A brief description of immediate land uses in each direction is as follows;

- North – Hospitality / Multifamily
- South – Multifamily / Office
- East – Multifamily / Office
- West – Office / Dulles International Airport

Existing land use patterns are residential in nature with some commercial type uses. Land use patterns are expected to remain the same; however, many of the structures will undergo redevelopment/ renovations as the area continues to improve.

2. A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT INCLUDING THE PROPOSED POPULATION TO BE SERVED.

The subject property consists of Phase II of the multifamily complex known as Dulles Town Center Apartments. The sponsor currently owns and operates and is proposing to rehabilitate the existing units with below-market debt and/or tax credit financing. The community will remain a low-income property in in which all 128 units will have rent and income restrictions at 60% of AMI. The subject consists of two and three-bedroom units. This study focuses on apartment housing in the Primary Market Area (PMA) surrounding the subject located in Fairfax County.

The target market will be households earning below 60% of AMI. Household sizes will range from 3 persons up to 4.5 persons per household (based on an average household size of 1.5 persons per bedroom). The minimum household income level will be based upon the assumption that tenants will pay up to 35 percent of income toward rent.

3. SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Northern Virginia is a continually growing market, as the area continues to expand, and a strong local economy and wealthy populace create business opportunities which should continue to attract people to the area. Population is expected to continue to increase in the years to come. The unemployment rate continues to lag the state average. The property is in the immediate vicinity of existing multifamily, office, and hospitality uses, adjacent to Dulles International Airport. The area is suburban in nature.

4. BRIEF DESCRIPTION AND SUPPORT OF THE DEFINED PRIMARY MARKET AREA;

The subject's PMA is defined as the western portion of Fairfax County, between the City of Manassas to the south, and Dulles Town Center/Sterling to the north. To determine the PMA for the subject, we conducted multiple interviews with the subject's competitive properties to establish where their tenants were being drawn from. Based upon our interviews, the clear majority of the tenant base was being drawn from other localities within the described limits. We further considered demographic data, employers, and commuter patterns in framing the subject's PMA.

5. SUMMARY OF KEY DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

- Population is increasing putting upward pressure on the demand for housing
- Incomes are rising
- The number of households are increasing
- Renter ratios are steady; there is a large gap between renter ratio and multifamily ratio which is increasing the demand for additional multifamily housing

6. SUMMARY OF COMPETITIVE MARKET CONDITIONS

A summary of some key multifamily economic indicators in the Reston/Herndon area are as follows;

KEY INDICATORS

| Current Quarter | Units | Vacancy Rate | Asking Rent | Effective Rent | Absorption Units | Delivered Units | Under Constr Units |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 4 & 5 Star | 10,569 | 10.6% | \$2,209 | \$2,189 | 201 | 306 | 1,286 |
| 3 Star | 5,328 | 3.8% | \$1,807 | \$1,796 | 12 | 0 | 344 |
| 1 & 2 Star | 44 | 0% | \$1,517 | \$1,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Submarket | 15,941 | 8.3% | \$2,076 | \$2,059 | 213 | 306 | 1,630 |
| Annual Trends | 12 Month | Historical Average | Forecast Average | Peak | When | Trough | When |
| Vacancy Change (YOY) | 0.3% | 6.6% | 6.8% | 14.0% | 2018 Q1 | 3.6% | 2000 Q3 |
| Absorption Units | 963 | 373 | 774 | 1,326 | 2001 Q3 | (44) | 2009 Q1 |
| Delivered Units | 1,088 | 417 | 768 | 1,771 | 2018 Q3 | 0 | 2014 Q4 |
| Demolished Units | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2021 Q2 | 0 | 2021 Q2 |
| Asking Rent Growth (YOY) | 10.2% | 1.8% | 4.9% | 10.9% | 2021 Q3 | -5.3% | 2020 Q3 |
| Effective Rent Growth (YOY) | 13.5% | 1.7% | 5.4% | 14.5% | 2021 Q3 | -8.4% | 2020 Q3 |
| Sales Volume | \$322M | \$207.8M | N/A | \$813.1M | 2019 Q2 | \$0 | 2014 Q2 |

7. SUMMARY OF DEMAND FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Include a concise statement of the analyst's opinion of market feasibility, determined by factors of market demand.

- There is a marginal demand pool of 4,415 units of rental housing (also including assumed utility allowance).
- Based upon our market survey, the subject's proposed rents appear to be achievable in the marketplace.
- The subject is expected to be absorbed into the market at a pace of 50 dwelling units per month. The subject is existing, and a rolling renovation will occur. There will be no pre-leasing, as the development is likely to retain its existing tenant base.
- The site is attractive and well located regarding its access and proximity to employment, community services, shopping, medical, and transportation routes which provides extended access to all points throughout the PMA.
- The location, rents, and amenity package will appeal to the low to moderate income families.
- The bedroom mix of units, the household size distribution, the capabilities, and the unit vacancy levels indicate that the subject's bedroom mix is appropriate in this market at the rent levels.

Economic rent is sufficient to cover debt service and return a reasonable return to the investor with the use of LIHTC equity.

8. A SUMMARY OF POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE ATTRIBUTES

In addition, include issues that will affect the properties marketability, performance and lease-up and points that will mitigate or reduce any negative attributes.

- (+) The subject provides housing to a growing population and is well positioned in the market.
- (+) Fairfax County exhibits a shortage of multifamily housing, and specifically income and rent restricted multifamily housing.
- (+) The subject is in a suburban location, located in Washington DC, which tends to be more insulated from economic variations.
- (+) The subject will generate additional revenue for purchases of goods and services which will help the local economy. The local spending index potential is as follows;
- (-) The subject is in avg condition and will benefit from a complete rehabilitation
- (-) The subject does not contain any one-bedroom units, and would benefit from a more diverse unit mix

| 2021 Consumer Spending | |
|---|-----------------|
| Apparel & Services: Total \$ | \$212,413,456 |
| Average Spent | \$3,887.08 |
| Spending Potential Index | 183 |
| Education: Total \$ | \$193,506,038 |
| Average Spent | \$3,541.08 |
| Spending Potential Index | 205 |
| Entertainment/Recreation: Total \$ | \$311,001,584 |
| Average Spent | \$5,691.20 |
| Spending Potential Index | 176 |
| Food at Home: Total \$ | \$521,563,836 |
| Average Spent | \$9,544.41 |
| Spending Potential Index | 175 |
| Food Away from Home: Total \$ | \$382,328,472 |
| Average Spent | \$6,996.46 |
| Spending Potential Index | 184 |
| Health Care: Total \$ | \$559,235,906 |
| Average Spent | \$10,233.79 |
| Spending Potential Index | 164 |
| HH Furnishings & Equipment: Total \$ | \$220,273,267 |
| Average Spent | \$4,030.91 |
| Spending Potential Index | 179 |
| Personal Care Products & Services: Total \$ | \$88,609,025 |
| Average Spent | \$1,621.51 |
| Spending Potential Index | 181 |
| Shelter: Total \$ | \$2,090,367,174 |
| Average Spent | \$38,252.89 |
| Spending Potential Index | 190 |
| Support Payments/Cash Contributions/Gifts in Kind: Total \$ | \$220,656,826 |
| Average Spent | \$4,037.93 |
| Spending Potential Index | 169 |
| Travel: Total \$ | \$262,580,213 |
| Average Spent | \$4,805.11 |
| Spending Potential Index | 190 |
| Vehicle Maintenance & Repairs: Total \$ | \$101,140,903 |
| Average Spent | \$1,850.84 |
| Spending Potential Index | 167 |

Data Note: The Spending Potential Index (SPI) is household-based, and represents the amount spent for a product or service relative to a national average of 100.

9. PRECISE STATEMENT OF KEY CONCLUSIONS REACHED BY THE ANALYST.


Given the demand for multifamily housing in the subject's PMA, we anticipate the subject to be successful.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS AND/ OR SUGGEST MODIFICATIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS APPROPRIATE.

Based upon our review of plans provided by the developer, as well as the scope of rehab, no modifications to the current development are suggested. There will be a high degree of conformity with other competitive assets in the subject's PMA.

II. ABSORPTION RATE

To estimate the absorption rate for the subject, we surveyed other similar assets in the subject’s PMA to determine how quickly those assets leased up and achieved stabilization. Few new tax credit development has occurred in Fairfax County from which to glean absorption data. Within the Reston/Herndon area, newer developments are absorbing at around 50 dwelling units per month, depending on size. Given the subject’s size, we anticipate an average monthly absorption rate of 50 units per month. The subject is currently existing and is 100% occupied and is consistently at full occupancy. There is adequate demand for the subject and demand is anticipated to increase in the near future based on demographic data.



Absorption for 2021 thus far has shown over 600 units absorbed in the Herndon/Reston area, higher than 2020. Vacancy has stayed the same, at around 8% overall for the submarket. Net deliveries have been consistent with absorption, with around 600 units delivered in the first two quarters of 2021, indicating rapid absorption.

B. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section B; NCHMA)

1. Type of Report – Comprehensive Report
2. Client and project developer – See Letter of Transmittal
3. Intended Use and Users of Report - EAJoseph was engaged to conduct an analysis of the apartment rental housing market. The intended user is the Client and V.H.D.A.
4. Identify Steps taken in completion of report – See below.

The scope of this study requires compliance with the Uniform Standard of Professional Appraisal Practice promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation and the Guide Notes to the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice adopted by the Appraisal Institute. The standards contain requirements and specific guidelines that deal with the procedures to be followed in developing an appraisal, market study, analysis, or opinion. These uniform standards set the requirements to communicate in a manner that will be meaningful and not misleading in the marketplace. The appraiser/ analyst researched many different resources in the scope of this narrative report. Such information and the source of this information are as follows;

- Information pertaining to the property and the construction particulars was provided by the developer. In addition, we had several conversations with persons familiar with the subject.
- Information concerning the site was obtained from the owner and confirmed through city records.
- Information pertaining to employment data was provided on-line by the Virginia Employment Commission. We further obtained economic infrastructure information from the respective counties/ city's official web sites.
- Information pertaining to demographic data was obtained on-line from American Factfinder which is part of the U.S. Census Bureau's official website. In addition, we used Site-To-Do-Business (STDB) which is a reputable on-line database. Some extrapolations/ projections were done in-house while others were provided by the above stated providers.
- Information pertaining to multifamily market data for the subject submarket and the overall market is taken from on line records, telephone surveys and various publications. This data is considered reliable, and we assume it is correct.
- Pertaining to the competitive rental housing market in the subject properties primary market area (PMA), the analyst interviewed a person or persons familiar with each respective property in an effort to obtain germane information to facilitate the analyst in providing a credible market study report. In addition, the analyst performed a windshield inspection of each property.
- In summary, the appraiser/ analyst thoroughly evaluated the subject property in this narrative report.

The market study report will be prepared in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice and V.H.D.A. guidelines as promulgated by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts. The format herein is modeled after the most recent version of the Model Content Standards for Rental Housing Market Studies in conjunction with VHDA Market Study Guidelines.

5. Date of Field Work and Site Visit; Field work and site visits were conducted on September 09, 2021
6. Person conducting field work; Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS
7. Primary analyst researching conclusions of report; Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS

C. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section C; Project Description; NCHMA)

I. UNIT TABULATIONS (EXISTING / PROPOSED)

| No. | Unit Type | SF | \$* | UA | Gross \$ | Gross \$ Limit | Rent % | Inc. % | Type | Property |
|-----|-----------|------|---------|-------|----------|----------------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| 63 | 2.2 | 935 | \$1,626 | \$75 | \$1,701 | \$1,742 | 60% | 60% | LIHTC | Dulles Town |
| 65 | 3.2 | 1177 | \$1,860 | \$105 | \$1,965 | \$2,013 | 60% | 60% | LIHTC | Center, Phase II |

128

2. THE COMMUNITIES TARGET MARKET AND ANY TENANCY RESTRICTIONS

The community will remain a low-income property in in which all 128 units will have rent and income restrictions at 60% of AMI. The subject consists of two and three-bedroom units. The property does not have any age restrictions placed on the property.

The maximum incomes for the MSA are as follows;

| Average Median Income | Maximum Gross Income | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | \$129,000 (Based on 4 person AMI) | | | | | | | |
| | 1 person | 2 person | 3 person | 4 person | 5 person | 6 person | 7 person | 8 person |
| Adj. for Fam. Size | 0.7000 | 0.8000 | 0.9000 | 1.0000 | 1.0806 | 1.1605 | 1.2403 | 1.3202 |
| % of Median Income | | | | | | | | |
| 10% | 9,030 | 10,320 | 11,610 | 12,900 | 13,940 | 14,970 | 16,000 | 17,030 |
| 20% | 18,060 | 20,640 | 23,220 | 25,800 | 27,880 | 29,940 | 32,000 | 34,060 |
| 30% | 27,090 | 30,960 | 34,830 | 38,700 | 41,820 | 44,910 | 48,000 | 51,090 |
| 40% | 36,120 | 41,280 | 46,440 | 51,600 | 55,760 | 59,880 | 64,000 | 68,120 |
| 50% | 45,150 | 51,600 | 58,050 | 64,500 | 69,700 | 74,850 | 80,000 | 85,150 |
| 60% | 54,180 | 61,920 | 69,660 | 77,400 | 83,640 | 89,820 | 96,000 | 102,180 |
| 70% | 63,210 | 72,240 | 81,270 | 90,300 | 97,580 | 104,790 | 112,000 | 119,210 |
| 80% | 72,240 | 82,560 | 92,880 | 103,200 | 111,520 | 119,760 | 128,000 | 136,240 |
| 90% | 81,270 | 92,880 | 104,490 | 116,100 | 125,460 | 134,730 | 144,000 | 153,270 |
| 100% | 90,300 | 103,200 | 116,100 | 129,000 | 139,400 | 149,700 | 160,000 | 170,300 |
| 110% | 99,330 | 113,520 | 127,710 | 141,900 | 153,340 | 164,670 | 176,000 | 187,330 |
| 120% | 108,360 | 123,840 | 139,320 | 154,800 | 167,280 | 179,640 | 192,000 | 204,360 |
| 130% | 117,390 | 134,160 | 150,930 | 167,700 | 181,220 | 194,610 | 208,000 | 221,390 |
| 140% | 126,420 | 144,480 | 162,540 | 180,600 | 195,160 | 209,580 | 224,000 | 238,420 |
| 150% | 135,450 | 154,800 | 174,150 | 193,500 | 209,100 | 224,550 | 240,000 | 255,450 |

The maximum income at 60% of the AMI adjusted for family size is highlighted above. The maximum rents are as follows;

| Average Median Income | Maximum Gross Rents | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | \$129,000 (Based on 4 person AMI) | | | | | | | |
| | 1 person | 2 person | 3 person | 4 person | 5 person | 6 person | 7 person | 8 person |
| Adj. for Fam. Size | 0.7000 | 0.8000 | 0.9000 | 1.0000 | 1.0806 | 1.1605 | 1.2403 | 1.3202 |
| % of Median Income | | | | | | | | |
| 10% | \$226 | \$258 | \$290 | \$323 | \$349 | \$374 | \$400 | \$426 |
| 20% | \$452 | \$516 | \$581 | \$645 | \$697 | \$749 | \$800 | \$852 |
| 30% | \$677 | \$774 | \$871 | \$968 | \$1,046 | \$1,123 | \$1,200 | \$1,277 |
| 40% | \$903 | \$1,032 | \$1,161 | \$1,290 | \$1,394 | \$1,497 | \$1,600 | \$1,703 |
| 50% | \$1,129 | \$1,290 | \$1,451 | \$1,613 | \$1,743 | \$1,871 | \$2,000 | \$2,129 |
| 60% | \$1,355 | \$1,548 | \$1,742 | \$1,935 | \$2,091 | \$2,246 | \$2,400 | \$2,555 |
| 70% | \$1,580 | \$1,806 | \$2,032 | \$2,258 | \$2,440 | \$2,620 | \$2,800 | \$2,980 |
| 80% | \$1,806 | \$2,064 | \$2,322 | \$2,580 | \$2,788 | \$2,994 | \$3,200 | \$3,406 |
| 90% | \$2,032 | \$2,322 | \$2,612 | \$2,903 | \$3,137 | \$3,368 | \$3,600 | \$3,832 |
| 100% | \$2,258 | \$2,580 | \$2,903 | \$3,225 | \$3,485 | \$3,743 | \$4,000 | \$4,258 |
| 110% | \$2,483 | \$2,838 | \$3,193 | \$3,548 | \$3,834 | \$4,117 | \$4,400 | \$4,683 |
| 120% | \$2,709 | \$3,096 | \$3,483 | \$3,870 | \$4,182 | \$4,491 | \$4,800 | \$5,109 |
| 130% | \$2,935 | \$3,354 | \$3,773 | \$4,193 | \$4,531 | \$4,865 | \$5,200 | \$5,535 |
| 140% | \$3,161 | \$3,612 | \$4,064 | \$4,515 | \$4,879 | \$5,240 | \$5,600 | \$5,961 |
| 150% | \$3,386 | \$3,870 | \$4,354 | \$4,838 | \$5,228 | \$5,614 | \$6,000 | \$6,386 |

3. UTILITY

The landlord currently does and will continue to pay for water and trash. The tenant will be responsible for electricity. All the appliances are electric. Nothing is gas. The utility allowance estimate is included in the above table.

4. DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT

- a. Dulles Town Center Apartments is a three-story garden apartment complex containing 128 units spread across 5 apartment buildings. The exteriors are vinyl.
- b. Common/ site amenities include pool, rental office, clubhouse / community room, fitness center, grilling area, onsite parking.
- c. Unit amenities include range/ oven, refrigerator, microwave, disposal, dishwasher, range hood, central air, carpet/ vinyl flooring, shades/ blinds, cable ready, washer/ dryer, balcony/patio, ceiling fans.
- d. Parking options – adequate on-site parking is provided.

The improvements on this property are of good quality and are of typical design and exhibit average functional utility. The subject has a high level of functional utility, and the improvements are consistent, albeit somewhat superior, with its market and therefore, there is a moderate degree of conformity with other similar class projects. There is adequate on-site parking. Overall, this is a type of improvement that should be competitive in the local real estate rental and/ or sales market.

5. FOR REHABILITATION PROJECTS

The subject's rehab will consist of the following scope. This is a general rehab mainly lowering the effective age of the property by making capital improvements to nearly all aspects, including: site work (replacing asphalt, concrete, sidewalks, building drainage, and landscaping), new kitchen and baths, all windows replaced, all siding replaced, all appliances and most HVAC units replaced, all doors replaced, new flooring in units, and electrical repairs and lighting to meet VHDA standards.

| Dulles Center II Scope | |
|--|--|
| A & E Item | Description |
| Repair/replacement for concrete walks which are cracked, spalled, or where settlement of walks is more than ½” from concrete curbs | Use slab jacking for uneven sidewalks and repair or replace cracked pavement |
| Ensure all wheelchair ramps and public areas are fully ADA accessible | Adjust slope on necessary handicap ramps and add new ramps or adjust curb cuts as necessary |
| Grading for drainage and run off | Install drains where necessary to route water away from or around buildings. Attempt to meet minimum requirement of 6" between bldg and mulch and negative slope away from foundation. Request waiver where proper slope is not feasible |
| Fix failing storm water drop inlets | Use slab jacking to raise surrounding sidewalks and also seal seams to drop inlet if damaged |
| Add or replace exterior lighting fixtures if the existing fixtures are not adequate to provide required illumination. | Replace necessary exterior and common area building lights with more energy efficient options. |
| Clubhouse renovation | Use new vinyl, windows, and architect recommended changes to improve clubhouse curb appeal. New mechanicals along with new flooring, workout area, kitchen area. Route some wiring in wall to provide better functionality |
| Fix or replace damaged front doors on units | Repair doors that are fixable and replace those that are not. Same goes for patio doors and mechanical closet doors. Repaint ALL exterior doors and casings |
| Fix patio doors | Replace ALL patio door glass inserts. |
| Replace exterior sheathing | Replace existing Thermoply with VHDA approved sheathing material or install new sheathing material over existing sheathing with VHDA approval. |
| Bring attic insulation to minimum R-38 per VHDA Minimum Standards. | Add approximately 20R of insulation |
| Replace all windows. | Install All new Energy star rated windows. Final brand decision based on availability. Includes replacement on shop and clubhouse as well as apartment buildings |
| New Siding | Remove existing siding. Install new siding to provide attractive new exterior. Clad all exposed wood trim with aluminum or replace with PVC trim and wood |
| Railings | Re-paint aluminum patio railings and reinstall. Fix, replace, or bring to code any damaged or loose breezeway railings. Replace wooden breezeway railings with raw aluminum ones. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Breezeways | Stain existing breezeway wood owner specified color |
| Stairways | Rebuild or replace 1st floor stairs on fronts of buildings |
| Plumbing | Replace shut off valves for fixtures |
| Landscaping | Remove existing landscaping around buildings that doesn't meet VHDA guidelines |
| New flooring in apartments | Install durable vinyl plank floors down hallway, kitchen, laundry and dining area |
| Replace cabinets, counter tops | Will replace All kitchen cabinets and countertops. |
| Replace Kitchen Sink | All units |
| Replace Kitchen faucets | All units |
| Replace appliances | All units. Will reuse laundry equipment. |
| Kitchens & baths are to have necessary ground fault protection outlets | Bring up to code with new GFI outlets. Add where necessary. |
| Replace bathroom vanities, vanity tops | Will replace with VHDA approved vanities |
| Replace bathroom faucets and toilets | Will replace All bath faucets and toilets with watersense certified units |
| Aerators | Install low flow aerators (1.5 GPM showerhead and bath fixtures, water sense toilets, 2 GPM kitchen-MAX) |
| Mirrors | All units get new mirrors in bathrooms |
| Electric smoke detectors with battery back up | Replace any missing or damaged smoke detectors w/ new |
| New gutters on all buildings | Install new aluminum gutters with downspouts and splash blocks, flexible extenders, or drain tile as necessary |
| New heat pumps | Replace existing R22 heat pumps with 13 seer or higher 410a units |
| Building entrances | Redesign front of building to allow for VHDA required, 5 foot overhang |
| Water Heaters | Replace water heaters over 5 years of age |
| OTHER ITEMS INCLUDED IN REHAB: | Replace broken bath fans |
| | Install necessary concrete pads in front of dumpsters |
| | Clean ducts per VHDA requirement |
| | Ensure interior finish and drywall meets VHDA requirements |
| | Replace any roofs as necessary |

6. INCLUDE THE STATUS OR DATE OF ARCHITECTURAL PLANS

Scope of Rehabilitation was provided by the owner in a narrative format on the report's effective date.

7. RELEVANT DATES:

| Relevant Dates | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Start Construction | 1/2/2022 |
| End | 11/30/2022 |
| Months to Renovate | 11 |
| Pre-Lease Begins | 6/1/2022 |
| Months prior to completion | 0.00 |
| Pace | 50 |
| Units Pre-Leased | 0 |
| Units Total | 128 |
| Stabilized | 122 |
| Remaining Units | 0 |
| Months | 2.43 |
| Anticipated Date of Stabilization | 12/1/2022 |

8. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORTIVE SERVICES PROVIDED FOR RESIDENCES, IF PROVIDED.

Not Applicable

(Additional VHDA 2016 Market Study Guidelines; Project Description)

1. Construction Type – Existing; Rehabilitation
2. Occupancy Type – Family
3. Special Needs Population – Not applicable
4. Structure Type – Garden style; suburban architecture
5. The subject is not a scattered site development
6. Site amenities – site amenities include; pool, tennis, rental office, clubhouse, playground, fitness center, on-site parking.

IMPROVEMENT ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Effective Age

“Effective age is the age indicated by the condition and utility of a structure and is based on an appraiser’s judgement and interpretation of market perceptions”. This may be different than a subject’s actual, or chronological age. Effective age estimate considers not only physical wear and tear but also functional and external considerations.

The subject, upon rehabilitation, will be new/ like new. Based on an observation of the property, there does not currently appear to be any functional obsolescence. To account for the actual age of the existing structure, the subject’s effective age is 10± years upon completion of rehabilitation.

Remaining Economic Life

Economic life is the period over which improvements to real property contribute to property value”. “¹Remaining economic life is the estimated period over which existing improvements are expected to continue to contribute economically to property value”.

The remaining economic life is calculated as the total economic life less the effective age of the subject. The subject has an economic life of 50 to 60 years. Therefore, considering the effective age is 10 years upon completion, the remaining economic life is 50 to 60 years.

Functional Utility

Architectural style and functional utility are interrelated and their combined effect on property value must be considered. The subject’s architectural style is a transitional low-rise garden apartment community. The subject’s architectural style is typical of the market for its respective property class status. The multifamily housing market is almost exclusively of this style type and therefore, we can conclude that the subject’s architecture is preferred by the market.

Functional utility is “the ability of a property or building to be useful and to perform the function for which it is intended according to current market tastes and standards. The efficiency of the building’s use in terms of architectural style, design and layout, traffic patterns, and the size and layout of the rooms”. ²Functional utility is the impairment of the functional capacity of a property or building according to market tastes and standard; equivalent to functional obsolescence because ongoing change makes layouts and features obsolete”.

The subject, upon completion of the renovation, the subject will continue to be a 128-unit apartment complex with a mixture of two- and three-bedroom garden style units. The design and function are like other competing properties in the market. The quality is commensurate with that of similar type properties of similar age. The subject has a commensurate site amenity package with that of similar properties of similar age.

¹ Source: The Appraisal of Real Estate, 13th edition published by the Appraisal Institute; page 415

² Source: The Appraisal of Real Estate, 13th edition published by the Appraisal Institute; page 262

Property Rating

The Property Rating Sheet contained simply rates the subject relative to comparable properties located in the subject’s competitive market. The elements of comparison considered in the Property Rating Sheet include; design and appearance, quality of construction, condition of improvements, room sizes/ layout, closets/ storage, appliances, unit amenities, site amenities and parking. Future multifamily properties are not expected to differ materially from current projects, hence, the subject is compared with the prevailing competition in the area, which, taken together, epitomizes a comparative standard for the local market. A *typical* rating is assigned a weight of four. Weights range from one through seven with the lower three corresponding to factors rated below typical and weights five through seven are above typical.

The following page displays a chart that itemizes the subject’s attributes and rates the relative influence of each. The standard score for the major competition is 36, calculated by multiplying the 9 factors of comparability by each factor’s average score of four.

Property Rating Sheet

| Subject Apartment Building Rating | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|--------|---------|----|----------|------|------|----|
| Impact of Productivity | Inferior | | | Typical | | Superior | | | |
| | High | Mod. | Slight | Average | | Slight | Mod. | High | |
| Design and appearance | | | | | x | | | | |
| Quality of Construction | | | | | x | | | | |
| Condition of Improvements | | | | | x | | | | |
| Room Sizes/ Layout | | | | | x | | | | |
| Closets/ Storage | | | | | x | | | | |
| Appliances | | | | | x | | | | |
| Unit Amenities | | | | | x | | | | |
| Site Amenities | | | | | x | | | | |
| Parking | | | | | x | | | | |
| Number of Items | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Times Category Score (weighting) | 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Subtotal Score | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal Score | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Subject Score | | | | | | | | | 36 |

The subject’s score is 36, or 100% of the standard score which indicates the subject is in line to its respective market. The subject property ranked typical in five of the 9 categories considered and superior in the remaining categories. The subject did not rank inferior in any respect. The subject’s improvements have an overall rating of superior as compared to other multifamily complexes located in the subjects PMA.

D. LOCATION

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section D; Location; NCHMA)

1. Subject Site Photographs and Environs

SUBJECT PHOTOGRAPHS



Exterior - Dulles, Phase II



Exterior - Dulles, Phase II



Exterior - Dulles, Phase II



Exterior - Dulles, Phase II



Typical Interior



Typical Interior



Typical Interior



Typical Interior



Typical Interior



Exterior

IMMEDIATE MARKET ENVIRONS



Proximate Development



Proximate Development



Proximate Development


2. IDENTIFY LAND USES DIRECTLY SURROUNDING THE SUBJECT SITE(S)

Land uses in the immediate area are consistent with and complementary to the development. A brief description of immediate land uses in each direction is as follows;


- North – Hospitality / Multifamily
- South – Multifamily / Office
- East – Multifamily / Office
- West – Office / Dulles International Airport

Existing land use patterns are expected to remain the same as the area undergoes modest development.

AERIAL VIEW OF LAND USES



3. Map of Subject Site



(North Orientation)

4. INGRESS/ EGRESS

Primary ingress/ egress is gained from Little Current Drive, off of Sunrise Valley Drive. Overall access potential is considered adequate for the sites intended use.

5. DESCRIBE AND EVALUATE THE VISIBILITY OF THE SUBJECT SITE

The subject site has adequate visibility from several secondary surface streets. Visibility and access do not adversely affect the subjects' marketability. The subject site appears suitable for its existing and proposed use.


6. PROVIDE ANALYSIS OF NEIGHBORHOOD AMENITIES;

The subject's neighborhood is in immediate proximity to a multitude of amenities including; shopping, schools, transportation linkages, medical services, places of worship and retail. The

area is rural/suburban in nature. The following maps will illustrate the subject's proximity to these various amenities:



Major Transportation Linkages




The subject site is located proximate to Sully Rd, and Dulles Access Road, which provide linkage throughout Northern Virginia.

Shopping



A mixed-use development is located adjacent to the subject, which contains several restaurants, a market, and other retail stores. The nearest destination shopping is Reston Town Center, approximately 10 minutes east of the subject. Dulles Town Center is located approximately ten minutes north of the subject.


Schools



Within the subject's immediate area there are 8 schools. The Goddard School, a private early childhood learning center, is located adjacent to the subject.

Subject and Its Proximity to Hospitals

The most proximate hospital to the subject is Reston Hospital Center, located in Reston. The hospital is an approximately 10-minute drive to the east from the subject site.



Proximity to Public Transportation

Not Applicable.

7. COMMENT OF AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.

No public transportation is available at the subject site.

8. CRIME

Fairfax County has a lower overall crime rate than the state of Virginia, with 3,009 “Group A” per 100,000 residents in 2020, which is much lower than the 5,166 incidents per 100,000 residents in the state of Virginia as a whole. The chart below summarizes the most recent crime statistics for Fairfax County:

FCPD 2020 GROUP A OFFENSES

Crimes Against Persons are calculated based on the number of victims.

Crimes Against Property and Crimes Against Society are calculated based on the number of events.

**The population used to calculate the Crime Rate is 1,172,398. The source is the Fairfax County Economic, Demographic and Statistical Research Unit.*

The CY2020 IBR categories which cover all types of reported crimes are:

Crimes Against Persons (**decreased 1.04%** from CY2019)

Crimes Against Property (**increased 3.06%** from CY2019)

Crimes Against Society (**decreased 41.03%** from CY2019)

Crime across all categories had an **overall decrease of 5.33% in CY2020** compared to CY2019.

| IBR CATEGORY | 2019 | 2020 | Difference | % Change | 2020 Crime Rate (per 100,000)* |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Assault Offenses | 7,940 | 7,874 | -66 | -0.83 | 671.61 |
| Homicide Offenses | 14 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 1.28 |
| Kidnapping/Abduction | 121 | 117 | -4 | -3.3 | 9.98 |
| Sex Offenses, Forcible | 309 | 288 | -21 | -6.80 | 24.57 |
| Sex Offenses, Non Forcible | 4 | 7 | 3 | 75 | 0.60 |
| TOTAL | 8,388 | 8,301 | -87 | -1.04 | 708.04 |
| IBR CATEGORY | 2019 | 2020 | Difference | % Change | 2020 Crime Rate (per 100,000)* |
| Arson | 30 | 15 | -15 | -50.00 | 1.28 |
| Bribery | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 |
| Burglary/Breaking & Entering | 630 | 624 | -6 | -1 | 53.22 |
| Counterfeiting/Forgery | 464 | 286 | -178 | -38.36 | 24.39 |
| Destruction/Damage/Vandalism of Property | 4,141 | 4,683 | 542 | 13.09 | 399.44 |
| Embezzlement | 207 | 122 | -85 | -41.06 | 10.41 |
| Extortion/Blackmail | 59 | 129 | 70 | 118.64 | 11.00 |
| Fraud Offenses | 3,737 | 3,875 | 138 | 3.69 | 330.52 |
| Larceny/Theft Offenses | 12,071 | 11,891 | -180 | -1.49 | 1,014.25 |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 839 | 1,283 | 444 | 52.92 | 109.43 |
| Robbery | 342 | 314 | -28 | -8.19 | 26.78 |
| Stolen Property Offenses | 50 | 39 | -11 | -22.00 | 3.33 |
| TOTAL | 22,571 | 23,262 | 691 | 3.06 | 1,984.14 |
| IBR CATEGORY | 2019 | 2020 | Difference | % Change | 2020 Crime Rate (per 100,000)* |
| Drug/Narcotic Offenses | 5,594 | 2,939 | -2,655 | -47.46 | 250.68 |
| Gambling Offenses | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Pornography/Obscene Material | 199 | 246 | 47 | 23.62 | 20.98 |
| Prostitution Offenses | 69 | 25 | -44 | -63.77 | 2.13 |
| Weapon Law Violations | 454 | 516 | 62 | 13.66 | 44.01 |
| TOTAL | 6,318 | 3,726 | -2,592 | -41.03 | 317.81 |
| COMBINED IBR CATEGORIES | 2019 | 2020 | Difference | % Change | 2020 Crime Rate (per 100,000)* |
| Aggregate Total of IBR Categories | 37,277 | 35,289 | -1,988 | -5.33 | 3,009.98 |

NOTE: Statistics for the prior year may differ from recently published reports because of further validation of offense activity. Further validation may include: on-going investigations after reported incidents; status changes of initial offenses; and reclassification of offense titles from the initial date reported. As of 3/3/2021

9. PROVIDE CONCLUSION CONCERNING THE SUITABILITY OF THE PROPOSED SITE FOR THE PROPOSED USE.

Not Applicable. The subject is existing and is proposed to be renovated.

LOCATION ANALYSIS

As part of the location analysis, a competitive location rating of the area is prepared. This rating procedure has two steps. First, each submarket is compared with another area about various factors and each element of comparison is rated in terms of a numerical score. The higher the rating assigned to an area, the higher its score. Second, the submarkets are ranked relative to one another using a calibrating process. The resulting scores are then totaled. A higher score identifies an area considered superior. The rating numbers will range from 1, being the worst, to 3 being the best.

| Rating Factor | Subject | Manassas | Tysons Corner |
|--|---------|----------|---------------|
| Proximity to Existing Development | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Public Planning/ Development Support | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Path of Growth | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Reputation/ Prestige | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Access/ linkages (now and future) | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Schools | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Restaurants/ Shopping | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Employment Center | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Higher Income Housing | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Recreational Facilities | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Aesthetics- natural features | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Infrastructure- existing and committed | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| *Detrimental Influences | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Score | 35 | 26 | 36 |
| % of Total Scores | 36% | 27% | 37% |

The subject's PMA (western Fairfax, including Reston, Chantilly, and Centreville) is compared to the two closest PMAs, Manassas and Tysons Corner. The subject scored 35 out of a possible 39 which equates to 36%. There are no known hazards, nuisances, or detrimental influences in the area. The subject's submarket appears to be suitable for low to moderate income housing.

E. MARKET AREA DEFINITION

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section E; Market Area Definition; NCHMA)

I. DEFINE THE PRIMARY MARKET AREA

The definition of a market area for any real estate use is generally limited to the geographical area within which consumers will consider the available product alternatives to be relatively equal. Frequently, a primary area is defined where consumers will have the highest propensity to choose a specific product at a specific location, and a secondary area is defined where consumers are less likely to select a product at that location, but where demand from consumers will still be significant.

Time-Distance Concepts

Time distance relationships are often used to determine a subject's Primary Market Area (PMA). A PMA is the geographical area that the subject is expected to draw most of its tenant base from. Time distance concepts are simply the relationship between the time it takes, and distance one has to travel to get to their respective destination. This concept recognizes the relationship between where a tenant chooses to live and the distance to their respective destination. Some of the most important factors in a time-distance relationship include proximity to work, school, entertainment, or shopping.

Competitive Area (area over which equally desirable properties tend to compete with the subject)

After analyzing the time-distance relationship between the subject and employment and support facilities as well as the market area for competitive housing, the analyst concludes that the market area for the subject apartment project includes Herndon/Reston area of western Fairfax County.

Direct Survey Method

In employing the Direct Survey Method, we simply surveyed the existing competitive inventory to determine where they are drawing their residents from in terms of geographical location. Of those properties that participated in our survey, we found that approximately 90% of the residents are being drawn from an approximate 3-mile radius their respective location. Accordingly, based upon our direct survey of the market, we can reasonably account for up to 115 of the 128 dwelling units' demand which equates to 90%. Secondary market would make up the balance of the units, but our analysis only focuses on the PMA.

Commuting Patterns

Commuting patterns measure the daily travel between places of residence and places of work. To be classified as a commuter, a worker must cross at least one political boundary when traveling between work and home. This information facilitates the analyst in establishing the demarcations of the subject's PMA. It estimates where employment hubs are in proximity to employee's places of residence. By measuring the mean travel time to work, we can establish the PMA by simply approximating the distance traveled from home to work. This is a good foundation is facilitating how far residents are willing to travel to work.

| Commuting to Work (16+) | % |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Less than 5 minutes | 1.40% |
| 5 to 9 | 7.10% |
| 10 to 14 | 14.00% |
| 15 to 19 | 15.70% |
| 20 to 24 | 13.00% |
| 25 to 29 | 6.20% |
| 30 to 34 | 12.20% |
| 35 to 39 | 3.60% |
| 40 to 44 | 4.80% |
| 45 to 59 | 9.90% |
| 60 to 89 | 9.50% |
| 90+ | 2.60% |
| Mean travel time to work (min.) | 27.2 |

Approximately 70% of commuters have a commute time of less than the 35-minute mean travel time to work.

Conclusion


Based on field research and prior analysis of market conditions in the area, along with an assessment of population change and housing development, employment, transportation and geographic patterns, municipality definitions, housing stock conditions, and the location of competitive affordable housing, the effective primary market area for the subject is as follows;

PMA

| <u>Define:</u> | <u>Census Tracts</u> | <u>Jurisdiction</u> |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Fairfax County | 4805.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4805.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4805.03 | Fairfax County |
| | 4805.04 | Fairfax County |
| | 4805.05 | Fairfax County |
| | 4808.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4808.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4809.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4809.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4809.03 | Fairfax County |
| | 4810 | Fairfax County |
| | 4811.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4811.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4811.03 | Fairfax County |
| | 4811.04 | Fairfax County |
| | 4811.06 | Fairfax County |
| | 4812.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4812.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4820.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4820.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4821 | Fairfax County |
| | 4822.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4822.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4822.03 | Fairfax County |
| | 4823.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4823.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4825.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 4825.02 | Fairfax County |
| | 4825.04 | Fairfax County |
| | 4826.01 | Fairfax County |
| | 9802 | Fairfax County |
| Loudoun County | 9801 | Loudoun County |
| | 6114 | Loudoun County |
| | 6117 | Loudoun County |

Secondary markets include concentric areas outward from previous boundaries determine. A Primary Market Area can further be examined on a macro and micro level. A macro PMA is defined where consumers will have the highest propensity to choose a specific product at a specific location (as per the above stated definition) and demand may account for absorbing the vast majority of the proposed units. As previously stated, the subject properties macro-PMA is defined above. The micro-PMA examines relevant trends in the subject properties immediate market area. Because the area of study is significantly smaller than the macro-PMA, demand accounts for absorbing only a small portion of the units. Our micro-PMA includes the census tract in which the subject property is located. For illustrative purposes, we will often refer to demographic trends within the county only. We anticipate 30% of the units to be absorbed from the subject's micro-PMA; 60% to be absorbed by the subject's macro-PMA and the remaining 10% to be absorbed by secondary markets. Thus, we anticipate that by focusing on the subject's macro-PMA, we can reasonably account for 90% of derived demand.

2. A MAP OUTLINING THE SUBJECTS PRIMARY MARKET AREA (PMA) IS AS FOLLOWS;



The subject’s PMA is defined in the above map. Land uses in the immediate area are consistent with and complementary to the subject’s existing & proposed development. The subject site is in the immediate vicinity of existing residential, office, multifamily, and retail. There are a wide array of land uses in the subject’s immediate market area. The area is semi-urban in nature.

F. EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMY

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section F; Employment and Economy; NCHMA)

I & 2 EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY

The chart below considers the employment by industry for the county, which most closely approximates the subject's PMA, and the entire MSA. As demonstrated, the composition of employment by industry for the city is consistent with the composition of employment by industry for the entire MSA. This is a diverse industry base with no one sector that is dominating the job sector to the detriment of the rest.

| Total Employment by Industry | | |
|---|----------------|------------|
| | Fairfax County | % of Total |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | 0 | 0.00% |
| Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction | 0 | 0.00% |
| Utilities | 1,218 | 0.20% |
| Construction | 24,871 | 4.02% |
| Manufacturing | 5,782 | 0.94% |
| Wholesale Trade | 14,095 | 2.28% |
| Retail Trade | 55,189 | 8.93% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 7,817 | 1.26% |
| Information | 19,460 | 3.15% |
| Finance and Insurance | 28,341 | 4.58% |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 10,107 | 1.63% |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Servi | 159,436 | 25.79% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 17,869 | 2.89% |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Management | 44,623 | 7.22% |
| Educational Services | 11,343 | 1.83% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 59,572 | 9.63% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 7,373 | 1.19% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 46,064 | 7.45% |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | 20,903 | 3.38% |
| Government Total | 84,254 | 13.63% |
| Total, All Industries | 618,317 | 100% |

3. HISTORICAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

Unemployment rates in the country have historically been higher than the state and consistent with US levels.

| Year | Fairfax County | VA | US |
|------|----------------|-------|-------|
| 2010 | 5.10% | 7.30% | 9.60% |
| 2011 | 4.80% | 6.60% | 8.90% |
| 2012 | 4.50% | 5.90% | 8.10% |
| 2013 | 4.40% | 5.60% | 7.40% |
| 2014 | 4.20% | 5.10% | 6.20% |
| 2015 | 3.60% | 4.40% | 5.30% |
| 2016 | 3.30% | 4.00% | 4.90% |
| 2017 | 3.00% | 3.70% | 4.40% |
| 2018 | 2.50% | 2.90% | 3.90% |
| 2019 | 2.30% | 2.70% | 3.70% |
| 2020 | 5.80% | 6.20% | 8.10% |

Unemployment rates at the city, state and national levels have been trending downwards. Unemployment rates in the city have maintained their lower levels than state and national levels.

| Month/ Yr. | Fairfax County | VA | US |
|------------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Jun-20 | 7.80% | 8.90% | 11.20% |
| Jul-20 | 7.50% | 8.10% | 10.50% |
| Aug-20 | 6.00% | 7.10% | 8.50% |
| Sep-20 | 5.80% | 6.40% | 7.70% |
| Oct-20 | 4.90% | 5.70% | 6.60% |
| Nov-20 | 4.40% | 5.50% | 6.40% |
| Dec-20 | 4.40% | 5.70% | 6.50% |
| Jan-21 | 5.10% | 5.70% | 6.80% |
| Feb-21 | 4.80% | 5.40% | 6.60% |
| Mar-21 | 4.50% | 5.10% | 6.20% |
| Apr-21 | 3.60% | 3.90% | 5.70% |
| May-21 | 3.70% | 4.10% | 5.50% |
| Jun-21 | 4.10% | 4.50% | 6.10% |

Monthly trends have been consistent with years past.

4. AREA MAJOR EMPLOYERS

PMA MAJOR EMPLOYER LIST (by order of number of employees)
(Non Retail)

| Employer Name | County | Industry Sector |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Fairfax County Public Schools | Fairfax County | Educational |
| Inova Health System | Fairfax County | Healthcare |
| County of Fairfax | Fairfax County | Government |
| US Department of Defense | Fairfax County | Government |
| Capital One Bank | Fairfax County | Banking |
| Booz, Allen and Hamilton | Fairfax County | Government |
| Federal Home Loan Mortgage | Fairfax County | Government |
| George Mason University | Fairfax County | Educational |
| US Dept. of Homeland Defense | Fairfax County | Government |
| Navy Federal Credit Union | Fairfax County | Banking |

5. COMMENT OF RECENT OR PLANNED MAJOR EMPLOYMENT EXPANSIONS.

There is no anticipated significant change in employment that is expected to alter the economic fundamentals of the subject’s primary market area. The current employment base is expected to sustain the economy. Unemployment rates appear to be stable. As the economy improves, the unemployment rate is expected to improve. The MSA is somewhat economically insulated due to the large government-based presence.

6. IN RELEVANT MARKETS, COMMENT OF AVAILABILITY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Primary for employees of businesses and industries that draw from PMA.

Not Applicable

7. TYPICAL WAGES BY OCCUPATION

| Average Weekly Wage by Industry | \$ |
|---|---------|
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | \$627 |
| Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction | \$2,006 |
| Utilities | \$2,440 |
| Construction | \$1,571 |
| Manufacturing | \$1,464 |
| Wholesale Trade | \$2,874 |
| Retail Trade | \$860 |
| Transportation and Warehousing | \$823 |
| Information | \$3,254 |
| Finance and Insurance | \$3,842 |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | \$1,642 |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Servi | \$2,724 |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | \$4,700 |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Manageme | \$1,363 |
| Educational Services | \$1,185 |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | \$1,312 |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | \$987 |
| Accommodation and Food Services | \$543 |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | \$1,107 |
| Government Total | \$2,030 |
| Average for County | \$1,868 |

The total average for the county is \$1,868.

8. COMMUTING PATTERNS

Commuting patterns measure the daily travel between places of residence and places of work. To be classified as a commuter, a worker must cross at least one political boundary when traveling between work and home.

| Commuting Patterns | Persons |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| People who live and work in area | 235,870 |
| In-Commuters | 356,813 |
| Out-Commuters | 278,046 |
| Net In-Commuters | 78,767 |

There are approximately 235,870 people who reside and work in the PMA. The total number of persons commuting into the PMA is more than those that commute out of the county by approximately 78,767 persons.

9. CONCLUSIONS

The market should continue to grow at a moderate and deliberate pace. The growth will continue to be fueled by the public sector and Washington DC MSA growth. The area will continue to be an attractive business location due to; good location, quality labor supply, and diverse and deep corporate community with global reach.

The velocity of economic activity varies dramatically from an impending upsurge in some submarkets to continued softness in others. The County of Fairfax is an economically viable market.

G. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

(Format derived from Version 3.0, Adopted 1/14/2013; Section G; Demographic Characteristics; NCHMA)

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS

General Population Trends

The population for the subject's PMA increased approximately 27.50% between 2000 and 2021. The total population for the PMA is estimated at 154,333 in 2026 which represents an annual 1.02% growth rate over the next 5 years. This change in population over this time span is considered moderate and thus we can conclude that the population in the subject's PMA is growing at a constant and deliberate pace.

| General Population Trends | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| Year | No. | % Change | Annual % Change |
| 2000 | 115,200 | Base Year | Base |
| 2021 | 146,875 | 27.50% | 1.31% |
| 2026 (est) | 154,333 | 5.08% | 1.02% |

Population by Age

| Population By Age | 2021 | % | 2026 (est) | % |
|-------------------|---------|--------|------------|--------|
| 0-4 | 9,547 | 6.50% | 9,877 | 6.40% |
| 5-9 | 9,841 | 6.70% | 9,877 | 6.40% |
| 10-14 | 10,722 | 7.30% | 9,877 | 6.40% |
| 15-24 | 16,450 | 11.20% | 17,285 | 11.20% |
| 25-34 | 19,975 | 13.60% | 21,761 | 14.10% |
| 35-44 | 24,534 | 16.70% | 23,922 | 15.50% |
| 45-54 | 20,275 | 13.80% | 21,607 | 14.00% |
| 55-64 | 18,365 | 12.50% | 18,057 | 11.70% |
| 65-74 | 12,044 | 8.20% | 13,736 | 8.90% |
| 75-84 | 4,259 | 2.90% | 6,791 | 4.40% |
| 85+ | 1,175 | 0.80% | 1,543 | 1.00% |
| Total | 146,875 | 100% | 154,333 | 100% |
| Below 35 | | 45.30% | | 44.50% |

As indicated above, approximately 45.30% of the population is 35 years of age or younger which is the typical age range for an apartment dweller. There does not appear to be any significant shifts in the age composition of the population over our survey period.

General Housing Trends

To take a more detailed look at the average and projected household size, we will take a closer look at the components of this equation; housing trends and population in households.

Housing Trends; PMA

| Year | Population in HH | Households | Housing Units | Persons per HH | Occupancy % |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| 2000 | 114,870 | 40,590 | 42,096 | 2.83 | 96% |
| 2021 | 146,451 | 54,646 | 56,840 | 2.68 | 96% |
| 2026 (est) | 153,644 | 57,330 | 59,780 | 2.68 | 96% |

Household Trend Analysis

| Year | Number | | Growth Rate (%) | |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| | Total | Annual | Total | Annual |
| 2000-2021 | 14,056 | | 669 34.63% | 1.65% |
| 2021-2026 | 2,684 | | 537 4.91% | 0.98% |

Housing Unit Trend Analysis

| Year | Number | | Growth Rate (%) | |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| | Total | Annual | Total | Annual |
| 2000-2021 | 14,744 | | 702 35.02% | 1.67% |
| 2021-2026 | 2,940 | | 588 5.17% | 1.03% |

Housing Trends in macro-PMA

- Persons in occupied housing units increased from 2021 to 2026 and is expected to increase at a slightly slower pace.
- Household increase from 2021 to 2026 and is expected to continue increasing at around 0.98%.
- Housing units increased from 2021 to 2026 and is expected to increase at a rate slightly lower than the previous statistical period.
- The persons-per-household has generally remained level and is expected to remain level. Persons per household decreased to 2.68 to 2.83 from 2000 to the current year.
- Occupancy rates have generally remained generally level. It is not uncommon for the ratio of households (occupied houses) to housing units (includes occupied and vacant houses) to be somewhat low because of the ratio of abandoned houses.

In almost every market, rural and urban, there has been a decline in the household size since 1960 because of several sociological factors including smaller families, fewer extended or three generation families, greater number of divorces and single parents, increased personal longevity yielding more elderly, one- and two-person households, etc. (By definition, the minimum household size is 1.0.). The PMA is no exception to this trend.

Households by Tenure

| Owner/ Renter Occupancy in PMA | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------|------------|------|
| | 2010 | % | 2021 | % | 2026 (est) | % |
| Owner | 32,127 | 62% | 33,138 | 58% | 34,015 | 57% |
| Renter | 17,434 | 34% | 21,486 | 38% | 23,314 | 39% |
| Vacant | 2,173 | 4% | 2,217 | 4% | 2,451 | 4% |
| TOTAL: | 51,734 | 100% | 56,840 | 100% | 59,780 | 100% |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| Households | 49,561 | | 54,623 | | 57,329 | |
| *Adj Renter % | 35% | | 39% | | 41% | |

*- nets out vacant households

Based upon information provided by the Site to do Business, the ratio of renters to owners in the subject PMA stayed the same from 2010 to 2021. It is currently 39%. This ratio is expected to increase by two percent over the next five years. The ratio of multifamily households is approximately 39%, after netting out vacant households.

DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME

Income Restrictions

Establishing the factor to identify which target households are eligible by income requires the definition of the limits of the affordable income range. The following table presents the data used in the MSA market in deriving these ranges. The upper limit is constrained using HUD income limits adjusted for household size. This analysis converts household size into bedroom mix using maximum reasonable occupancies, given the household size distribution. Therefore, the expected household size average of an EFF is 1 person; 1 BR is 1.5 persons; 2 BR is 3 persons and a 3 BR is 4.5 persons, etc.

Pertaining to the affordable units; the affordability range, including the lower limits, for the analysis, is estimated using expenditure patterns reflecting what consumers typically pay for housing. The lower limits are typically established by assuming a household can reasonably afford to pay no more than **35%** of its income for gross housing expenses, including utilities and maintenance. (The most recent Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX) by the Census Bureau indicates that the average cost paid by U.S. households is around 38%). The upper limits are established using the HUD limits referred to above.

The analysis is contained on the following page.

The income bands are as follows;

| INCOME LIMIT DERIVATION PMA | | |
|---|------------------|----------|
| | LIHTC @ 60%/ 60% | |
| Unit Type (No. of BR's) | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| No. of Units | 63 | 65 |
| Proposed Rents | \$1,626 | \$1,860 |
| UTILITY ALLOWANCE | \$75 | \$105 |
| GROSS RENTS | \$1,701 | \$1,965 |
| Annual Rent | \$20,412 | \$23,580 |
| Incomes needed to Support Gross Rents (with no subsidy component considered) | \$58,320 | \$67,371 |
| Proportion of Units Eligible for Restrictions Eligible Households: | 100% | 100% |
| Eligible Income, Upper Limit @ 40% of AMI | | |
| Eligible Income, Upper Limit at 50% of AMI | | |
| Eligible Income, Upper Limit at 60% of AMI | 69,660 | 80,520 |
| Maximum Tax Credit Eligible Gross Rents (40%) | | |
| Maximum Tax Credit Eligible Gross Rents (50%) | | |
| Maximum Tax Credit Eligible Gross Rents (60%) | \$1,742 | \$2,013 |
| Target Income Ranges: | \$58,320 | \$67,371 |
| to | \$69,660 | \$80,520 |

All units have income restrictions at 60% of AMI. The income bands for the 2-BR units are \$58,320 to \$69,660; the income range for the 3-BR apartment units is \$67,371 to \$80,520. The rent level, the resulting affordability limits, and the income distribution among the lower income households in the market, creates a segment for family households. The income range for a rental project is illustrated in the above tables.

It is possible that some households, with higher incomes and larger family sizes, could qualify for units, and that some smaller households will also qualify for the bedroom units or choose to pay more than 35% of their income for housing. However, this range is estimated to include the bulk of the potential tenants. This analysis uses the income distributions for family households in the subject's PMA as the income standard, not the MSA. The inclusion of other county/ city households within the PD may skew the market area medians and result in an inaccurate estimation of demand.

AFFORDABILITY

The affordability index quantifies the number of households that fall within the income range established in the previous table. Those households that fall within the income range can afford the proposed product. Within this analysis, we have assumed an even spread of households throughout the income range. Note that the subject contains four different types of income/rent restrictions: 2BR units with 60% of rent and AMI; 3BR units with 40% of rent and 60% of AMI; 3BR units with 50% of rent and 60% of AMI; and 3BR units with 60% of rent and 60% of AMI.

The affordability indexes are as follows;

| Household Income Distribution; PMA | | | | 2 BR | 3BR |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Household Income Range | % of HH | # of HH | 60% | 60% | 60% |
| \$0 to \$15,000 | 3% | 666 | | | |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 3% | 537 | | | |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 3% | 709 | | | |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 5% | 1,074 | | | |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 9% | 2,020 | 1532 | 916 | 616 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 12% | 2,621 | 579 | | 579 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 21% | 4,405 | | | |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 17% | 3,631 | | | |
| \$200,000 to + | 27% | 5,844 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Renter HH | 100% | 21,486 | 2,111 | 916 | 1,195 |
| Min Income | | | \$58,320 | \$58,320 | \$67,371 |
| Max Income | | | \$80,520 | \$69,660 | \$80,520 |
| | | | | | |
| Affordability Index | | | 10% | 4% | 6% |

The overall affordability index is 10% for all units. The affordability index is simply the ratio of renter qualified households by the total number of renter households. The affordability index is further segmented by bedroom as demonstrated in the above table.

Adjust for Normal Vacancy

At any given time, some units will be vacant because of seasonal occupancy or the need to refurbish units. A vacancy rate of about 5% is often applied to the demand forecast to reflect a market in equilibrium. In non-valuation studies, such as a feasibility analysis for proposed construction, this adjustment is used to estimate the supportable project size. We have made a 5% allowance in our analysis.

Demand Generators

Marginal demand for real estate is typically of function of two basic indices; Changes in Population and Trends within the Existing Housing Inventory. Within each category, various demand generators are present. A brief description of each form of marginal demand is described below.

Change in population

Initially, the change in population is the primary component which drives household demand. As population increases/ decreases, the demand for housing increases/ decreases relative to household size. If average household size remains constant, as population increases, the demand for households also increases. Conversely, if population decreases, the demand for household's decreases. The change in population has the potential of increasing/ decreasing overall household demand. This form of demand is new demand.

Existing Inventory

Movership demand and latent demand (often referred to as "pent-up" demand)

Movership demand is simply a form of trending within the existing household inventory. Movership demand is created as the existing inventory of housing shifts into/ out of the subject's target qualification criteria. Movership demand is demand generated by the upward/ downward mobility of lower/ upper-income households. If move-up demand exceeds move-down demand, then a positive "net" demand is created. This marginal demand estimate can be added to or subtracted from the existing demand, thus increasing/ decreasing overall demand. If move-down demand exceeds move-up demand, then a negative "net" demand is created. This marginal demand estimate is subtracted from the existing demand, thus decreasing overall demand.

Latent demand, which is also referred to as pent-up demand, typically results from under building in an area. If, over the last several years, rental building has not kept pace with the population increase and, more importantly, the percentage of the population desiring (or needing) rental units that had been forecast to increase, latent demand might be present.

During our analysis, we have estimated that "latent" demand will be generated from five sources; existing unmet demand (existing demand exceeds existing supply), cost burden renters, substandard households, waiting lists and conversions.

- Existing Demand may be present if current demand for a product exceeds the current supply for a product type.
- Family/ Elderly Cost Burden Renters are those renters that are spending more than 35%/ 40% of their income for housing costs.
- Substandard Households are those households that have incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing facilities or more than one person per room.
- Waiting lists is a form of pent-up demand in which a tenant (demand) must wait for a residential unit (supply).
- Conversions are simply those that reside in one form of housing may convert to another form of housing. Most commonly this occurs between home owners and renters.

If latent demand exists, then it always represents a positive adjustment to existing demand.

Adjust for Movership Demand

As previously discussed, movership demand is created from trending within the existing inventory.

Trends

Based upon our analysis, we anticipate that "move-up" gains are expected to mostly be cancelled out from "lateral- losses" and thus a 0% additional demand is generated from trending.

Adjust for Latent Demand

Our analysis indicates that the current demand for the subject exceeds the current supply and therefore, a positive residual demand exists.

Cost Burden Renters are those renters that spending more than 35% of income for housing costs. Based upon information provided by the US Census Bureau, approximately **25%** of renter households in the subject PMA are considered cost burden households.

Substandard Households are those households that have incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing facilities or more than one person per room. Based upon information provided by the US Census Bureau, less than 7% of renter households are considered substandard households.

We have estimated that **33%** of *current* renter households are a combination of cost burden and substandard households. Not all the cost burden households and substandard households will qualify for the subject; however, more than the average affordability index will qualify given that the subject is designed to cater to this household segment. Therefore, we have estimated the affordability index at **50%** for this household segment. The balance of those households that would not qualify would likely remaining in their current house or find housing with a deeper form of subsidy.

H. COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT

COMPARABLE PROPERTY PROFILES

The first part of the analysis calls for an inventory of available and anticipated competitive supply. Quantitative supply data can be obtained from many sources. The most common sources include; apartment associations, private market research firms and local municipal governments.

In the second part of the supply analysis, a quantitative ranking of competitive apartments is developed. We relied on judgment to complete the quantitative survey and rate the subject against the competition.

EXISTING STOCK OF COMPETITIVE PROPERTIES

Predominate type of development and conformity.

The predominately type of development in the subject's PMA is residential in nature. The multifamily inventory is typically garden style walk up communities. The subject will be a Class B community and is anticipated to have a moderate degree of conformity with other Class B projects in the PMA.

Existing Properties

Using quantitative data obtained from municipal lists of existing apartments and unit totals, we estimated the multifamily inventory within the subject's PMA at approximately 1,114 multifamily units. Not all these units are competitive with the subject. Some units are occupied by tenants with household incomes above or below the range specified for the subject. The subject will be a family oriented LIHTC property and only other family oriented LIHTC properties are expected to compete with the subject. Therefore, we have conducted a survey on the existing inventory of family oriented LIHTC properties contained in the subject's PMA.

Planned Projects

We are not aware of any new LIHTC projects coming online in the subject's PMA, which are currently under construction or in the planning phase. Based upon conversations with city/ county officials and housing trends, an annual allowance of 50 competitive new dwelling units is estimated within the subject's PMA over the mid-range forecast (5 years; 10 per year). Because the lending market has become more restrictive, fewer development loans are being made in the area. However, more recently, signs of the lending market relaxing, increased occupancy rates and increasing rents again is expected stir new development in the years to come.

ANALYSIS OF COMPETITIVE SUPPLY AND RATING OF THE SUBJECT AGAINST THE COMPETITION

To obtain an inventory of apartment projects that are competitive with the subject, the noncompetitive properties must be segmented out from the existing and anticipated supply. In this step, noncompetitive supply is identified in the rating process. We have rated apartment complexes in the subject’s PMA in terms of three major criteria: location, age/ condition and amenities.

- **Location**
Each of the areas where apartment communities are clustered was assigned a rating on a scale of 1 to 3; the better the location within the PMA, the higher the rating.
- **Age/ Condition**
The age/ condition of the apartment complexes was rated 1 to 3. The older complexes received a lower rating whereas; newer complexes received a higher rating.
- **Amenities**
Property amenities include amenities offered within the apartments and common site amenities. Properties with a superior amenity package received the higher rankings.
- **Other factors considered include whether the project is affordable or market oriented and the overall size of the complex. Properties of similar age and physical characteristics may not be considered competitive with the subject because it is not an affordable community. Also, smaller projects may not be considered competitive either. These factors were considered in our ranking analysis.**

Because the subject will be a family oriented LIHTC property, all other family oriented LIHTC properties are expected to compete with the subject. Subsidized properties and elderly properties were excluded from our analysis. Our ranking analysis is as follows;

| Property | Submarket | Age Group | Units | Property Class | Overall Rating | Type | Build |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Dulles I | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 144 | B | 8 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Apartments at North Point | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 48 | B | 8 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Arrowbrook Centre I & II | Herndon/Reston | 0 to 5 | 274 | B | 8 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Cedar Ridge | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 162 | C | 5 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Coppermine Run I & II | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 288 | B | 8 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Island Walk | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 102 | B | 8 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |
| Stonegate Village | Herndon/Reston | 15 to 30 | 240 | C | 5 | LIHTC | Traditional/Gdn |

Total Units Surveyed in PMA 1,258

All the properties above are expected to directly compete with the subject. The communities were sorted by their overall rating. The location ratings, the age ratings and the amenities rating were added to produce the overall rating illustrated above. Using their competitive supply ratings, the 5 communities were grouped into three classes; A, B and C. The following table shows the breakout of the apartment complexes by class.

| Class | No. of Communities | % of Total Units | No. of Units per Class | Rating Scores |
|-------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| A | 0 | 0% | 0 | 9+ |
| B | 5 | 71% | 856 | 7, 8 |
| C | 2 | 29% | 402 | <5 |
| Total | 7 | 100% | 1,258 | |

Conclusion of Competitive analysis

Class A

- There are no Class A projects located within the subject's PMA.

Class B

- There is a total of 4 Class B projects containing 856 dwelling units. The rating scores are 7 and 8.

Class C

- There is a total of 2 Class C projects containing 402 dwelling units. The rating scores have a range from 5 and below.

The subject is considered to be a Class B asset community. The subject competes with all other LIHTC properties within the subject's PMA. The subject also competes with market rate properties within the PSA. Market rental rates are generally well above the maximum allowable gross rent (considering utility allowance); therefore, market properties are not considered to directly compete with LIHTC properties within the Subject's MSA. There is a total of 1,258 income and rent restricted dwelling units between 7 properties surveyed. Of those units surveyed, 100% of the units are expected to compete with the subject.

Comparable Property Photos and Property Profiles

Per VHDA Guidelines, a general write-up, including photos of all LIHTC properties contained in the subject's PMA is contained on the following pages. Only those LIHTC units that are family oriented and unsubsidized were included in our survey. No market rate properties were included in our survey; however, LIHTC properties with a market rate component were included in our survey as we feel the subject will effectively compete with those units as well.

In some cases, despite our best efforts, properties may not have been surveyed (not returning phone calls, refusal to cooperate). In instances in which we were unable to reach any party to the property, we may have updated older data based on trends, or relied upon online sources. In some cases, in which alternative sources were not available, a property may not have been updated, but still included in our analysis. There is a total of 6 LIHTC properties located in the subject's PMA. Those properties and their status, include;

| Property | Status |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Dulles I | Updated; Survey |
| Apartments at North Point | Updated; Survey |
| Cedar Ridge | Updated; Survey |
| Coppermine Run I & II | Updated; Survey |
| Island Walk | Updated; Survey |
| Stonegate Village | Updated; Survey |

Several properties may not have been able to be reached for a phone survey. No data was available on these properties publicly. Therefore, they are not included in the following comparable write-ups.

A general write-up of the subject and those properties considered comparable are contained on the following pages. The property profile sheets outline each properties unit matrix, unit amenities, site amenities and utilities/ services included in rent. It's used as a basis for determining the subject's true competition and this analysis further establishes the subject's conformity with its competition and highlights unique aspects of the subject that may service as a competitive advantage or deficiency.

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 60% of AMI Other () Describe _____
 Property Name: Dulles Center I
 Property Address: 2401 Little Current Drive Phone: _____
 Physical Occupancy: 100 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21
 Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other () Describe _____
 Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____
 What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____
 How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____
Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.
 Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: _____ No _____
 Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? Yes; Innovation Center Silver Line Station
 Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route.
 Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No ()

144 Total Units

| # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|---------|-----------|-------------|------|-----|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 50% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 72 | 2.2 | 1009 | | | \$1,666.00 | | |
| 72 | 3.2 | 1209 | | | \$1,908.00 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | (x) Carpet | (x) Shades/Blinds |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Ice maker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | () Hardwood | (x) Balcony/Patio |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | (x) Washer/ Dryer |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe | () Other | (x) W/D Hook-ups |
| () Range Hood | <u>all electric</u> | <u>vct</u> | (x) Ceiling Fans |
| | | | () Fireplace |
| | | | (s) High/ Vaulted Ceiling |
| | | | () Upgraded Countertops |
| | | | () Garden Tubs |
| | | | () Heavy Molding |
| | | | () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts |
| | | | () Views |
| | | | () Other; Describe: |

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (x) Pool | (x) Clubhouse/ community room | () Laundry Facility |
| (y) Tennis | (x) Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | () Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| (x) Business Office for Residents | (x) Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |

Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | <u>70%</u> |
| Renewal Rate as % of Market Growth: | <u>100%</u> |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | <u>2%</u> |
| Marketing Period | <u>2 weeks</u> |

Newer Property Only

Pre-Leasing Began: _____

Construction Complete: _____

Stabilization: _____

Months: _____

Units: _____

Absorption Rate: _____



Key

x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 * See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: _____ POSITION: Manager
 EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 50% of AMI Other () Describe _____

Property Name: Apartments at North Point

Property Address: 11694 North Point Court Phone: _____

Physical Occupancy: 100 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21

Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other (); Describe _____

Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____

What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____

How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____

Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.

Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: _____ No

Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? Yes.

Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route.

Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No ()

48 Total Units

| # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 50% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 16 | 1.1 | 650 | \$1,225 | | | | |
| 16 | 2.2 | 900 | \$1,461 | | | | |
| 16 | 3.2 | 1100 | \$1,680 | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | (x) Carpet | (x) Shades/Blinds |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Icemaker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | () Hardwood | (x) Balcony/Patio |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | (x) Washer/ Dryer |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe | () Other | () W/D Hook-ups |
| () Range Hood | <u>all electric</u> | <u>vct</u> | () Ceiling Fans |

() Fireplace () Views
 () High/ Vaulted Ceiling () Other; Describe:
 () Upgraded Countertops
 () Garden Tubs
 () Heavy Molding
 () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| () Pool | (x) Clubhouse/ community room | () Laundry Facility |
| () Tennis | () Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | () Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| () Business Office for Residents | () Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |

Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | <u>70%</u> | Newer Property Only |
| Renewal Rate as %/ of Market Growth: | <u>100%</u> | |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | <u>2%</u> | |
| Marketing Period | <u>2 weeks</u> | |

Construction

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Pre-Leasing Began: | |
| Construction Complete: | |
| Stabilization: | |
| Months: | |
| Units: | |
| Absorption Rate: | |



Key
 x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 + See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: _____ POSITION: Manager
 EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 50% of AMI Other () Describe _____
 Property Name: Cedar Ridge
 Property Address: 1601 Becontree Lane, 1D, Reston, VA Phone: _____
 Physical Occupancy: 96 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21
 Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other () Describe _____
 Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____
 What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____
 How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____
 Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.
 Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: Yes _____
 Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? Yes _____
 Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route.
 Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No ()

195 Total Units

| # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 50% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 91 | 2.2 | 930 | \$1,021 | | | | |
| 52 | 3.2 | 1066 | \$1,171 | | | | |
| 52 | 4.2 | 1269 | \$1,394 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other | | |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | (x) Carpet | (x) Shades/Blinds | () Fireplace | () Views |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Icemaker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready | () High/ Vaulted Ceiling | () Other; Describe: |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | (x) Hardwood | (x) Balcony/Patio | () Upgraded Countertops | |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | () Washer/ Dryer | () Garden Tubs | |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe | () Other | () W/D Hook-ups | () Heavy Molding | |
| () Range Hood | all electric | vct | () Ceiling Fans | () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts | |

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| () Pool | () Clubhouse/ community room | (x) Laundry Facility |
| () Tennis | (x) Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | () Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| () Business Office for Residents | () Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |

Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | 70% |
| Renewal Rate as % of Market Growth: | 100% |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | 2% |
| Marketing Period | 2 weeks |

Newer Property Only

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Pre-Leasing Began: | |
| Construction Complete: | |
| Stabilization: | |
| Months: | |
| Units: | |
| Absorption Rate: | |



Key
 x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 * See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492
POSITION: Manager

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 60% of AMI Other () Describe _____
 Property Name: Coppermine Run
 Property Address: 2450 Masons Ferry Drive Phone: _____
 Physical Occupancy: 100 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21
 Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other (); Describe _____
 Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____
 What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____
 How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____
Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.
 Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: _____ No
 Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? Yes.
 Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route.
 Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No ()

288 Total Units

| # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|---------|-----------|-------------|------|-----|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 50% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 216 | 2.2 | 890 | | | \$1,614.00 | | |
| 72 | 3.2 | 1178 | | | \$1,862.00 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other | | |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | (x) Carpet | (x) Shades/Blinds | () Fireplace | () Views |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Icemaker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready | () High/ Vaulted Ceiling | () Other; Describe: |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | () Hardwood | (x) Balcony/Patio | () Upgraded Countertops | |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | () Washer/ Dryer | () Garden Tubs | |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe | () Other | (x) W/D Hook-ups | () Heavy Molding | |
| () Range Hood | <u>all electric</u> | <u>vct</u> | (x) Ceiling Fans | () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts | |

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| (x) Pool | () Clubhouse/ community room | (x) Laundry Facility |
| () Tennis | (x) Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | () Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| () Business Office for Residents | (x) Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |

Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | <u>70%</u> |
| Renewal Rate as % of Market Growth: | <u>100%</u> |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | <u>2%</u> |
| Marketing Period | <u>2 weeks</u> |

Newer Property Only

Pre-Leasing Began:

Construction Complete:

Stabilization:

Months:

Units:

Absorption Rate:



Key
 x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 * See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: _____ **POSITION:** Manager
 EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 60% of AMI Other () Describe _____
 Property Name: Island Walk
 Property Address: 1701 Torrey Pines Court Phone: _____
 Physical Occupancy: 100 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21
 Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other () Describe _____
 Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____
 What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____
 How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____
Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.
 Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: _____ Yes _____
 Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? Yes.
 Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route.
 Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No ()

102 Total Units

| # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|---------|-----------|-------------|------|-----|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 50% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 50 | 2.1 | 1002 | | | \$1,614.00 | | |
| 26 | 3.2 | 1474 | | | \$1,862.00 | | |
| 26 | 4.2 | | | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | (x) Carpet | (x) Shades/Blinds |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Icemaker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | () Hardwood | (x) Balcony/Patio |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | () Washer/ Dryer |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe | () Other | (x) W/D Hook-ups |
| () Range Hood | all electric | vct | (x) Ceiling Fans |

() Fireplace () Views
 () High/ Vaulted Ceiling () Other; Describe:
 () Upgraded Countertops
 () Garden Tubs
 () Heavy Molding
 () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| () Pool | (x) Clubhouse/ community room | () Laundry Facility |
| () Tennis | (x) Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | (x) Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| () Business Office for Residents | () Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |


Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | <u>70%</u> |
| Renewal Rate as %/ of Market Growth: | <u>100%</u> |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | <u>2%</u> |
| Marketing Period | <u>2 weeks</u> |

Newer Property Only

Pre-Leasing Began: []
 Construction Complete: []
 Stabilization: []
 Months: []
 Units: []
 Absorption Rate: []



Key
 x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 * See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: _____ POSITION: Manager
 EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492

**EXHIBIT 1
INFORMATION ON RENT COMPARABLE**

Property Type: Market () Tax Credit (x) at 40% of AMI Other () Describe _____
 Property Name: Stonegate Village
 Property Address: 2244 Stone Wheel Drive Phone: _____
 Physical Occupancy: 100 % Estimated (x) Actual () As of (date): Sep-21
 Specify the property's exterior: Brick () Vinyl (x) T1-11 () Wood () Other (); Describe _____
 Your impression of the Property: Good (X) Average () Poor () Actual/ Effective Age: _____
 What condition was the property in considering its age?: Good (x) Average () Poor () _____
 How would you categorize the property's curb appeal (Good, Average, or Poor) and why?: _____
Good, relative to other properties it is comparable in quality, amenities, landscaping and maintenance.
 Has the property been renovated? If so, what was the scope of the work?: _____ No _____
 Is the property located on or near public transportation; if so, what? _____ Yes _____
 Is the property accessed off a primary road or secondary / residential street? Describe: _____ Secondary, the property is located along a secondary transportation route. _____
 Does the property have a prominent entrance sign visible from the primary road? Yes (x) No () _____

241 Total Units

| Unit Mix: # Units | BR/BA/Den | Square Feet | Rent | | | Unit Type/ Name | Concessions |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------|
| | | | 40% | Mkt | 60% | | |
| 41 | 1.1 | 687 | \$816 | | | | |
| 84 | 2.1 | 865 | \$1,024 | | | | |
| 80 | 3.2 | 1024 | \$1,215 | | | | |
| 36 | 4.2 | 1159 | \$1,363 | | | | |

Unit Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Kitchen | Heat and Cool | Flooring | Other |
| (x) Range and Oven | (x) Central System | () Carpet | () Shades/Blinds |
| (x) Frost Free Ref./ Icemaker | () Wall thru | (x) Vinyl | (x) Cable/ Satellite Ready |
| (x) Microwave | () Window A/C Units | () Hardwood | () Balcony/Patio |
| (x) Dishwasher | () Baseboard Heat | () Ceramic | () Washer/ Dryer |
| (x) Garbage Disposal | () Other: Describe _____ | () Other _____ | () W/D Hook-ups |
| () Range Hood | <u>all electric</u> | <u>vct</u> | (x) Ceiling Fans |

() Fireplace () Views
 () High/ Vaulted Ceiling () Other; Describe: _____
 () Upgraded Countertops
 () Garden Tubs
 () Heavy Molding
 () Exposed Brick/ Beam/ Ducts

Site Amenities: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| () Pool | () Clubhouse/ community room | (x) Laundry Facility |
| () Tennis | () Playground/Tot Lots | (x) On-Site Parking |
| (x) Rental Office | () Covered Parking/Garages | () Elevator |
| () Car Wash Area | () Limited Access; | () Basketball Court/ Racquet Ball Court |
| () Business Office for Residents | () Fitness Center | () Other; please specify: _____ |
| () Jacuzzi/ Sauna | () Grilling Area | |

Utilities and Services Included in Rent: Check where appropriate

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| (x) Water & Sewer | () Heat (Gas/ Elec) | () All Utilities; includes electricity |
| (x) Trash Pickup | () Hot Water (Gas/ Elec) | () No Utilities |
| () Security | () Cooking (Gas/ Elec) | () Other; please specify: _____ |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Tenant Retention (Annual) | 70% |
| Renewal Rate as %/ of Market Growth: | 100% |
| Typical Annual Market Growth Rate: | 2% |
| Marketing Period | 2 weeks |

Newer Property Only

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Pre-Leasing Began: | |
| Construction Complete: | |
| Stabilization: | |
| Months: | |
| Units: | |
| Absorption Rate: | |




Key
 x= indicates feature is present
 s= present in select units
 \$= present for a fee
 * See Comments

COMMENTS:
 None.

CONTACT PERSON: _____ **POSITION:** Manager
 EUGENE A. JOSEPH, JR. - Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, License VA #4001 009492

LOCATION MAP



The above map provides an illustration of the concentration of communities in various portions of the subject’s PMA.

CONFORMITY

A part of determining the subjects competitive set was surveying properties in the subject's PMA to determine the subject conformity with the competitive set. This also gives insight into the subject's competitive advantages and disadvantages. Because the subject is considered to be a LIHTC property, we limited our phone survey to other similar class assets. There was no need to survey market rate, elderly or subsidized properties as the subject is not expected to directly compete with these asset classes. The analysis is as follows;

| Unit Profile | Kitchen | | | | | Central HVAC | Flooring | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Range | Ref. | Micro. | Dish. | Disp. | | Carpet | Vinyl | Other |
| Subject | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| | LIHTC | | | | | | | | |
| Dulles I | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| Apartments at North Point | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| Cedar Ridge | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| Coppermine Run I & II | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| Island Walk | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | |
| Stonegate Village | x | x | x | x | x | x | | x | |
| Compliance Ratio | 6 100% | 6 100% | 6 100% | 6 100% | 6 100% | 6 100% | 5 83% | 6 100% | 6 100% |
| Overall Compliance Ratio | 98% | | | | | | | | |

The subject's overall compliance ratio with the competitive set is 98%.

| Unit Profile | Shades | Bal/ Pat. | W/D | Hook ups | Upgrades | High Ceilings |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | LIHTC | | | | | |
| Dulles I | x | x | x | x | | |
| Apartments at North Point | x | x | x | x | | |
| Cedar Ridge | x | x | | | | |
| Coppermine Run I & II | x | x | x | x | | |
| Island Walk | x | x | | x | | |
| Stonegate Village | x | | | | | |
| Compliance Ratio | 6 100% | 5 83% | 3 50% | 4 67% | 6 100% | 6 100% |
| Overall Compliance Ratio | 83% | | | | | |

The subject's overall compliance ratio with the competitive set is 83%.

| Site Profile | Pool | C.H. | Play Gds. | Fitness | Laundry | Site Parking | Office |
|---------------------------|------|------|-----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------|
| Subject | x | x | x | x | | x | x |
| | | | LIHTC | | | | |
| Dulles I | x | x | x | x | | x | x |
| Apartments at North Point | | x | | | | x | x |
| Cedar Ridge | | | x | | x | x | x |
| Coppermine Run I & II | x | | x | x | | x | x |
| Island Walk | | x | x | | | x | x |
| Stonegate Village | | | | | x | x | x |
| | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Compliance Ratio | 33% | 50% | 67% | 33% | 33% | 100% | 100% |
| Overall Compliance Ratio | 60% | | | | | | |

The subject's overall compliance ratio with the competitive set is 60%.

| Utility Structure | Water | Sewer | Trash | Heat | Hot Water | Cook | Elec. | Other |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----------|------|-------|-------|
| Subject | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Dulles I | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Apartments at North Point | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Cedar Ridge | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Coppermine Run I & II | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Island Walk | x | x | x | | | | | |
| Stonegate Village | x | x | x | | | | | |
| | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Compliance Ratio | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Overall Compliance Ratio | 100% | | | | | | | |

The subject's overall compliance ratio of 100%.

| Unix Mix | Units | Studio | 1 BR | 2 BR | 3 BR | Other |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|------|------|------|-------|
| Subject | 128 | 0% | 0% | 49% | 51% | 0% |
| | | LIHTC | | | | |
| Dulles I | 144 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 50% | 0% |
| Apartments at North Point | 48 | 0% | 33% | 33% | 33% | 0% |
| Cedar Ridge | 195 | 0% | 0% | 47% | 27% | 27% |
| Coppermine Run I & II | 288 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 25% | 0% |
| Island Walk | 52 | 0% | 0% | 9% | 9% | 0% |
| Stonegate Village | 241 | 0% | 14% | 28% | 29% | 13% |
| Overall Ratio | | 0% | 7% | 52% | 30% | 11% |


The subject's unit mix is similar to the encumbered properties in the PMA, which average around 52% 2BR units and 30% 3BR units. The subject's unit mix is 49% 2BR units and 51% 3BR units. The subject's overall compliance ratio is adequate and therefore, we can conclude that the subject follows other similar class assets within the subjects PMA.

- Area Vacancy Rates

The subject's PMA was previously defined. Based upon our survey of competitive properties, vacancy levels were around 0%, not counting frictional vacancy from turnover, which is considered low indicating a strong demand for affordable housing. No one property appears to be under or over representative in our survey.

The overall vacancy rate in the subject's PMA has remained relatively stable at around 4% stabilized vacancy. There is a shortage of multifamily housing, especially encumbered multifamily housing, in the western Fairfax area.

OVERALL & STABILIZED VACANCY



The vacancy rate by unit type has demonstrated a similar pattern of stability over the same time span.

- Discussion of any significant impact of the subject development on the existing rental housing stock.

The subject is proposed and upon completion of the construction, the subject is anticipated to be consistent with the existing inventory as previously defined. Therefore, the subject is not expected to have a significant impact on the existing rental housing stock.

- Identification of waiting lists – we are not aware of any waiting lists for any of the subject's anticipated competitive inventory. Waiting lists are commonly found among subsidized properties, in which case, subsidized properties are not considered competitive with our

subject and therefore, any waiting lists derived at from a subsidized property would be excluded from our analysis.

- Availability of Affordable Housing Options

While there is inventory of LIHTC, public and subsidized housing in the subject's PMA, there is an ever-growing demand for affordable housing.

- Discussion of future changes in housing stock

Based upon conversations with city/ county officials and investor surveys, an annual allowance of **50** competitive new dwelling units is estimated within the subject's PMA over the mid-range forecast. However, more recently, signs of the lending market relaxing, increased occupancy rates and increasing rents again is expected stir new development in the years to come.

Tax Credit and other planned or under construction rental communities in market area.

Only those communities that are considered competitive with the subject are considered germane to our analysis. As previously stated, we have made an annual 10unit annual allowance over the mid-range forecast. This is the annualized estimate of new LIHTC units in the subject's PMA which are estimated to occur within the next five years, which may compete with the subject.

I. FUNDAMENTAL MARKET ANALYSIS (FMA) & CONCLUSIONS

MARKET EQUILIBRIUM

In order to determine the equilibrium status of a particular market, total competitive supply is subtracted from total demand. A negative balance in which supply exceeds demand indicates that there is excess supply. Conversely, a positive balance in which demand exceeds supply indicates excess demand. In this case, qualified demand exceeds competitive supply indicating excess demand.

RESIDUAL DEMAND CONCEPTS

The findings of the demand analysis and competitive supply analysis are considered and analyzed; if demand exceeds supply, then a positive residual demand exists whereas if supply exceeds demand, then the residual demand is negative. In instances where demand exceeds supply, then upward rental trends occur until new inventory is realized. Once the residual demand is offset by additional inventory, rental rates may decline, or rental concessions may become more prevalent. The increased supply brings the market back towards equilibrium. The residual demand in the subject's PMA is positive for each year in mid-range forecast.

OBSERVATIONS ON EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS

In order to determine the equilibrium status of a particular market, total competitive supply is subtracted from total demand. A negative balance in which supply exceeds demand indicates that there is excess supply. Conversely, a positive balance in which demand exceeds supply indicates excess demand. In this case, qualified demand exceeds competitive supply indicating excess demand. Our base year analysis indicates an excess demand at the 60% level.

CAPTURE/ PENETRATION RATES

Fundamental methods were used to estimate the subjects capture and penetration rates, which was then applied to the forecast of the real estate demand for space in the market. The subjects capture rate can be analyzed in a number of ways. We have determined that the most accurate way is to simply divide the marginal demand estimate by the total number of units that would need to be absorbed into the subject properties PMA.

A summary of the table calculating the overall capture rate is contained on the following pages.

Calculation of Housing Demand Schedule @ 60% of AMI

| | |
|--|---------|
| Total Current Population (2021) | 146,451 |
| Average Family Household Size | 2.68 |
| Current Household Demand Estimate (2021) | 54,646 |
| Renter Ratio | 39% |
| Total Demand | 21,494 |
| Affordability Index | 10% |
| Total Potential Demand in Subject's Economic Segment | 2,112 |
| Existing Competitive Supply | 1,258 |
| Current Residual Demand | 854 |

Residual Demand from Existing Inventory 854

| | |
|---|--------|
| Projected Households (2026) | 57,330 |
| Projected Change in Households | 2,684 |
| Renter Ratio | 39% |
| Projected Change in Renter Households | 1,056 |
| Affordability Index | 10% |
| Demand from Income Qualified Multifamily Growth | 104 |
| Vacancy Allowance | 5% |
| Adjusted Demand | 109 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Less: New Competitive Rental Housing (U/C or Planned) | 50 |
| Residual Multifamily Demand | 59 |

Adjusted Residual Demand from Growth 59

Demand from Existing Households

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Current Number of Renter Households | 21,494 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|

| | | |
|---|------|--------------|
| Turnover Demand from Cost Burden & Substandard HH | 33% | 7,004 |
| Movership Demand | 0.0% | 0 |
| Marginal Demand from all Turnover | | 7,004 |

| | |
|---------------------|-----|
| Affordability Index | 50% |
|---------------------|-----|

Adjusted Demand 3,502

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Tenant Retention | 0 |
|------------------|---|

Net Demand-New & Existing Income Qualified Renter Households 4,415

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| # of Units (Subject) | 128 |
| Times: % drawn from PMA | 90% |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Adjusted Number of Units to be captured in PMA | 115 |
| Times: Ratio of stabilized occupancy | 95% |
| Adjusted Number of Units to be captured in PMA | 109 |

| Rate Analysis | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|
| Penetration Rate | Units | Competitive Units | |
| | 21,486 | 1,417 | 6.60% |
| Capture Rate | Subject Units | Units in Demand | |
| | 109 | 4,415 | 2.48% |

| Total Renter HH Demand @ 60% of Rent/Income AMI | | 21,494 | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--|
| | 2 BR (60%) | 3BR (60%) | |
| Tenure by BR | 45% | 23% | |
| Adjusted Demand by BR | 9,759 | 4,986 | |
| Affordability Index | 4% | 6% | |
| Total Potential Demand in Subject's Economic Segment | 629 | 820 | |
| Existing Competitive Supply | 571 | 292 | |
| Current Residual Demand | 58 | 528 | |
| Projected Change in Renter Households | 479 | 245 | |
| Affordability Index | 4% | 6% | |
| Demand from Income Qualified Multifamily Growth | 20.44 | 13.62 | |
| Vacancy Allowance | 5% | | |
| Adjusted Demand | 21.51 | 14.34 | |
| Less: New Competitive Rental Housing (U/C or Planned) | 23 | 12 | |
| Residual Demand from Growth | (1) | 3 | |
| Turnover Demand from Cost Burden & Substandard HH | 33% 3,180 | 1,625 | |
| Affordability Index | 50% | | |
| Adjusted Demand | 1,590 | 812 | |
| Net Demand-New & Existing Income Qualified Renter Households | 1,646 | 1,343 | |
| Subject Units | 63 | 65 | |
| Times: % drawn from PMA | 90% | | |
| Times: Ratio of stabilized occupancy | 95% | | |
| Adjusted Subject Units | 54 | 56 | |
| Capture Rate | 3.27% | 4.14% | |

EVALUATION OF PROPOSED RENTS

The subject two- and three-bedroom dwelling units with rent restrictions at 60%. In order to decide of whether the subject's rent levels are reasonable, we surveyed other similar class LIHTC oriented properties contained in the subject's PMA. The results of our analysis are as follows;

| Rents for Similar Class Assets | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Property | Restrictions | 2BR | \$/ PSF | 3BR | \$/ PSF |
| <i>Subject</i> | 60% | \$1,626 | \$1.74 | \$1,860 | \$1.58 |
| Dulles I | 60% | \$1,626 | \$1.74 | \$1,860 | \$1.58 |
| Apartments @ Nth Pt | 50% | \$1,461 | \$1.62 | \$1,680 | \$1.53 |
| Cedar Ridge | 50% | \$1,021 | \$1.10 | \$1,171 | \$1.10 |
| Coppermine Run | 60% | \$1,614 | \$1.81 | \$1,862 | \$1.58 |
| Island Walk | 60% | \$1,614 | \$1.61 | \$1,862 | \$1.26 |
| Stonegate Village | 50% | \$1,024 | \$1.50 | \$1,215 | \$1.19 |
| | Min | \$1,021 | \$1.10 | \$1,171 | \$1.10 |
| | Max | \$1,626 | \$1.81 | \$1,862 | \$1.58 |
| | Average | \$1,427 | \$1.59 | \$1,644 | \$1.40 |

The subject's proposed rents appear to be reasonable based on comparable properties surveyed. Therefore, the subject's proposed rents appear reasonable and obtainable.


In order to decide of rent advantage, we surveyed other similar class market-oriented properties contained in the subject's PMA. The results of our analysis are as follows;

| Rents for Similar Class Assets | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Property | | 2 BR \$ | \$/ PSF | 3 BR \$ | \$/ PSF |
| <i>Subject</i> | <i>LIHTC @ 60% Rent</i> | <i>\$1,626</i> | <i>1.74</i> | <i>\$1,860</i> | <i>1.58</i> |
| <u>Market Rate Apartments</u> | | | | | |
| The Courts at Dulles | | \$2,077 | \$1.91 | \$2,332 | \$1.88 |
| Trevors Run | | \$2,013 | \$2.00 | \$2,327 | \$1.85 |
| Assembly @ Dulles | | \$2,146 | \$1.67 | \$2,469 | \$1.73 |
| | Min | | \$1.67 | | \$1.73 |
| | Max | | \$2.00 | | \$1.88 |
| | Average | | \$1.86 | | \$1.82 |
| Subjects Rent Advantage (60% LIHTC) | | | \$0.12 | | \$0.24 |
| % | | | 6.50% | | 13.27% |

As the above table illustrates, the subject does possess a discernable rent advantage for the 60% units. The comparables indicate the subject's proposed rent level appears reasonable and achievable. The above analysis indicates the subject's PMA compares favorably to the overall market.

Within the subject's, PMA, asking rates on a per BR basis have been modestly trending upwards. Asking rents for the two, and three bedrooms are approximately \$1,125 and \$1,800, respectively.

MARKET RENT PER UNIT BY BEDROOM




ABSORPTION

In order to estimate the absorption rate for the subject, we surveyed other similar assets in the subject's immediate and extended market area to determine how quickly those assets leased up and achieved stabilization. The analysis is as follows;

To estimate the absorption rate for the subject, we surveyed other similar assets in the subject's PMA to determine how quickly those assets leased up and achieved stabilization. The newer developments being developed in the area are absorbing at around 50 dwelling units per month, depending on size. Given the subject's size, we anticipate an average monthly absorption rate of 50 units per month. This will give the subject adequate time to pre-lease all units. The data below indicate that when new units are constructed, they tend to be absorbed relatively quickly. There appears to be a shortage of multifamily, and specifically income and rent restricted multifamily, in Fairfax County. The subject is existing, and a rolling renovation will occur. There will be no pre-leasing, as the development is likely to retain its existing tenant base.

ABSORPTION, NET DELIVERIES & VACANCY



Given the subject’s size and more intensive marketing efforts associated with larger projects, we have estimated a monthly absorption rate of 50 dwelling units per month. The subject is existing, and a rolling renovation will occur. There will be no pre-leasing, as the development is likely to retain its existing tenant base.

CONCLUSIONS

The subject is well positioned in the market to capture significant tenancy. The housing serves to satisfy the ever-present and growing need for affordable rental housing. The rental rates for the subject are consistent with the rental rates demonstrated in the PMA.

- The residual demand is positive throughout our mid-range forecast period. The residential demand is 4,415 dwelling units.
- The analyst anticipates adequate demand for accessible and supportive housing for persons with disabilities in the subject's primary market area.
- VHDA requires the analyst to consider the impact, if any, on the analysis for proposed communities in which 20% or more of the units contain at least 3 bedrooms. Approximately 49% of the subject units are 3-Bedroom. This is not expected to have a significant impact on demand, as this is a typical 3-bedroom ratio among income and rent restricted projects in the subject's PMA.
- The subject's capture rate is calculated as the percentage of residential demand the subject would have to capture to achieve stabilization. The total number of units for the subject is segmented by the percentage of units captured in the subject's PMA and a typical vacancy allowance. The adjusted total number of units is 109 units. The subject's overall blended capture rate is 2.48%. The capture rates are low, indicating a strong demand for the subject.
- The residual demand is positive throughout our mid-range forecast period. The residential demand is 4,599 dwelling units.
- The analyst anticipates adequate demand for accessible and supportive housing for persons with disabilities in the subject's primary market area.
- The subject is expected to be absorbed into the market at a pace of 50 dwelling units per month. Financing is currently available at attractive terms and the feasibility rent is sufficient to cover costs of construction and return a reasonable yield to the investor. This combined with the low capture rate indicates a strong demand for the subject's product type.
- The site is attractive and well located in regard to its access and proximity to employment, community services, shopping, medical, and transportation routes which provides extended access to all points throughout the MSA.
- The location, rents, and amenity package will appeal to the low to moderate income families and professionals.
- The bedroom mix of units, the household size distribution, the capabilities, and the unit vacancy levels indicate that the subject's bedroom mix is appropriate in this market at the rent levels. Market rents are sufficient to support new construction and a positive residual demand indicates new construction in this market is likely.
- Project features are adequate in this portion of the city and county. The inventory is mixed

ranging from older 30+ year inventory to newer inventory. The subject's amenity package and features are generally commensurate with other similar class properties in the subject's market.

- The subject property is currently existing. The economic infrastructure for the subject properties PMA is fundamentally sound and should continue to grow at a constant and moderate pace.
- The overall development scheme is appropriate and well suited for the market. We make no further recommendations and/ or modifications to the development.
- There do not appear to be any detrimental influences that would impede the absorption rate already established at 50 units per month.
- Our analysis contained herein incorporated the opinions of property managers and leasing agents as well as local market participants that are considered experts in their respective fields.

Given the indications described above, it is reasonable to conclude that the subject's current actual capture rate will continue throughout the mid-range forecast. Given the positive residual demand and the availability of land and capital, new competition is anticipated to materialize in the short and midterm. We have made appropriate allowances for additional new competition.

VHDA NET DEMAND TABLE

Using VHDA’s required format, Net Demand is summarized on the following table. It should be noted that this format does not break out the net demand on a per bedroom type bases, but instead it represents the total net demand.

| | | |
|---|------|-----------|
| Income Restrictions | None | Up to 60% |
| Min. | n/a | \$58,320 |
| Max | n/a | \$80,520 |
| Demand from Existing HH | | 854 |
| New Rental HH's | | 59 |
| PLUS | | |
| *Existing HH's (Rent Overburdened+ Substandard HH+ Turnover) | | 3,502 |
| PLUS | | |
| Existing HH's (Substandard HH's) | | see above |
| PLUS | | |
| Homeowners converting to Rental HH's | | 0 |
| PLUS | | |
| Existing Qualifying Tenants (retain post rehab) | | 0 |
| EQUALS | | |
| Demand | | 4,415 |
| MINUS | | |
| **Supply | | 0 |
| EQUALS | | |
| NET DEMAND | | 4,415 |
| TOTAL ABSORPTION PERIOD (months) | | 2.43 |

*Existing HH's combines substandard and cost burden HH's due to overlapping

**Demand estimates reflect 'net' demand in which supply has already been deducted from demand, therefore

The demand from existing HH's as indicated in the first line is simply latent/ pent up demand in which the existing inventory does not satisfy the existing demand.

MARKET ANALYSIS STATEMENT OF EXPERIENCE

A representative sample of Due Diligence, Consulting or Valuation Engagements for Eugene A. Joseph, Jr, the primary analyst, includes: (see addenda for primary market analysts and review analysts resume).

- Have managed and conducted numerous market and feasibility studies for affordable and conventional housing. Properties are generally Section 42 Low Income Housing Tax Credit Properties. Local housing authorities, developers, syndicators and lenders have used these studies to assist in the financial underwriting and design of LIHTC properties. Analysis typically includes; unit mix determination, demand projections, rental rate analysis, competitive property surveying and overall market analysis.
- Have managed and conducted numerous appraisals of affordable housing (primarily LIHTC developments). Appraisal assignments typically involved determining the as is, as if complete and the as if complete and stabilized values. Additionally, encumbered (LIHTC) and unencumbered values were typically derived.
- In accordance with HUD Notice H 00-12, Mr. Joseph has completed numerous rent comparability Studies for various property owners and local housing authorities. The properties were typically undergoing recertification under HUD's Mark to Market Program.

Selected vendors for demographic information and forecasts include:

- www.stdbonline.com
- <http://www.vec.virginia.gov/>
- <http://factfinder.census.gov/home/>

J. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

ANALYST STATEMENT:

I affirm the following:

1. I have made a physical inspection of the site and market area.
2. The appropriate information has been used in the comprehensive evaluation of the need and demand for the proposed rental units.
3. To the best of my knowledge the market can support the demand shown in this study. I understand that any misrepresentation in this statement may result in the denial of participation in the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program in Virginia as administered by VHDA.
4. Neither I nor anyone at my firm has any interest in the proposed development or a relationship with the ownership entity.
5. Neither I nor anyone at my firm nor anyone acting on behalf of my firm in connection with the preparation of this report has communicated to others that my firm is representing VHDA or in any way acting for, at the request of, or on behalf of VHDA.
6. Compensation for my services is not contingent upon this development receiving a LIHTC reservation or allocation.



Market Analyst

September 15, 2021

Date

CERTIFICATION

The appraisers signing this report make the following certifications to the best of their knowledge and belief.

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- Reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the assumptions and limiting conditions contained within this report, and are the appraisers' personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- The appraisers have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, or personal interest with the parties involved. The appraisers have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report, or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- The appraisers have performed services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report during the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- The appraisers have no bias with respect to the subject property or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- This engagement is not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- Compensation paid to the appraisers is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value, or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of the appraisal.
- Reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) as promulgated by the Appraisal Foundation.
- A statement regarding observation of the subject property by the appraisers is listed below. This viewing, if any, did not attempt to probe, study, investigate, detect, or discover unfavorable physical features.

| Appraiser | Observation |
|---|----------------------|
| Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS | Adequate Observation |
| Matthew W. Davis | No Observation |

- Matthew W. Davis provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the appraiser(s) signing this certification.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics &

Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute, which includes the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

- Use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report, Eugene A., Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA has completed the continuing education program for Designated Members of the Appraisal Institute.

EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation



Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS
Principal Appraiser
Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
License No. 4001 009492

SEQUENCE OF ADDENDA MATERIALS

- Contingent and Limiting Conditions
- Appraiser Qualifications
- License
- Insurance Information
- Service Agreement

Eugene A. Joseph, Jr., MAI, SRA, AI-GRS

Proprietor

Office: +1 804 353 1757

Fax: +1 888 977 3716

Mobile: +1 804 467 2517

gene@ejoseph.com

EJoseph Appraisal & Consultation

PO Box 8225

Richmond, Virginia 23226

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

1. By this notice, all persons, companies, or corporations using or relying on this report in any manner bind themselves to accept these Contingent and Limiting conditions, and all other contingent and limiting conditions contained elsewhere in this report. Do not use any portion of this report unless you fully accept all Contingent and Limiting conditions contained throughout this document.
2. The "Subject" or "Subject Property" refers to the real property that is the subject of this report. An Appraiser is defined as an individual person who is licensed to prepare real estate appraisal-related services in the State of Virginia and affixes his / her signature to this document.
3. Throughout this report, the singular term "Appraiser" also refers to the plural term "Appraisers". The terms "Appraiser" and "Appraisers" also refer collectively to "EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation ", its officers, employees, subcontractors, and affiliates. The masculine terms "he" or "his" also refer to the feminine term "she" or "her".
4. In these Contingent and Limiting Conditions, the "Parties" refers to all of the following collectively: (a) the Appraiser(s), (b) EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation, (c) the client, and (d) all intended users.
5. These Contingent and Limiting Conditions are an integral part of this report along with all certifications, definitions, descriptions, facts, statements, assumptions, disclosures, hypotheses, analyses, and opinions.
6. All contents of this report are prepared solely for the explicitly identified client and other explicitly identified intended users. The liability of the Appraiser is limited solely to the client. There is no accountability, obligation, or liability to any other third party. Other intended users may read but not rely on this report.
7. This document communicates the results of an appraisal assignment. This communication is not an inspection, engineering, construction, legal, or architectural report. It is not an examination or survey of any kind. Expertise in these areas is not implied. The Appraiser is not responsible for any costs incurred to discover, or correct any deficiency in the property.
8. As part of this appraisal, information was gathered and analyzed to form opinion(s) that pertain solely to one or more explicitly identified effective value dates. The effective value date is the only point in time that the value applies. Information about the subject property, neighborhood, comparables, or other topics discussed in this report was obtained from sensible sources. In accordance with the extent of research disclosed in the Scope of Work section, all information cited herein was examined for accuracy, is believed to be reliable, and is assumed reasonably accurate. However, no guaranties or warranties are made for this information. No liability or responsibility is assumed for any inaccuracy which is outside the control of the Appraiser, beyond the scope of work, or outside reasonable due diligence of the Appraiser.
9. Real estate values are affected by many changing factors. Therefore, any value opinion expressed herein is considered credible only on the effective value date. Every day that passes thereafter, the degree of credibility wanes as the subject changes physically, the economy changes, or market conditions change. The Appraiser reserves the right to amend these analyses and/or value opinion(s) contained within this appraisal report if erroneous, or more factual-information is subsequently discovered. No guarantee is made for the accuracy of estimates or opinions furnished by others, and relied upon in this report.
10. In the case of limited partnerships, syndication offerings, or stock offerings in the real estate, the client agrees that in case of lawsuit (brought by the lender, partner, or part owner in any form of ownership, tenant, or any other party), the client will hold EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation , its officers, contractors, employees and associate appraisers completely harmless. Acceptance of, and/or use of this report by the client, or any third party is prima facie evidence that the user understands and agrees to all these conditions.
11. For appraisals of multiunit residential, only a portion of all dwellings was observed. A typical ratio of observed dwellings roughly approximates 10% of the total number of units, and this ratio declines as the number of dwellings grows. It is assumed the functionality, physical condition, construction quality, and interior finish of unseen units are similar to the functionality, physical condition, construction quality, and interior finish of observed units. If unobserved dwellings significantly differ from those that were viewed in functionality, physical condition, quality, or finish, the Appraiser reserves the right to amend theses analysis and/or value opinion(s).

12. If the appraised property consists of a physical portion of a larger parcel is subject to the following limitations. The value opinion for the property appraised pertains only to that portion defined as the subject property. This value opinion should not be construed as applying with equal validity to other complementary portions of the same parcel. The value opinion for the physical portion appraised + the value of all other complementary physical portions may or may not equal the value of the whole parcel.
13. Unless specifically stated otherwise herein, the Appraiser is unaware of any engineering study made to determine the bearing capacity of the subject land, or nearby lands. Improvements in the vicinity, if any, appear to be structurally sound. It is assumed soil and subsoil conditions are stable and free from features that cause supernormal costs to arise. It is also assumed existing soil conditions of the subject land have proper load bearing qualities to support the existing improvements, or proposed improvements appropriate for the site. No investigations for potential seismic hazards were made. This appraisal assumes there are no conditions of the site, subsoil, or structures, whether latent, patent, or concealed that would render the subject property less valuable. Unless specifically stated otherwise in this document, no earthquake compliance report, engineering report, flood zone analysis, hazardous substance determination, or analysis of these unfavorable attributes was made, or ordered in conjunction with this appraisal report. The client is strongly urged to retain experts in these fields, if so desired.
14. If this report involves an appraisal that values an interest, which is less than the whole fee simple estate, then the following disclosure applies. The value for any fractional interest appraised + the value of all other complementary fractional interests may or may not equal the value of the entire fee simple estate.
15. If this appraisal values the subject as though construction, repairs, alterations, remodeling, renovation, or rehabilitation will be completed in the future, then it is assumed such work will be completed in a timely fashion, using non-defective materials, and proper workmanship. All previously completed work is assumed completed in substantial conformance with plans, specifications, descriptions, or attachments made or referred to herein. It is also assumed all planned, in-progress, or recently completed construction complies with the zoning ordinance, and all applicable building codes. A prospective value opinion has an effective value date that is beyond or in the future relative to this report's preparation date. If this appraisal includes a prospective valuation, it is understood and agreed the Appraiser is not responsible for an unfavorable value effect caused by unforeseeable events that occur before completion of the project.
16. This valuation may or may not include an observation of the appraised property by an Appraiser. The extent of any observation is disclosed in the Scope of Work section of this report. Any observation by an Appraiser is not a professional property inspection. Viewing of the subject was limited to components that were not concealed, clearly observable, and readily accessible without a ladder on the property observation date. As used herein, readily accessible means within the Appraiser's normal reach without the movement of any man made or natural object. Comments or descriptions about physical condition of the improvements are based solely on a superficial visual observation. These comments are intended to familiarize the reader with the property in a very general fashion.
17. Electric, heating, cooling, plumbing, water supply, sewer or septic, mechanical equipment, and other property systems were not tested. No determination was made regarding the operability, capacity, or remaining physical life of any component in, on, or under the real estate appraised. All building components are assumed adequate and in good working order unless stated otherwise. Private water wells and private septic systems are assumed sufficient to comply with federal, state, or local health safety standards. No liability is assumed for the soundness of structural members since structural elements were not tested or studied to determine their structural integrity. The roof cover for all structures is assumed water tight unless otherwise noted. This document is not an inspection, engineering or architectural report. If the client has any concern regarding structural, mechanical, or protective components of the improvements, or the adequacy or quality of sewer, water or other utilities, the client should hire an expert in the appropriate discipline before relying upon this report. No warranties or guarantees of any kind are expressed or implied regarding the current or future physical condition or operability of any property component.
18. The allocation of value between the subject's land and improvements, if any, represents our judgment only under the existing use of the property. A re-evaluation should be made if the improvements are removed, substantially altered, or the land is utilized for another purpose.
19. The Client and all intended users agree to all the following. (A) This appraisal does not serve as a warranty on the physical condition or operability of the property appraised. (B) All users of this report should take all

necessary precautions before making any significant financial commitments to or for the subject. (C) Any estimate for repair or alternations is a non-warranted opinion of the Appraiser.

20. No liability is assumed for matters of legal nature that affect the value of the subject property. Unless a clear statement to the contrary is made in this report, value opinion(s) formed herein are predicated upon the following assumptions. (A) The real property is appraised as though, and assumed free from all value impairments including yet not limited to title defects, liens, encumbrances, title claims, boundary discrepancies, encroachments, adverse easements, environmental hazards, pest infestation, leases, and atypical physical deficiencies. (B) All real estate taxes and assessments, of any type, are assumed fully paid. (C) It is assumed ownership of the property appraised is lawful. (D) It is also assumed the subject property is operated under competent and prudent management. (E) The subject property was appraised as though, and assumed free of indebtedness. (F) The subject real estate is assumed fully compliant with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws. (G) The subject is assumed fully compliant with all applicable zoning ordinances, building codes, use regulations, and restrictions of all types. (H) All licenses, consents, permits, or other documentation required by any relevant legislative or governmental authority, private entity, or organization have been obtained, or can be easily be obtained or renewed for a nominal fee.
21. Any exhibits in the report are intended to assist the reader in visualizing the subject property and its surroundings. The drawings are not surveys unless specifically identified as such. No responsibility is assumed for cartographic accuracy. Drawings are not intended to be exact in size, scale, or detail.
22. Value opinions involve only real estate, and inconsequential personal property. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, value conclusions do not include personal property, unaffixed equipment, trade fixtures, business-good will, chattel, or franchise items of material worth.
23. Conversion of the subject's income into a market value opinion is based upon typical financing terms that were readily available from a disinterested, third party lender on this report's effective date. Atypical financing terms and conditions do not influence market value, but may affect investment value.
24. All information and comments concerning the location, market area, trends, construction quality, construction costs, value loss, physical condition, rents, or any other data for the subject represent estimates and opinions of the Appraiser. Expenses shown in the Income Approach, if used, are only estimates. They are based on past operating history, if available, and are stabilized as generally typical over a reasonable ownership period.
25. This appraisal was prepared by EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation and consists of trade secrets and commercial or financial information, which is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under 5 U.S.C. 522 (b) (4).
26. The Appraiser is not required to give testimony or produce documents because of having prepared this report unless arrangements are agreed to in advance. If the Appraiser is subpoenaed pursuant to court order or required to produce documents by judicial command, the client agrees to compensate the Appraiser for his appearance time, preparation time, travel time, and document preparation time at the regular hourly rate then in effect plus expenses and attorney fees. In the event the real property appraised is, or becomes the subject of litigation, a condemnation, or other legal proceeding, it is assumed the Appraiser will be given reasonable advanced notice, and reasonable additional time for court preparation.
27. Effective January 26, 1992, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) - a national law, affects all non-residential real estate or the portion of any property, which is non-residential. The Appraiser has not observed the subject property to determine whether the subject conforms to the requirements of the ADA. It is possible a compliance survey, together with a detailed analysis of ADA requirements, could reveal the subject is not fully compliant. If such a determination was made, the subject's value may or may not be adversely affected. Since the Appraiser has no direct evidence, or knowledge pertaining to the subject's compliance or lack of compliance, this appraisal does not consider possible noncompliance or its effect on the subject's value.
28. EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation and the Appraiser have no expertise in the field of insect, termite, or pest infestation. We are not qualified to detect the presence of these or any other unfavorable infestation. The Appraiser has no knowledge of the existence of any infestation on, under, above, or within the subject real estate. No overt evidence of infestation is apparent to the untrained eye. However, we have not specifically inspected or tested the subject property to determine the presence of any infestation. No effort was made to dismantle or probe the structure. No effort was exerted to observe enclosed, encased, or otherwise concealed evidence of infestation. The presence of any infestation would likely diminish the property's value. All value

opinions in this communication assume there is no infestation of any type affecting the subject real estate or the Appraiser is not responsible for any infestation or for any expertise required to discover any infestation. Our client is urged to retain an expert in this field, if desired.

29. All opinions are those of the signatory Appraiser based on the information in this report. No responsibility is assumed by the Appraiser for changes in market conditions, or for the inability of the client, or any other party to achieve their desired results based upon the appraised value. Some of the assumptions or projections made herein can vary depending upon evolving events. We realize some assumptions may never occur and unexpected events or circumstances may occur. Therefore, actual results achieved during the projection period may differ from those set forth in this report. Compensation for appraisal services is dependent solely on the delivery of this report, and no other event or occurrence
30. No warranties are made by the Appraiser concerning the property's conformance with any applicable government code or property covenant including but not limited to all laws, ordinances, regulations, agreements, declarations, easements, condominium regulations, restrictions, either recorded or unrecorded. The client is urged to engage the services of a licensed attorney to confirm any legal issue affecting the property appraised. No liability or responsibility is assumed by the Appraiser to determine the cost of replacing or curing any supposedly defective physical component.
31. In the event of an alleged claim due to some defective physical component, the client must notify EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation and allow its representatives and experts to examine and test the alleged defective component before any repairs or modifications are made. If any type of repair or modification is made without the knowledge of the Appraisers, the Appraiser is released from all liability, real or alleged.
32. The client and all explicitly identified intended users agree to notify in writing EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation , within one year of this report's preparation date, of any claim relating to or arising from this report regardless of any statute of limitations. If EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation does not receive this written notification within the year period defined in the paragraph, then the claimant releases the Appraiser from all claims arising from or related to this report.
33. The client and all explicitly identified intended users acknowledge that any claim relating to this report shall be settled in accordance with the commercial arbitration rules of the American Arbitration Association with the Parties each paying an equal share of all associated costs.
34. Any alleged claim must be filed in the Circuit Court for the County that encompasses most of or all of Richmond, Virginia 23226 where the Appraiser's business office is located. If a court of law voids any portion of these Contingent and Limiting Conditions, then the remainder remains in full force and effect. The claimants(s) agree not to contest the venue set forth herein and to submit to, and not contest, the exercise of personal jurisdiction over them by the foregoing court. The claimant(s) waive all rights concerning the exercise of personal jurisdiction of them by the foregoing courts and all claims of or concerning forum non-conveniences in the foregoing forum.
35. Superseding all comments to the contrary regardless of date, this report may not be transferred or assigned without the prior written consent of EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation.
36. No part of this report shall be published or disseminated to the public by the use of advertising media, public relations media, news media, sales media, electronic devices, or other media without the prior written consent of EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation. This restriction applies particularly as to analyses, opinions, and conclusions; the identity of the Appraiser; and any reference to the Appraisal Institute or its MAI, SRPA, or SRA designations. Furthermore, no part of this report may be reproduced or incorporated into any information retrieval system without written permission from EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation, the copyright holder.



Eugene A. Joseph, Jr. MAI, SRA, AI-GRS

PROPRIETOR & CEO
Appraisal & Consultation Services



Gene@eajoseph.com

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS

Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA

Bachelor of Science degree in Business, Real Estate and Urban Land Development

Graduate Certificate of Real Estate and Urban Land Development

STATE CERTIFICATION

Virginia

CONTACT DETAILS

MOB +1 804 467 2517
DIR +1 804 353 1757
FAX +1 888 977 3716

EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation
Richmond Office
PO Box 8225
Richmond, VA 23226

Eugene A. Joseph, Jr. MAI, SRA opened Joseph Appraisal & Consultation in January of 2015 in which Eugene is the owner and operator and is responsible for all phases of real property appraisal and consulting services. In October of 2014, MGMiller Valuations was acquired by Colliers International Valuation & Advisory Services in Richmond, VA. Eugene worked for MGMiller Valuations/ Colliers International since April of 1998 in which he was responsible for all phases of commercial and residential valuation in accordance with USPAP and FIRREA regulations. In 2012, Eugene was promoted to upper management of the commercial division in which his additional responsibilities included performing reviews, scoping and bidding assignments and mentoring members of his commercial team.

EXPERIENCE

Owner and CEO of EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation, Richmond, VA, January 2015- present

Senior Valuation Services Director, Colliers International Valuation & Advisory Services, Richmond, VA, October 2014-January 2015

Senior Valuation Appraiser and Director, MGMiller Valuations, Richmond, VA., 1998-2014

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ACCREDITATIONS

MAI, SRA, AI-GRS; Appraisal Institute

Member of National Council of Housing Marketing Analyst (NCHMA)

HUD Certified

APPRAISAL INSTITUTE COURSES

IA1, Real Estate Principals

IA2, Basic Valuation Procedures

Course 510, Advanced Income Analysis

Course 520, Highest and Best Use and Market Analysis

Course 530, Advanced Sales Comparison and Cost Approaches

Course 540, Advanced Report Writing

Course 550, Advanced Applications

CE as needed

OTHER RELATED COURSES

Real Estate Principals

Real Estate Law

Real Estate Finance

Real Property Management

Real Estate Appraisal

Real Estate Negotiation

Advanced Real Estate Appraisal

Advanced Valuation Analysis (Graduate Level)

Real Estate Investment Analysis (Graduate Level)

Commercial Mortgage Lending (Graduate Level)

Real Property Investment Law (Graduate Level)

Real Estate Development (Graduate Level)

Matthew W. Davis

ANALYST

EJoseph Appraisal & Consultation Services



Gene@eajoseph.com

EDUCATION AND QUALIFICATIONS

Hampden Sydney
College

*Bachelor of Arts in
Economics and
Commerce*

Virginia Commonwealth
University

*Master of Science in
Business: Real Estate
Valuation (Candidate)*

CONTACT DETAILS

MOB +1 540 580 4049
DIR +1 804 353 1757
FAX +1 888 977 3716

EJoseph Appraisal &
Consultation
Richmond Office
PO Box 8225
Richmond, VA 23226

Matt Davis has been with EJoseph since its founding in January of 2015. As an analyst, Matt is responsible for providing written value opinions of real property interests on a variety of property types.

Prior to EJoseph, Matt was an appraisal analyst with MGMiller Valuations in Richmond, VA. While with MGMiller, Matt performed valuations on nearly all generally accepted classifications of commercial property types.

Matt is currently a graduate candidate for a Master of Science in Business with Virginia Commonwealth University, having completed all relevant coursework. This program satisfies the educational requirements for the MAI & CCIM designations, and most of the educational requirements for licensure in the state of Virginia.

EXPERIENCE

Valuation Analyst, EJoseph Appraisal & Consultation, Richmond, VA, January 2015- present

Valuation Trainee, Colliers International Valuation & Advisory Services, Richmond, VA, October 2014-January 2015

Valuation Analyst, MGMiller Valuations, Richmond, VA., 2011-2014

Residential Analyst, Southern Bankers Services, Roanoke, VA., 2009-2010

APPRAISAL INSTITUTE COURSES

1A1, Real Estate Principals

1A2, Basic Valuation Procedures

Course 520, Highest and Best Use and Market Analysis

GRADUATE COURSEWORK

Real Estate Appraisal

Real Estate Development

Real Property Investment Law

Cases in Financial Management

Financial Markets (Asset Pricing and Valuation)

Real Estate Finance and Investments

Statistical Analysis

Using GIS in Real Estate Decisions

Real Estate Investment Analysis

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation
9960 Mayland Drive, Suite 400, Richmond, VA 23233

Telephone: (804) 367-8500

EXPIRES ON
04-30-2023

NUMBER
4001009492



REAL ESTATE APPRAISER BOARD

CERTIFIED GENERAL REAL ESTATE APPRAISER

EUGENE ALBER JOSEPH JR
4612 W FRANKLIN STREET
RICHMOND, VA 23226



Mary Broz-Vaughan
Mary Broz-Vaughan, Director

Status can be verified at <http://www.dpor.virginia.gov>

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR PRIVILEGES AND INSTRUCTIONS)

DPOR-LIC (02/2017)

December 11, 2020

Walker Llewellyn
RT Specialty, LLC (Richmond)
9020 Stony Point Pkwy Ste 450
Richmond, VA 23235-1953
Policy No.: MPL1671975.21

Re: EA Joseph Appraisal & Consulting Services LLC
Coverage Parts: Claims-Made and Reported Miscellaneous Professional Liability

Dear Walker,

In accordance with your request, we are pleased to bind coverage as follows:

Bind Premium Summary:

| | |
|--|----------|
| Miscellaneous Professional Liability Coverage | \$ 3,506 |
| Total Premium | \$ 3,506 |

Bind Details:

General Terms & Conditions PLP P0001 CW (07-19)

1. Policy Period: 01/12/2021 to 01/12/2022
2. Hiscox Insurance Company, Inc., an Admitted Company, A.M. Best Financial Strength Rating: A (Excellent), Group Financial Size Category XV.
3. Hiscox makes available a free risk management and loss prevention service to its policyholders, consisting of an initial consultation and up to 1-hour of legal services to assist our policyholders in better understanding and minimizing risks that commonly lead to the types of claims covered under our policy.
4. Optional Extended Reporting Period of 12/24/36 months at 75/150/225 percent of the annual premium.
5. Attached endorsements apply: E6020.3 - War and Civil War Exclusion Endorsement, E6017.3 - Nuclear Incident Exclusion Clause-Liability-Direct (Broad) Endorsement, E9044.3 - Virginia Amendatory Endorsement, E6294.2 - HiscoxPro Plus Endorsement, and E6366.1 - Cyber Incident Clarification (PL)

Miscellaneous Professional Liability Terms & Conditions PLPMPL P0001 CW (06-14)

1. Claims-made and reported coverage
2. Covered Professional Services: services as a real estate appraiser, of non-owned properties, for others for a fee
3. Retroactive date: 01/12/2015
4. Attached endorsements apply: E9159.1 - Virginia Amendatory Endorsement, E6175.1 - Real Estate Appraisers Endorsement (PL Form), E6121.2 - Absolute Intentional Acts Exclusion Endorsement, and E6107.2 - California Exclusion Endorsement

Miscellaneous Professional Liability Coverage Part: Claims-Made and Reported

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Professional Liability (PL) Aggregate Limit | \$ 1,000,000 |
| Each Claim Limit | \$ 1,000,000 |
| Defense of Licensing Proceedings Aggregate Limit (Separate Limit) | \$ 25,000 |
| Subpoena Assistance Aggregate Limit (Separate Limit) | \$ 10,000 |
| Retention | \$ 2,500 |
| Coverage Premium | \$ 3,506 |

Total Premium \$ 3,506

Thank you for the bind order. Please give me a call if you have any questions or require anything further.

Sincerely,



Authorized Representative
Kevin Kerridge

COPYRIGHT

© Copyright 2020
EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation
Richmond, Virginia 23226
All Rights Reserved.

No part of this document may be reproduced, distributed, or disseminated to the public nor may any portion be incorporated into any information retrieval system without written permission from EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation, the copyright holder. EAJoseph Appraisal & Consultation retains exclusive ownership to all information and data contained in this report including yet not limited to all exhibits, photographs, tables, and charts.

All opinions, analyses, and conclusions stated herein are intended for the exclusive use of our client, and other specifically identified intended users. Only the client and other specifically identified intended users may use this report for the sole purpose and intended use stated herein.

END OF REPORT